AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				CONTRACT ID CODE		PAGE OF	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO.	3. EFFECTIV	E DATE	4. REQ	UISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.	5. PR0		3 . (If applicable)
000008	11/21/2	013					
6. ISSUED BY CO			7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) CODE SRRPOD				
US ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY BID AND PROPOSAL ROOM 61107 RONALD REAGAN BUILDING 1300 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N W WASHINGTON DC 20004			SRRPOD US ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WILLIAM JEFFERSON CLINTON BUILDING 1200 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N W MAIL CODE 3805R WASHINGTON DC 20460				
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., :	street, county, State an	d ZIP Code)	(x) 9A. SC 9B. 1	AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. OL-HQ-12-00032 DATED (SEE ITEM 11) L/21/2013 A MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER	NO.		
			10E	B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)			
CODE	FACILITY CO	DE					
	11. THIS IT	EM ONLY APPLIES TO AN	MENDM	ENTS OF SOLICITATIONS			
CHECK ONE A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSU ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.	O MODIFICATION	: (Specify authority) THE (CHANG	ES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN MINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as change OF FAR 43.103(b).	THE CON	NTRACT	14.
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREER							
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification)	tion and authority)						
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is no	t, 🗌 is required	to sign this document and	return	copies to the issu	ing office.		
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATI		UCF section headings, incl	uding s	olicitation/contract subject matter where fea	sible.)		
Combined Analytical Servic LIST OF CHANGES: The purpose of this amendm submitted to EPA regarding (CASC). Q&A's begin on Page 2.	ent is to	post response					ntracts
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions 15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		erenced in Item 9A or 10A,	16A. I	etofore changed, remains unchanged and in NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFI S Miller			
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR (Signature of person authorized to sign)		15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. U	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		160	C. DATE SIGNED

The following is a set of responses to technical questions submitted to EPA regarding RFP #SOL-HO-12-00032, the Combined Analytical Services Contracts (CASC).

Question #1

The DFTTPP/BFB ions when compared with other than base peak, these should be normalized with base peak. When these ions are normalized with the base peak, is the any % Recovery range window for these ions? The IA is failing when no% is set-up in EDD's.

Answer #1

The Ion Abundance Criteria should be reported as 100%.

Question #2

In the document summarizing the changes from SOM01.2 to SOM02.0, there is a statement that the laboratory will need to report the appropriate analytes and QC limits on the applicable forms. Can EPA confirm that this statement does not apply to forms 2A, 2B or 8A? On form 2A-OR, the column headers refer to DMC1, DMC2, etc. Are labs expected to replace the "DMC1" with an appropriate acronym for each compound, or keep the "DMC1" as the column header? The DMC recovery limits are not reported on this form. Are labs expected to add the QC limits on the Form 2A and 2B?

Answer #2

The laboratory shall report QC limits for DMCs and Internal Standards (ISs) on forms 2A, 2B or 8A on the space provided below each form as instructed in the SOW. The new forms in SOW SOM02.1 are generic; however, each DMC with the compound name listed in Exhibit D Section 17 Table 3 for TVOA, VOA Low/Med and SVOA, SVOA SIM, as well as QC limits shall be included in the space provided under each table the same way as in the current SOW SOM01.2. The acronym for each DMC is not required to be included in the column header.

Question #3

In Table 1 (page H-78) of the SOM02.1 SEDD specification, the laboratory is instructed to populate the Element MethodID with "SOM02.0." Please confirm that EPA would like labs to populate this Element with "SOM02.1" consistent with the other Elements in the SEDD file.

Answer #3

All instances of MethodID should be populated with "SOM02.1." This includes the MethodID listed on pages H-78, H-105, H-146, and H-177.

Question #4

Part #1 - Question concerning Form 15 calibration data for ICP-MS and the ICP-MS EDD CAL column for the Analyte Node Result: The wording in Exhibit B section 3.4.18.2.3 regarding the form 15 calibration results is:

"Under column "Found", enter the measured concentration or amount of each analyte in the calibration standard obtained when the calibration standard was re-fitted to the calibration equation."

I interpret this as "corrected" data (i.e., data corrected for internal standard, isobaric equations, etc....). Please advise.

Part #2 - EDD instructions in Exhibit H page H-87 under the CAL column for the Analyte Node Result field states:

"For detected target and spike analytes, and for monitored masses, report the final calculated result (in ug/L)."

That sounds like a "corrected" result to me, even though it does not mention "re-fitted," but a "final calculated result" would have to be fitted, would it not? Please advise.

Answer #4

Part #1 - The initial calibration responses plugged into the equation will have undergone all of the same corrections as are applied to the sample data. In other words, the laboratory would not apply different correction schemes to different sample types.

Part #2 - Analyte Result for instrument QC shall be the final concentration determined by the instrument software applying all the corrections used to determine an initial result for samples. For Initial Calibration, this would be the re-fitted results determined from the instrument responses during calibration. Note that re-fitting cannot occur until the calibration curve is complete and the final equation determined.

Question #5

Could you check, since the purge volume for the VOA soil samples is 10 mL, the ICAL standards should be labeled as 2.5, 5.0, 25, 50 and 100 or 5.0, 10, 50, 100, and 200.

Answer #5

With purge volume being 10 ml instead of 5 ml in VOA L/M analysis, the ICAL standards shall be labeled as 2.5, 5.0, 25, 50 and 100.