

Corporate Sustainability and TRI: Exploring P2 Information for Facilities and Parent Companies

Daniel Teitelbaum

February 2015

Going Green: Sustainability in the Business World

- Many companies have embraced sustainability
- Why?
 - A sense of corporate responsibility
 - Clients expect it
 - Internationalization
 - Shareholder pressure
- But most of all...it is the right thing to do
 - Triple bottom line advances profit, environment and communities simultaneously
- EPA encourages green thinking and processes



What is TRI?

• TRI tracks the management of certain toxic chemicals. U.S. facilities in different industry sectors must report annually how much of each chemical is released to the environment and/or managed as waste.



Releases



Waste transfers



Recycling



Pollution prevention

• TRI includes data about approximately **20,000 facilities** across the country and covers **more than 675 toxic chemicals.**

Parent Companies and TRI

- All TRI data are reported at the facility level, but parent company is a required TRI data field
 - Highest-level U.S. parent of the facility
 - Single company with the largest stake if multiple owners
 - Name of joint venture if ownership is 50/50
- Field is available in TRI database, but TRI tools had not offered standard reports at parent company level
- Complications with roll-up of TRI data to corporate level are now addressed by standardization process

Parent Co. Standardization Process

- Apply standardization rules to ensure that a single distinct name is used for each parent company
 - E.g., "Bestchem Corporation", "Bestchem Corp" and "Bestchem Corp." all become Bestchem Corp
- Identify use of subsidiary names and contact facility
- Pre-populate reporting tool with standardized names
- Load standardized names into Envirofacts database for use in EPA analyses and analytical tools

SEPA TRI's Pollution Prevention (P2) Data

Waste Management Hierarchy Source Reduction More Preferable Recycling **Energy Recovery** Treatment Less preferable Disposal or Other Releases

The Pollution Prevention Act

- Sets out hierarchy of preferred waste management techniques
- Tracks each TRI facility's progress up the hierarchy, with fields for:
 - Source reduction codes
 - Optional P2 descriptions
 - Waste management quantities
- Provides opportunity to publicly highlight any steps a facility takes to reduce toxic chemical releases to the environment

PA Optional P2 Descriptions from 2013

Process Modifications

 A <u>rubber product manufacturer</u> installed three natural gas boilers and decommissioned two #6 fuel oil boilers in an effort to reduce emissions. The change was made in September 2013 and resulted in a 36% reduction in benzo(g,h,i)perylene emissions from the previous year. The facility expects they will not have any benzo(g,h,i)perylene emissions in 2014.

Raw Material Modifications

 An <u>ophthalmic goods manufacturer</u> worked with vendor and internal resources to develop a new formulation for its coating line. Substitution of a non-TRI chemical (SDC ethanol) resulted in a 99% reduction in the use of methanol at the facility.

Surface Preparation and Finishing

By changing to an immersion acid process instead of using spray acid equipment,
 a <u>semiconductor manufacturer</u> reduced emissions of aerosolized hydrochloric acid.

EPA P2 Reporting Trends

• Facilities have reported more P2 information since EPA increased emphasis on this part of the TRI reporting form



P2 Reporting Summary, 2010-2013

SEPA P2 in the 2013 TRI National Analysis

Newly Implemented Source Reduction Practices, 2013 Source Reduction Activities Reported^{*} Facilities Reporting to TRI 4% 5% 8% 37% Facilities Facilities With Without Source 10% Source Reduction: 16% Reduction: 84% 12% 22% Good Operating Process Modifications Spill and Leak Raw Material Practices Prevention Modifications Product Modifications Inventory Control Cleaning and Surface Preparation Degreasing and Finishing

*Activities comprising each category are listed in the <u>TRI Reporting Forms and Instructions</u>.

Source: 2013 TRI National Analysis

9

Sectors with Significant P2 Progress

Percent Change in Production-Related Waste Managed & Releases for Industries with Largest Decreases in Releases, 2003-2012



Source: 2012 TRI National Analysis

FPA Top Industry Decreases Since 2010

Percentage Change in Production-Related Waste Managed and Releases for Sectors with Greatest Decreases in Releases, 2010-2013





P2 Practices by Industry

Number of Newly Implemented Source Reduction Activities for Top Sectors by Percentage Decrease in Releases, 2010–2013





12

FPA TRI Reporting by Parent Company



Millons of Pounds

Source: 2013 TRI National Analysis



Source Reduction by Parent Co.

Top Parent Companies Based on Newly Implemented Source Reduction Activities, 2012–2013



Source: 2013 TRI National Analysis

€PA

TRI P2 TOOL DEMO WITH NEW PARENT COMPANY REPORTS

To access the TRI P2 Tool, visit <u>www.epa.gov/tri/p2</u>.

SEPA What Can the TRI P2 Tool Do?

- Features previously available at the facility level:
 - Display summary of P2 information for a facility
 - Provide P2 details for a single chemical at a facility
 - List P2 activities for industries, chemicals, or states sorted by "biggest reducer"
 - Compare P2 progress among facilities in an industry
 - Overlay TRI data with GHG emissions to provide a more holistic P2 picture

All of these features are now available at the parent company level as well

	ced TRI P2	Search Pa	age							
Use the TRI Pollution Prevent compare how different facilitie	ion Search to learn how facilities have red es have managed their toxic chemical was	uced releases of toxic chemicals te. You can run two types of sea	to the environment and rches:							
1. Click "Show P2 Activities" t 2. Click "Display Facility Com	 Click "Show P2 Activities" to view reported P2 activities and associated reductions in toxic chemical quantities. Click "Display Facility Comparison Report" to visually compare facilities' waste management practices and trends. 									
After clicking a search button and viewing re	esults, you can also get P2 Details 🔇 for	any individual facility that match	nes your search criteria. If							
you already have a specific facility in mind,	you can access P2 information for that fac	ility directly using the TRI Searc	ch 🔍							
To learn more about P2 and the preferred m	ethods for managing chemical waste, visit	the Pollution Prevention Overv	iew.							
Need help? The P2 Quick Start Guide Monormal offer	ers step-by-step instructions on all the fe	atures of the P2 Search.								
Search Criteria										
Show P2 info for facilities Show P2 in	Ifo for parent companies New!									
Select one or more Industry Sector(s): 🚺			1							
All Industry Sectors		•								
Select one or more Chemical(s) or Chemica	ll Group(s) 🚺:									
All Chemicals		•								
Select one or more Year(s): i	Select one or more Parent Compani	es: 🚺								
2005-2013	All Parent Companies	•								
Select one or more State(s): 🚺										
All States										
ৰ	হ									
Show P2 Activities Display	Parent Company Comparison	Clear								

Parent Company Comparison

€PA



Click on parent company name to view P2 Details S for the selected chemical(s) and year. Green links indicate the company reported P2 activities for the selected chemical(s) and year; orange links indicate the company reported barriers to P2. Use Chart Options to add data to the chart (e.g., <u># of facilities</u>, <u>GHG emissions</u>).

SEPA Compare Facilities Within a Company



Click on facility name to view P2 Details 😵 for the selected chemical and year. Green links indicate the facility reported P2 activities for the selected chemical and year; orange links indicate the facility reported barriers to P2. Use Chart Options to add data to the chart waste trends, GHG emissions).

SEPA Comparison for a Specific Chemical



Click on facility name to view P2 Details (*) for the selected chemical and year. Green links indicate the facility reported P2 activities for the selected chemical and year; orange links indicate the facility reported barriers to P2. Use Chart Options to add data to the chart waste trends, GHG emissions).



Production Related Waste Management for Selected Chemical

For more on the Waste Management Hierarchy, see the Pollution Prevention Overview page



Total for Methanol: 255 million lbs

Find more P2 activities for this industry and chemical

Display GHG Emissions Reported to GHGRP

Normalize waste quantities relative to production
 Display waste quantities as a percentage of total waste

Display production index

Display facility comparison report for this industry and chemical

Comparison to Similar Facilities



€EPA

Click on facility name to view P2 Details (Section for the selected chemical and year. Green links indicate the facility reported P2 activities for the selected chemical and year; orange links indicate the facility reported barriers to P2. Use Chart Options to add data to the chart (e.g., waste trends, GHG emissions).

Find More P2 Info for a Facility

Production Related Waste Management for Selected Facility

For more on the Waste Management Hierarchy, see the Pollution Prevention Overview page



Chart Options:

SEPA

- Display waste quantities only
- Display waste quantities as a percentage of total waste
- Display GHG Emissions Reported to GHGRP

Pollution Prevention Activities for Selected Facility

Chart Options:

- Display waste quantities only
- Display waste quantities as a percentage of total waste
- Display 5-Year Waste Trend
- Display 5-Year Waste Trend Normalized

SEPA List P2 Activities for a Company

List of Facilities in TRI submitting Pollution Prevention Information for Selected Criteria:

Year: between 20	05 and 2013		Additiona	Additional Filters:						
Parent Company: KOCH INDUSTRIES INC		Exclude f	Exclude facilities that reported activity codes but no text descriptions							
			Value for	Value for Year-to-Year Comparison: Total Waste [displayed currently			/] ▼			
Copy CSV	Excel PDF Print]								
Show 10 • entries				Showing 1 to 10 of 79 entries				Search:		
FACILITY NAME ≎	ADDRESS ≎	CHEMICAL ≎	INDUSTRY ≎	YEAR ≎	PRIOR YEAR WASTE * ≎	CURRENT YEAR WASTE *	PERCENT CHANGE	POLLUTION PREVENTION INFORMATION (<i>ACTIVITY</i> CODES/TEXT) \$		
GEORGIA- PACIFIC GYPSUM LLC P2 Details	11401 US HWY 91, NORTH LAS VEGAS, NV 89036	Mercury Compounds	Gypsum Product Manufacturing	2012	1.20	0	-100%	 W13: Improved maintenance scheduling, recordkeeping, or procedures – Procedures have been imlemented throughout year to improve production efficiency (i.e., percent perfects) and reduce usage of SARA 313 chemicals Method(s) to Identify P2 Activities: T04 [Participative Team Management] – OPEX Team meets weekly with common goal to improve percent perfects. Procedures have been imlemented throughout year to improve production efficiency (i.e., percent perfects) and reduce usage of SARA 313 chemicals OPEX Team meets weekly with common goal to improve percent perfects. 		
GEORGIA- PACIFIC CROSSETT P2 Details 💸	100 MILL SUPPLY RD, CROSSETT, AR 71635	Lead Compounds	Pulp Mills	2012	10,370.00	2,194.00	-78.84%	W19: Other changes in operating practices – Mill wide effort to reduce process water use. Mill wide effort to reduce process water use.		
FLINT HILLS RESOURCES CORPUS CHRISTI LLC FORT WORTH TERMINAL P2 Details	12550 TRINITY BLVD, EULESS, TX 76040	Lead Compounds	Petroleum Bulk Stations and Terminals	2013	49.00	13.00	-73.47%	W13: Improved maintenance scheduling, recordkeeping, or procedures W32: Improved procedures for loading, unloading, and transfer operations W42: Substituted raw materials W64: Improved draining procedures 8.10.1 Cont.W71 Other Cleaning and Degreasing		



P2 Tool Recap

- Reports available from the P2 Search page
 - Show P2 activities and associated chemical reductions for selected industry(s), chemical(s), company(s), state(s) or year(s)
 - Display facility comparison for selected criteria
 - Display parent company comparison for selected criteria
 - Compare facilities for selected parent company
- Drill-downs available from initial reports
 - Display P2 report for an individual facility
 - Display P2 Details I report with all P2 and waste management information for a selected facility and chemical

P2 Reporting Changes for 2014

- New optional field for estimating the effectiveness of source reduction activities using percentage ranges
- Optional checkboxes to indicate why source reduction activities were not implemented (barriers)
- Optional checkboxes to categorize submitted P2 descriptions and provide info on different topics



Additional TRI Resources

• Daniel Teitelbaum, TRI P2 Staff Lead:

Teitelbaum.Daniel@epa.gov.

- Visit the **TRI Program's website**: <u>www.epa.gov/tri</u>.
 - TRI P2 webpage: <u>www.epa.gov/tri/p2</u>
 - 2013 TRI National Analysis: <u>www.epa.gov/tri/nationalanalysis</u>
- Check out the TRI Pollution Prevention (P2) Search Tool: <u>www.epa.gov/enviro/facts/tri/p2.html</u>