Guidelines For Tailoring Community Engagement Activities To Circumstances At Leaking Underground Storage Tanks Sites

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Underground Storage Tanks www.epa.gov/ust

September 2016

Contents

Introduction	1
Public Participation Requirements In The UST Program	1
Tailoring Community Engagement To Site Circumstances	2
Enhanced Community Engagement Activities	3
Example Scenarios For Enhanced Community Engagement	4
Contamination Of Drinking Water	
Petroleum Vapor Intrusion	
Public Nuisance	
Redevelopment Or Reuse	
Conclusion	8

Introduction

The appropriate level of community engagement at leaking underground storage tank (LUST) sites is dependent on the circumstances at the site including, but not limited to, site location; severity of the release; impact to the drinking water supply; community interest or concern; or impact to the environment. States¹ should assess the situation at their sites early in the assessment process to determine if site conditions necessitate additional communication with stakeholders. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) developed guidelines to help states determine when enhanced community engagement is warranted.

EPA acknowledges the work of the Association of States and Territorial Solid Waste Management Officials (ASTSWMO) tanks subcommittee in developing these guidelines. The subcommittee participated in numerous conference calls and meetings, offering their insight and experience as it relates to community engagement at LUST sites. The guidelines capture this experience and provide a framework for tailoring community engagement efforts at various types of LUST sites throughout the United States. These guidelines provide resources for states as they implement community engagement activities at LUST sites. Use of the guidelines is voluntary.

The community engagement activities outlined in the <u>underground storage tank (UST)</u> regulations are appropriate for many LUST cleanups. EPA recognizes that states often go beyond federal requirements and tailor community engagement activities based on site circumstances. EPA also acknowledges there are various ways to engage the community in cleanup decisions and it is not a one size fits all approach. However, it is generally recognized that earlier and more frequent communication yields positive results, particularly for sites that pose a threat to human health or the environment or when the public expresses an elevated level of concern or interest in the site. Depending on site circumstances, obtaining meaningful community input is a sound approach that will result in better informed decisions.

Public Participation Requirements In The UST Program

Federal UST regulations require certain community engagement activities related to LUST sites when a corrective action plan is required. In addition, the LUST Trust Fund Cooperative Agreement Guidelines (May 24, 1994) require that states develop a public participation policy to reflect federal requirements. The LUST Trust Fund guidelines go a step further and provide guidance on ways to promote two-way communication between states and the affected public, while recognizing that public participation activities should be appropriate to the circumstances of a release. Specifically, the LUST Trust Fund guidelines promote facilitating public understanding of state response procedures and actions, and encouraging public input into state response decisions and schedules.

1

.

¹ States refers to states and territories.

Tailoring Community Engagement To Site Circumstances

According to EPA policy and guidance, community engagement efforts at a particular LUST site should be based on the site's threat to human health and the environment, as well as the degree of public concern or interest in site cleanup activities. For many LUST cleanups, there is no immediate threat to human health, water quality, or ecological receptors; no off-site impacts; no groundwater contamination; and little or no public interest. However, some releases have significant impacts that may warrant additional community engagement. With that in mind, states might consider the factors on the following page in determining the enhanced community engagement activities at a particular LUST site.

Enhanced Community Engagement Activities

Notify Early In The Process

- Adjacent owners
- o Residents
- Other interested parties

Communication Materials

- Distribute notification to the surrounding community within a specified area
- o Provide fact sheets using multiple methods
- Provide risk information
- Issue press releases
- Distribute door hangers or other materials to inform the public or to request information (e.g., existence of potable water supply wells)

Communication Methods

- Identify key stakeholders
- o Solicit input and help from the community early in the process
- Provide local, state, and federal contacts who are reachable and available to the community
- o Distribute site information by mail
- Hand deliver site information
- o Use various media outlets (newspapers, radio, TV) to disseminate information
- o Conduct public meetings in various locations, formats, and languages
- o Post signs on-site
- o Conduct news conferences
- o Conduct press availabilities
- Conduct site tours
- Develop information repositories
- o Maintain a website with site information
- Use other social media such as Facebook and Twitter
- o Develop a site specific hotline or call center
- Use robo calls

Language

- o Provide information in plain language
- o Provide site material in languages known within the community
- Conduct public meetings in various formats and languages

The following four pages present possible scenarios where enhanced community engagement at LUST sites may be warranted. The scenarios outline common community questions and concerns, and suggestions for possible community engagement activities.

Example Scenarios For Enhanced Community Engagement

Contamination Of Drinking Water

Scenario

Contamination from a leaking underground storage tank migrated off site and impacts public or private drinking water wells. Until contamination is cleaned up, the affected community cannot use their water and will have to use alternative sources. Site officials should be prepared to address public concerns.

Questions/Concerns From The Community

- ➤ When will the water be safe to drink?
- How do I know the alternate drinking water supply is safe?
- ➤ How long has my drinking water been contaminated?
- How do I know my family did not drink contaminated water?
- Is my water safe for bathing, or other uses such as washing dishes, doing laundry, etc.?
- What if my family consumed and/or bathed in contaminated water?
- ➤ What are the health risks?
- How long will I need to be on bottled water?

- Communicate with the public early and often
- Notify local officials and public responders of site activities
- Notify communities as soon as contamination is confirmed
 - o Possible venues:
 - door-to-door notices, mailings
 - radio, TV, and newspaper announcements
 - public meetings
 - press availabilities
 - news conferences
 - robo calls
- Discuss potential well locations with property owners to help identify wells impacted by contamination
- Provide communication materials in plain language
- Share test results with directly impacted persons as well as the community at large
- Communicate any risk implications
- Be available and reachable to address the community's concerns or questions
- Maintain a website to keep the community up to date on site activities
- Develop a hotline or call center

Petroleum Vapor Intrusion

Scenario

Vapors from a leaking underground storage tank system penetrated buildings (e.g., homes, retail, and public use) through basements or sumps. Community is concerned about the health effects, economic effects, etc. Depending on the level detected, affected buildings may have to be vacated and occupants temporarily relocated.

Questions/Concerns From The Community

- What is the contamination?
- How widespread is it?
- Will I have to relocate?
- ➤ Where will I go and for how long?
- ➤ Will the building be restored to its previous condition?
- What actions will be taken on my property?
- What kind of security will be provided for my property?
- What happens to the contents of my property?

- Communicate with the public early and often
- Notify local officials and public responders of site activities
- Describe investigative techniques associated with vapor intrusion concerns and acquire permission from affected occupants to conduct sampling
 - o Possible venues:
 - face-to-face interactions
 - written notice
 - public meetings
- Provide communication materials in plain language
- Share test results with directly impacted persons as well as the community at large
- Communicate any risk implications
- Provide a schedule for site activities and re-occupancy
- Explain future monitoring activities to the community
- Possible venues for up to date information on site activities:
 - face-to-face interactions
 - written notice
 - website
 - press availabilities
 - news conferences
 - site tours
 - robo calls
 - hotline or call center

Public Nuisance

Scenario

A leaking underground storage tank may or may not pose a threat to human health or the environment, but certain site activities will affect the surrounding community. During the assessment and cleanup, crews may use heavy equipment, work during non-peak hours, create dust, and interrupt traffic. The communities' concerns should be addressed.

Questions/Concerns From The Community

- What is the schedule for all site activities?
- When (day and time) will site work begin?
- ➤ Will my property be damaged and if it is, who pays for it?
- ➤ Will there be excessive noise?
- ➤ Will traffic be affected?
- ➤ Will roads be closed?
- Will dust be created? How will dust be controlled?
- ➤ What are the health impacts if community members suffer from asthma or other respiratory ailments?

- Communicate with the public early and often
- Notify local officials and public responders of site activities
- Notify community of site activities
 - o Possible venues:
 - face-to-face interactions
 - door-to-door flyers
 - written notice
 - website
 - press availabilities
 - news conferences
 - site tours
 - public meetings
 - robo calls
- Provide maps of site, routes of truck traffic to and from site
- Discuss dust abatement measures
- Discuss work schedules associated with remediation activities

Redevelopment Or Reuse

Scenario

Active and former gas stations may be opportunities for redevelopment or reuse options. Determining the potential future use of a property is likely to generate increased public interest, not only from adjacent property owners, but also from the broader community.

Questions/Concerns From The Community

- How will the needs of the community be met?
- What are the options (e.g., residential, business, public or recreational space) being considered for redevelopment or reuse?
- What type of option is appropriate for the neighborhood?
- ➤ How will redevelopment affect my property values?
- ➤ Will there be increased traffic?
- ➤ How will the redevelopment help the local economy?
- Will this project jump-start other redevelopment or reuse projects in the area?
- What will be the impacts on the local community during construction?

- Communicate early and often with the community; potential developers; and local, state, and federal agency stakeholders
- Notify local officials and first responders of site activities
- Coordinate with appropriate local, state, and federal development, planning, and Brownfields contacts
- Ask for the community's help on redevelopment options
 - o Possible venues:
 - face-to-face interactions
 - door-to-door flyers
 - planning workshops (e.g., charrettes)
 - press availabilities
 - news conferences
 - site tours
 - public meetings
 - robo calls
- Provide maps and designs of potential reuse options

Conclusion

Community engagement at UST sites varies and is dependent on many factors including site location; severity of the release; impact to drinking water supply; or impact to the local community or environment. The community engagement activities outlined in the UST regulations will be appropriate for many LUST cleanups. EPA recognizes that states often go beyond these requirements and tailor community engagement efforts to specific circumstances and needs at the site. However, there are certain site conditions that may warrant enhanced community involvement and states should use their best professional judgment in those situations.

The examples identified in this document are not inclusive, but rather are based on readily available information. EPA encourages and welcomes additional ideas and input regarding tailoring community engagement to site circumstances and is committed to share this information on the Community Engagement and the Underground Storage Tank Program web page as well as through other venues. By communicating real-life approaches and experiences, communities, states, and EPA can benefit from this collective knowledge. Please contact Sharon Fredericks.sharon@epa.gov) for details.