

AGENDA

Camp Minden Dialogue Meeting

Draft as of 2/11/15

Thursday, February 12, 2015
12:15 p.m –Media Availability
1:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. Dialogue Meeting
6:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. Pizza Dinner
Meeting Room: Community House, 711 Gladney, Minden, LA

12:15 p.m.

Media Availability Session

Lead by David Gray, Office of External Affairs, US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

- All Dialogue Participants are welcome to attend and participate.
- The Planning Committee encourages Dialogue Participants to speak only on their own behalf and to help set an appropriate tone for a collaborative process.

1:00 p.m.

DIALOGUE MEETING BEGINS

Introductions of all Dialogue Members

Facilitators for Meeting:

Doug Sarno, The Participation Company

Kristi Parker Celico, Rocky Mountain Collaborative Solutions

(Attachment A: Dialogue Participant List)

1:20 p.m.

Opening Statements by State and Federal Government

- Ron Curry, Regional Administrator, EPA
- Karen Price, Senior Environmental Scientist, Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ)
- Colonel Ronnie Stuckey, Project Coordinator, Camp Minden, Louisiana Military Department (LMD)

1:40 p.m.

Logistics and Agenda Review

- 1:50 p.m.** **Orienting Everyone to the Problem**
Colonel Ronnie Stuckey, Project Coordinator, LMD
- 2:00 p.m.** **Summary Establishing a Common Understanding of the
Current Situation: An Attempt at Listing the Facts**
Doug Sarno and Kristi Parker Celico. Facilitators
- 2:45 p.m.** **Public Comment**
Although this meeting is primarily a working meeting of the Dialogue, there will be an opportunity for members of the public to make brief comments.
- 3:00 p.m.** **Break**
- 3:15 p.m.** **Discussion and Agreement on Dialogue Goal (See Attachment
B) and Ground Rules (See Attachment C)**
- 3:45 p.m.** **Technology Selection Discussions**
- Process for Decision making
 - Draft Criteria (Attachment D)
 - The role of technical assistance
 - The breathe of technologies under consideration
- 5:30 p.m.** **Next Steps, Commitments, and Calendar**
- 5:45 p.m.** **Public Comment**
- 6:00 p.m.** Pizza provided for the Dialogue by Louisiana Environmental
Action Network (LEAN)
- 7:00 p.m.** **Adjourn**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

ATTACHMENT A
Facilitator Drafted Goal for Dialogue Group
(As of 2/11/2015)

The following language was refined by the Planning Committee for the Dialogue's consideration.

9

Goals of the Dialogue

10
11 In an expeditious manner, the Dialogue aims to work collaboratively to combine the best
12 available science, the concerns of those most likely to be affected, and the reality of the politics
13 to:

- 14
- 15 1. Identify, evaluate, and recommend suitable methods for remediating the M6 propellant stored
16 at Camp Minden, including:
 - 17
 - 18 • Develop criteria for evaluating the remediation methods;
 - 19
 - 20 • With technical expertise, evaluate the methods against the criteria;
 - 21
 - 22 • Based on this analysis, provide input and recommended next steps to the Army,
23 Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Louisiana Department of Environmental
24 Quality (LDEQ), and the Louisiana Military Department (LMD).
 - 25
 - 26 2. Solicit input from the full diversity of the community, to ensure that all views are heard in the
27 Dialogue process
 - 28
 - 29 3. While appreciating the broader national context for decision-making, remain focused on
30 addressing the immediate concerns at Camp Minden.
 - 31

ATTACHMENT C
Facilitator Drafted Ground Rules for the Dialogue Group

1
2
3
4
5
6

7

8
9

10

11
12

13
14
15
16

17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24

25

The following language was refined by the Planning Committee for the Dialogue’s consideration.

- We will attack the issues, and model civility with each other.
- Honor the agenda (time, topic, and process), unless the full Dialogue group decides we need to pursue a different direction.
- Offer possible solutions when identifying problems.
- Dialogue participants will engage in Dialogue discussions as individuals, not as formal representatives of their agencies or organizations.
- Dialogue participants are welcome to speak with the media on their own behalf, but should refrain from characterizing other individuals’ views or positions. Please keep in mind that we are working to develop collaborative solutions and public statements can have a significant impact on the Dialogue’s ability to move forward productively.
- Avoid surprising the other Dialogue participants with major events or activities. In general, this means informing the full Dialogue 24 hours in advance of planned activities such as major changes in policy, law suits, media releases, protests, etc. Notification should include enough information so that other Dialogue members can provide valuable input on your proposed actions. For example, if you plan to issue a policy or write a letter to the editor, provide the actual content to the full Dialogue 24 hours in advance so that others can provide input. The initiator can choose whether to accept or ignore the input.

ATTACHMENT D
Proposed Criteria Based on EPA's NCP Criteria

The following language was recommended and refined by the Planning Committee for the Dialogue's consideration.

NINE CRITERIA APPLIED TO CAMP MINDEN REMEDIES

1. Overall protection of human health and the environment:

- Disposal method is protective and does not cause human exposure to toxic chemicals in the M6 or CBI
- Disposal method does not cause air pollution above state or health standards
- Disposal method does not cause groundwater pollution above state or health standards
- Disposal method does not cause soil contamination above state or health standards
- Any residue from the M-6 is characterized and properly disposed
- Disposal method has adequate safety controls to prevent explosions or unauthorized releases

2. Compliance with Applicable Relevant and Appropriate Requirements:

- Disposal method meets the substance of all relevant State requirements
- Disposal method meets the substance of all relevant Federal requirements
- Disposal method meets all health and safety standards
- Disposal method can be monitored effectively, both at the site and in the surrounding community

3. Long-term effectiveness and permanence:

- Disposal method is effective and eliminates the explosion threat
- Disposal method does not cause residue contamination that remains at Camp Minden
- Disposal methods does not require additional treatment, maintenance or onsite storage (at Camp Minden) of hazardous materials
- Disposal method does not put other communities at risk

4. Reduction of toxicity, mobility, or volume through treatment

- Disposal method does not create a more toxic by-product that does not already have an authorized disposal plan
- Disposal method can be controlled to prevent runoff water pollution, land and airborne pollution
- Disposal method eliminates the 16 million pounds of M6 and CBI

- 1 • Disposal method minimizes residuals, packaging and other related materials that
2 require treatment or specialized disposal

3

4 5. Short-term effectiveness:

5

- 6 • Disposal method is efficient and can be completed in a relative short time to eliminate
7 risk of explosion posed by the material
- 8 • Disposal method can be implemented without increasing explosion risk
- 9 • Disposal method can be designed and constructed within acceptable timeframes

10

11 6. Implementability:

12

- 13 • Disposal method is available
- 14 • Disposal method can be implemented using approved contracting mechanisms
15 pursuant to current Emergency Declaration or other mechanisms made available for
16 this project.
- 17 • Disposal method is legal
- 18 • Disposal method does not require additional scientific research
- 19 • Disposal method can be implemented within the federal and state environmental
20 standards
- 21 • Disposal method can be conducted without increased risk to workers
- 22 • Disposal method can be completed within acceptable timeframes

23

24 7. Cost:

25

- 26 • Disposal method does not require long-term maintenance, storage and monitoring
- 27 • Disposal method allows the property to be returned to productive use
- 28 • Disposal method is a cost effective use of taxpayer money and recognizes that
29 approximately \$20 to 24 million is currently identified for this effort.

30

31 8. State acceptance:

32

- 33 • Disposal method is supported by Louisiana Legislature
- 34 • Disposal method is supported by local elected officials
- 35 • Disposal method is supported by the Louisiana National Guard
- 36 • Disposal method is supported by Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality
- 37 • Disposal method is supported by Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals
- 38 • Disposal method is supported by Louisiana State Police

39

40 9. Community acceptance:

41

- 42 • Disposal method is accepted by local community leaders
- 43 • Disposal method is accepted by the affected community
- 44 • Disposal method safety controls are accepted by local response community

Draft Documents.

- 1 • Disposal method health and safety precautions are accepted by the on-site worker
- 2