

**NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM  
MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT  
BETWEEN THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI AND  
THE UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
REGION 4**

**Section I. Introduction**

This Memorandum of Agreement (hereinafter, MOA) establishes policies, responsibilities and procedures pursuant to 40 Code of Federal Regulations (C.F.R.) Part 123 and sets forth procedures for how the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program will be administered by the State of Mississippi, Department of Environmental Quality (hereinafter, MDEQ or State) and reviewed by Region 4 of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (hereinafter, EPA or Region 4). All additional agreements between the State and EPA are subject to review by the Regional Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4 (hereinafter, the Regional Administrator), and the Director of the MDEQ (hereinafter, the Director). If the Regional Administrator determines that any provision of any agreement does not conform to the requirements of Section 402(b) of the Federal Clean Water Act (hereinafter, the CWA), 33 U.S.C. 1251 *et. seq.*, or to the requirements of 40 C.F.R. Parts 122-125, or other applicable federal regulations, the Regional Administrator shall notify the Director of any proposed revisions or modifications which must be in such agreements.

The Director and the Regional Administrator hereby agree to maintain a high level of cooperation and coordination between the State and EPA staffs in a partnership to ensure successful and effective administration of the NPDES program. In this partnership, EPA will provide to the State technical and other assistance on permit, compliance and enforcement matters when requested, as appropriate and as funding allows.

The State will administer an NPDES program in accordance with CWA Section 402, this MOA, applicable State legal authority, and the annual State Section 106 Program Plan (State 106 Workplan). The State has the primary responsibility to establish the State NPDES program priorities that are consistent with national NPDES goals and objectives. This agreement does not establish an agent relationship between EPA and the State, and no waiver of sovereign immunity is implied or assumed by this agreement.

The strategies and priorities for issuance, compliance monitoring and enforcement of permits, as established in this MOA, may be set forth in more detail in the State 106 Workplan, a Performance Partnership Agreement (PPA), or a State/EPA Enforcement Agreement signed by the Director and the Regional Administrator. This MOA, the State 106 Workplan, the PPA, and any other State/EPA agreement(s) regarding the NPDES program shall not be in conflict.

Either the Director or the Regional Administrator may initiate an action to modify this MOA at any time. However, before this MOA may be modified, any revisions must be in writing and signed by the Director and the Regional Administrator. It is recognized that organizational changes may occur at federal or state levels as programs evolve. The parties

agree that should the contact information contained herein require revision as a result of organizational changes, this document shall remain in full force and effect without the need for modification. Rather, it is agreed that should either party make organizational change(s) that affects the contact information contained herein, revisions to the contact information shall be accomplished through written notification to the other party within thirty (30) days after such organizational change occurs.

## **Section II. Scope of Authorization**

The Director and the Regional Administrator agree that the State of Mississippi has been granted authorization to administer the NPDES permitting, compliance, and enforcement programs. The State does not exercise jurisdiction over federally-recognized Indian Tribal lands and will not be seeking such authority. Further, the State is not currently authorized for a federal biosolids management program as part of the NPDES program.

### **Review of New or Revised State Rules, Regulations or Statutes**

Either EPA or the State may initiate a revision to the NPDES program. The State and EPA shall keep each other fully informed of any proposed modifications to its statutory or regulatory authority, its forms, procedures, or priorities.

1. Revision of the State's program shall be accomplished as follows:
  - a. The State shall submit to EPA's Regional Administrator a modified program description, an Attorney General's statement, Memorandum of Agreement, or any such other documents, as EPA determines to be necessary under the circumstances after consultation with the State. EPA will determine if the proposed revision is substantial or non-substantial.
  - b. If EPA determines that the proposed revision is substantial, EPA shall issue public notice of the proposed revision and provide an opportunity for the public to comment for a period of at least thirty (30) days. The public notice will also provide an opportunity for the public to request a public hearing.
  - c. The Regional Administrator will approve or disapprove program revisions based on the requirements of 40 C.F.R. Part 123 and the CWA. Notice of approval of a substantial change shall be published in the Federal Register. A program revision shall become effective upon the approval of the Regional Administrator.
  - d. If EPA determines the revision to be non-substantial, notice of approval may be given by letter from the Regional Administrator to the Governor or his/her designee.
  - e. In order to conform with new or revised promulgations of federal regulations, the State must revise its program within one year of

promulgation of the new or revised federal regulations, unless the State must amend or enact a statute to make the required revision or if a State legislative process must be completed, in which case such revision shall take place within two (2) years. [See 40 C.F.R. Part 123.62(e)]

- f. The State will provide proposed revisions to EPA in a timely manner in consideration of the date the State needs to have EPA's review completed. After conducting a preliminary review of the State's proposed revision, EPA will provide to the State an estimated schedule for completing its review. The estimated review schedule will depend on the complexity of the proposed revision. EPA will, thereafter, provide the State with quarterly updates, as appropriate, regarding the status of its review.
2. The State must notify EPA whenever it proposes to transfer all or any part of any program from the approved State agency to any other State agency, and must identify any new division of responsibilities among the agencies involved. The new agency is not authorized to administer the program until given approval by the Regional Administrator under 40 C.F.R. Parts 123.62(b) and (c).
  3. Whenever the Regional Administrator has reason to believe that circumstances have changed with respect to the State's program, he may request, and the State shall provide, a supplemental Attorney General's statement, program description, or other documents or information as are necessary.

### **Section III. General Provisions**

The State program authorized to implement the NPDES program pursuant to the requirements of the CWA is the Air and Water Pollution Control Law adopted under Sections 49-17-1, *et seq.* of the Mississippi Code Annotated, and implemented by Sections 49-17-17 and 49-17-29 and all other applicable rules of the Wastewater Regulations for National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permits, Underground Injection Control (UIC) Permits, State Permits, Water Quality Based Effluent Limitations and Water Quality Certification, WPC-1.

#### **A. State of Mississippi Responsibilities**

In accordance with the priorities and procedures established in this MOA and the State 106 Workplan, the State will:

1. Create and maintain the legal authority and, to the maximum extent possible, the resources required to carry out all aspects of the State NPDES program, including revisions to State program legal authorities as provided for at 40 C.F.R. Part 123.
2. Ensure, to the extent possible, that EPA is kept fully informed and up-to-date regarding:

- a. Draft and final policy and program development documents related to the State NPDES program;
  - b. Draft, proposed, and final statutes, rules and/or regulations related to the State NPDES program;
  - c. New case law, settlement agreements, and remands of regulations related to the State NPDES program; and
  - d. Draft, proposed, and final technical guidance and policies which pertain to the State NPDES program.
3. Ensure that any proposed revision of the State NPDES Program is submitted to EPA for approval pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 123.62(b).
  4. Process in a timely manner and propose to issue, reissue, modify, terminate, or deny State NPDES permits to the following categories of applicants as specified in 40 C.F.R. Parts 122 and 123:
    - a. Industrial, federal facilities, commercial, mining and silvicultural dischargers;
    - b. Concentrated animal feeding operations and concentrated aquatic animal production facilities;
    - c. Domestic wastewater treatment facilities, including publicly owned treatment works and privately owned treatment works; and
    - d. Storm water dischargers, including municipal separate storm sewer systems (MS4s), and industrial storm water only dischargers.
  5. Comprehensively evaluate and assess compliance with permit conditions (e.g., effluent limits and compliance schedules) and any applicable enforcement action as outlined in Section V of this MOA.
  6. Maintain a vigorous program of taking timely and appropriate enforcement actions in accordance with State statutes, the CWA, 40 C.F.R. § 123.27, and as outlined in Section VI of this MOA.
  7. Maintain an effective program to carry out the pretreatment responsibilities outlined in Section VII of this MOA.
  8. Maintain an adequate public file(s), which must be easily accessible to EPA, for program evaluation for each permittee. Where applicable, such files must include, at a minimum, copies of:

- a. permit application;
  - b. currently issued permit;
  - c. fact sheet or statement of basis;
  - d. draft permit submitted for public notice and comment;
  - e. proposed permit when prepared;
  - f. timely public comments received orally at a public hearing or in writing;
  - g. final permit or final order of denial;
  - h. relevant discharge monitoring reports (DMRs), including whole effluent toxicity (WET), toxicity reduction evaluation (TRE), and in-stream sampling requirements;
  - i. studies supporting permit limits (e.g., wasteload allocation, total maximum daily load, site specific analysis, and in-stream sampling data);
  - j. any relevant inspection reports;
  - k. any relevant enforcement actions;
  - l. relevant Compliance Schedule Reports;
  - m. storm water related documents, including storm water management plans and pollution prevention plans received by the State;
  - n. requests for hearings, motions, for reconsideration and rehearing, and any order issued by the State;
  - o. all pretreatment related documents, including the permittee's pretreatment program and annual report, as applicable;
  - p. concentrated animal feeding operation (CAFO) related documents, including nutrient management plans if required by federal regulations; and
  - q. other pertinent information and correspondence.
9. Submit to EPA the information described in this MOA, the State 106 Workplan and applicable portions of 40 C.F.R. Part 123. Additionally, upon request by EPA, the State shall submit specific information and allow access to any files necessary for evaluating the State's administration of the NPDES program.

10. Ensure that the conditions of the draft permit are written in compliance with the applicable water quality standards of all affected states, and that all affected states are, at a minimum, provided timely notice of such draft permit and any other information requested per 40 C.F.R. § 122.44(d)(4).

## **B. EPA Responsibilities**

1. EPA will commit, to the maximum extent possible, funding to the State to support the State's responsibilities under the NPDES program.
2. Where no effective effluent guidelines or standards exist for a discharge, EPA is responsible for transmitting to the State technical information to assist in writing permit terms and conditions (e.g., contractor reports, draft development documents, and available permits and effluent data from similar facilities). Such information, if available, will be provided within thirty (30) calendar days of a request by the State.
3. As outlined in Sections V, VI, and IX of this MOA, EPA will oversee the State administration of the NPDES program on a continuing basis for consistency with the CWA, State law or rules, this MOA, the State 106 Workplan, and all applicable federal regulations. In addition, EPA may consider as a part of its assessment, comments from dischargers, the public, and federal and local agencies concerning the State administration of its NPDES program. Any such comments considered by EPA will be brought to the attention of the State by written correspondence, if the commenting party has not previously communicated with the State. Any information obtained or used by the State under the NPDES program shall be available to EPA, upon request, without restriction due to claims of confidentiality. If the information has been submitted to the State under a claim of confidentiality, the State shall inform EPA of that claim. Information claimed confidential which is used to develop permit conditions will be treated in accordance with 40 C.F.R. Part 2, Subpart B and 40 C.F.R. § 122.7.
4. Contingent on available EPA resources, EPA agrees to provide formal training courses in permit writing, compliance inspections, and enforcement.
5. EPA will provide assistance in obtaining retrievals or entering information into the Integrated Compliance Information System for the Clean Water Act National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (ICIS-NPDES), either of which is currently being used, hereafter, ICIS, (the successor database to the Permit Compliance System [PCS]), or making data available to EPA through the Exchange Network or other electronic method for EPA use such as loading into PCS/ICIS. After initial ICIS-NPDES training by EPA Headquarters, additional support will be provided to the State upon request and as resources allow. Changes in ICIS-NPDES procedures will be provided to the State thirty (30) calendar days in advance of such change, if possible.

- C. Nothing in this MOA shall be construed to limit EPA's authority to take action under Sections 308, 309, 311, 402, 504, or any other sections of the CWA.
- D. Nothing in this MOA shall be construed to constitute or create any rights or valid defenses to regulated parties in violation of any environmental statute, regulations, or permit, including, without limitation, any defense to an enforcement action taken by the State or EPA.

#### Section IV. Permit Review and Issuance

The State is responsible for drafting, providing public notice, issuing, modifying, reissuing, denying, and terminating permits in accordance with Sections III and IV of this MOA, 40 C.F.R. Parts 122-123, and any other applicable regulations.

##### **A. Receipt of New Permit Applications by the State**

Upon receipt of a completed permit application or notice of intent for coverage under an NPDES general permit, the State will enter all required information directly into ICIS-NPDES or make available this information electronically to EPA from the State data management system through the Exchange Network or other electronic method for EPA use such as loading into ICIS-NPDES.

##### **B. EPA Review of Draft and Proposed Permits, Permit Modifications, and Permit Revocations and Reissuances**

1. EPA's initial review will be of draft permits rather than proposed permits. For purposes of this document, a "draft permit" is the permit prepared for public notice and comment indicating the State's tentative decision to issue, deny, modify, revoke and reissue, terminate or reissue a permit. A "proposed permit" is the permit as prepared following the close of the public notice and comment period and sent to EPA prior to issuance as a final permit by the State. A proposed permit need not be prepared by the State and transmitted to EPA for review unless necessary under Paragraph B.6 below.
2. EPA will review all draft State NPDES program permits, permit modifications and revocations and reissuances for those discharges identified in Paragraph C.1.a-k below. No later than the date the draft permit is available for public notice, the State will send to the Region 4, Chief, NPDES and Biosolids Permits Section, one copy of the public notice, the draft permit, the application, the fact sheet or statement of basis associated with the draft permit, and notices of public hearings. When applicable, the submittal must be accompanied by a new source/new discharger determination and, if necessary, an antidegradation review for new or expanded discharges.
3. Except as set out in Subparagraph B.4. below, within thirty (30) calendar days of the date a copy of a draft permit and attachments is received by the Region 4, Chief, NPDES and Biosolids Permits Section, EPA may provide to the State written

comments on, recommendations with respect to, or objections to the issuance of the draft permit. If EPA does not provide any of the above during this timeframe, the State may proceed under Paragraph B.6 below. A written objection by EPA during this initial thirty (30) day period need only set forth the general nature of the objection(s). If a general objection is provided within this thirty (30) day period, EPA shall have the remainder of the ninety (90) days from the date EPA received the draft permit to supply written specific grounds for objection. Notwithstanding the foregoing, EPA may extend its review time on a particular permit to the full ninety (90) days, without providing a written general objection in the initial thirty (30) day period, by so notifying the State in writing. A copy of all written comments, recommendations or objections provided to the State will also be sent by EPA to the permit applicant.

4. If the initial permit information supplied by the State under Paragraph B.2 above is inadequate to determine whether the draft permit meets CWA guidelines, regulations, and requirements, EPA may request the State to transmit to EPA the complete record of the permit proceedings before the State, or any portions of the record that EPA determines are necessary for review. If this request is made within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt of the State submittal under Paragraph B.2 above, it will constitute an "interim objection" under 40 C.F.R. § 123.44(d)(2) and the full period for EPA review specified in this MOA shall recommence when the requested information is received by EPA.
5. All EPA comments and objections must be considered by the State along with any other public comments received on the draft permit. If EPA does not respond within thirty (30) calendar days of its receipt of the draft permit (or in the case of general permits, ninety (90) calendar days) or exercise its right to the full ninety (90) day review period, the State may take this absence of a response as concurrence with the draft permit and the State need not prepare a proposed permit and transmit it to EPA for review, except as provided in Paragraph B.6 below.
6. Following expiration of the period for public comment, a proposed permit will be drafted. The State may assume EPA has waived its review of the proposed permit and may issue the final permit without further review by EPA, unless
  - a. the State proposes to issue a permit which significantly differs from the draft permit as reviewed by EPA;
  - b. EPA has provided objections to the draft permit;
  - c. significant comments objecting to the tentative determination and draft permit have been presented at hearing or in writing pursuant to the public notice; or
  - d. there were significant issues raised by a state which may be affected by the discharge.

In such a case, the State will not issue the permit and will send to Region 4, Chief, NPDES and Biosolids Permits Section, a copy of the proposed permit for review in accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 123.44. Along with the copy of the proposed permit, the State also will transmit: comments and recommendations of any affected state; the State's response to any such comments or recommendations; significant written comments submitted pursuant to the public notice of the draft permit; a summary of any significant comments presented at any hearing on the draft permit; and the response to comments prepared under 40 C.F.R. § 124.17 and Miss. Code Ann. § 49-17-29 (Rev. 2003) and Regulation WPC-1.1.4.H. EPA will, within fifteen (15) business days of the date the proposed permit and accompanying material were received, notify the State and the permit applicant of any general objections EPA has to the proposed permit or that it is extending the EPA review time on the proposed permit to the full ninety (90) calendar days to provide specific objections. If EPA does not, within this initial fifteen (15) day period, either notify the State that it has objections to the permit or that it is extending the EPA review time to ninety (90) days, then the State may issue the proposed permit as final.

7. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §§ 123.44(a) and (b), in the event EPA files a "general objection" to a "draft" or "proposed" permit, EPA shall have ninety (90) calendar days from the date the draft or proposed permit was received by EPA to supply the specific grounds for the objection. The specific grounds for the objection shall include the reasons for the objection, including the sections of the CWA or regulations which support the objection, and the actions that must be taken to eliminate the objection, including, if appropriate, the effluent limitations and conditions which the permit would include if it were issued by the Regional Administrator. The EPA objection must be based upon one (1) or more of the criteria identified in 40 C.F.R. § 123.44(c). If the State fails to either request a hearing on the EPA objection or resubmit a permit revised to meet any specific objection on a proposed permit within ninety (90) calendar days of receipt of the objection, exclusive authority to issue the permit passes to EPA for one (1) permit term. Any requests for a hearing on the objection and the procedure for resolving any objection shall be governed by 40 C.F.R. § 123.44.
8. Upon issuance of any NPDES permit for major dischargers, MS4s, CAFOs, general permits, for a discharger within any of the industrial categories listed in Appendix A to 40 C.F.R. Part 122, or for any other discharger listed in Section C.1.a-k below, the State will send to Region 4, Chief, NPDES and Biosolids Permits Section, one copy of the issued permit and associated documentation. All other final permits shall be available to EPA as requested.
9. If the final determination is to deny any permit listed in Paragraph B.8 above, a copy of the notice of the intent to deny shall be given to the Region 4, Chief, NPDES and Biosolids Permits Section, and to the applicant in accordance with applicable State rules and NPDES regulations.

10. In the case of general permits, EPA shall have ninety (90) calendar days from the date of receipt of the draft general permit to comment on, make recommendations with respect to, or provide written specific grounds for an objection to the general permit.
11. EPA may request to review any applicant's notice of intent (NOI) to be covered under a general permit, subject to the State's authority under Miss. Code Ann. § 49-17-29 (Rev. 2003) and WPC-1, Chapter One.II.B. EPA will, within ten (10) business days after receipt of the NOI, notify the State in writing of any formal objection, and the reason(s) for such objection, to the applicant's suitability for coverage under the general permit.
12. The lowest levels at which EPA correspondence under this Section shall be signed and received are as follows:
  - a. comments or recommendation letters shall be signed by the EPA NPDES State Coordinator and transmitted to the State's NPDES Permitting Program Manager;
  - b. letters extending EPA's review time to the full ninety (90) days shall be signed by the EPA NPDES Permits Branch Chief and transmitted to the Director; and,
  - c. all objection letters shall be signed by the EPA Water Management Division Director and transmitted to the Director.

### **C. Waiver of Permit Review by EPA**

1. Except as hereafter expressly provided, EPA waives the right to comment on or object to the sufficiency of permit applications, draft permits, proposed final permits, and finally adopted permits for any existing discharges or proposed discharges with the EXCEPTION of the following:
  - a. discharges which may affect the waters of another state, Indian Lands, and territorial seas;
  - b. discharges proposed to be regulated by general permits, including storm water and CAFO dischargers (see 40 C.F.R. § 122.28); applicable only to review of draft, proposed, and final permits (not applicable to notices of intent [NOIs]);
  - c. discharges from publicly owned treatment works (POTWs) with a daily average permitted discharge of at least 1.0 million gallons per day (MGD);
  - d. discharges from any major discharger or a discharger within any of the twenty-one (21) industrial categories listed in Appendix A to 40 C.F.R.

Part 122 for which the permit covers a wastewater source subject to a promulgated effluent guideline;

- e. discharges of process wastewater with an average discharge exceeding 0.5 MGD;
  - f. discharges from POTWs required to have a pretreatment program (40 C.F.R. Part 403);
  - g. discharges from CAFOs, not including NOIs;
  - h. discharges from MS4s, not including NOIs;
  - i. discharges of uncontaminated cooling water with a daily average discharge exceeding 500 MGD;
  - j. discharges proposed to be regulated in identified regional and/or national priorities; e.g., watersheds; and
  - k. discharges from any discharger for which the permit incorporates pollutant trading. Pollutant trading shall be developed within the framework of EPA's 2003 Water Quality Trading Policy, or any subsequently revised national policy. Pollutant trading does not include reallocation of existing loads.
2. EPA also waives the right to review the following:
    - a. a modification of any permit for which the right to review the original permit was waived by EPA (unless the modification would put the permit in one of the categories in Section IV.C.1.); or
    - b. a modification of any permit which qualifies as a minor modification under 40 C.F.R. § 122.63.
  3. EPA reserves the right to terminate the waivers in Paragraphs C.1 and 2 above, in whole or in part, at any time prior to a permit becoming final. Any such termination and the reasons therefore shall be sent in writing to the State.
  4. The foregoing waivers shall not be construed to authorize the issuance of permits which do not comply with applicable provisions of federal or State laws, rules, regulations, or effluent guidelines, nor to relinquish the right of EPA to petition the State for review of any action or inaction because of violation of federal or State laws, rules, regulations, or effluent guidelines.

**D. Public Participation**

1. The State shall give public notice in accordance with 40 C.F.R. Sections 124.10 (c), (d) and (e) whenever a draft permit has been prepared under 40 C.F.R. Section 124.6(d) or a hearing has been scheduled pursuant to 40 C.F.R. Section 124.12.
2. Public notice of the preparation of a draft permit shall allow at least thirty (30) days for public comment, and public notice of a public hearing, if one is determined to be appropriate, shall be given at least thirty (30) days before the hearing.
3. Draft permits, public notices, applications and fact sheets or statements of basis will be made available to any party upon request and upon payment of any applicable State duplicating fees.
4. Unless otherwise waived by the specific organization, in addition to the general public notice described in 40 C.F.R. Section 124.10(d)(1), the State will provide to the following organizations, a copy of the fact sheet or any comparable rationale, permit application (if any) and draft permits (if any) associated with the notice:
  - a. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers;
  - b. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (F&WS) and the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) (the Services);
  - c. Other appropriate state and federal agencies;
  - d. Adjacent states and Indian Tribes (only for permits which affect them);
  - e. Major Commands of the Department of Defense (DOD) (only for DOD permits); and
  - f. The State Historical Preservation Officer (SHPO).
5. All NPDES major permits and general permits shall be publicly noticed in a manner constituting legal notice to the public under State law, in accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 124.10(c)(3).
6. The State shall provide an opportunity for judicial review in State court of the final approval or denial of permits that is sufficient to provide for, encourage, and assist public participation in the permitting process in accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 123.30.

**E. State and Federal Agency Coordination: Endangered Species Act**

EPA and the State agree to the following process to address issues involving federally-listed species and designated critical habitats, relative to issuance of NPDES permits.

1. The State will provide notice and copies of draft permits to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and National Marine Fisheries Service (the Services), unless otherwise waived in accordance with Section D.4. The State understands that it may receive information from the Services on federally-listed species and designated critical habitats in the State of Mississippi, with special emphasis on aquatic or aquatically-dependent species. Also, EPA will share with State information on permits that may raise issues regarding impacts to federally-listed species or designated critical habitats.
2. The State will consider issues raised by the EPA or the Services regarding federally-listed species or designated critical habitat. If EPA has concerns that an NPDES permit is likely to have more than a minor detrimental effect on federally-listed species or designated critical habitats, EPA will contact the State to discuss identified concerns.
3. If the State is unable to resolve issues raised by the Services involving detrimental effects of an NPDES permit on federally-listed species or designated critical habitats, and if the Services have contacted EPA, EPA intends to work with the State to remove or reduce the detrimental effect. EPA will coordinate with the State and the Services to ensure that the permit will comply with all applicable water quality standards, which include narrative criteria prohibiting toxic discharges, and will discuss appropriate measures protective of federally-listed species and designated critical habitats.
4. EPA will provide the Services with copies of any comments it provides to the State on issues related to federally-listed species or designated critical habitats.
5. The State will comply with applicable federal laws in accordance with 40 C.F.R. §124.59.

**F. Issuance of Permits or Notice of Intent to Deny for All Permit Categories in Section C.1.a-k.**

1. If the final determination is to issue the permit, the final permit will be forwarded to the permit applicant, along with a transmittal letter notifying the applicant that the permit is being issued. Copies of all issued permits, identified in Section C.1.a.-k., will be forwarded to EPA.
2. If the final determination is to deny the permit, notice of intent to deny shall be given to the Region 4, Chief, NPDES and Biosolids Permits Section, and to the applicant in accordance with applicable State rules and NPDES regulations.

**G. Suspension or Revocation of Permits for all Permit Categories in Section C.1.a-k.**

When the State makes a determination to suspend or revoke a permit, in whole or in part, EPA will be notified.

**H. Major Discharger List**

There shall be included as part of the State 106 Workplan a list of what constitutes a major discharger. Currently, the State 106 Workplan includes an industrial major discharger list and a municipal major discharger list. The industrial major discharger list shall include those facilities and Phase 1 MS4<sup>1</sup> dischargers, mutually defined by the State and EPA as major dischargers based on a point rating worksheet or applicable definitions plus any additional industrial dischargers whose discharges, in the opinion of the State or EPA, have a high potential for violation of water quality standards. The municipal major discharger list shall include those facilities mutually defined by the State and EPA as major municipal discharges based on a design domestic treatment plant flow of at least 1.0 MGD, case-by-case exclusions due to actual discharge flows to surface waters may be considered.

<sup>1</sup>Phase 1 MS4s are defined by the lists in 40 C.F.R. Part 122.26 Appendices F, G, H and I.

**I. Administrative or Court Action**

If the terms of any permit, including any permit for which review has been waived by EPA, are affected in any manner by an administrative or court action, the State shall timely transmit a copy of the permit, with changes identified to the EPA and shall allow thirty (30) calendar days for EPA to review, comment on, or make written objections to the changed permit pursuant to CWA Section 402(d).

**J. Technology-Based Variances**

The State will conduct an initial review of all requests for Fundamentally Different Factors (FDF) variances, for variances under CWA Sections 301(c), (g), and (k), and 316(a), and for modifications to federal effluent limitations established under CWA Section 302, i.e., technology-based variances, and shall either approve or deny such requests. As needed, EPA will provide technical assistance to the State to evaluate the variance request.

1. If the State denies a request for a technology-based variance under CWA Sections 301(c) or (g), Section 302, or for FDFs, such determination shall be forwarded to the applicant and EPA.
2. If the State approves a technology-based variance (approval), the request, all accompanying documentation, and the State's approval shall be sent to EPA. EPA will provide quarterly updates regarding the status of its review of each submitted request to the State, until a final decision is made.

3. If EPA denies the State's approval, EPA will notify the State, who will notify the applicant. No technology-based variance may be included in an NPDES permit unless the State's approval has been signed-off by EPA. If EPA concurs with the State's decision, EPA will notify the State, who will prepare a draft permit factoring in the approval.
4. The State may continue processing the permit application while awaiting EPA's review and decision on the variance request. If the State proposes to issue the permit prior to EPA's decision, the permit must be drafted with the technology-based limits from which the applicant has requested a variance. If EPA approves the variance, the permit may be modified to incorporate the variance.
5. Approval by the State and by EPA for a given technology-based variance is only valid for the current permit term. Upon permit renewal, the technology-based variance must be reapplied for and reviewed once again by both the State and by EPA.

#### **K. Variances or Other Changes to Water Quality Standards Specific to a Permit**

The State will conduct an initial review of all requests for variances or other changes to water quality standards specific to a permit, allowed under CWA Section 303(c) and 40 C.F.R. Part 131, and either deny the request or adopt the variance. Examples of other changes to water quality standards include site-specific criteria, criteria changed based on recalculation procedures, and criteria changed based on a combination of recalculation procedures and Water-Effects Ratios (WERs). Examples that are not changes to water quality standards include mixing zones and WERs that are not in combination with a recalculation procedure. As needed, EPA will provide technical assistance to the State to evaluate the variance request.

1. If the State denies a request for a variance or other changes to water quality standards specific to a permit, such determination shall be forwarded to the applicant and EPA.
2. If the State adopts a variance or other change to water quality standards specific to a permit (adoption), the request, all accompanying documentation, and the State's adoption (i.e., the revised standard) shall be sent to the EPA's Standards, Monitoring, and TMDL Branch for review (See 40 C.F.R. Sections 131.6 and 131.20(c) for the requirements for this submittal). The CWA requires that EPA approve changes to water quality standards within sixty (60) days and disapprove them within ninety (90) days. EPA will provide quarterly updates regarding the status of its review of the adoption to the State, until a final decision is made.
3. If EPA disapproves the adoption, EPA will notify the State, who will notify the applicant. If EPA approves the adoption, EPA will notify the State, who will prepare a draft permit factoring in the adoption. No effluent limitations based on a variance or other change to water quality standards may be included in a

NPDES permit unless the variance or other change to standards has been approved by EPA. One exception to this is the case where the revised standard results in a more stringent criterion and effluent limitation than the previously applicable water quality standard.

4. The State may continue processing the permit application while awaiting EPA's review and decision on the revised standard. If the State proposes to issue the permit prior to EPA's decision, the permit must be drafted with the effluent limits necessary to achieve the existing water quality standard(s) from which the applicant has requested a variance. If EPA approves the revised standard, the permit may be modified to incorporate that standard.
5. Any variance from water quality standards specific to a permit must be reevaluated by the State at each triennial review of water quality standards.  
[See 40 C.F.R. Section 131.20(a).]

### **Section V. Compliance Monitoring and Evaluation Program**

The MDEQ agrees to maintain an effective compliance monitoring and evaluation program. For purposes of this MOA, the term "compliance monitoring and evaluation" shall refer to all efforts to assess whether all dischargers are in full compliance with laws and regulations constituting the MDEQ NPDES program, including any permit condition or limitation, any compliance schedule, any pretreatment standard or requirement, or any previous administrative or judicial enforcement action. Discharges endangering public health shall receive immediate and paramount attention. The MDEQ will operate a timely and effective compliance monitoring system to monitor and track compliance by dischargers with their permit conditions (e.g., effluent limits and compliance schedules) and any applicable enforcement action. The MDEQ will directly enter or make available electronically in a format acceptable to EPA the compliance monitoring and evaluation data on a schedule as required in the State 106 Workplan at least monthly. Compliance monitoring shall focus on major dischargers and those other dischargers or types of dischargers identified in the State 106 Workplan in accordance with the priorities and time frames for compliance tracking as established in this MOA and as further delineated in the State 106 Workplan. All compliance monitoring and evaluation activities shall be undertaken in such a manner that, if the situation requires, will lead to timely, appropriate and effective enforcement actions as outlined in Section VI. As indicated in Section III.A. of this MOA, the MDEQ shall maintain complete records of all material relating to the compliance status of dischargers within the MDEQ, including Compliance Schedule Reports, DMRs, Compliance Inspection Reports, any other reports that permittees may be required to submit under the terms and conditions of a MDEQ permit or an approved pretreatment program (when applicable), and documents related to any administrative or judicial enforcement action.

#### **A. Schedule Dates**

The MDEQ will track the submission of all documents required pursuant to permit conditions or schedules, or any applicable administrative or judicial enforcement actions. In order to determine a discharger's compliance status, the MDEQ will conduct a timely

and substantive review of all such submitted documents and consider enforcement action in the event a required document is not timely submitted or is otherwise inadequate.

**B. Review of Self-Monitoring Information and Other Compliance Reports**

1. For all major dischargers and those other dischargers or types of dischargers identified in the State 106 Workplan, the MDEQ will make available electronically in a format acceptable to EPA in accordance with sub-paragraph B.3 below with the information necessary to determine if:
  - a. any required self-monitoring reports (including DMRs or other reports required to be submitted pursuant to a permit or an applicable administrative or judicial enforcement action) are submitted on time;
  - b. the submitted reports are complete; and
  - c. the permit conditions (e.g., effluent limits and compliance schedules) or requirements of an applicable administrative or judicial enforcement action are met.
2. The MDEQ will conduct a timely and substantive review of all such reports received and all independently gathered information to evaluate the discharger's compliance status. This evaluation will be uniform and consistent with the Enforcement Management System (EMS) as referenced in Section V.E.
3. The MDEQ will ensure that monitoring and evaluation data are entered directly into ICIS-NPDES or made electronically available in a format acceptable to EPA. Data entry and accuracy rates will be as established in the State 106 Workplan.
4. DMR forms or electronic versions thereof, for any monitoring data required by an NPDES permit (or the NPDES portion of an MDEQ permit), shall be consistent with the requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 122.2.
5. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 122.2, EPA may object in writing to deficiencies in reporting forms used by permittees or the MDEQ. The MDEQ will ensure that deficiencies identified by EPA are adequately addressed.
6. For all major dischargers subject to regulation under Section 402 of the CWA, the MDEQ will submit, on a quarterly basis, an automated Quarterly Noncompliance Report (QNCR) with appropriate annotations for all instances of non-compliance as set forth in 40 C.F.R. § 123.45. The QNCR shall include the information set forth in 40 C.F.R. § 123.45 including:
  - a. Facility name, location, and permit number;
  - b. Description and date history of each noncompliance;

- c. Description of and dates of actions by the MDEQ to obtain compliance;
- d. Current compliance status (including date of resolution or return to compliance if it has occurred); and
- e. Mitigating circumstances.

The MDEQ agrees to utilize either ICIS-NPDES or its State system to produce the automated QNCR with hand-written annotations, if necessary. EPA agrees to provide assistance in generating these automated QNCRs. Per 40 C.F.R. § 123.45(d), the MDEQ shall submit the QNCR on November 30th, February 28th, May 31st, and August 31st of each year. Dates are dependent upon ICIS-NPDES.

- 7. On a quarterly basis, EPA will generate for the MDEQ's review a list (e.g., the Watch List) of facilities which appear to be in non-compliance based on certain EPA selection criteria. The MDEQ will confer with EPA concerning data correction, if applicable, and/or the appropriate enforcement response for these facilities. The MDEQ will advise EPA if the MDEQ has already initiated enforcement.
- 8. EPA will from time to time review ICIS-NPDES data against source documents (DMRs, inspection records, enforcement actions, etc.) to verify the accuracy of the ICIS-NPDES data and the QNCRs.
- 9. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 123.26(b)(4), the MDEQ shall maintain procedures for receiving and ensuring proper consideration of information about alleged violations submitted by the public.
- 10. 40 C.F.R. § 123.45(b) requires the submission of a Semi-Annual Statistical Summary Report (SSSR) containing information concerning the number of major dischargers with two (2) or more violations of the same monthly average limitation within a six (6) month period. EPA will generate the SSSR from ICIS-NPDES bi-annually for the periods ending June 30th and December 31st and provide the draft to the MDEQ on August 31st and February 28th, respectively, for review and submission.
- 11. 40 C.F.R. § 123.45(c) requires the submission of an Annual Noncompliance Report (ANCR) containing information concerning the number of non-major discharges in noncompliance. EPA will generate the ANCR annually from ICIS-NPDES and provide the draft to the MDEQ by the last day of February for review and submission.
- 12. EPA shall provide the MDEQ notification of citizen complaints through a phone call, email message, or copy of the written complaint.

## C. Facility Inspections

### 1. Types

The different types of compliance inspections are described in the Foreword of the latest edition of EPA's *NPDES Compliance Inspection Manual*. The manual may be found at EPA's website. MDEQ and EPA agree to explore ways to recognize additional activities done by MDEQ such as Compliance Monitoring Inspections (CMI) that may not be identified in the referenced manual.

### 2. General Procedures

In accordance with the requirements contained in 40 C.F.R. § 123.26, the MDEQ shall maintain and implement an inspection and surveillance program to determine the compliance status of dischargers independent of information supplied by dischargers. The MDEQ and EPA will develop, as part of the State 106 Workplan, an inspection plan of individual major dischargers proposed to be the subject of compliance audits and inspections and a projection of the number of minor dischargers to be inspected for the coming year (October through September). The inspection plan is a living document and may be amended at any time dependent on priorities of and in consultation with EPA and the MDEQ. Unless otherwise agreed to by EPA in writing, the MDEQ shall conduct compliance inspections as provided for in the State 106 Workplan. The MDEQ will give EPA adequate notice and opportunity to participate with the MDEQ in its inspection activities. EPA or the MDEQ may determine that additional inspections are necessary to assess compliance. If EPA makes a determination that additional inspections are necessary or appropriate, EPA shall notify the MDEQ of such determination and may perform the inspections alone or jointly with the MDEQ or may request that the MDEQ conduct those inspections. EPA will keep the MDEQ fully informed of its plans and the results of any inspections. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 123.24(b)(4)(i), EPA will normally provide the MDEQ at least seven (7) calendar days notice before a joint or independent inspection is conducted.

### 3. Reporting Schedule

The MDEQ will ensure data entry of necessary inspection information, including violations detected which will cause the facility to be in SNC, into ICIS-NPDES or make available in an electronic format acceptable to EPA in accordance with and on a schedule established in the State 106 Workplan. All inspection reports will be thoroughly reviewed by the MDEQ to determine what, if any, enforcement action (as outlined in Section VI of this MOA) shall be initiated. The MDEQ will forward copies of inspection reports to EPA upon request. Where an audit or inspection is conducted solely by EPA, a copy of the audit or inspection report will be forwarded to the MDEQ within sixty (60) calendar days after the inspection or at the time it is transmitted to the audited or inspected facility.

4. **Biomonitoring Inspections**  
Except as otherwise set forth in the State 106 Workplan, the MDEQ shall have the ability to conduct biomonitoring inspections, have them conducted through designated contractors, or have an equivalent program to independently verify a discharger's compliance with the WET requirements of its permit.

#### **D. Miscellaneous Compliance Activities**

1. **Information Requests**  
Whenever EPA or the MDEQ requests information from the other concerning a specific discharger and the requested information is not available from the files, that information will be researched and, if possible, provided to the requesting agency within a reasonable time.
2. **Laboratory Quality Assurance**  
The MDEQ will plan, initiate, and maintain a program as provided in the State 106 Workplan to ensure that laboratories doing work for the MDEQ permitted dischargers follow approved quality assurance protocols.
3. **Emergency Pollution Incidents**  
EPA and the MDEQ shall immediately notify each other by telephone or through a mutually agreed upon emergency response protocol upon receipt by EPA or the MDEQ of any information concerning a situation which in its opinion poses an actual or threatened pollution incident that may result in endangerment to human health or the environment. The MDEQ shall also ensure that all potentially affected downstream drinking water intake facilities are notified of the situation (including notification across state lines when applicable) so that they can take appropriate actions to minimize risk to the public. The MDEQ shall be notified at (601) 961-5171; the MSDEQ Emergency Response Section shall be requested. The EPA shall be notified by telephone at (404) 562-8700 (Region 4 Emergency Response Section/Waste Management Division) or (800) 424-8802 (National Response Center, Washington, DC).

#### **E. Enforcement Management System (EMS)**

Within one hundred and twenty (120) calendar days of the execution of this MOA or as otherwise established in the State 106 Workplan, the MDEQ shall submit to EPA for review and comment a current EMS, which is otherwise known as the MDEQ EMS. The EMS is a document outlining procedures, policies, etc., to be used by the MDEQ in conducting official business (e.g., inspections, enforcement actions, assessment of penalties, etc.). Such procedures and policies with respect to enforcement shall be consistent with EPA's "Enforcement Response Guide" for the NPDES program and shall include application of technical review criteria for screening the significance of violations, procedures and time frames for selecting appropriate initial and follow-up response options to identified violations, and procedures for maintaining a chronological

summary of all violations. The MDEQ shall implement the EMS. The MDEQ agrees to submit any changes to the EMS to the EPA, Region 4, Water Programs Enforcement Branch for review and comment.

## **Section VI. Enforcement**

### **A. Timely and Appropriate Enforcement Responsibility**

1. The MDEQ is responsible for commencing and completing timely and appropriate enforcement action (as set forth in this Section) against dischargers in violation of the laws and regulations constituting the State NPDES program, including any permit conditions or limitations, compliance schedules, pretreatment standards or requirements, or previous administrative or judicial enforcement actions. This responsibility encompasses violations detected through any means including, without limitation, the compliance monitoring activities set forth in Section V above.
2. A MDEQ enforcement action shall be considered timely and appropriate if it:
  - a. Addresses all identified violations of the laws and regulations constituting the State NPDES program and Sections 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318, 402, or 405 of the CWA including, without limitation, discharging without a required permit and violations of effluent limitations, pretreatment standards and requirements, compliance schedules, all other permit conditions, or any previous administrative or judicial enforcement action.
  - b. Seeks or imposes, where appropriate, penalties consistent with 40 C.F.R. § 123.27 and the factors set forth in Sections 309(d) and 309(g)(3) of the CWA;
  - c. Adequately addresses the injunctive relief necessary to bring the discharger back into compliance within a reasonable period of time and pursuant to an appropriate schedule which contains interim milestones necessary to measure the progress towards a final compliance date;
  - d. Is commenced and completed within the time frames set forth in this Section VI.A; and
  - e. Is consistent with the other provisions of this Section VI.A.
3. In the case of a violation by a major discharger, or other dischargers or types of dischargers identified in the State 106 Workplan, or for a violation that would cause the facility to be in SNC, the MDEQ will determine within thirty (30) days the appropriate initial response to the violation. Where the MDEQ has determined an enforcement action is appropriate, it shall commence such appropriate enforcement action within thirty (30) calendar days of its

determination of the initial response. This response shall be documented in the compliance and/or enforcement file within sixty (60) days of identification of the violation. It is recognized that a definition for SNC has not been developed for conventional minors, storm water, CAFOs, SSOs or CSOs. Therefore, as definitions for SNC are developed for these categories, the timelines for initial response will be established in the State 106 Workplan. The date of identification of the violation is the point at which the MDEQ enforcement staff learns of the violation. The MDEQ shall make every effort to pursue and complete all the enforcement actions it takes within a reasonable amount of time.

4. Enforcement actions determined to be appropriate by the MDEQ with respect to any violations other than those identified in Paragraph A.3 above, while generally given lower priority, should be commenced and completed within a reasonable amount of time. The MDEQ shall make every effort to pursue and complete all the enforcement actions it takes within a reasonable amount of time.
5. If an initial response action by the MDEQ proves not to be effective in bringing the discharger into compliance within the required or a reasonable time period, timely and appropriate enforcement action requires that the MDEQ or EPA shall follow up with other, more significant enforcement mechanisms to achieve timely and appropriate compliance.
6. For violations which present an imminent and substantial endangerment to the health, safety, or welfare of the public or to the environment of the State, the MDEQ shall take timely and appropriate enforcement action to effect the immediate correction of the violation which may include, but not be limited to, a complaint for injunctive relief under Miss. Code Ann. Section 49-17-17 or an immediate final order pursuant to Miss. Code Ann. 49-17-17. Such action shall be taken as soon as possible after the MDEQ or EPA makes a determination that the condition or activity is of a nature which, if not abated, may pose an imminent and substantial endangerment to the health, safety, or welfare of the public (when appropriate, such action should be taken within ten (10) calendar days from the initial notification to the MDEQ of the condition or activity).
7. Copies of all formal enforcement and penalty actions issued against all dischargers shall be submitted to EPA upon request.
8. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 123.24(b)(3), the MDEQ shall retain records that demonstrate that its enforcement procedures result in: appropriate initial and follow-up response and enforcement actions that are applied in a uniform and timely manner; enforcement actions that clearly define what the discharger is expected to do by a reasonable date certain pursuant to an appropriate schedule which contains interim milestones necessary to measure the progress towards final compliance; and the assessment of a civil penalty, when appropriate, based on the consideration of factors set forth in Sections 309(d) and 309(g)(3) of the CWA, or factors established in a State penalty policy consistent with Sections

309(d) and 309(g)(3) of the CWA, and in an amount appropriate to the violation. Such records would include penalty calculations and/or penalty rationale.

## **B. EPA Actions**

1. The *Revised Policy Framework for State/EPA Enforcement Agreements*, signed by then Deputy Administrator A. James Barnes on August 25, 1986 (the 1986 Policy), sets forth the expectations for the working relationship between EPA and states in the compliance and enforcement program. It outlines a "no surprises" approach to partnering with states to enforce environmental statutes and regulations. The policy identifies some criteria and examples of instances when it makes sense for EPA to play a major role, and where federal resources, expertise and authorities can be critical to achieving a comprehensive and effective resolution of violations. Examples of instances where direct federal action is appropriate include the following: (a) a state or local agency requests EPA action; (b) a state or local enforcement response is not timely and appropriate; (c) national precedents (legal or program) are involved; (d) there has been a violation of an EPA order or consent decree; and (e) federal action would support the broader national interest in deterring noncompliance. Factors EPA will consider in deciding whether to take direct enforcement in the above type cases include: (a) cases specifically designated as nationally significant (e.g., significant noncompliers; explicit national or regional priorities); (b) significant environmental or public health damage or risk involved; (c) significant economic benefit gained by the violator; (d) interstate issues; and (e) repeat patterns of violations and violators.
2. EPA will verify and determine the timeliness and appropriateness of MDEQ enforcement actions. In instances where EPA determines that the MDEQ has not commenced or has not completed a timely or appropriate enforcement action for violations by any discharger in accordance with Section VI.A, above, EPA may proceed with any or all enforcement options available under the CWA against the discharger in violation.
3. Pursuant to Section 309(a)(3) of the CWA, EPA may take direct enforcement action as the Agency deems appropriate. EPA generally will provide the state with advance notice at an appropriate management level prior to taking a direct federal action. This notice can be written, electronic (email), or by a telephone call. EPA will provide and the state will provide, upon request, each other with copies of any enforcement actions taken. Early and full communication and coordination between EPA and the state, (e.g., early notification of inspections, the basis of and intent for enforcement actions prior to initiation of any action, and other information sharing) have proven very effective in resolving compliance and enforcement matters. The parties to this agreement recognize that issues of imminent and substantial endangerment and criminal cases may present special circumstances and may not permit the same level of pre-filing coordination.

**C. Appropriate Involvement of the MDEQ Legal Division**

The MDEQ Legal Division operates in an integrated fashion with the ECED to carry out enforcement activities. MDEQ will establish procedures for civil enforcement actions that require judicial filing, including notification and general time frames for those actions.

- D.** Nothing in this agreement should be construed to constitute or create a valid defense to regulated parties in violation of environmental statutes, regulations, or permits.

**Section VII. Pretreatment**

This Section is intended to supplement the requirements of the other Sections of this MOA so as to define the MDEQ and EPA responsibilities for establishment and enforcement of the National Pretreatment Program under Sections 307(b) and (c) and 402 of the CWA and EPA policies and guidance. To the extent the specific requirements set forth below are inconsistent with requirements in other Sections of this MOA, the specific requirements in this Section shall control.

**A. General Program**

The MDEQ has primary responsibility for ensuring:

1. Enforcement against sources introducing pollutants prohibited by 40 C.F.R. § 403.5;
2. Application and enforcement of Regulation WPC-1, Chapter One, and the National Categorical Pretreatment Standards (NPS) established by EPA in accordance with Section 307 of the CWA;
3. Submittal of industrial user (IU) reports in accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 403.12.

**B. Permitting**

1. The MDEQ shall control through permits all significant IUs which do not discharge to an approved POTW program which issues a permit. The MDEQ shall issue these permits in accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 403.8 and consistent with EPA's *Industrial User Permitting Guidance Manual* (September 1989).
2. Section 403.6(a) NPS Categorical Standards. The MDEQ shall review requests from IUs for industrial category or subcategory determinations received within sixty (60) calendar days after the effective date of an NPS for a subcategory under which an IU believes itself to be included and prepare a written determination and justification as to whether the IU does or does not fall within that particular subcategory. The MDEQ shall forward its findings together with a copy of the request and necessary supporting information to the EPA Region 4 Water

Programs Enforcement Branch Chief for concurrence. If EPA does not modify or object to the MDEQ proposed findings within sixty (60) calendar days after receipt thereof, the MDEQ may take action approving or denying the request.

3. Section 403.7 Removal Credits. The MDEQ shall review POTW applications for removal credits for IUs who are or may be subject in the future to NPS. The MDEQ findings together with application and supporting information shall be submitted to the EPA Region 4 Water Programs Enforcement Branch Chief for review. No removal credits request shall be approved by the MDEQ if, during the thirty (30) calendar days (or extended) evaluation period provided for in 40 C.F.R. § 403.11(b)(1)(ii) and any hearing held pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 403.11(b)(2), the EPA objects in writing to the approval of such a submission.
4. Section 403.13 Variances From Categorical NPS for Fundamentally Different Factors FDF. The MDEQ shall make an initial finding on all requests from IUs for variances from categorical NPS for FDF and, in cases where the MDEQ supports the variance, shall submit its findings together with the request and supporting information to the EPA, Region 4 Water Programs Enforcement Branch Chief for a final review. The MDEQ will not grant a FDF request until written concurrence has been received from EPA. The MDEQ can deny requests for FDF without EPA review.

### **C. Compliance Monitoring**

1. The MDEQ shall carry out independent inspection, surveillance and monitoring procedures in accordance with 40 C.F. R. § 403.8 which will determine compliance or noncompliance with pretreatment conditions in IU permits issued by the MDEQ. Industrial Pretreatment Evaluation and Sampling Inspections may be conducted at separate times during the inspection year.
2. The MDEQ, as the Control Authority, will establish procedures and time frames for effective monitoring of IUs of POTWs consistent with 40 C.F.R. §§ 403.8(f) and 403.10(e). Included shall be procedures and time frames for reviewing monitoring reports including reports submitted by categorical and significant IUs.
3. The MDEQ shall also keep an updated inventory of all categorical users and significant IUs which it permits. The MDEQ, as the Control Authority, is responsible for inspecting and sampling IUs at least once per year consistent with 40 C.F.R. § 403.8(f)(2)(v).
4. The MDEQ shall make available in a format acceptable to EPA information such as that listed below as defined by the State 106 Workplan:
  - a. An annual report of implementation;

- b. A pretreatment facility inspection and sampling plan;
- c. A noncompliance report for all Significant Industrial Users (SIUs) to include:
  - (1) facility name;
  - (2) location and permit number;
  - (3) description and date history for each noncompliance;
  - (4) description of MDEQ actions and dates of MDEQ actions to obtain compliance;
  - (5) current compliance status, including date of resolution or return to compliance date; and
  - (6) mitigating circumstances.

#### **D. Enforcement**

1. The MDEQ will have enforcement response procedures and time frames for permitted IUs consistent with the *Pretreatment Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Guidance*. This includes reporting all the MDEQ regulated POTWs (including minor POTWs with approved pretreatment programs) on the QNCR when reportable noncompliance (RNC) and SNC criteria are met. These procedures will include initiating appropriate enforcement action where POTWs fail to submit approvable pretreatment programs, have violations of MDEQ pretreatment requirements, or fail to submit timely reports. The MDEQ also will have procedures for evaluating whether POTWs are initiating appropriate enforcement responses to violations by IUs. Where POTWs are not the primary control authorities, the MDEQ is directly responsible for having these procedures in place for categorical and significant non-categorical IUs in accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 403.8(f)(2). These procedures will be reviewed annually.
2. The MDEQ will ensure that, at least annually, significant violations by permitted IUs are public noticed in accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 403.8(f)(2).

#### **Section VIII. Transfer of Files from EPA to State upon Subsequent Program**

Upon approval of any subsequent NPDES Program modification for additional NPDES Program coverage by the REGIONAL ADMINISTRATOR, EPA will immediately deliver to the MDEQ all project files for pending permit applications proposed for issuance/reissuance. Project files shall include all relevant information including but not limited to, application forms, correspondence, draft permits, public notices, fact sheets, statements of basis, and any other

documents relating to the pending permit. EPA will ensure all project files are complete prior to delivery to the MDEQ.

EPA will deliver files for all other permits to the MDEQ in accordance with a mutually agreed upon schedule. Files shall contain all relevant information pertaining to the issuance of the permit as well as copies of all DMRs, all compliance reports, all enforcement actions, and other pertinent information and correspondence. EPA will ensure all files are complete prior to delivery to the MDEQ.

### **Section IX. Program Review**

The MDEQ and EPA are responsible for ensuring that the State NPDES program is consistent with all requirements of this MOA, the State 106 Workplan, and applicable sections of 40 C.F.R. Parts 122-125 and 40 C.F.R. Parts 140 and 403.

- A. To ensure that these requirements are fulfilled, EPA shall:
1. Review the information transmitted to the MDEQ to ensure that all the requirements of Section VIII of this MOA are met.
  2. Meet with the MDEQ officials annually, as funds allow, to observe the data handling, permit processing, compliance monitoring, and enforcement procedures, including both manual and automated data processing.
  3. Examine in detail the MDEQ files and documentation of selected dischargers to determine whether:
    - a. Permits are processed and issued consistently with federal requirements;
    - b. Easy capability exists to discover permit violations when they occur;
    - c. The MDEQ compliance reviews are timely; and
    - d. The MDEQ enforcement actions are timely, appropriate and effective. These detailed file audits shall be conducted by EPA in the appropriate MDEQ office annually, as funds allow. The MDEQ shall be notified thirty (30) calendar days in advance of the audit so that appropriate MDEQ officials may be available to discuss individual circumstances and problems with EPA. A copy of the audit report shall be transmitted to the MDEQ when available.
  4. Implement the requirements of the State Review Framework. EPA, in concert with the Environmental Council of States (ECOS), has developed a State Review Framework that evaluates the performance of state enforcement programs. The Framework has a suggested menu of potential benefits that may be negotiated with a state that has demonstrated adequate performance, and a suggested menu

identifying enhanced oversight that a region might conduct when state performance needs to be improved. This negotiation may result in more or less EPA/State interaction regarding the State's enforcement program in the future. Until the State has undergone the first review cycle of the Framework, and until that review results in an agreement between EPA and the State to a different approach, the enforcement program review will be conducted as outlined in Section IX.1.a., b, and c above. In the year the initial review is conducted, EPA will avoid duplication with the overall NPDES program review.

4. Determine the need for (and to hold) public hearings on the State NPDES program.
- B.** Prior to taking any action to propose or effect any amendment, rescission, or repeal of any statute, rule, or directive which has been approved by EPA in connection with the State NPDES program; any action to modify program approval documents (e.g., MOA, Program Description or Attorney General's/Independent Counsel's Statement); or any action to transfer all or any part of the approved State NPDES program to another State agency or instrument, the MDEQ shall notify the REGIONAL ADMINISTRATOR and shall transmit the text of any such change to the EPA, Region 4 NPDES and Biosolids Permits Section for review and approval pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 123.62(b). The MDEQ shall keep EPA fully informed of any proposed modification or court action which acts to amend, rescind or appeal any part of its authority to administer the NPDES program. EPA acknowledges that the MDEQ has no veto authority over acts of the State legislature and, therefore, reserves the right to initiate procedures for withdrawal of the State NPDES program approval in the event that the State legislature enacts any legislation or issues any directive which substantially impairs the MDEQ ability to administer the NPDES program or to otherwise maintain compliance with NPDES program requirements.
- C.** A permittee shall obtain the approval of the REGIONAL ADMINISTRATOR pursuant to 40 C.F.R. Part 136 before seeking authority from the MDEQ for the use of any alternative test method that has not already been approved by EPA for sampling/analyzing the quality of the discharge from a facility permitted under the Mississippi Water Pollution Control regulations, WPC-1, Chapter 1, Section IV. A(30).

#### **Section X. Computations of Time**


In computing any period of time prescribed by this MOA, the day from which the designated period of time begins to run shall not be included. The last day of the period shall be included unless it is a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, in which case the period extends until the next day which is not a Saturday, Sunday or legal holiday.

**Section XI. Approval and Effective Date of MOA**

This MOA shall take effect on the date of execution by the last signatory. If the REGIONAL ADMINISTRATOR determines that any provision of this MOA does not conform to the requirements of CWA, to the requirements of 40 C.F.R. Parts 122-125, or to any other applicable federal regulations, the REGIONAL ADMINISTRATOR shall notify the MDEQ, in writing, of any proposed revision or modification which must be made to this MOA. Any proposed revision must be in writing and signed by the DIRECTOR and the REGIONAL ADMINISTRATOR before it becomes effective.

2-18-08

DATE




TRUDY D. FISHER

Executive Director

Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality

3/10/08

DATE



J. L. PALMER, JR.

Regional Administrator

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4