-----X

In the matter of the:

FIFTEENTH MEETING OF THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE ADVISORY COUNCIL

-----x

VOLUME II

Wednesday, May 24, 2000

Omni Hotel at CNN Center Atlanta, Georgia

The Fifteenth Meeting of the NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE ADVISORY COUNCIL convened, pursuant to notice, at 8:00 A.m. on Wednesday, May 24, 2000.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

APPEARANCES:

NEJAC Advisory Council Members:

MR. HAYWOOD TURRENTINE, Chairperson

MR. CHARLES LEE, DFO MR. DON J. ARAGON

MS. ROSE MARIE AUGUSTINE

MR. LUKE W. COLE

MR. FERNANDO CUEVAS, SR.

MR. ARNOLDO GARCIA MR. MICHEL GELOBTER

MR. TOM GOLDTOOTH

MS. JENNIFER HILL-KELLY

MS. ANNABELLE JARAMILLO

MS. VERNICE MILLER-TRAVIS

MR. HAROLD MITCHELL

MR. CARLOS M. PADIN

MS. MARINELLE PAYTON

MS. ROSA HILDA RAMOS

MS. PEGGY SHEPARD

MS. JANE STAHL

MR. GERALD TORRES

MR. ROBERT VARNEY MS. JANA L. WALKER

MR. DAMON P. WHITEHEAD

MR. TSEMING YANG

MS. PAT HILL WOOD

Also Present:

MS. SYLVIA LOWRANCE

MR. JOHN HANKINSON

MR. W. MICHAEL McCABE MR. BARRY E. HILL

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX

(540) 678-4048

11-4

11-3

APPEARANCES (Cont.):

DR. PATRICK KINNEY

MR. RICHARD MOORE

DR. ROBERT BULLARD

MR. CARLOS PORRAS DR. DAVID CARPENTER

MS. KATSI COOK

DR. RAYMOND J. CAMPION

MS. SAMARA SWANSTON, J.D.

DR. BRUCE KENNEDY

DR. WALTER S. HANDY

MR. MICHAEL A. CALLAHAN

MS. HILARY I. INYANG

DR. WILLA F. FISHER

MR. JERRY CLIFFORD

MR. HENRY A. ANDERSON

DR. HENRY FALK

DR. CHARLES WELLS

DR. JON KERNER

MR. MICHAEL SAGE

MR. MICHEAL RATHSAM

DR. HAL ZENICK

RABBI DAN SCHWARTZ

Public Comment Period Presenters:

MS. MABLE B. ANDERSON

MR. KARL FULLER

MR. CECIL CORBIN-MARK

MR. MICHAEL J. LYTHCOTT

MR. LIONEL A. DYSON

MS. DAISY CARTER

MR. GARY GRANT

MR. OMAR FREILLA

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

FAX (540) 678-4048 APPEARANCES (Cont.):

DR. MILDREN McCLAIN

MS. BEVERLY WRIGHT

DR. GRACE HEWELL

MS. JARIBU HILL

MR. JAMES B. HILL, JR. MS. MILDREN COLEN

MS. CAITLIN WADDICK

MR. STANLEY CARESS

MS. PAT HARTMAN

MS. PAT COSTNER

MR. DAMU SMITH

MS. CHARLOTTE KEYS

MR. IAN ZABARTE

MS. MICHELLE XENOS

MR. DAVID BAKER

MS. NATALIE McSWAIN LEVERETTE

MS. NAN FREELAND

MS. CONNIE TUCKER

MR. EDGAR E. MOSS

MS. USHA LITTLE

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

MS. HAZEL JOHNSON

DR. MARK MITCHELL

MS. MaVYNNE OSHUN BETSCH

MS. ELIZABETH CROWE

MR. JAMES MacDONALD

MS. DONNEL WILKINS MS. DORIS BRADSHAW

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

	II-5	11-6
CONTENTS	<u>PAGE</u>	CONTENTS (Cont.) PAGE
WELCOME BY: MS. SYLVIA LOWRANCE MR. JOHN HANKINSON MR. BARRY HILL MR. HAYWOOD TURRENTINE OVERVIEW OF FOCUSED MEETING ISSUE PANEL 1 - OVERVIEW	11 12 18 25	PANEL 4 - KEY FEDERAL INITIATIVES DR. HENRY 11 DR. CHARLES WELLS DR. JON KERNER MR. MICHAEL SAGE MR. MICHAEL SAGE MR. MICHEAL RATHSAM DR. HAL ZENICK EVENING SESSION PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD
MR. ROBERT BULLARD MR. PATRICK KINNEY MR. RICHARD MOORE PANEL 2 - LESSONS FROM THE FIELD	37 50 66	PRESENTATIONS BY: MS. MABLE B. ANDERSON VILLAGE CREEK HUMAN AND ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE
MR. CARLOS PORRAS MS. KATSI COOK DR. DAVID CARPENTER DR. RAY CAMPION	189 97 104 112	SOCIETY MR. KARL FULLER 290 PECHANGA ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAM
PRESENTATION BY MR. W. MICHAEL McCABE DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR, EPA	138	MR. CECIL CORBIN-MARK 295 WEST HARLEM ENVIRONMENTAL ACTION
PANEL 3 - SOCIOECONOMIC VULNERABILITY MS. SAMARA SWANSTON, J.D.	175	MR. MICHAEL J. LYTHCOTT 299 CITIZENS AGAINST TOXIC EXPOSURE
DR. WALTER S. HANDY MR. MICHAEL A. CALLAHAN	183 191	MR. LIONEL A. DYSON 303 PUBLIC INTEREST LAW CENTER OF PHILADELPHIA
		MS. DAISY CARTER 308 PROJECT AWARE
NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845	FAX (540) 678-4048	AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

	11-7		II-8
CONTENTS (Cont.)	PAGE	CONTENTS (Cont.)	<u>PAGE</u>
MR. GARY GRANT CONCERNED CITIZENS OF TILLERY	314	MS. PAT HARTMAN CONCERNED CITIZENS OF MOSSVILLE	386
MR. OMAR FREILLA NEW YORK CITY ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE ALLIAN	318 NCE	MS. PAT COSTNER GREENPEACE INTERNATIONAL	390
DR. MILDREN McCLAIN PEOPLE OF COLOR AND DISENFRANCHISED COMM ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH NETWORK	325 UNITIES	MS. CHARLOTTE KEYS JESUS PEOPLE AGAINST POLLUTION	393
MS. BEVERLY WRIGHT WAMPANOAG TRIBE OF GAY HEAD AQUINNAH	329	MR. IAN ZABARTE WESTERN SHOSHONE GOVERNMENT	396
DR. GRACE HEWELL HEALTH POLICY GROUP	338	MS. MICHELLE XENOS SHUDAHAI NETWORK	399
MS. JARIBU HILL CENTER FOR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS	347	MR. DAVID BAKER COMMUNITY AGAINST POLLUTION	403
MR. JAMES B. HILL, JR. NAACP - OAK RIDGE, TENNESSEE BRANCH	329	MS. NATALIE McSWAIN LEVERETTE P.E.A.C.E.	403
AND THE SCARBORO COMMUNITY ENVIRONMENT. JUSTICE COUNCIL	AL	MS. NAN FREELAND NORTH CAROLINA ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE	409 E NETWORK
MS. MILDREN COLEN PRIVATE CITIZEN	365	MS. CONNIE TUCKER SOUTHERN ORGANIZING COMMITTEE FOR EC SOCIAL JUSTICE	413 CONOMIC AND
MS. CAITLIN WADDICK CITY PLANNING PROGRAM, GEORGIA INSTITU TECHNOLOGY	383 JTE OF	MR. EDGAR E. MOSS McINTOSH ENVIRONMENTALAND ECONOMIC INC.	417 TASKFORCE,

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

(8:20 a.m.)

MR. TURRENTINE: Good morning. Welcome to the Fifteenth Meeting of the National Environmental Justice Advisory Council. I'm Haywood Turrentine.

We're getting an echo. Can we check the sound system? We're getting quite an echo.

Members of the Council, let me know if we're not getting this echo any longer and you can hear me.

(Pause.)

Are we okay?

I'm Haywood Turrentine, Chairman of the National Environmental Justice Advisory Council, and we are, as usual, running a couple of minutes late but I think we'll get caught up. We might have to make some adjustments on the breaks in order to do that, but our goal is to cover the material that we have to cover and do the things that we have to do in a timely fashion.

Withoutfurther on my part, I would ask Barry Hill, the Director of the Office of Environmental Justice, to introduce the first presenter this morning.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

Barry.

MR. HILL: My name is Barry Hill, and I'm the Director of the Office of Environmental Justice. I am ple ased to present Sylvia Lowrance who is the Principal Deputy Assistant Administrator of the Office of Enforcement and Compliance, which is the home of the Office of Environmental Justice.

Sylvia is an incredibly strong supporter of environmental justice and the various initiatives of our office. So, please, Sylvia, we're happy to see you here and let me tum it over to you.

WELCOME BY

MS. SYLVIA LOWRANCE

MS. LOWRANCE: Thank you very much, Barry.

On behalf of the USEPA I'd like to welcome the NEJAC and all the participants in this meeting. This meeting to me marks a tremendously important milestone in the development of the NEJAC and its work with the USEPA.

Many people have worked very hard to put this meeting together, both the planners and all of the participants, the members of the NEJAC, our USEPA staff in headquarters, our regions and particularly in our host region, Region 4. I want to

AMERICAN REPORTERS.INC.

FAX (540) 678-4845

thank everyone who has worked so hard to pull this together.

I think it is a very important meeting. Those of you who have worked with me over the years know that one of the things that I believe is so essential as we deal with the public health problems in our community is to have better science on the health and environmental problems that we face in our communities.

It has been in many ways a missing link that as members of communities, and from my perspective as an enforcer of environmental law and a regulator, that we've all had a void in terms of health research that we can all use to do our job.

I'm very excited about the program that we have planned today. I'm very excited about the work that it can help us start, and in some cases redirect. And I look forward to hearing the presentations and commit to you that we at EPA will be following up on the work that is going to be done here over the next several days.

It is my pleasure to turn the podium over to our host region and the Regional Administrator of Region 4. For those of you that have not had the extreme pleasure of working with John Hankinson, our Regional Administrator, he is an outstanding

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

11-12

6 7 8

1

2

3

4

5

9 10

18

19

20

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

WASHINGTON-METRO

(540) 678-4048

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

19

20

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

regional administrator, an excellent public servant, an ardent environmentalist, and a joy to work with. So, John, I'd like to turn it over to you.

WELCOME BY

MR. JOHN HANKINSON

MR. HANKINSON: Thank you very much, Sylvia.

It's appropriate that our major topic is talking about health this morning and I'm not healthy. I think it's from standing in the rain cooking hamburgers with our South African delegation on Sunday. I think I caught a cold. But I enjoyed it very much and would do it all over again anyway. We needed the rain and we certainly enjoyed the fellowship.

We are very exited in Region 4 to be the host of this meeting which has the largest enrollment of any NEJAC meeting that's been held in the country. I think it's both a tribute to the investment of energy of the communities and the agencies in environmental justice and the importance of the issues that we're going to talk about here today.

I'm particularly proud of my Region 4 staff who've worked very hard on environmental justice over the years that I've been

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 here, but more focused on this meeting there's been a lot of effort that's gone on both with our environmental justice staff with our international affairs staff to host this very unique meeting where we've had a week of very fine activities with the South African Environmental Justice Delegation who have brought real energy and perspective to our work here.

I'd just like to take a moment to recognize some of the folks on my staff. Connie Raines is our Environmental Justice Program Manager. Brian Holtzclaw, the Cross-Divisional Team Leader on Environmental Justice. Carlton Waterhouse in EAD. Al Korgi in our International Affairs Office. And, of course, Cynthia Peurifoy. Thank you for all your hard work.

If you guys could stand up and wave, that would be good. If you're here. You're probably outside working somewhere. There's Connie over there.

(Applau se.)

MR. HANK INSON: In 1996 we dramatically reorganized our regional office to be able to better serve the communities in the environmental justice area and to do the other very important work that Ithink we're still working very hard on, which is to make

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

II-16

II-15

sure environmental justice is not just about a community place, which is very important, but also it's about a way we go about our business at the Environmental Protection Agency.

In other words, it's ingrained into all of the activities, across all the program media, and we all work very hard to bring all the expertise to work on environmental justice.

We've also had significant help in planning and implementing this meeting. Connie Tucker, who was an excellent tour guide yesterday on our bus tour to Annis ton and is operating with Ithink a broken ankle -- she's trying to get around and doing a very good job of it -- even with a broken ankle, Connie's hard to keep up with. I'll just say that.

And Dr. McClain, Dr. Holmes and Dr. Bullard also have been very instrumental in providing leadership here in our program.

I think it would be remiss of me not mention what I consider to be the twin towers of environmental justice activities here in the region. Dick Green, the Director of our Waste Division, who has really worked tirelessly to transform the activities of his staff into being more responsive to the community interests and to make sure we are bringing up front the interests of the communities in

> AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO

FAX (540) 678-4048 addressing waste issues, and Phyllis Harris, our regional counsel and Director of our Environmental Accountability Division in which our environmental justice program is housed. Phyllis, likewise has been tireless in trying to incorporate environmental justice into all our of our activities at Region 4.

I'm very much looking forward to this discussion of an issue that's at the heart of the issues that we deal with every day working with you in the communities related to health and the science of health and how that needs to be incorporated into the decisions we make every day that affect the communities that we all live in.

I join Sylvia in saying that it's extremely important that we have the best science possible to make the judgments that we have before us, and it's important that we don't have the science just off in the corner som ewhere but that the science is out here and available to be understood and discussed by all the people whose health is directly affected by the judgments that flow from that science.

Finally, I'd also like to add -- Reverend Vivian (phonetic) who is a true hero of the civil rights movement in this area and was

> AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

(540) 678-4845

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

with us on the bus tour yesterday, stressed that it's not just environmental justice but it's also economic justice that's also at the heart of the work that we do.

I'm very proud to be part of an administration which this week has proposed some significant support and investment in areas of our communities that need economic assistance as well in redeveloping our communities. We need to work with all the other agencies and all the other programs that deal not just with the environmental issues but with all the issues that go into making our communities healthy here in the southeast.

Thank you all for coming. I look forward to the activities of this week, and I wouldn't miss an opportunity to invite you Thursday night over to one of our favorite barbecue and blues dives here not far from where we are, called Daddy-D's. The best ribs in the South, they say. You all can judge for yourselves. We're going to have our blues band, the Nonessentials, over there performing and you'll see more about this out on the tables as we invite all of you to join us.

Thanks very much.

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

MR. TURRENTINE: Thank you, John.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 (Applau se.)

MR. TURRENTINE: And thanks to Sylvia for being here. And also welcome the members of this 15th gathering of the NEJAC.

John, I now realize why I was attributing you to Region 3, Region 2 and Region 4. Because of the wonderful staff you have assembled here, and the hard work that they do. And we certainly thank you. We thank you all for hosting us and making this opportunity for us.

At this point I'd like to introduce the next presenter, a person who is largely responsible for the focused NEJAC meetings that we now hold. Those of you who were with us at the last NEJAC meeting noticed that we focused on permitting issues and now we're focused on health issues today during this session.

It was largely Barry Hill who met with me, and he met with Charles Lee, and he said, "Haywood, how can we made NEJAC more effective in what we do?" One of the areas that we decided to embark on was having NEJAC meetings focus on a specific topic or area.

I appreciate the leadership and the work that Barry has done

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-20

II-19

in that regard, and without further, we present Barry Hill. Barry.

WELCOME BY

MR. BARRY HILL

MR. HILL: Thanks, Haywood.

I have a Powerpoint presentation so I'm going to make use of this podium and the laptop.

Good morning, everyone, and welcome to the NEJAC meeting on public health. It is appropriate for this meeting to be held in Atlanta, which is the home of the Centers for Disease Control and the ATSDR.

We are gathered here to examine the notion of a communitybased health research model. This is indeed a milestone since I believe that having such a meeting on this issue a couple of years ago would have been unheard of. So this meeting is intended to be not only informative but also inspirational.

I'd like to take this opportunity to put this meeting in perspective. As you all already know, the mission of EPA is to protect human health and to safeguard the natural environment, the air, the water, and land upon which all life depends. Thus, the issue of protecting the public health is incredibly important to the

> AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 agency.

Now, the agency has made great strides with respect to safeguarding the natural environment. However, it has not been as successful in protecting human health. That is why the agency, through the Office of Environmental Justice, has asked the NEJAC to hold this public meeting on this issue. The agency is seeking your advice and recommendations as a multistakeholder advisory committee on how to better address the public health issue.

Now, the underlying question of this meeting is remarkably simple and straightforward: whether there is a direct correlation between the environment and the public health.

Many people would say, of course, of course there is a direct correlation. But when asked to demonstrate the connection, the communities, the scientists, the public health officials are hardpressed because the answer is quite complicated. In sum, the science is not there.

The questions, however, are the following.

If not now, when will the sound science be there?

Are we making any great strides in this direction?

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

18

19

20

How far do we have to go to satisfy not only the scientists and public health officials, but also the concerned public?

What must federal, state and local government agencies do to focus their attention and considerable resources in answering that underlying question?

How can communities be more involved in answering that question by using and by developing community-based health research models?

How can industry be of assistance in using its considerable resources to participate in the dialogue involving that question?

These and other questions will be posed over the next couple of days at this meeting.

But let me go back for a moment to the underlying question of this meeting. Is it a new question? Is it a question that has been recently posed by the public, by public health officials, by an environmental group, by community activists, by an EPA official?

I'll answer those questions indirectly by using the following quote. "It was once said that, for it is obvious that nothing in the world is so necessary for use as water, seeing that any living creature can, if deprived of grain or fruit or meat or fish, or any

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 one of them, support life by using of the foodstuffs. But without water, no animal, nor any proper food can be produced, kept in good condition or prepared. Consequently, we must take great care and pains in searching for springs and selecting them, keeping in view the health of mankind, keeping in view the health of mankind.

"Springs should be tested and proved in advance in the following ways. If they run free and open, inspect and observe the physique of the people who dwell in the vicinity before beginning to conduct the water. And if their frames are strong, their complexion fresh, legs sound, and eyes clear, the spring deserves complete approval."

In other words, look at the people who live near the water, who drink the water. If their frames are strong, their complexion fresh, their legs sound, their eyes clear, the spring deserves complete a pprov al.

Those words were not uttered recently by an EPA official or public health officials armed with studies backed by extensive scientific analysis. They were uttered over 2,000 years ago by a Roman architect and engineer who flourished in the first century

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-24

11-23

B.C. He is the author of the oldest and most influential work on architecture in existence. His name is Marcus Vitruvius, the Ten Books of Architecture.

Now, this is almost 3,000 years ago. Those words made clear that there is an old axiom which goes as follows: "Protecting the environment will have a positive impact on the health of the public and the various species that inhabit the earth."

The corollary is also true, "A contaminated environment will have a negative impact on the health of the public."

Now, the question, however, just moving to the year 2000, is whether the residents of minority and/or low in come communities, like the community we visited yesterday in Anniston, Alabama -whether or not they deserve clean air, clean land, and clean soil, like all other Americans.

Aren't they also entitled to fall within the sweet embrace of the protective environmental laws of this country?

Shouldn't the health of the residents of those communities be the focus of concern of the federal government because they are exposed disproportionately to environment harms and risks?

Now, Donna Shalala, the Secretary of the Department of

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 Health and Human Services, and Dr. David Satcher, the U.S. Surgeon General, have responded with a resounding yes to each of those questions.

Let me take a moment to talk about Healthy People 2010: Objectives For Improving Health. In that document the Secretary and the Surgeon General who happened to have grown up in Anniston, Alabama, stated that this initiative is designed to achieve two overarching goals: to improve the quality of life and increase the years of healthy life of all Americans of all ages.

Secondly, to eliminate health disparities among different segments of the population. Similarities -- or differences -- I'm sorry -- that occur by race or ethnicity and education and income -- this is the focus of the environment justice movement -- minority and/or low income communities.

Let me give you some information that appeared in that report. With respect to health disparities among racial and ethnic groups, the report points out the following:

The infantmortality rate among African-American is still more than double that of whites.

Heart disease death rates are more than 40 percent higher AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

(540) 678-4048

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

18

19

20

for African-Americans than for whites.

The death rate for all cancers is 30 percent higher for African-Americans than for whites.

For prostate cancer, it is more than double that for whites.

African-American women have a higher death rate from breast cancer despite having a mammography screening rate that is higher than that for white women.

Although constituting only 11 percent of the total population, Hispanics accounted for 20 percent of the new cases of TB.

Hispanics have higher rates of high blood pressure and obesity than non-Hispanic whites.

American Indians and Alaska Natives have an infant death rate almost double that for whites.

And the rate of diabetes for American Indians and Alaska Natives is more than twice that for whites.

But why? Why? Why do we have such startling and disturbing statistics? Is it related to the physical environment which includes the air, water and soil? Is it related to the social environment which includes housing, transportation, urban development, access to health care? Or do we simply concede

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 that the physical and social environments play major roles in the health of individuals and communities without demonstrating conclusively that there is a direct correlation?

With respect to health disparities because of income and education, the report points out that disparities in income and education levels are associated with differences in the occurrence of illnesses and death, including heart disease, diabetes, obesity, elevated blood lead levels, and low birth weight.

Are the poor expendable? Are minorities and low income people expendable as a practical matter? What do these statistics say?

The report also points out these leading health indicators. Tobacco use, mental health, injury and violence. environmental quality is one of the leading health indicators.

Now, what does the reportsay about environmental quality? The report stated that an estimated 25 percent of preventable illnesses worldwide can be attributed to poor environmental quality. In the U.S. alone, air pollution is estimated to be associated with 50,000 -- 50,000 -- premature deaths, and an estimate \$40 to \$50 billion in health related costs annually.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-28

11-27

Forty to fifty billion a year and 50,000 premature deaths.

Now, in spite of the mountain of statistics that were included in that particular report, neither the Secretary nor the Surgeon General concluded in that report that there was a direct correlation between the environment and the public health because sound science is not there.

The report simply stated that in the United States ensuring clean water, safe food and effective waste management has contributed greatly to a declining threat for many infections. However, there is still more that can be done.

Now, many people inside and outside of government are, as Chris Rock, the comedian, says, "Tired, tired, tired, tired." They are tired of not having an answer to the underlying question of this meetina.

Now, if Vitruvius was alive today -- he apparently reached his conclusion about 3,000 years ago that there is a direct correlation -- but if he was a live today, he would probably say something like what we say in the African-American community when something is so patently obvious, "that even Ray Charles can see that."

(Laugh ter.)

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

MR. HILL: Now, although answering conclusively the underlying question is really beyond the breadth and the scope of this meeting, on behalf of the agency we look forward to receiving the NEJAC's advice and recommendations to nudge us just a little bit closer in answering that question.

I, for one, look forward to a productive meeting, and a robust discussion. And I thank you all for coming. Thank you.

(Applau se.)

PRESENTATION BY

MR. HAYWOOD TURRENTINE

MR. TURRENTINE: I want to thank Barry for the presentation. I think it's quite inspiring to look at this whole issue of public health and the public health model with the background that he has just provided us.

Again, Barry, thank you so very much for that presentation. Barry is prone for Powerpoint presentations and I think we have all been served well this morning as a result of him having given us that report in that format. So, again, thank you.

I indicated at the onset that I thought we would catch up along the way, and as I look at my watch, it's about five minutes

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

of 9:00 and this segment of the program is supposed to conclude at 9:00. So, really, I'm back in control and I can determine whether or not we stay on schedule or whether I talk too long and we move ahead offschedule.

Since I do have that control, I'm going to make sure that we are on schedule, that we stay on schedule, at least when I've got control of the situation.

With that - and I'm giving Charles a chance to get ready because I'm going to conclude my remarks by again saying to each of you, welcome, buckle your seat belts, fasten your seat belts because what you are now about to embark on is a journey that's going to be rapid-paced with an awful lot of information and I hope at the end of the process we are all better for the ride.

Charles Lee will now review the agenda - oh, I'm sorry, Charles will go into an overview of the topics for this particular meeting. Charles.

(Pause.)

MR. TURRENTINE: We still have time to get into that by 9

What I'd like to do at this point -- and Charles brought it to my

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 attention -- in the haste to get on schedule Ineglected to have the Council introduce themselves. I would ask that each Council member would give his name, his affiliation and the subcommittee that you are working on. Starting to my far right.

Would the technical people make sure that the microphones are on.

MR. ANDERSON: I am Henry Anderson. I'm here representing the EPA's Science Advisory Board.

MR. TURRENTINE: Hold on one moment. We're having problems. We're getting feedback from the microphones.

MR. ANDERSON: I am Henry Anderson. I'm Chief Medical Officer with the Wisconsin Division of Public Health and I'm here representing the EPA's Science Advisory Board.

MR. TURRENTINE: Henry, hold on a moment.

You know, I did indicate where I have control. I have no control over this.

(Laugh ter.)

MR. TURRENTINE: Okay, let's try a reversal. Can we start on this side and see what happens.

NATIONWIDE

AMERICAN REPORTERS INC. WASHINGTON-METRO

FAX

11-32

(800) 929-0130

(540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

II-31

(Pause.

MR. TURR ENTINE: We might end up with an early morning break.

MR. YANG: Thanks, Haywood. I'm Tseming Yang. I'm a professor at Vermont Law School. I serve also on the International Subcommittee.

MR. WHITEHEAD: I'm Damon Whitehead. I'm with Earth Conservation Corps and I serve on the Air and Water Subcommittee.

MS. WALKER: My name is Jana Walker. I'm an attorney in private practice in New Mexico, and I serve on the Indigenous Peoples Subcommittee.

MR. VAR NEY: My name is Bob Varney. I'm Commissioner of the New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services and I serve on the Enforcement Subcommittee.

MS. RAMOS: Hello. I'm Rosa Hilda Ramos. I'm a house wife, a mother, a community leader and an environmental Our organization is a grassroots environmental organization, COCA, which means bogeyman in English -- in Puerto Rico

> AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

MR. PADIN: Good morning. My name is Carbs Padin, Dean of the School of Environmental Affairs, Universidad Metropolitana in Puerto Rico, and this is my first time in the NEJAC. Thank you for the opportunity to work in this group.

MS. PAYTON: Good morning. I'm Marinelle Payton. Iam Chair of the Health and Research Subcommittee and also an occupational environmental medicine physician at Harvard School of Public Health in Boston, Mass. I am pleased to be a part of the group and very happy to be here. Thank you.

MS. SHEPARD: Good morning. I'm Peggy Shepard, Director of West Harlem Environmental Action, and I serve on the Health and Research Subcommittee.

MR. LEE: I'm Charles Lee, Office of Environmental Justice.

MR. MITCHELL: Good moming. My name is Harold Mitch ell. I serve on the Waste and Facility Siting Subcommittee, and this is my first meeting here on the NEJAC. I'm looking forward to this experience.

MS. MILLER-TRAVIS: My na me is Vernic e Miller-Travis. I'm the Chair of the Waste and Facility Siting Subcommittee of the NEJAC and currently the Executive Director of the Partnership for

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

(540) 678-4048

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

20

18

19 20 Sustainable Brownfields Redevelopment based in Baltimore, Maryland, soon to be with the Ford Foundation in New York. MS. JARAMILLO: I am Annabelle Jaramillo. I'm from the Governor's Office, State of Oregon, and I'm on the Air and Water Subcommittee.

MS. HILL-KELLY: Good morning. I'm Jennifer Hill-Kelly. I am a member of the Oneida Nation in Wisconsin. I serve on the Indigenous Peoples Subcommittee.

MS. WOOD: I'm Pat Wood with the Environmental Affairs Program with Georgia Pacific Corporation, and I serve on the Waste and Facility Siting Subcommittee.

MR. GOLDTOOTH: Good morning. My name is Tom Goldtooth. I'm the National Director of the Indigenous Environmental Network which is a Native non-governmental organization, a grassroots organization. I also Chair the Indigenous Peoples Subcommittee.

MR. GELOBTER: Good morning. My name is Michel Gelobter. I'm an assistant professor at Rutgers University, Newark Campus in the graduate department of Public Administration, and the academic director of the Community

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 University Consortium for Regional Environmental Justice which spans New York, New Jersey and Puerto Rico universities and community-based organizations in those three areas. And I'm also the Chair of the Air and Water Subcommittee.

MR. GARCIA: Good morning. My name is Amoldo Garcia. I work for the Urban Habitat Program out of the San Francisco Bay area, and I Chair the International Subcommittee.

MR. CUEVAS: Good morning. My name is Fernando Cuevas. I'm the Vice President of Farm Labor Organizing Committee, Farm Worker Union. I am also the co-chair of the Farm Worker Network for Environmental and Economical Justice, and I'm also part of the International Subcommittee.

MR. COLE: Good morning. My name is Luke Cole. I'm an environmental justice attorney and Director of California Rural Legal Assistance Foundation's Center on Race, Poverty and the Environment in San Francisco. I'm Chair of the Enforcement Subcommittee and as I embark on my fourth year on the NEJAC I want to extend a special welcome to the new members, and we look forward to working with you this year. Thanks.

MS. AUGUSTINE: Good morning. My name is Rose

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-36

11-35

Augustine and I'm from Tucson, Arizona. I sit on the Health and Research Subcommittee. I am President of Tucsonans for a Clean Environment. We're involved with a Superfund site, a military toxic site in Tucson. I'm also a member of the EJANC which is now fighting a beryllium plant. I'm also a member of the Southwest Network for Environmental and Economic Justice. I am co-chair on the EPA Accountability Campaign on that committee.

MR. ANDERSON: Good morning. The last shot here. I am Henry Anderson. I'm Chief with the Wisconsin Division of Public Health and I'm here representing the USEPA's Science Advisory Board.

MR. TURRENTINE: Thank you. From the Council, welcome all of the new members of the Council. Charles Lee.

OVERVIEW OF FOCUSED MEETING ISSUE

MR. LEE: Good morning. I, too, want to welcome everyone --

MR. TURRENTINE: Volume. MR. LEE: Is that better now?

PARTICIPANT: Yes.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

MR. LEE: Okay. Once again, I, too, want to welcome the members of the NEJAC and everyone who participated in putting together this meeting, the members of the audience, and the panelists who are going to be participating with us today.

I want to start by making note of a few people and then going through the evolution of the process that led to the series of questions that we wanted the NEJAC to focus on in terms of this issue of public health disease prevention and health improvement in environmental justice communities.

First of all, I want to make sure we take note of the fact that we have with us participating with us in this meeting two members of the Science Advisory Board of the EPA. Dr. Anderson is one of them. He's introduced himself. And two members of the Children's Health Protection Advisory Committee.

This is part of a process where we're trying to do more integration and cross-fertilization of the working different federal advisory committees. Certainly when we talk about issues of public health in environmental justice it is very much related to the work of the Science Advisory Board and Children's Health Protection.

> AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

17

18

19

20

So, these are two, and they've been working with us, and will continue to work with us, as we have planned this meeting, as we discuss the issues today, and as we continue into the future in terms of implementing the kinds of recommendations that come forth from this meeting.

This meeting was put together through a very broad process that included members of the NEJAC, included representatives of a number of EPA offices, including the Office of Research and Development and the Office of Pesticides, Pollution Prevention and Toxic Substances. It included other federal agencies including the key public health agencies like the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, the National Institute for Environmental Health Sciences, the National Center for Environmental Health, and other agencies.

We went through a process of trying to figure out how to get at the underlying question that Barry posed in terms of a correlation between environmental polluting and disease, particularly in people of color and low income communities.

In that process what we realized was that there was a lot to build upon, that health is a central question, if not the central

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 question, in environmental justice and for communities that are arguably suffering from environmental injustice.

This is something that we realized was a great resource to be built upon in terms of making progress on this question of the relation ship between environmental polluting and disease. So, therefore, we decided to focus on that and build upon that body of knowledge and experience that has been accumulating over many years around this issue.

I want to say that prior to coming to EPA one of the things that I did was to serve as a member of the National Academy of Sciences Institute of Medicine Committee on Environmental Justice research, education and health policy needs. Last year, in April of 1999, the Institute of Medicine published its report towards environmental justice, research, education and health policy needs.

That has been included in the background materials for this meeting and served as one of the important elements in terms of the preparation of this meeting.

I think there are a number of recommendations which I will not go into specifically because of time, but I think that an overall

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-40

11-39

conclusion of this committee is not only the fact that there is a need for greater research, particularly research that understands and links the relationship between environmental causes of disease and health disparities in minority low income communities, but that this kind of research needs to be done in a different way.

It needs to be done in a way that builds upon -- is sensitive to and builds upon the efforts that are taking place in environmental justice communities to address these issues.

Certainly that I think begins to help frame the basis for understanding the idea of what exactly can be, the kinds of strategies and areas of research that can achieve more effective and integrated community-based health assessment intervention and preventions efforts. This needs to be done in collaboration, in partnership, with those communities and all stakeholders are involved.

Then it needs to address and look at the kind of interactions between the social and physical en vironment that are contributors to disease and ill health in environmental justice communities.

Lastly, what can the federal government do in terms of

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 implementing strategies that ensure the substantial participation, integration and collaboration among all federal agencies across the board in partnership with all the impacted communities and other stakeholder groups.

These comprised the gamut of the issues that we would like the NEJAC to address. In coming up with this agenda, the Planning Committee -- which I want to really give my own personal thanks to in terms of the hard work they put into helping us try to understand this -- we realized that to address issues of public health and environmental justice is an awesome task -- I mean, it is a huge area -- and we need to figure out a way in which we can make some real progress in a very strategic sense.

What we've heard and we realize is that there are great resources there in terms of community-based efforts to address environmental justice health issues, and this is where we want to start. That's why we decided to focus in this area in terms of environmental justice and community-based public health models.

We have an agenda that includes a set of panels that would address the issues that I enumerated earlier.

I want to at this point bring up the first panel which includes

NATIONWIDE

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 (800) 929-0130

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

16

17

18

19

20

Dr. Robert Bullard, Dr. Patrick Kinney and Richard Moore to present an overview about the question of to what extent might integrated community-based public health contribute to disease prevention and health improvement in environmental justice communities.

As they are getting set, let me give you a little bit of background. We wanted to begin our dialogue today with a panel that gives an overview, a historical overview, of how health issues in environmental justice communities has evolved and how this has evolved in terms of a holistic integrated view of what constitutes disease prevention and health improvement.

We will have three presentations. One will be from a social science perspective to address what might constitute the elements of a unified community-based public health model that includes assessment, intervention and prevention strategies.

The second will be an environmental science perspective examining the way that this model has enhanced the work of the university-based environmental science program.

The third will be a community perspective to ensure an understanding of solution-oriented approaches to environmental

> AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 health challenges which confront EJ communities.

So with that, I would just turn it over to Bob. I want to thank him personally for starting this off today. Thank you.

PANEL 1

COMMUNITY BASED PUBLIC HEALTH -- OVERVIEW PRESENTATION BY DR. ROBERT BULLARD

DR. BULL ARD: Good morning. My name is Robert Bullard and I am a sociologist and I direct the Environmental Justice Resource Center at Clark Atlanta University. For the past 20 years I've been working on environmental and economic justice and health issues as they impact communities of color. I had the pleasure of serving on the first NEJAC when it was formed back in '93.

The presentation that I was asked to give is basically an overview of issues of how can we integrate community health and community intervention and prevention strategies into the work that we do, whether it impacts on housing, transportation, the issue of occupational health and safety, et cetera.

So what I've done is prepared a presentation that gives that context: healthy people, healthy homes, healthy communities --

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-43

an environmental justice framework.

(Pause.)

DR. BULLARD: This is not my equipment.

Okay, if we talk about defining the environment and the way that the environmental justice movement had really broadened the definition to make sure that we're talking about basically where people live, work, play, go to school, worship, as well as the physical and natural world. So when we're talking about environmental justice and we talk about health and we talk about economic justice, all these things are intertwined. So when we talk about developing strategies and policies, we have to make sure that all those things are involved.

When we look at this whole question of environmental justice principle, we're saying that this principle embraces the concept that all communities are entitled to equal protection of environmental laws, health laws, housing laws, transportation laws, civil rights laws, regulations, policies, et cetera. So when we talk about health, we're really talking about this whole question of we want to make sure that health is more than just talking about physical health.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

Health matters, and in environmental justice race and class also matter. All communities are not created equal. There's some that are more equal than others. And if a communities happens to be poor, working class or community of color or lives on the wrong sides of the tracks, it receives less protection, it has less access to health care, medical services, and the refore we're talking about these inequities that have to be addressed and they have to be addressed in that full array of strategies.

The environmental justice movement has always included community health as a central theme in its struggle. When we talk about health, as I said before, health is more than the absence of disease. A healthy community cannot be a community that is bombarded with drugs, toxics. And we know that there are very few poppy fields and marijuana bushes grown in the ghetto or the barrio; this stuff is imported. So when we talk about toxic waste and we talk about health, all these things are important. Poverty is also hazardous to our health.

When we talk about changing this paradigm, this dominant paradigm that we have in front of us, the dominant paradigm basically in terms of environmental protection institutionalizes

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

FAX

11-44

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

FAX

17

18

19

20

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

unequal protection. It trades human health for profits. The burden of proof is placed on the victims -- prove to me that Company X/Chemical Y is making you sick. The burden of proof is on the victim.

It also legitimates human exposure to dangerous chemicals whether we're talking about farm workers and pesticides or we're talking about children who are living in houses that still have lead. The fact is that the children have to prove that they've been lead poisoned.

So when we talk about this whole question of economic vulnerability and exploitation, communities that do not have an economic infrastructure are offered dangerous risky technologies and economic development.

This paradigm also creates a whole industry around risk analysis, risk assessment, as opposed to pollution prevention, disease prevention and what we call the whole question of the dominant paradig m being flipped on its head. The precautionary principle. If there is suspect of harm, act.

When we talk about this whole question of problems and health, childhood lead poisoning is still the number one AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 environmental threatto our children. There is a residual that's left over. It is not because we don't have the facts. The problem is, having the facts is not enough. Whose children are being poisoned, whose children are being impacted?

So when we talk about childhood lead poisoning, it is not only a health problem, it's an environmental problem because lead is an environmental issue, and it's an educational problem -- we're talking about learning disabilities. So when we're talking about solving the problem of lead poisoning, we just can't be going to the EPA. The Department of Education needs to be involved, the Department of Housing and Urban Development needs to be involved.

As an economic problem, there is a direct correlation between learning ability and learning ability. So when we talk about people's mental capacities being diminished, we're talking about their livelihood and their mental capacity being impacted and how much money they will be likely to make in the rest of their lives.

And it's also an equity problem because this lead poisoning still disproportionately impacts children of color and low income

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-48

11-47

children.

I said before, having the facts is not enough. You have to have the resolve and the commitment by those entities that can solve these problems. We have thirty years of documentation on lead poisoning but we still have lead in housing, we still have children that are being poisoned. So it's not a matter of having the facts, having the science, having the data; it's a matter of whether or not we have the resolve and commitment to end this problem.

We talk about this whole question as tradeo ffs. Some people were saying, well, communities of color and environmental justice communities are not willing to trade off. Well, we've been trading off, hell, for many years.

So it's not a matter of trading our health for a low-paying job or even the promise of a job. In some cases we don't even get the job, we get the promise, and we know you can't live off promises. So when we're talking about this fourth question of health and jobs, whether they be farm workers and pesticides, or whether it be workers in factories, or whether it be communities that are near facilities that are impacting them -- and, once again,

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

the community has to prove that their health is impacted.

In this whole question of environment justice, EPA has its own definition and we also have our definition. But understanding that definitions don't mean anything unless they are actually put into practice and implem ented as it relates to their operationalization.

When we talk about the whole question of environmental justice and the major elements, it includes equal enforcement of environmental laws and health laws and all these laws and regulations that we have on the books. We have some great laws. It's just that they're not applied in some cases vigorously and in other cases they're not applied equally across the board. In other cases they are not even enforced.

So when we talk about this whole question of environmental justice and enforcement, we're also talking about this whole question of eliminating disparities, health disparities, environmental disparities, economic disparities, et cetera, that impact health, community health.

So when we talk about this whole question of health and community-driven research and community-driven intervention

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

(540) 678-4048

and prevention strategies, the community has to be at the table -- and particularly the impacted, the most impacted community -has to be at the table because they are the experts. They may not have Ph.D.s but they are experts in what needs to happen as it relates to resolving and remediating and preventing and addressing these problems.

When we talk about environmental inequities, we know that there are inequities that result from people making money off of sick people. We have a health care system that is for profit and that if everybody was well -- well, I won't go into that.

When we talk about this issue of inequities and enforcemental inequities, we know that all the nasty stuff, the locally unwanted land uses, the lulus, are not randomly distributed. So what that means is that the impacts are not randomly distributed. And that means that the health effects are not randomly distributed.

So if you take that to its logical extension, that means we need targeted enforcement, targeted strategies to deal with intervention and prevention and targeted resource and funding. That means that we're not going to give everybody the same amount of money because everybody's not going to get the same amount of the issues and the

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 problems.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

FAX

(540) 678-4048

Health inequities exist across the board. I'm not going to go into all the things in terms of the disparities that Barry dealt with earlier this morning. But there's all kinds of available health data, and when you go to a certain department and ask about health data, they say, "We don't

When we first came to the EPA years ago and said, who lives around these facilities, who is impacted, what are their health data, EPA would tell us, we're not a health agency; go see CDC or ATSDR.

We say, well, who lives there? What's the racial and ethnic composition? Well, we don't collect that data; nobody asked us to collect that data.

We're saying that kind of information needs to be available and needs to be available intergenerational, longitudinal, because these things don't just happen overnight; sometimes it takes 20 years, 25 years, for the results to show up, whether it's cancer or whatever other health problems.

When we talk about waste, we know that everybody produces waste, everything sitting around this table produces waste. everybody around this table and everybody in the audience does not have to live where the waste is disposed.

NATIONWIDE

AMERICAN REPORTERS INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-52

(800) 929-0130

So when we talk about this whole question of waste generation and waste production and the impact of all these things on communities and the health of communities, lowered property values, the stigma of being located next to a dump, and your community is considered a dumping ground and once you get one dump you get another dump because it's cost effective, because you say, oh, you've got one, one more won't make that much difference -- and you've got five, so why not just shoot for six. So when we talk about these issues we're talking about the impact.

The legacy has left us with lots of things. I think what the communities are struggling with now to turn those negatives into pluses -- that's how Brownfield Urban Redevelopment, or Brownfield Redevelopment, came into effect - but I think Brownfields also must be driven in terms of clean-up, in terms of protection of public health and the protection of the community and ensuring that the community's vision is put on these things. So Brownfields also involves health.

When we talk about this paradigm shift we're talking about things that happened. We don't expect the government to respond out of good will and altruism. And there are good people in government, but I think most of the things that we've been able to achieve in the environmental justice movement with government have been triggered by outside -- I

II-51

would say "agitation," but I won't -- outside pressure -- I won't say "pressure" -- by outside support and nudging.

(Laughter.)

DR. BULLARD: When we talk about the response of the EPA, the EPA has responded. A lot of energies are targeted at EPA, but EPA cannot do it all. That means that we have to have interagency cooperation and collaboration. We have to work across the board to talk about how to get all these folks to the table. So this is very good when we talk about having it at the various agencies. Not just the federal government, but also state agencies, local health departments, state health departments, and county health departments, et cetera, work on these issues.

So when we talk about how we did it or how things are moving, in 1994 we had the Health Symposium that was sponsored by seven federal agencies that brought over a thousand people, health scientists, impacted communities, academicians, and grassroots groups to Washington, D.C. to this Health Symposium to talk about enforcemental health and environmental justice research needs.

There are some excellent recommendations in that report. Those recommendations need to be implemented. It's been six years; it needs to be implemented. They need to move forward.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

When we talk about research and we talk about documentation, it make a difference to have a National Academy basically saying what we said -- ten years ago. So the IRM study, it's okay. I give it a D-minus.

When we talk about health threats, the threats are real. Some community's health has been imperiled so much that they've had to be moved. Anniston, Alabama; relocation. In Pensacola, Florida; relocation.

So the idea is that sometimes it gets so bad and people do not want to leave their community, people do not want to be disrupted, picked up, pushed away, loss of community -- but sometimes it gets so bad in terms of health-wise that you've got to get them away from danger and harm's way.

The law and the legal mandates -- it's real. This stuff is real. It has moved forward past the President. All communities are not created equal, as I said before. Sometimes our communities -- communities of color and low income communities -- it takes a long time for us to make enough noise to get cleaned up.

This is a photograph of the Ag Street landfill community marching in front of HUD saying we want to get the hell out of Dodge; we're sitting on top of a toxic waste dump, our health is in peril, our property values

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 have lowered, and we want out.

This is in Alaska. Military toxins in Alaska. Beautiful land. People want to put stuff where they don't need to be. People say no. People win. Note the poison. Uranium enrichment plant in Center Springs, Louisiana. People are saying no. We are winning.

Converging issues -- and I'm going through this rapidly - suburban sprawl. Everybody's buzzword is "sprawl" now. We've even got a new book coming out -- not a commercial -- but the idea is that there are a lot of issues that environmental justice encompasses, including community health. The impact of traffic congestion and the impact of air pollution, and the impact of the loss of greenfields and green space, and the old question of sprawl and how sprawl basically is killing a lot of our urban poor areas and the fact that we're knocking down trees and we're exploring the whole idea of what it's doing to us.

And we even got NASA scientists now discovering that suburban sprawl and deforestation -- this is the Atlanta metro area -- it's creating a problem in terms -- it's even affecting mother nature; it's affecting the weather. It's creating lots of pollution problems and heat island problems and dirty air. This is Atlanta, my friends. This photograph was taken from Delta Air Lines on a clear day.

If we talk about the major source of pollution in this region, we're

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.
WASHINGTON-METRO

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-56

11-55

talking about cars. It's us. We're talking about the issue of gridlock. We're paying a price not only in money and lost gas, but we're paying a price in terms of our health, paying a price in terms of asthma, what the level of ozone is doing to us. It's creating problems. It is sending us to the emergency room. It's creating problems in terms of families having to take off work because of going to the emergency room, having to lose pay.

So, this ain't no sexy issue; it's about life and death. If you talk about bad air days, we are at the top in terms of bad air days. We talk about asthma, it's an epidemic.

It is not something that is just Atlanta; it's also L.A. It's also New York. It's also Chicago. So when we talk about this community intervention strategy, pollution prevention, disease prevention, and having the community at the forefront of this stuff, we're talking about an integrative model. We're talking about devising communications strategies and risk communications strategies that communities can basically be the benefactors, and carry the message.

The messenger is very important. It's not just about a message. The messenger is very important. We have to diversify decisionmakers and the decisions, and we have to talk about this whole question of data. Gathering the information, taking that information and using it and

taking it to the next level.

And we have to talk about gaps. We have lots of gaps and we have to plan for those gaps. There's lots of information we don't have, lots of areas we don't have information on. But it's not just enough to say, "well, we just don't know that." We have to pursue a strategy to talk about intervening and preventing environmental health hazards and environmental degradation.

That's the end of my talk. Anybody who wants to know -- and I will give you a copy of this. I didn't have time to print out a copy, but I will print out a copy and give it to NEJAC for the record.

But the idea is that environmental justice and health are intertwined, they've always been. And when we somehow compartmentalize the two as separate entities, we lose. That's why it's very important that this NEJAC is focused on health and community health and the role that communities can play in solving and resolving these problems.

Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

MR. LEE: We're going to hear from Patrick Kinney next and then Richard Moore. Then, afterwards, we will open it up for dialogue.

PRESENTATION DR. PATRICK KINNEY

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

DR. KINNEY: It's nice to be here and to have a microphone that works. I come with apologies from Joe Graziano from Columbia who has been dealing with a serious family health issue and isn't traveling. I'm happy that I could help him out and represent the perspective from the Columbia School of Public Health, the Division of Environmental Health Sciences, where I'm a researcher and tell you a little bit about the history of our growing involvement in community-based participatory research over the last five years or so.

Joe Graziano was instrumental in starting the program at Columbia five or six years ago when he first applied for an NIEHS Environmental Health Center grant developmental center. And it was that funding that he was able to get which was for a study focused specifically on studying environmental health problems in the underprivileged communities of northern Manhattan in New York City which really stimulated all the work that's happened since that time.

There's been quite a lot of interesting work that's developed out of that initial work that Joe did. In that initial draft that Joe put together, one of the first things he did in putting that together was reach out to West Harlem Environmental Actionand got to know Peggy Shepard and Cecil Corbin-Mark and brought them in. The partnership between our department and WHEA has been instrumental in our ability to actually

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

AMERICAN REPORTERS,INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 accomplish some of the outcomes that we have over the last few years.

Dr. Graziano has provided a very supportive research environment for people that are sort of new to the community-based research field, such as myself five years ago when I came to Columbia. I had been working at New York University before that, and actually it took me a couple of years to really change my mindset and to understand the participatory nature of community-based research.

My first effort when I was still at NYU to try to do some research on Harlem involved sort of a classic case of putting in a proposal, mentioning doing work in Harlem without ever having formed a partnership yet with anybody in the community. That wasn't the way to do it, and I learned that pretty rapidly.

We got to know John Ford and then when I got to Columbia I got to know Peggy Shepard and learned that we really need to start from the beginning when we develop research proposals to really bring the community representatives into the process. In fact, as has been said already, some of the best ideas -- probably from a scientific as well as a community perspective some of the best ideas for doing research really arise from the community because they are in a much better position than the researchers are to understand what the real issues

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS,INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-60

11-59

Now, having said that, I also wanted to acknowledge NIHS. It's clear that their leadership has been instrumental starting in around 1992, as far as I can tell, when they initiated two programs. One is the Environmental Justice Research Community Outreach and Education Program which has been ongoing ever since that time. It has been a very important factor in providing the community-based research infrastructure that currently exists in the United States.

The other thing that they did at that same time was solicit proposals for environmental health centers that focused specifically on community-based problems. And that's the program that Dr. Graziano applied to back at that time and was successful in getting the grant.

Those two initiatives I think showed a lot of leadership and I think, again, have really been the most important mechanisms that I think should be looked at very carefully as models for how this can go forward into the future. I'll come back to that in a few minutes.

A couple of comments about the process of developing community-based research. One is that – and it's a fairly simple thing – is that there has to be something in it both for the researchers and the community.

I think clearly from the community's perspective there's some obvious advantages that I've heard Peggy Shepard and Cecil talkabout

in terms of getting good science and data that they can use for policy advocacy. It also can bring funding in that it can help train young

From a scientific perspective the value to me coming in as a researcher is that, again, I get to study -- I get to understand the really important problems that are facing -- some of the most important environmental health problems and really understand them from a community perspective. And I get data that I can use and publish, which advances my own career.

people and also provide education to the wider community.

So you have to sort of recognize that from a scientific perspective there has to be something there for the researchers as well, and there certainly has been in this partnership.

The problems that are faced -- the environmental health problems that currently exist in New York City are ones which are striking, which are, you know, incredibly important, and which require more study.

I was shocked when I came to Columbia five years ago that there really wasn't much research going on. In fact, in northern Manhattan where Harlem is located, in parts of Harlem where asthma rates -- the hospitalization and mortality rates are among the -- actually, the very highest in the nation, there were no air quality monitors at all in that entire community five years ago, except for a little bit of monitoring that

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

WASHINGTON-METRO FAX
(540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

20

21

was going on based on community complaints regarding the North River sewage treatment plant. But that was really focused specifically on the treatment plant. There was no community-based monitoring going on.

So there was a real need for a more air quality exposure assessment, as well as health studies, and it provided a really fertile environment for more scientific research as well as a good opportunity to promote environmental health.

So from a scientific perspective, all the elements were there in terms of real problems that needed to be studied and a lack of current data. I've enjoyed and have had the opportunity over the last few years to help fill some of those gaps in terms of the data on exposure assessment.

The studies we have done over the last five years or so have gradually developed, initially from very small pilot studies with a little bit of funding from the center that Dr. Graziano heads - and that was a very effective mechanism providing seed funding, something on the order of \$20,000 to \$25,000 for small studies to do exposure assessment.

Those have gradually developed - with that pilot data, developed into larger funded projects from other sources, including NIHS. Also funding from the new Mickey Leland National Urban Air Toxics

> AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO

(540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 Research Center which we'll hear from later.

And then most recently, Dr. Ricky Pereira has been successful in getting a Center for Children's Environmental Health centered at Columbia and focused on not only doing exposure assessment, but also linking that to health outcomes.

So I think we've started sort of gradually looking at exposure. We began to understand some of the disparities in exposure. And now, with the Children's Health Center, we're really bringing that exposure assessment to bear to study health outcomes, and particularly health outcomes in children in northern Manhattan and in the south Bronx.

I think that model, sort of starting gradually from exposure assessment and working towards larger epidemiologic studies, is a good model that could be replicated.

Finally, I wanted to make a couple of comments about what I see as useful mechanisms for promoting sort of strategies for more of this kind of research.

Again, I'd like to emphasize the importance of pilot funding. Small scale funding to form partnerships to generate initial data can be extremely effective, and we've had a lot of success with small scale studies.

There also has to be ongoing funding, dependable long-term

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-64

11-63

funding. These partnerships take time to develop and they gradually develop over time. I think that if you are a funding agency and you are trying to decide whether you should give out two-year grants at \$250,000 a year or four-year grants at \$50,000 to \$100,000. I would go for the four-year grants. I think a little money over a longer time is better than throwing a lot of money at something for just a short period of time because it takes time for things to develop. It's that ongoing consistent funding that's really most important.

There has to be a rigorous review process, but it also has to be simple to navigate. Some of the funding mechanisms are fairly difficult and there is the hurdle that has to be overcome and just tackling the application process can be quite daunting for community people who aren't used to that.

And I think some rigorous but yet streamlined methodologies for getting the funding will be an important development in future funding for this sort of work.

I also think that it might be worth looking at the NIHS Environmental Centers Program as a model for this kind of ongoing research. The Centers Program at NIHS provides long-term stable funding -- provides a mechanism for funding innovative research at the pilot level, as I've said already.

I think that you might want to give some consideration to the idea with multiple sources from different federal agencies to fund centers that are focused on specifically community-based participatory research, probably centers which are jointly run by community groups or research

or clinical-oriented -- you know, health care organizations. In New York City, for example, Harlem Hospital or a university like Columbia.

And community groups as well. There has to be sort of an equal partnership. It shouldn't just be university-based or just hospital-based. I think that could be a useful mechanism.

Finally, I think what I really see missing from a university perspective is that we don't have training money for students, for undergraduate and graduate students, that's focused specifically on this problem. We can do a lot of research, but in order to bring in graduate students who can focus on this and to train especially students from the community, we need to bring in money because it's expensive to get people through some of these universities.

If there can be funding mechanisms that make more money available to train young people to go into careers focused on community-based environmental health problems, I think that would be really beneficial.

I think that's pretty much all I wanted to say. Thank you for your

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

19

20

21

time.

(Applause.)

MR. LEE: Thank you, Pat. Richard is not here yet? He's on his way. Okay.

Well, in the meantime, we can just -- we wanted to create a lot of time for dialogue. This is something that the members of the NEJAC said that it was something they really wanted to look forward to. So why don't we just open this up at this point. Vernice.

MS. MILLER-TRAVIS: Thank you, Dr. Bullard and Dr. Kinney for putting in context what is some of the work that's going on.

I just wanted to add a little to Pat's presentation about the partnership with the community-based organizations in West Harlem and our institutions and simply place in context for the audience that this partnership has been a really extraordinary partnership, but so that you know how long it took us in that community to do this work.

We started conceptualizing and capturing what the problems were in terms of exposure and health outcomes in our community in 1985 and 1986. The first investment of dollars to actually bring forward some pilot research, as Pat said, was roughly about in 1994. Am I right, Peggy?

And so, for that period of time, for roughly nine years, we

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 organized, we mobilized, we talked to our elected officials, we talked to EPA, we talked to everyone who would listen. No one would respond.

That happens to lots of communities. Our community is not unique in that response. And so, the funding that is now available is really useful. A lot of communities are being supported, a lot of tremendous partnerships are being formed.

But you need to know that in our community on the local level we organized and we struggled about these issues alone in the wilderness for ten years before somebody came to the table to join us in that partnership.

It should not take ten years before people recognize that people are dying. We have the highest asthma mortality rate in the nation, where people die from asthmain our community. As Pat said, nobody studied it. Nobody looked at it. Nobody said, this is a horrific situation. And the asthma rates are so high that they drive the national average -- in that one community.

It should not take ten years before people validate that our lives were so beneficial that we needed to have research and we needed to have partnerships and we needed the federal government to stand up and say one excess death is too many, but thousands of excess deaths is a crime. And that's what happened in our community.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-68

So I just wanted people to know that this is an extraordinary partnership, but it was ten years of organizing before anybody came to the table to join us in that effort.

MR. LEE: Bob. do vou want to --

DR. BULLARD: Yes. I think there also should be some clarification in terms of how NIHS came to the table on environmental justice. This is not something that NIHS basically thought up or that dropped out of the sky. It took grassroots groups, environmental justice academicians and leaders to basically work with NIHS to develop that community partnership and environmental justice grant program.

It has always taken external pressure to get these agencies to recognize that this type of research is legitimate, but also there should be other partners that are involved in the research endeavor, rather than having the same good ole boys coming to the table doing the same thing just because funds are there and just because this is a sexy issue.

MR. LEE: Rosa Hilda.

MS. RAMOS: I would like to ask Dr. Kinney and Dr. Bullard if you see an opportunity for funding through this supplementary environmental project provision. Have you tried that?

DR. BULLARD: I know there is one in Puerto Rico. We had a project in Atlanta that dealt with the Chatahoochee River, the pollution.

11-67

There was a court case and then there was a settlement. In the settlement there was supposed to be an environmental justice component in the SEP. However, I'm not so sure that it's really an environmental justice component other than on paper.

I think there are opportunities to divert funds out of those fines and programs back into communities, and communities need to have their plan. When SEPs are developed they need to have their plan, and they need to have their experts and their planners and their team to make sure that it's a real environmental justice component in those traditional SEPs.

MS. RAMOS: I just want to make you aware that EPA is contemplating developing like a bank of proposals from communities to obtain funds from supplementary environmental projects. So I would encourage both of you to consider this alternatively seriously. Thank

DR. KINNEY: I'd like to make a comment. Ithink it's taken a long time to get the attention of scientists, as Vernice has said. You know, in New York it took ten years to get the attention of a university to get involved in some of these problems.

I think more broadly it's taking a very long time to sort of get the larger scientific community to understand and to value the outcomes of

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

this kind of research. But I think that's starting to happen. I get a sense -- again, I know NIEHS -- I appreciate the comment from Dr. Bullardthat they weren't the originators of this idea, but I think the work they've done has been very helpful in spreading the word to the scientific community that this kind of research actually produces good science and science that can't be done in any other way.

I hear Dr. Olden at NIEHS starting to spread that message, not just within NIEHS which pretty much gets it now, but to the broader NIH community. I think we all ought to try to support that. And it's going to take some more time because, you know, the ideas are not quite understood yet by the broader scientific community. But I think it's happening, and I think that's very encouraging.

DR. BULLARD: There's three words of recommendation that I would offer to the NEJAC: Fund CUP grants.

Go back to funding the CUP grants that were established years ago that provided the Community University Partnerships. I know there was an evaluation that was done of the CUP grants and I know everything was not perfect, but I do think that we need to go back to that concept, the community-university concept and community-university partnership concept, in EPA because that's how we got started. That's how NEJAC was basically put on the table by folks who were working

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 in universities -- a small number -- and community groups who were saying we need these kinds of support.

I would say that research also needs to be driven in terms of how can research impact policy. That may be a dirty word, but policy can drive a lot of this stuff. In many cases the only science involved in why Black and Latino children are being lead poisoned -- the only science is political science.

So when we talk about science and lead poisoning we're talking political science. Who gets what, when, where and why, and why our kids are still being poisoned when it is preventable. So let's talk about policy also in terms of impacts.

MR. LEE: Thank you. Is that you, Rose Marie?

MS. AUGUSTINE: From a community perspective I would like to say that I read a publication that was -- that came out from ATSDR by Frank Bove and for the first time I saw a cost -- this study was done on a very small percentage of communities that were represented.

I saw for the first time the cost of low productivity and the cost of illnesses in, like I said, in a very small percentage of communities that were studied. The cost of medical care in these communities.

When we look at the ATSDR's TCE subregistry, we're looking at just a very small representation of communities there, there's not that

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-72

11-71

FAX

many communities. But there is a problem in these communities.

When you talk about the Superfund sites, there is a very small representation of communities there because not everybody lives in a superfund site. And then you look and you do tours, the toxic tours, and you see these communities and the people are sick and dying - and we're talking about thousands of communities, we are talking about a sick and dying nation. A nation that has so much illness that we have no idea just how much these communities are suffering, the lost productivity -- the government always likes to look at dollar signs, well, maybe that's what we should start looking into.

You know, how much is it costing? Medical care right now is enormous. Illnesses are a big money-making business. But in communities like ours that don't have clinics, we need to start looking at grants to provide clinics to the communities that don't have adequate health care, don't have clinics.

Some of them don't even have hospitals close by. Maybe they go to a doctor, but they can't afford to buy medication, so what good is it to go to a doctor? They don't have the money for treatment, so they just wait and die. I've seen this a bt in my community.

So we need to start looking at what kind of health care we can bring to them. We need to stop the pollution that's killing the people.

It's a very real problem.

We need to bring the all these agencies that are supposed to be at the table, these interagencies. Where are they? All we see here is EPA.

The Department of Labor. Right now we're really concerned about from welfare to deathfare where employees are being trained to work in dangerous situations and they have no alternative; otherwise they lose all their compensation that they get from welfare. And these are -- who is on welfare? It's usually women, women of color, single parents, who will leave children who are orphaned when they die -- when they get sick and die.

HUD, lead contamination. The Department of Defense. We saw a lot of people coming here yesterday to do presentations, but whereis the Department of Defense?

Where is the accountability of all these agencies that should be at the table today? I know that they're going to be at the table maybe in December. We need to have them at the table now. We need some accountability from these agencies.

MR. LEE: Thank you, Rose Marie.

Richard is here now, so we can ask that you hold your questions and allow him to make his presentation.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

18

19

20

21

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

PRESENTATION BY RICHARD MOORE

MR. MOORE: Good morning, Mr. Chair. I apologize for being late. I was told by the Environmental Protection Agency, actually, that I was to be here at 10:00. So, to me I was here early.

(Laughter.)

MR. MOORE: With respect to the brothers on the panel, I apologize because I actually really wanted to hear -- but I don't want to leave the impression -- the real bottom line is I don't want to leave the impression that the community comes in late because -- you know, without going into it, you know I'm kind of upset, to be real honest with you, and usually it takes me about ten minutes to get excited and now I've come in excited.

So it's going to take me about two minutes to settle down for a minute and then get re-excited.

(Laughter.)

MR. MOORE: Good morning, sisters and brothers. It's good to see everybody this morning.

I think yesterday was an opportunity, and last night the presentations from our sisters and brothers and from networks with the South African delegation, was very significant. I thank our hosts at Spelman University and Clark Atlanta for the activities on Monday, the

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 reception Monday evening, and the tour yesterday and all that's been with community last night.

I think basically within the few minutes that I've been offered to express some opinions, I wanted to start off with us getting an opportunity to understand -- and I know many of you on this advisory committee do understand -- and I won't say all of you because I don't want to be disrespectful because I don't think it's all of you -- so we're going to start off from that premise.

So we're going to take a few minutes and then we're going to kind of work our way up from the bottom, which is where the environmental justice is, and then we're going to do a little community-based health research and we're going to take it from there, and then we're going to have a few minutes at the last for discussion.

Mr. Chairperson, I'm going to do all that within 12 minutes. Okay? (Laughter.)

MR. MOORE: And everybody knows that I've always kept with the timeframe, so I'm going to do that also this morning.

There's several points that we need to understand when we begin to engage the question of health and environmental justice.

One of those is the reality -- is the reality -- that health is an environmental justice issue. So, please, when it comes to our integrity

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-76

11-75

and all that goes with all that, don't attempt to separate for us the question of health and environmental justice.

Health is an environmental justice issue. It's inclusive of all the issues that we're involved in with respect to environment.

So if you look at then the reality of where many of us come from, there's probably four or five primary assaults that we receive in communities. So if you take a look at industry, then -- then, if we look at industry and the impact from industry in our communities from a health standpoint, then we're talking about cancer clusters, we're talking about children being born without arms, children being born without legs.

If you use the example of McFarland, California, if you look at pesticides for example, and I think our brother Fernando from the Farm Labor Organizing Committee would be and the rest of us that understand that -- so you could take McFarland, California or you could move all around to El Paso, Texas, Anthony, New Mexico. You could take a swing into the southeast. You could take a swing throughout this country. And then we could go to Puerto Rico and several other places and deal with the health impact of pesticides and what that means to our sisters and brothers that are not only working in the field but living in the field and the same time then many of the communities that are

surrounding the fields where pesticides are being sprayed.

So then we're told when we deal with the question of health that we've been eating too much chili, too many tortillas -- whether it's red chili, green chili, whether it's beans or whatever it is -- that we're being poisoned -- that we're being poisoned and the reason we're being poisoned is because of the food we eat.

Now, I wanted to say that you early because then in fact there should be a disclaimer. Whether it's African-Americans, whether it's Latinos, whoever it may be, that please don't lay on the table to us any more -- so we would hope that you wouldn't do it -- but I know our sisters and brothers on the Council that come from the same places that we come from would know that if a damn - excuse me, I said I was going to get excited early and it usually takes me two or three minutes -- I apologize, Mr. Chair; I'm going to try to keep my language down.

The reality of the situation is that we're being poisoned, our people are being born without fingers, without arms, children, adults, and whoever it may be, and that the integrity of communities is being put on the line because we're told it's because of the food we eat. So we deal with industry, okay?

When you deal with agribusiness, then you see it. That's what we said. If you're dealing with the question of agribusiness, then if

NATIONWIDE FAX (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4048

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

pesticides are being sprayed, it's industry that's responsible, even in my community where we have been drinking poisoned contaminated water for over 25 years, but we just happened to be a Mexican community, 80 or 90 percent. So then we're expendable people.

It's like throwing the TV away or throwing the VCR away, or whatever it is. These are just a bunch of people, expendable people. Let's work them, let's starve them, let's poison them. Let's do everything that's possible and then they'll just be replaced by all the other people that are in line to either live in this community or to work in these facilities, or whatever it may be.

So it's industry. It's agribusiness. It's military. And then it's county, and state government. State government and federal government. We've got landfills in our community. slaughterhouses in our community. We've got dog food companies in our community. We've got industry. We've got petrochemicals in our community. We've got Intel. We've got -- and you go on with it in the name of environmental injustice and the real name is economic injustice, environmental racism, economic racism. And then in fact we're told that it's because we didn't care.

I'm going to try and keep it short. I'm trying now. It's taking -- you know how it is, if you're dealing with 500 years of history and expected

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 to try to deal with it. I know brother Tom will understand what I'm saying; if we're expected to deal with 500 years of history in ten minutes, then we're supposed to do 100 years - if it's five minutes, that's a hundred years a minute. No? And then if we're given ten minutes to be able to do it, then in fact -- good morning, brother. I didn't see you there. I apologize. I'm moving very fast. It's great to see you again.

I'm not trying to be discourteous to the chair, to the Council, or to the sisters and brothers that are out here understanding in many cases what I'm talking about because many of them live the conditions in the work place and the community that we're dealing with.

I'm going to do community-basedresearch, I'm working my way up towards that. Okay?

(Laughter.)

MR. MOORE: So, look now, if what we said is that there is no reason -- justifiable reason -- why we should be living, working, insulted, or whatever, based on where we come from, it ain't never been about us -- it ain't never been about us that we didn't care about a job.

You know, even in New Mexico they tell us, well, it's an environmental versus a job question. Now, I'd say to you that if we've got 80 percent unemployment in many of our communities, then why in

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-80

11-79

fact is it a job versus the environment question? Okay? We never said we didn't want a job. We never said we didn't want to feed our families.

The reality of the situation is we're going to do it like other people do it, with the same integrity, with the same of everything that goes along with that. So we want good jobs, we want sustainable jobs, we want good communities because we're pretty proud of many of our communities.

We want to live in our communities. It's not about the question of moving or do we want to move or do we want to get run out, or whatever it may be.

So, okay, that's the short version. Okay? You know I also have to mention when it comes to sustainability and the question of health that as we're sitting here today -- and many of you are aware of this -that our sisters and brothers in Puerto Rico were run off, arrested, and still being arrested today in Puerto Rico -- still being arrested -- now, this is a health care issue I'm dealing with, okay? It's about communitybased health research.

The military -- the U.S. military, okay? -- invades the island of Vieques in the name of bombing. Now, who lived there? Who was there before the military got there? Who was there while the military was there?

And so we're today discussing -- we could go on. It could be Texarcana, Texas. It could be West Dallas. It could be Tucson, Arizona. It could be Richmond, California. It could be Viegues, Puerto Rico. It could be whatever, but we're here discussing real issues, no?

So let's not together misunderstand what the reality of our situations are. Now I'm doing it, Mr. Chairperson -- okay?

You know the other thing that's pretty incredible that's happening? How you doing, sister, I haven't seen you for a while. Accept my apologies in speaking to the Chair.

You know, we've been doing research in communities as it relates to health issues for many, many years. Because even if you look at my own neighborhood, in my neighborhood we house the sewage plant, used to house the only slaughterhouse -- I'm talking about 100,000 head of cattle that were housed in my neighborhood. So do you understand what the smell that goes along with that means?

We live downhill -- I'm taking about mosquitoes. We're talking about health. I'm talking about smell. We live downhill from the airport. Well, if that was just the case, then it would be just downhil from the airport.

Now, in Albuquerque, New Mexico then who else, if you landed at that airport, is there? Sandia National Labs, Kirkland Air Force Base.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

20

21

Explosions. Bombs. Underground testing. And all of that that goes with that.

Our water was contaminated -- contaminated -- with nitroglycerine. But yet they said to us that it was our fault. Well, does that sound familiar in New York or South Carolina or North Carolina, wherever it may be?

If we wake up in the moming and our children have blue baby syndrome -- what that means is the oxygen is being sucked out of our young sisters and brothers because our children are drinking from bottles and so on - formula - and then they turn bright blue -- that's why it's called blue baby syndrome -- and then they die or they suffocate to death.

Well, there was a health survey that was done. Just like there was one done in many communities that our sisters and brothers come from. So what happened? Now, we're going to be real -- and I'm going to keep it -- I'm going to move, Mr. Chair -- I'm going to tell you something.

None of us wanted to wake up this morning in a bad mood. None of us wanted to do that. But some of us went to bed last night with a bad mood, and the day before that, and then woke up. Now, look, here is the reason why -- part of it. Okay?

We're being told that it's because of the food that we eat. If you've

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 got -- if you've got to live and work in the conditions that we are in many cases living and working in -- now, I don't want to be disrespectful to anybody and I'm going to mention Los Alamos, New Mexico and then I want to do some of the research stuff for the last few minutes -- now, this is very unfortunate, and we heard part of the testimony yesterday -- if a white community -- I'm sorry, I'm sorry, I'm sorry -- I don't want to hurt anybody's feelings.

But if it was a question of hurt feelings, then we wouldn't even be here talking about it today. If you look at Los Alamos, New Mexico, the fires. There were five fires going on in New Mexico. Let's not forget that. You may only know about one of them.

The government, in the name of everything that -- including the Environmental Protection Agency -- rushed to Los Alamos not for the first time, but for several times, because they had understood that there was a cancer cluster in Los Alamos, New Mexico before the fires happened.

So ATSDR, CBDB, PPPP (sic) -- everybody was rushing to Los Alamos, New Mexico. Nobody wants to have cancer. It's not a moment that you celebrate.

But the unfortunate reality is that within Los Alamos, before the fires, every government agency and institution in the name of whatever

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-84

11-83

it might be, rushed there.

Now, you tell me, for example, whether the same rushness (sic) -okay? -- and I know I'm using words that are not in the dictionary, but it don't matter to me, okay? Because it's what the word means -rushness. Okay? Every governmentagency, institution, whatever it is, then rushed to Los Alamos, New Mexico.

Now, you accept this for whatever it may be. Those folks in Los Alamos -- with all respect to them in the world -- nobody wanted to see the 260 houses burn. But you tell me what government institution in the name of health, or whatever it may be, rushed to Texarcana, Texas, rushed to Tucson, Arizona, rushed to Dallas, Texas, rushed to -- I mean, you go on and on -- Richmond, California -- you could go on and on. And what government institution then, when our sisters and brothers were poisoned and are still poisoned today, rushed to go there? Okay?

Community-based, Mr. Chairperson, we are getting tired. Okay? I'm going to tell you, tired. That's the way we say it. Because if we did surveys, like I said, in my neighborhood, how do you know that this problem is coming from the sewage plant or the pig farm, or whatever it may be? Because we have been doing research for many, many years.

12 13

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

We got a nose. No? We've got a nose. No? And I'm not being disrespectful to any sister or brother that comes from an academic institution. As a matter of fact, we're proud of our sisters and brothers because we had to march on the damn streets to even get them in the university in the first place. Then we're talking about jobs and we're talking about all that that goes along with it

But we didn't never not want a job. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. We really are not concerned about employment nor are we concerned about environment because we're really much more concerned about employment and unemployment and child care and health care and all those things that go along with it.

Now it would be interesting -- we have been told before that we were too busy when it came to environmental issues, that we were too busy being worried about health care and all the other things -- and now what are we supposed to be told now? Now we don't care about health care.

Okay, here we go. You know what I was actually really trying to do was to come in less excited and work my way up to some things that I know that many of you are familiar with. So I'm going to close now. I'm going to close. Unhappidly (sic) I'm going to close -- unhappidly, that's another one, huh? Unhappidly I'm going to close.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

21

19

20

21

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

The reason I say that is because I may be given injustice in the name of justice to all the years -- the years upon years upon years -that we walked streets and we asked our sisters and brothers, it was by accident, "Do you have cancer here? Do you have cancer? Do you have a cancer cluster? What kind of cancer do you have?"

And then we'd walk in Tucson, Arizona. Patsy Oliver, a sister that we lost. Ernie Witt. We could go on down the line and on down the line. And then they insult our integrity, that our research is not based or grounded in anything.

If you've got 50 people on a street that have cancer, then don't come back to us -- whatever that cancer may be -- don't come back to us and tell us that our research is illegitimate. Is illegitimate. Because if you've got a cancer cluster, anywhere in this country in a white middle class community, in an upper middle class community -- and, please, again, understand that it's not aguestion of being anti-white, so please I don't want to hear later on that Richard was over there talking about white folks 'cause that's not where this is coming from. I'm just giving you the basis of the reality of where we come from.

So we walk the streets, we do health surveys, we do whatever. And then it comes back to us in the name of ATSDR, or whoever, the defender of industry in this country - I'm sorry, I don't mean to insult

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 any of my sisters and brothers from a healthy institution, or whatever -and then the government institutions that are supposed to be keeping the mission equal as far as we're concerned -- equal -- we never said we were a special interest group; we never wished cancer upon your community, and we didn't wish cancer upon our community. None of that came anyplace.

So then, if the fact of the reality is that they've got institutions -now, I shouldn't be shaking my leg around that because you see me come in with a cane -- but I was carrying the cane for two reasons. One, because my leg hurts. And, two, if anybody gets too damned nasty, I'm going to put this cane around their neck -- I'm sorry. I'm sorry.

(Laughter.)

MR. MOORE: Barry, I didn't mean to say that. Okay?

(Laughter.)

MR. MOORE: You know, it's got like a little hook here. And so that's like "Get your ass on over here."

(Laughter.)

MR. MOORE: Just in case -- just in case -- we've missed the reality. Because it ain't going to be like that no more. Now I'm going to close. I'm going to close.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-88

11-87

With all respect to you all -- you all because we do "you all" and "yens" and all those things that go along with it -- and to our sisters and brothers that are out here, please understand that I'm not here today -or, we're not here today -- or whether it was vesterday, today or tomorrow -- to insult you folks, our sisters and brothers whatever color they may be that work for ATSDR, CDC -- whatever it may be.

But what we're telling you is, we ain't going to put up with it no more. We're tired of our research as we walk the streets and as we see and identify and develop the realities of the kinds of cancers and other illnesses in our communities and our workplaces -- we're tired of coming to meetings and testifying and testifying and testifying and ain't nothing being done about it.

We're tired -- in fact, we're tired. Tired. Just in case you didn't get it, tired. We're tired of conference calls, of telephone calls, of meetings, of testimony, or whatever it may be, because if there is a health crisis in this country and if those health institutions, along with the other institutions, can move to Los Alamos, New Mexico, or wherever, then you come to our community because we're talking about a health care emergency in this country. Okay?

Unfortunately, if it was in another somebody's community, then it would be a health care crisis and you'd send in the National Guard and the military, whatever, and all those kinds of things. If it was in our communities it wouldn't happen that way.

What we're saying is we don't want to be treated differently; we don't want to be treated unequally. Here would have said that was a special interest group. How does a special interest group -- how can we be a special interest group? If our folk are being poisoned in the workplace, poisoned in the community, if our women, if our sisters, are being exposed to toxics, have health care related problems, they go to work and whatever -- how we going to be a special interest group? So we want to be treated like other people are treated.

And then, if other people are being treated unjustly, then don't run us as a special interest group, as those people of color and indigenous people -- those people of color in fact just want a little bit of help. We don't want no damn -- we're not asking you to do nothing for us in our whole life and we ain't asking nobody to do nothing for us right now.

We want to be treated just like everybody else. And if they are protected, then we want protection, whether it be in the community or whether it be in the workplace.

Thank you, sisters and brothers.

(Applause.)

MR. MOORE: You know, I have to be honest with you, as we

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4048 AMERICAN REPORTERS.INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

(540) 678-4845

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

FAX (540) 678-4048

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

close -- as I close, as we close -- I have to be honest in you in disclosing. What I said was that we're a little tired. So now I have to apologize to you because we're not a little tired; we're damned tired. We're getting ready to get pissed off. And we don't want to be disrespectful to you about it, but we ain't going to put up with it no more.

Now, in closing, just in case we didn't get it --

(Laughter.)

MR. MOORE: -- then, we're going to march, we're going to organize, we're going to protest, we're going to demonstrate. We're going to occupy. We're going to do anything that's necessary to make sure that our sisters and brothers receive the kind of justice that this whole country is supposed to be about promoting.

(Applause.)

MR. MOORE: So I'm telling you that. Now I'm going to -- now, if we have a misunderstanding -- lastly -- lastly -- if we have a misunderstanding, let's not leave here with one because what I said is that we ain't going to keep coming to meetings like this. Okay? We ain't going to do it.

Now, some will and some won't. But what I mean by that is, with all respect to you all, is that - what I said was, Mr. Chairperson, that we're a little tired. So don't confuse -- don't confuse -- the tiredness with

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 the lack of commitment, the morality questions and all that go with it because we're going to build some of the strongest community-based organizations in this country.

We are going to link those organizations and our sisters and brothers from academic institutions and from churches and from government -- we are going to build them one of the strongest movements in the name of environmental and economic justice not only in this country but throughout the world. That's what was significant about our sisters and brothers coming from South Africa, because it's not a separate situation.

If we take out of what is in our community and think that, in the name of whatever it might be, that we're going to dump it in somebody else's community, we're wrong about that.

Now I'm going to seriously close because I want to be respectful to you all, to our sisters and brothers, and to today's activities.

So we're gotten many recommendations. Don't insult us when we put little pins up on maps and we walk the streets and then tell us that it's illegitimate -- first of all, the word is not a word that we have any respect for, okay? -- that our research is illegitimate.

What I started to say is that we've got degrees, unfortunately. We've got degrees in injustice. Okay? Whether it's economical or

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-92

II-91

environmental injustice.

So when it comes to research, then don't think that on our day off we just enjoy going to the dump or the pig farm or the slaughterhouse or the nuclear storage facility, or whatever. Okay?

When we put those pins on the map and folk from U.S. Government institutions, agencies, come and say, "That's not good research," okay? That's not good research.

When we identify, go door to door and identify various kinds of cancer, whatever it might be, don't come back to us and tell us that that's not legitimate research.

When we drive by the sewage plant or the slaughterhouse or the dog food company, or whatever, and we've two nostrils in our noses, like everyone else, and somebody tries to tell us that smell is not coming from the -- that smell that you're talking about is no coming from

As my son said, and I am now closing -- my son said, you know, Pops, in the name of NAFTA or SHAFTA, excuse me, I don't want to be disrespectful -- you know, because it's very difficult for us to not only talk about what's taking place in our community but what's taking place in the world, whether it's health care, whatever that may be.

And you know, he said one day, you know, Pops, I've been born

and raised in this community and we go to school and the National

Guard came in -- the National Guard came into our community -- the National Guard -- okay? -- came in our community in Mountain View -in the community of Mountain View in Albuquerque, New Mexico -- the National Guard. We had been drinking contaminated water for over 25 years, but we're expendable people. No? We're just a bunch of Mexicans, whatever it may be.

You know, it's like working in the -- it's like when our children are being born without brains and then trying to compare it to green chili and red chili and tortillas and beans. Okay? We're tired of it.

Thank you all for the time to be here this morning. I really honestly can't apologize to you for being late because I was told to be here at 10:00 okay? And I was ten minutes early. Just to be clear for the record, Mr. Chair, because we started by saying that those community folk don't care.

So with respect to you and oursisters and brothers out here today, keep up the good work. Those of you that are working in agencies -agencies -- raise hell. Yes, you don't want to lose your job, and we don't want to lose ours either. Okay? But what we're saying is, that if you know about it, if you know what's happening, then you've got a responsibility too. I'm sorry.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 (540) 678-4845

AMERICAN REPORTERS.INC. WASHINGTON-METRO

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

20

21

You know, when I came through this door of this hotel I said I'm not looking for a consultant job; I'm not an environmental justice consultant. Hundreds of them outthere. Never seen them marching up and down the street.

(Applause.)

MR. MOORE: Never seen them. But all of the sudden they're environmental justice consultants. They're there to speak in our names and say what's best for us. Huh?

So, with all respect to you, sisters and brothers, whether you work in a workplace -- and if that workplace is the DOE or the DOD, or whatever it might be -- the EPA -- tell them you ain't going to put up with it no more. Okay? Because if you know, like we know, what's happening to us, and if you don't step forward, then you've got to look in the mirror at yourself the morning. Okay? And it ain't a good looking mirror, sisters and brothers. Okay? It's not.

Lastly -- again -- thank you to the delegation from South Africa. Sisters and brothers, it's great to have you here. The same "chevron" facility -- I'm not supposed to name names -- the same "chevron" facility that we visited in South Africa that was poisoning our sisters and brothers is that same "chevron" facility that is poisoning our sisters and brothers in Richmond, California. We know you don't forget it and I can

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 guarantee you that we have not forgotten it either.

Thank you all for the opportunity to have these few minutes. Charles -- Mr. Charles Lee -- the Chair, Barry, Sister, and you all, I apologize if I was rambling, but I ain't rambling. Okay? I've never been a rambler. I've been pissed off when we've been organizing. Okay? That's where I'm at.

Thank you for your time and with all respect to our sisters and brothers here, keep up the good work, don't put up with it. If this one ain't going right, then you tell them that this one ain't going right either and we ain't going to put up with this no more.

Thank you all for time you allowed me to speak.

(Applause.)

MR. LEE: Thank you, Richard. I think that we wanted someone to talk to us about the - with a sense of passion and urgency that this issue conveys. I think you got that message very clearly to us.

I think we need to be moving on because the next panel is -- we're actually running about 20 minutes behind time. So I want to close and just kind of point out a few things that are probably important for the NEJAC to think about based on these couple of presentations.

It's been said that doing science from the point of view of community-based research is a way of doing science that would

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-96

11-95

achieve results that can't be achieved in any other way.

I really think that is very true, and the answer why that would be the case would be very instrumental in getting us closer to understanding the relationships between environmental polluting and disease in those communities. I think part of the reason for this overview is to try to bring us to a better understanding of that.

The second is that if you think -- that this is an important model to achieve the results that we're striving for, how can a community-based health model be replicated across many, many communities across the country.

So those are the two questions that I think are outstanding questions based upon this panel. I'm sorry we're not going to have time to really get into that, but I wanted to leave with those two questions.

The next panel -- and I would ask if they would come forward -- I want to first of all ask everyone to thank our three presenters.

(Applause.)

MR. LEE: Our next panel in your book is entitled Lessons from the Field: What strategies and areas of research should be pursued to achieve more effective, integrated community-based health assessment intervention and prevention efforts.

Basically, like we said, since 1994 a wealth of experience and

knowledge has been accumulated with regard to community-based health research in the area of environment. Some focus on communications and partnerships, and capacity building; others focus on the community assessments; and others still focus on prevention and intervention strategies.

We asked this panel to come together, basically persons from different backgrounds who have experience in doing community-based health research, who will present their experiences recommendations on strategies and targeted research that would most effectively advance this integrated community-based health assessment intervention and prevention model.

First is Carlos Porras from Communities for a Better Environment in Los Angeles; David Carpenter from the University of Albany School of Public Health; Katsi Cook from the Akwesasne Nation; and Ray Campion from the Mickey Leland Air Research Center.

I would ask, Carlos, for you to begin.

MR. GELOBTER: Mr. Chair and Charles, if I could just ask -- not to change the program or anything, but if we could -- I really want to thank the prior panelists and just say that because of the time constraint if we could at some point -- if we are able to find time later, if they are able to be around some so that we could address some questions to the

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 AMERICAN REPORTERS.INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

(540) 678-4048

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

presentations we received -- at some point after we've heard a little bit more if we could find some time where we might ask a couple of questions anyway.

MR. LEE: Absolutely.

MR. GELOBTER: Particularly for Richard who was the last presenter and there wasn't some time. Just that we talk to them and have them be around maybe this afternoon where we'd have a little more time that we could ask them more general questions and have their input.

MR. LEE: Absolutely.

MR. GELOBTER: Thank you.

MR. LEE: Carlos.

PANEL 2

LESSONS FROM THE FIELD

PRESENTATION BY MR. CARLOS PORRAS

MR. PORRAS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I want to thank everyone on the NEJAC and everyone who has put together the opportunity today.

I'd like to start out by referring back to the quote of whether there is a direct correlation between the environment and public health. In my presentation I'd like to focus in on three particular areas: community-

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 based, community-driven research; data gaps; and some of the prevention and intervention strategies from the perspective of an organized community.

The overheads that I will present are basically some research that was conducted through the fortunate opportunity to have one of the NIEHS partnership grants, and it is a research of the Los Angeles area, in particular the urban communities in southeast L.A. county.

The map that you see here is a map of Los Angeles County with the lighter colors being zero to 40 percent communities of color and the darker red anywhere from 80 to 100 percent communities of color, and the dots being the toxic release inventory facilities, which clearly shows a pattern with respect to the distribution of TRI facilities in L.A. County.

I would point out in this map that you kind of expect in the urban core of L.A. County the conglomeration of these facilities, but if you look at the patterns of race in the outskirts of L.A. County, you see a similar pattern with the TRI facilities.

This is just one tool that we have been using to further some solutions in L.A. County, and I will come back to what some of those solutions have been.

But part of what is not there -- when we start talking about data gaps, we're looking here at a national emissions inventory database that

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-100

11-99

is superimposed on L.A. County. That does not give us a complete picture.

So, if you'll go to the next overhead, you can see what we did in looking at a more localized portion of L.A. County, southeast L.A. in the core of L.A. County. Next one, please. This is what it begins to look

This is taking some more local databases from the State of California and regional databases from the South Coast Air Quality Management District and we start to develop our arguments for cumulative exposure which is the purpose of our research -- is to give attention to the need for cumulative exposure policy in the regulatory communities

But, again, we have some data gaps. If you look at the next overhead, we did a physical inventory -- physical inventory with our community members walking the streets to document and list everything that was in this quarter mile radius of Huntington Park. Seventy percent of the industries and facilities that were documented in this particular part of Huntington Park were not reporting to any agency. So there is a huge data gap.

Now, what we did is we used the hazard index protocolto just take seven of the sources in this particular part of the research and do

cumulative exposure for respiratory outcome because this part of Huntington Park is known as "Asthma Town."

Using that hazard index, which is a ranking of one to five with anything above a one having significant impact to respiratory outcome, we had 73 -- 73.

Now is there any question why asthma mortality in our communities is doubling and tripling? No. But what are we told when we raise the question about asthma in our communities? Cockroaches and indoor air.

Seven facilities contributing to a hazard index 73 times higher than what the regulatory agency considers safe. The next one, please.

Before I move on to this, I'd like to start out by pointing out some of the prevention/intervention solutions. Now, we are very passionate about the work that we do, but we're also willing to seek alternative solutions. Be they policy solutions, technology solutions, legal solutions. We'll use those tools with an organized community that has the power to use those tools.

Now, the policy solution that came as a result of this research work is significant. We were able to convince the South Coast Air Quality Management District to reopen their toxics policies which they established five years ago even with us at the table with our advocacy.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

21

18

19

20

21

Now, the toxics policy -- and we keep coming back to the need to make sound science decisions -- and I heard earlier Dr. Bullard raise political science. This rule, when it was adopted, using cancer threshold levels -- when it was adopted we were there and we said anything above one in a million is significant to us. One death in our community is significant from any facility.

Industry mobilized and requested that the policy set for cancer threshold be set at 50 in a million.

Now, the agency, wanting to find the soft middle ground, was recommending 10. Two days of testimony, what was the policy set at? One hundred. Double what industry wanted. Double.

Now, where is the sound science? Where is the sound science? Fortunately, in the year 2000 when we finally brought this rule back, when we went back to the South Coast Air Quality Management District, we went back with 400 people from all the communities in LA. armed and empowered with knowledge and the tools of science. And we were able to change that rule.

Now, to give even more credibility to community-based research, I want you to look at this picture, this graphic, which is the illustration on the cover of our report "Holding Our Breath." This is Suva Elementary School, Bell Gardens, California, one of the communities in that

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 research area. In the backdrop a chrome plating facility next to the sandlot in the playground of the elementary school.

So we had to do some research here. And let me talk about some community-based research which was conducted by theteachers of this school because they were having several miscarriages and asked the health department to investigate and they refused.

So they did their own research amongst the teachers in the surrounding communities recorded high incidences of miscarriage, high incidences of infertility, high incidences of birth defects and deformities, of deformed fetuses.

That was not enough. So ten years later we get a request from the parents of Alex Perales who at the age of 14 died from cancer and the parents were coming to us saying, we know of at least 16 other kids in the last couple of years that have died of cancer, students of this school. Six or seven teachers and administrators. Can you help us?

Well, let's do some research. What does that research tum up? That in 1988 when the teachers research was found to be not credible because it didn't employ "sound science methodology" they did some air monitoring right here in the playground of this school and took an air sample that recorded the highest recorded levels concentrations of hexabetachromium ever recorded in the South Coast Air Basic. That's

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

II-104

II-103

four counties.

Utilizing that to force the State of California Department of Toxic Substance Control to come to Suva and do some looking into, which they did not want to and the school district did not want them to, and the air district rolled off as ten-year old problems that had been solved, but armed with the parents and protests we finally forced the state, with the help of some federal agencies, to come over here.

Now, there were some samples taken here. But the school district had been doing some clean-up. So most of those samples came back clean. But there were two samples that were very dirty. Dust wipe samples.

As it turns out, during the sampling period the agency allowed Joe Perales, the father of Alex, to pick two samples. Joe Perales asked for the top of a soap dispenser in the gym and inside the air conditioning ducts where he, armed with his own knowledge, knew if there was a clean-up, it would have been difficult to get. And those two samples came out the dirtiest of all. Most of the other samples were non-detect.

Now, the school was cleaned up, but there's a problem yet, to be done.

And so, again, we will use the solutions available to us, including policy, technology. We're trying to work currently with the USC School of Engineering on developing new technologies for some of our smaller businesses which are community-based businesses, small mom-andpop shops, but still contributing.

We'll use the legal solutions when it's necessary.

The point -- the key point -- that I think is very important is that the solutions are much more forthcoming when the community voice is using it.

Now, we want to talk about data gaps? Let's also include the data gaps in the limits of science, such as we still don't have good cumulative exposure science, much less synergistic. I'm glad to see that we're moving ahead in recognizing socioeconomic status factors, finally, but that's just on a new horizon.

What about the assumptions that go into risk assessment? These are data gaps, very real data gaps.

The vulnerabilities and susceptibilities of children to these environmental attacks? Data gaps.

I would like to close up my comments by commending the EPA and the other agencies who are in attendance here. But let's remember -- and I want to remind the NEJAC and remind us all -- that our communities are not yet treated equally, even today, and we are now in the 21st century and there are communities right now fighting with

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS.INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

17

18 19

20

21

ATSDR to come and do a health assessment in our communities. Still unrecognized.

We still have policy programs being put forward, market-based solutions for our communities. The market has never been sensitive to our poverty. And if we don't have the money to buy clean air, we're supposed to live with this toxic air? I don't think so.

Let us remind ourselves that after all of these years of the NEJAC where is the interagency work group? What have they done yet?

This system is still a stacked deck and we have to recognize it, with its health disparities.

Finally, I was glad to see -- not glad, but kind of -- it brought up the point in my mind, in the earlier presentation, of Marcus Vitruvius, let us remember, EPA and other agencies and institutions here, and let us, the people, not forget, this architect created the water systems for the Roman Empire, and those water systems of delivery took them down from lead contamination.

So I want to thank the NEJAC and remind us all that yes, we've made some steps forward, but we're not there yet and we're going to keep marching until we get there.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO

FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

MR. LEE: Thank you. Next I want to ask Katsi Cook from the Akwesasne Nation to present.

PRESENTATION BY MS. KATSI COOK

MS. COOK: (Inaudible. Language other than English.)

Thank you to the Mother Earth, that you know the way, and teach us, continue to teach us the way. Thank you to the water, you love the

It always amazes me to come to meetings like this where we have to go over again and again that the environment and human health are the same. In my community, even before Marcus Vitruvius in Greece was making these connections between water and human health, my own people then, and continue even now --

MR. LEE: Can you speak into the microphone because this is being recorded.

MS. COOK: -- to celebrate the relationship of the natural world to human health, to wellness and balance. And so the notion of a paradigm shift in the social context of science where human beings and the natural world could be viewed as integral parts of one another.

It's really important that we continue to have these kinds of meetings and sessions, and thank you for having this meeting here today. I'm grateful to see again my colleagues in the environmental

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

II-108

II-107

justice movement.

My community at Akwesasne is the Mohawk Nation, one of the many communities of the Mohawk Nation that straddle the U.S.-Canadian border at the 45th parallel.

I've a heard a lot of talk about equality this morning. For us, we think of ourselves as nations, families, and communities in a way that I think is different from most of the people who are here. Yes, we have been made citizens of the U.S. Government, but we still continue to follow the ways that we're instructed to follow, and will continue to do SO.

And so, in that way ofthinking, we recognize our relationship to the natural world, to this universe, and continue to use that relationship as the source of our health and well-being. And we see that in this industrial society how those relationships are being severed by the reality of toxic contamination of the natural world and of human beings.

So that kind of thought needs to be put out there right away, that our people in our communities deal with this, this reality of toxic contamination, is another compromise of our rights as Native Peoples.

New York State where our lands and territories lie, is the state in these United States with the largest amount of land claims, and those claims are directly related to the issues of environmental health. We

can't move, as other communities have had to. Our people still reside on the 52 square acres -- or, 52,000 acres, where we've always resided since after the Revolutionary War.

We see the totality of issues here when we look at environmental health connections, that whether we're talking about toxic contamination, land claims, health care, medical care -- all of that is the same. We look at those relationships and the interconnectedness of those issues.

In 1983 when Akwesasne was designated a Superfund site and talked about being the largest PCB dump in the country, we already had a generation of Mohawk people who were activists, journalists with connections to academic institutions.

So we organized ourselves, and by the time the NIEHS meeting at Crystal City in Virginia happened, we had already made those connections with academia and those state organizations that in the past we had had great barriers to communicate with because of land claims issues and the historical struggle of the Haudenosaunee People, of whom the Mohawk Nation is one member nation, have had a long history of problems with.

So it was following that path of creating a relationship to academia and finding key individuals such as Dr. David Carpenter sitting here to

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

NATIONWIDE

AMERICAN REPORTERS.INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

(800) 929-0130

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

21

19

20

21

my left -- because just as we were all excited by the passion of the speaker this morning, Robert Moore, I wanted to say that to you this morning, that it's in the passion of individuals that these changes occur, whether they are in industry or ingovernment or in communities. It's the passion of the individual that carries these changes, the capacity for change forward.

So, in finding committed individuals and institutions who will look at the long-term commitment instead of the funding opportunity -- a fouryear grant, a two-year program -- it's looking at that long-term that's important.

I think one of the main strategies that we used at Akwesasne of doing multi-disciplinary research even before heterm "multi-disciplinary" became embedded into the thinking of agencies in looking at toxic contamination, we began to look at food chain issues, communicating with wildlife pathologists as well as epidemiologist and biochemists. So the multi-disciplinary nature of these issues is really important.

I encourage -- I reiterating the thoughts of Carlos Porras and other speakers today -- that this research that happens needs to be multidisciplinary, multi-agency; that the federal agencies do need to work together in focusing on these environmental justice communities, these sites, where a lot of work has been done and continues to be done.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

I can't help, as an aboriginal, but to filter these ideas through the microcosm of birth. In the English language, midwife means "with the woman." But in our language it means she's pulling the baby out of the water or a dark, wet place -- out of the earth. And so the relationship, again, of human health to environmental quality is something that is embedded in our culture.

The social and cultural aspects of toxic contamination and human health are something that investigators in my community are following up on in the NIEHS environmental justice grant and that we need to continue our thinking in the community about how to deal with these issues beyond, again, just the limits of science and the limits of funding agencies and the changing winds of government.

I sit here today to basically reinforce those things that have been said in other meetings and in the meetings today, that other government agencies need to better understand environmental justice principles and to work together to feed and support connections and contacts and networks into these communities so that we can maintain sustainability in our work.

These contacts in the scientific community are essential for communities to decide what their future is going to be and how to deal with these issues.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

II-112

II-111

Knowing today that recently a cousin in my community was diagnosed -- she's younger than I am; in her early 40s -- with miosistis (phonetic) psoriatic arthritis and rheumatoid arthritis, she lives in a part of our reservation that is contaminated over the years with fluoride. She grew up there at the time when a researcher from Cornell University was looking at fluoride ash deposits on Cornwall Island and its destruction of the agricultural subsistence economy there.

So, the relationship of fluoride to her immune system-based diagnosis is a concern. It is a concern just today, as well as the concerns of many of the women in the Mohawk community, regarding limits to fish consumption, the loss of traditional agriculture and food supply and the occupational exposures that our men experience in their workplaces in local industry and in other industries.

I offer my encouragement and add my voice to what's been said, that the agencies need to continue the University Community Partnership Grants. It's been in quilting together these different agency supports that our community has really been able to take a serious look, a broad based look.

One of the problems that I've seen is that a lot of the research is guided by what's hot in science. Recently we were unable to renew a Superfund grant because the demand by NIEHS was to look at genetic

indicators in humans and blood testing that would have been done wasn't acceptable to our community because we have a real problem with how science colonizes and behaves in a imperialistic way, has in the past, and will continue to do so in subtle and not subtle ways in the Native Community.

And so because we couldn't respond to that aspect, other parts of our research, our need for data from how our children who were born to mothers that participated in a breast milk study in the middle '80s who are now in puberty, how they're doing, was denied.

And so I encourage EPA and other agencies to look beyond just the science that's driven by the need of industry and of this genetic testing that's going on. That we need to look to support areas of immunology and neurobehavioral sciences too. We can't leave those aspects of scientific research behind in the name of this new way of thinking, that genetic engineering is going to solve all of our problems in medical care.

So those are all the words I have for now. Thank you.

MR. LEE: Thank you.

(Applause.)

MR. LEE: We're going to ask Dr. Carpenter to speak next. As Katsi said, it turned out that Dr. Carpenter and Katsi Cook are involved

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

in a partnership together. Looking at the experiences from that would be, I think, very beneficial for us.

Before Dr. Carpenter starts, we are trying to make sure that we're staying on time. Therefore, we've asked that there be a timekeeper come up to help you pace yourself. We're asking each of the speakers to speak for ten minutes, and the timekeeper will help you accordingly.

David.

PRESENTATION BY DR. DAVID CARPENTER

DR. CARPENTER: Thank you. Katsi has given a good introduction to the situation at Akwesasne.

I should say that I think that the Mohawk National at Akwesasne and the University of Albany and the New York State Department of Health have been working together since the mid-'80s, and this was really long before the terms "environmental justice" and "communitybased research" became popular. That's not to say that it's always been easy, that everything has been successful.

What I'd like to do today is present some of the background of our relationship, our projects, and what we have each learned from each other. If I could have the first overhead, please.

Katsi indicated that Akwesasne is at the New York-Quebec-Ontario junction. It's a relatively small reserve that's right on the St. Lawrence

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 River. I'm afraid you can't see that very well. The point is that it's immediately adjacent to the General Motors foundry site, a national priority site, and there are two additional aluminum foundries just upriver from the Akwesasne. All of them used PCBs as hydraulic fluids, and the result is contamination of the traditional fishing grounds of the Mohawks. If we could go on to the next overhead.

It simply shows some of the levels of PCBs in the fish that not only was the major protein source of food for the people in this community, but also was the major occupation. Again, I don't know that you can see the numbers.

If you recall, the advisories on fish consumption are either one or two parts per million, and in my own judgment those advisories are way, way too high. They were set 20 or more years ago before we knew anywhere near what we know presently about the health hazards of consumption of PCBs.

Their numbers for Local Creek and Turtle Creek and you see values -- average values -- as high as 20. In the St. Lawrence River a little further downstream, you see values in different species of fish.

I think one of the points here is that even for a community it is important that they have information. Not all of those species of fish have significantly elevated values of PCBs and one really can't

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

II-116

II-115

condescendingly tell communities, don't do this, do that, do this. What we need to do is provide communities with information so that there are some species that are not so highly contaminated, others are highly contaminated.

In this community when the state and federal agencies made recommendations, the elders and the chiefs in the community advised the community to stop eating fish. They did so with a certain price to their culture, but with an improvement on health quality. The next overhead, please.

Now, our initial studies -- well, this simply shows that most people think that fish get contaminated by eating dirt and soils. These are caged carp put in contaminated waters. It goes from the right to the left with increasing time. These animals were fed clean food. demonstrates that fish can absorb the PCBs directly from the water. The next overhead, please. I'm going to have to rush along here a little bit.

This is PCBs in the air. Most people neglect the fact that you can breathe in these things and absorb them through the lungs. This is air monitoring near the contaminated sites as a function of the seasons. We found the highest levels in June, not necessarily the hottest month of the year, but the month at which the wet sediments are evaporating

and the PCBs are going into the air. Next, please.

This is an indication of the PCB congeners present in some 35 Mohawk women. What you're looking at in the axis on the right side are different individuals; the x-axis are the different PCB congeners. At this time we measured about 71 congeners. They go from the far left, being those with a few chlorines; those on the far right have more chlorines.

The point is that PCBs are a mixture of a number of compounds and they don't all have the same health effects. What we see, if you just look at this pattern, is that some individuals are exposed primarily to more lower chlorinated congeners, which suggests they may breathe these in because those are the more volatile, whereas others have a mixture that's more similar to the mixture that was used at the chemical companies. Next, please.

Now, our original study, which Katsi was important in beginning the original study was really exposure assessment. Do mothers at Akwesasne that eat locally-caught fish have more PCBs in their breast milk which then is going to go to their child both through breast feeding and through prenatal exposure? Do they have more PCBs than other people?

We did a study where we compared women in the middle of New York state that didn't eat a lot of contaminated fish with Mohawks.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

16

17

18

19

20

21

What you see in the period on the left, between 1986 and 1990 there was a clear significant elevation in breast milk concentrations among Mohawks. But after the Mohawks stopped eating the fish, after 1990, that relationship went away.

Let's skip the next viewgraph and go on to the one after that.

Part of this was a matter of people learning how to eat the fish. This shows the process, both the number of fish meals in the early period when people were eating fish, and then later when people learned how to trim off the fat and the skin to live a healthier lifestyle. The next one, please.

Now, one of the things I want to really emphasize and go into the last few minutes of my talk is how valuable the experience has been to both those of us in the academic community and those from the community that was impacted by the environmental contamination.

From the very beginning, the Mohawks are a pretty empowered people; they don't take a lot of grief from anybody. They have had some previous experiences of working with academics that did not give information back to the community. Beginning with Katsi Cook and also the other leaders in the community, the Mohawk community established an Akwesasne Task Force on the Environment, which was basically a gatekeeper for research to be done within the community.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

This is statements from Henry Lickers who was the environmental leader on the Canadian side of the reserve, giving basically fundamental principles -- if you want to work with our community, you must understand our culture, you must understand our religion, you must respect that -- and I'll go on to that in a little bit. These principles were things that we, as the academics, were taught by the community.

Now, we tried also to teach communities -- the members of the communities -- some aspects of, you know, what are the health effects of PCBs, what are the things to be concerned about, how can you avoid excessive exposure. But these are the principles that we were taught.

And if we go on, I think probably the single-most important -- the next slide, please -- the most important formulation of what we, as academics, and the community have agreed as being an important way to proceed is this. There are three key aspects of successful work between researchers and a community, and they are respect, equity, and empowerment. And if we go on, the last three simply go through these in some detail.

What is respect? Respect is respecting individuals for who they are; not judging people just by the degrees they have. You can't read this; it doesn't really matter; it's to remind me to describe it.

Respect is recognizing the humanity of individuals, recognizing

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

II-120

II-119

that people in a community have a better sense of what are the health problems in that community than I have from four hours away.

It's respect for culture, for tradition. It's respect for religion. And it's -- in fact, what we have found is that our philosophies are so totally compatible. We were told at one of our first retreats at the Akwesasne Reserve that one of the principles of Native American beliefs is that the water of our bodies is contiguous with the water of the earth -- if the water of the earth is contaminated, our bodies are contaminated. That is what environmental health is all about.

The next is trust. I'm sorry, the next is equity; trust is what comes from all of this.

Equity means that if one is going to do a research collaboration with communities, it has to be a collaboration. There has to be some return to the community. You don't just write your papers. You don't just do your own thing for your own promotions and benefit. You employ people in the community. You involve people in the community. You train people in the community.

And, lastly, empowerment. Empowerment basically means that you work toward the goal of ultimately being unnecessary to the community because you're empowering the community to take charge of its own affairs.

So I think we've learned an enormous amount from this collaboration. We haven't solved all the problems. Our last five years has been, for the first time focused not on exposure assessment, but on health effects.

Let me just say a final word about that in closing because PCBs are like lead. PCBs reduce IQ of unborn children, and do so in an irreversible fashion. This is not just a problem with indigenous communities, because there are many immigrant and many innercity African-American communities where fishing is a way of life and there's a river that flows through the center of the city that's highly contaminated with these and other substances.

While PCBs don't cause acute death, you don't do a guick body count, they ultimately cause cancer, they disrupt the immune system, they disrupt thyroid function, they disrupt the sex steroid function, and in my judgment the most serious, is they cause an irreversible and permanent decrement in IQ and shortened attention span.

These are serious issues. They need to be addressed. But communities need to be informed: they need to make their own decisions.

Just earlier this month I was part of a meeting on Arctic health in Alaska focused for the most part on Native Alaskan communities where

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 AMERICAN REPORTERS.INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

FAX

18

19

20

21

the concern there was the level of contamination in the traditional foods. especially marine mammals. Don't tell us whether or not to eat them, that's our decision to make, but inform us what the health issues are so that we can make a rational decision balancing our traditional way of life and our culture against the environmental threats to the health and for the future.

I think these lessons are really what we have learned as academics, and we're beginning to get information about health effects. We now know, for example, that although the Mohawk children age 10 to 16 don't have exceptionally high levels of PCBs, there is a direct and inverse relationship between their level of thyroid hormone and their serum PCB levels. These are serious health issues and we need to work together with the communities to understand them.

Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

MR. LEE: Thank you, Dr. Carpenter.

Next we'll have Dr. Ray Campion from the Mickey Leland Air Toxics Research Center in Houston.

PRESENTATION BY DR. RAY CAMPION

DR. CAMPION: Thank you. Mr. Lee, Mr. Chairman, and Members of the Advisory Council, thank you for this opportunity to --

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 MR. TURRENTINE: Pull the microphone closer, please.

DR. CAMPION: Can't get it much closer. Is this okay? Okay.

I'd like to tell you in about ten minutes or so what the Mickey Leland National Urban Air Toxics Research Center is about, and I must say, I have some trepidation after hearing some of the prior speakers' concerns about have we done too much research. But I think there's some exciting new developments in that area that I think speak to this whole issue of whether or not air toxics in urban areas are causing public health effects.

Just a word about the political science before we get to the other science. The Leland Center, or the National Urban Air Toxics Research Center, was authorized in the Clean Air Act amendments of 1990. I think it's instructive, if you look at the Air Act, you'll find that the authorization for the Leland Center comes just after the requirement that's put on EPA to come back and assess the public health risks associated with air toxics after the controls have been in effect for about ten years.

So our job, as we see it, is to provide the data for EOA to make those risk assessments around this time of year -- or, around this time, I should say, over the next several years.

Our organization is very small. We do contract research work or

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

II-124

II-123

grant research work. Our board of directors is appointed by -- three members by the President, three by the Speaker of the House, three by the Senate Majority Leader. They appoint a 13-member scientific advisory panel which is composed of nationally prominent scientists. including EPA scientists, several -- two orthree -- from industry, and the bulk of them from the academic community.

The scientific advisory panel actually selects the research that we are to do. We develop the actual elements of the proposals, and then they are let out for bid.

I'm going to stress the word "peer" reviewed because we've heard it several times before. It's very important to the kinds of things that are being discussed here today because unless the results of the science are truly peer reviewed, they run into trouble down the line in terms of the acceptance by the scientific and medical public health communities, and even more importantly and ultimately, in the courts because many of the things we've heard about today have not met those challenges, have not met those elements of proof, and I think that's the reason why some of the things we're talking about today are so important.

As I mentioned and you heard earlier from one our researchers, Dr. Kinney from Columbia, most of our nine studies that we have underway are community-based. And that's another term we can talk about relative to the questions because I don't want to get into that too deeply with the limited time available.

We have nine programs underway, as I mentioned. They are studies of the volatile organic chemical levels in urban areas. They're studies that involve methodology development to allow us to assess these levels of contaminant in homes. We stress the levels of toxics in the outside air, the inside air, and the personal exposures.

I think if we have contributed anything to this whole area it is in the development of methodology to allow personal exposures to be assessed over one to two days by an individual if he or she wears this device, which is about the size of a credit card, for 24 or 48 hours. We can now determine what that individual has been exposed to in terms of volatile organic chemicals, oxygenated organic chemicals, aldehydes, whatever.

Finally, we are working on some additional monitoring development that would allow particulate matter -- and especially the metals on fine particulates - also to be assessed in this relatively inexpensive and people-friendly or user-friendly manner.

I think the studies we have underway in Houston and in New York and in Los Angeles -- and some smaller studies getting underway are in Baltimore -- that are community-based will address those issues of

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

17

18

19

20

21

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

how much people are exposed to in the indoor, outdoor and personal environment air.

I think that's the critical nature of what we're doing. That hasn't been done before because it has been expensive to do. We have the trust of some of the communities that our researchers are working in to allow the people to participate in that study and we like to tell them all that they're going to be told about the results as quickly as possible.

Some of the results are surprising as they're coming out. I did not bring data with me because we recognize there is limited time here, but in all the studies -- and this is not new -- in all the studies indoor air showing much higher levels of the air toxics of the 188 or as many of the 188 as we can assess -- much higher levels than outdoor.

One of the hypothesis-driven research studies that we have is what is the effect of outdoor sources on indoor and personal air. That's a critical study. As I said, it's hypothesis-driven so the researchers are attempting to prove that hypothesis.

The study that Dr. Kinney is conducting in high schools and middle schools in New York City is a very interesting and informative study relative to what these kids are exposed to throughout the day. Not just when they're in the school, but when they're at home watching TV or traveling -- even, more important, traveling in New York City with the

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS.INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 various sources of toxics that they're going to encounter.

We are also involved in the NHANES study, which is a study that is carried out by the National Center for Health Statistics that we're very excited about. We're the first non-government organization that is participating in NHANES.

We have convinced NHANES to allow us to use our badges (sic) with the people they are sampling relative to health effects in terms of nutrition and diseases and that kind of thing. So now, for the first time, we'll have a picture over 48 hours of what those folks who are coming in having their blood pressure and other elements of their system examined and what their personal environment is in terms of air toxics. So that's a pretty significant one.

We have the support -- our support base is from EPA. We have a congressional appropriation through the EPA budget and we access that through the Office of Research and Development at EPA. Our relationship with EPA I think is a pretty positive one at this stage since the research we're doing is complementary to what EPA is doing.

The personal exposure research has not been a key element of EPA's research program and they've told us that they will pick up some of that as we continue to develop and the needs become clearer.

The major element that I'm trying to stress here is that we do have

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

II-128

II-127

a new technique relative to personal exposures on people. We have to select the communities and the people that we will want to test. In all of these research programs that we let, they are peer reviewed, they are peer reviewed several times before they are let, after the results come in and when they go to the literature.

Our research product -- or, I should say, the product of the Leland Center -- is singular. The results have to be published in the scientific literature and they have to be peer-reviewed to be published. So that's our only work product, is getting that material into the literature and having those folks who are involved in making risk assessments have that material available to them in a manner that they can rely on, let's say, in terms of the peer review.

Some people have criticized, or some people in the NEJAC area have said, well, as long as you have industry support, we question whether or not your results are credible. Our industry support financially is about ten percent of our total budget; 90 percent of it comes from EPA.

We have EPA scientists on our scientific advisory panel. We have representatives from all organizations on our board of directors, which is appointed by the Congress and the President.

I think the critical point is that these folks are involved in the design

and development of the program, and they have to live with the results. So their participation is critical to this. We have not had a problem at

I think it's key that we continue that. We do not have any dedicated research monies in the sense that it's all fungible -- we let these programs independent of who wants to have whatever study because only our scientific advisory panel determines what it is that we do research on.

this point in terms of anybody criticizing the output of our research.

We have defined two research niches that we are heavily involved in. One of them is personal exposure research which we've just talked about. The second is non-cancer health effects.

We have decided to stay away from the cancer area primarily because it takes so long to get the data out. We are young organization hoping to continue to succeed relative to the finances, and we are trying to link some of this personal exposureresearch ultimately to non-cancer health effects.

We have two studies which we've just started -- they're epidemiological studies -- one at Harvard and one at Washington State University, which are directed towards the health effects of workers in one case and citizens in another case and their exposure to fine particulate. There are a number of metal air toxics on fine particulates

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

19

20

21

that we are particularly interested in investigating.

Finally, our new research initiatives which are just getting under way are: asthma, asthma as affected by air toxics. We are leveraging an EPA study and hope to do personal exposure research on the students in a school district in Houston which is downwind of some major source. We hope to get a handle there in a good statistically sound peer-reviewed way of the kinds of exacerbation of asthma that could result from exposure to air toxics.

I've kind of run through a number of issues relative to our research program and tried to do that in a manner that would plant the seed for some questions. I'll be happy to address those. Again, we do appreciate the opportunity to share this with you. Thank you.

(Applause.)

MR. LEE: Thank you.

Before I ask that we give a round of applause to all the presenters, I want to say that Professor Richard Gragg from Florida A&M University, the Environmental Justice Inequity Center, will not be able to make it. We wanted him to give a perspective because this is a center that was created by the Florida State Legislature and he does a lot of work in Florida with the various Florida departments. Due to a death in the family he is not able to make it.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

Why don't we, like I said, give a round of applause to all the presenters.

(Applause.)

MR. LEE: We'll open it up for guestions. We're going to try -- I know there were a number of people that weren't able to ask their questions the last time so we're going to try to follow that order.

Marinelle.

MS. PAYTON: Thank you. And I'd like to thank the panel. As you perhaps are aware, the Health and Research Subcommittee will be somewhat continuing this discussion tomorrow morning, and all of you are invited.

My question is a specific and focused one. It's one question. I'd like for each of you to briefly address the question, if you please.

Based on your individual research and lessons learned from your own studies -- in addition to that also in considering global research -what areas and strategies of research would you consider to be very important in pursuing in order that one could achieve a much more collaborative integrated community-based health assessment intervention and prevention program?

DR. CAMPION: Of course, I'm prejudiced in that area because I do feel that the personal exposure area is very important.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO

FAX

II-131

I think the reason that we're excited about what we're doing is that it does not appear that the way that EPA and others have looked at air quality in terms of air toxics is necessarily consistent with the public health effects that could ensue from this.

So the fact that we're doing personal exposures and also that we are involved with the community and recycling the information that we get back to the community as to what the real exposures they have are, I think is a critical element of what we've learned in terms of lessons. People don't believe you unless you come back to them and tell them what you've determined in your research.

MS. PAYTON: Are there any specific methods and logical approaches that you would consider?

DR. CAMPION: I think the kinds of things we're doing in terms of methodology development, having devices that are user-friendly and that people don't have a great deal of trouble handling, are key to all of this. These are little credit card type devices that people can then use efficiently and effectively and we can get good data relative to what they're being exposed to on a daily basis.

And, very important, is then to recycle that information back to the people so that they understand where it's coming from.

One of the things we've found is using, for example, fixed site

(540) 678-4845

(540) 678-4048

II-132

monitors and models based on those fixed site monitors for air toxics is not nearly as effective -- they're not nearly as useful as using personal exposures.

MR. LEE: Thank you.

PARTICIPANT: I'm not sure I understood the question.

DR. CARPENTER: Well, I think there are many, many things that are important to do and, really, your question is what are the priorities. I think from my perspective we really need to give priority to children, to development

It's tragic if someone gets cancer when they're 60 years old instead of dying of heart disease when they're 85. But it's not as tragic as having a child bom and have that child for the rest of their life to a degree incapacitated in intelligence and attention span and endocrine development

We have many, many problems and many toxins that affect people of all ages, but I think the focus which EPA has taken a lead on, and NIEHS has taken a lead on, on dealing with this variety of factors that affect children is appropriate as an area of emphasis.

What we find in children is going to have relevance to adults as well, but the effects in children are going to be greater.

MR. PORRAS: There's many areas I could speak to but I think

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

18

19

20

21

that what would be much needed information is to start from a premise of health in the community. So, community-based health assessments could help to drive some of the other areas of research that are necessary and critical.

But I also want to premise in saying that, I think that it is important for us to recognize, again, the limits of science and some of the data gaps there. I want to point out that it's almost -- not that science and research shouldn't be pursued, that is not my point; I think we need to pursue those efforts.

But I think we also need to recognize the limits, the boundaries, and reinvigorate the precautionary principle in terms of our public policies and our solutions because I would also like to remind us that there are approximately -- well, more than 70,000 chemicals in the marketplacetoday, of which we only have a couple of hundred analyzed and assessed for their toxicology and their effects on human health. This is a huge data gap.

MR. LEE: Great. Well, why don't we go to the other side of the room. Michel.

MR. GELOBTER: I've been struggling with -- this is the question I would like to have asked the first panel also. I guess the -- and, Carlos your last comment raises a whole host of other ones -- but I think the

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 one thing that I've run across in looking at community-based research and trying to have more of it happen -- I guess the question I'd like to ask the panel is how do peer reviewers see community participation in research, truly.

And from a community's perspective what are the things that community -- and I think this panel addressed some of it -- but what kind of community review is necessary on researchers and what are the criteria, so to speak, that each bring to the table in looking at each

I ask this because I know that there are fundamental problems in the scientific community with true community input and there are fundamental problems in the community with the role that academics have played historically there.

The whole precautionary principle thing I think at some point would be interesting to address just because what is the science of the precautionary principle because so often we're rocked back on our heels of not having -- we're busy with the science of getting the materials out into the environment before they're tested, and not busy enough with that.

But that's a separate question. I was really interested in the community's views of scientists and vice versa and how that affects the

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

II-136

II-135

ability to do this research effectively.

DR. CAMPION: We recently concluded a workshop in Houston that had national participation -- national scientific participation and this question was one that we didn't get a good resolution to because the scientists and the community do not agree for the most part on what constitutes that kind of a study.

The scientists, on the one hand, will be looking at the basic scientific method. For example, that the subjects would have to be neutrally drawn, that they would just be selected out of the community. We would not want volunteers, for example.

We certainly would not -- this is the scientists -- we certainly would not want advocates on that panel from the community side because their minds in that regard are already made up, and that would not pass, in their view, some of the peer review characteristics of their studies.

So there is a very difficult cross-cutting issue there that still has to be resolved. Dr. Sexton at the University of Minnesota gave that presentation and obviously the scientists in the room were not convinced that he was on the right track relative to the need to bring the community in at the earliest point to help formulate the study. Because if they formulate the study, then the scientists view that as already having made the conclusion, having developed the conclusion.

It's a difficult area and one that we're just learning how to deal with and just moving towards the middle on both sides. But it is tough.

DR. CARPENTER: Ithink I would take a slightly different point of view. I would certainly agree that the average academic doesn't relate to community-based research.

In terms of the first question, Michel, how do agencies get community-based research to pass peer review, I think the answer is relatively simple. The agency requires it, and requires the study sections, the panels, to use involvement of the community as a criteria for being funded.

That is in the prerogative of agencies. It may not set well with some individual reviewers, but tough.

With regard to -- you know, I don't think that community-based research has to cut a lot of corners. I think you can have random selection of subjects. And I think -- you know, this is part of the reverse education. If you're going to get good results from research, there certainly are some standard principles of how that research is done that need to be implemented.

Now, granted, it may not be possible in every case to have random selection of subjects, but I think this is where academics and communities must work together. I don'tthink anyone is just advocating

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

17

18

19

20

21

compromising the quality of research. It's a matter of doing it in a way with which you have community buy-in and where the community supports application of good contemporary research criteria, but to solve and approach problems that are of concern to the communities.

MR. PORRAS: I would have to agree on some of those points. I think our research has undergone peer review successfully. I think there are still some tensions between how far out in terms for advocating for solutions academicians can get.

And we can respect that. That's not their rde. That's our role. We'll be the advocates. Give us the research. Let us ask the question.

I think this comes back to something -- it was interesting to hear the earlier response about the lack of credibility when the community is asking the guestion, and look at the paradigm that we've had with industry research over the last 50 years. Now, where is the conflict of interest in that?

MS. MILLER-TRAVIS: Here. Here.

MS. COOK: I'd just add that it's important for researchers in working with at least Native People to not always look for the Tribe. The Tribe in my community is very conflicted over the years. We're a heritage of colonialism, the Tribal system. Most Native people in the U.S. support Tribal Governments that include traditional councils as well

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 as these elected system type of models. Because of those historic problems, working with Tribes can be very difficult.

Our Tribal administration of the last eight years has focused on building a casino. In doing that, they contaminated 37 wells with all kinds of salts from the geologic age -- barium, beryllium.

So the solution of our community was to found the Akwesasne Task Force on the Environment which would include all of the different governing bodies within the community, as well as interested individuals and non-governmental organizations. And so it's important for scientists to really listen to communities and not just Tribal Governments.

In terms of industry funding, we started out the breast milk study with funding from General Motors, which right away sounds like the MS Foundation won't accept money from the Playboy Foundation. When you're in need of support - again following those equity and empowerment models -- as long as the community sits at the table as a fully cognizant partner focused on what they want -- we were able to do those three tasks with General Motors' support initially because it was the Mohawk people defining howthat research would be conducted and the interpretation of the data was part of the ground rules that were laid out.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

II-140

II-139

So I think this deserves a much broader discussion, but thank you for that question; it's an important one.

MR. LEE: Before we go to the next person, it was suggested that since we didn't have a lot of time for discussion with the last panel that if either of the three of them wishes to join this panel, you know, that you would be welcome. I know, Richard, you're back there; I don't know if Dr. Bullard and Dr. Kinney are still here. But if they would wish -these are really questions that are very germane to the last panel as well.

I think next we'll ask Tseming.

MR. YANG: Thanks, Charles. I actually wanted to follow-up on the question that Michel asked. I guess I have a little bit of a sense already what your answer might be, but I was interested if you could focus a little bit on it. That is, when do you think there's enough research? I mean, there is some point at which you're going to have data and you're going to have to move from process to substance.

What do you do with sort of the hard questions? That is, where you have conflicting science or where you have conflicting research reported either from the community or from, you know, as opposed to sort of more traditional scientists. Do you see as a solution more science, more research being done or do you see more research really as being able to find a definitive answer from which you can derive policy solutions?

I don't know, there's a lot of people on the panel now -- I really would be interested -- that was the question I was hoping to ask the first panel as well, actually.

MR. PORRAS: Well, I think you're addressing the issue of risk assessment and risk management. Risk management is solely a government responsibility, to use the data as they see fit in making the risk assessment and managing that risk.

DR. CARPENTER: I would say that you're asking the question of when does research translate to intervention, which I think is a very, very important question because we're never going to have enough research, but there gets to be a point in time when intervention activities are very, very important.

I think in the case of many of these poor and disadvantaged communities, as long as there are health disparities, there is an urgent need for intervention. Sometimes it should not be delayed until after all the research is completed.

But having research should be the basis for policy formation. It's outrageous, when you have epidemics of asthma in Harlem that nobody pays attention to, then you clearly need intervention not just research,

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

19

20

21

and they should be done in parallel.

MR. PORRAS: I think there's still value to research. However, I think we should take certain precautionary steps applying the precautionary principle to certain public policies where we reached those limits of science.

It's important for us to stop and intervene in those problems that are happening in the community and understand that there is another principle out there that we from the environmental justice movement put forward. That's self-determination.

I want to come back to Suva, because that chrome plater -- a regulatory policy person approached us with the question: If we used best available control technology to reduce that hexavalent (phonetic) chrome emission down to a level of insignificance, will you still accept that chrome plater next to the school?

I turned to them and I said, well, as soon as you can take that permit and go to Beverly Hills and pick an elementary school and put it in that community, then we'll say yes. But until you can do that, no.

(Applause.)

MR. LEE: Great. Can we go to the next question or are there more perspectives on this? Peggy, maybe you can go next.

MS. SHEPARD: Carlos, you were quite effective earlier in

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 showing us how you've been able to use your research and data to take public policy action. I'd like to hear a little more about that from some of the other panelists, how this data is actually really changing public policy and action. I know about the Akwesasne and the St. Lawrence River clean-up. Is that happening in terms of Pat Kinney? What's happening in diesel, in your diesel studies? In terms of the air toxics studies, where do you see that data going in terms of how EPA sets policy in terms of air quality?

DR. CARPENTER: I think in terms of the situation at Akwesasne things are happening very, very slowly. This is an NPL site; there are lots of legal actions; there are lots of delaying tactics; there are lots of very real problems in remediation of years and years of contamination. Bedrock in the St. Lawrence River is contaminated, still leaking PCBs. So it's very frustrating.

But at the same time, I think to a degree we haven't really done all that we need to do. I mean, in our case we've been working there for 15 years and it's only five years ago that we began to really do human health effects. I think we have a lot of evidence that's going to be coming out in the next few years that's going to help build the case.

We, the academics, working in these communities need to be -- we need, I think, not to just sit back and publish our papers and peer review

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

II-144

II-143

journals. I think this line between being the scientist and being the advocate and being the person that tries to enunciate where a policy should go as a result of our research -- many academics are very afraid of crossing that line. It jeopardizes their funding, they get labeled as an advocate rather than an objective scientist.

But on the other hand, it seems to me that there's a responsibility in that when we document health effects to really put the pressure to federal or state agencies, to whomever, to find solutions and take actions.

DR. KINNEY: Let me say a few words about the New York City diesel situation. There has been an ongoing long-term advocacy effort to get the Metropolitan Transit Authority to reduce their reliance on diesel buses. That's been going on for a long time.

I think some of the research we've done generating exposure data for diesel exhaust in New York City has been one element that I think has helped to convince, finally, just recently the Metropolitan Transit Authority to put a much bigger effort into alternative fuels. That's a very encouraging sign.

I think that it would be incorrect, certainly, to consider that the data that we've generated was a majorpart of that. But I think that when you do have some hard science and there's an existing infrastructure of

advocacy that's been going on for a long time, I think that data can really sort of help energize it and really get the attention of some of the policymakers. I hope it has made a difference.

DR. CAMPION: I think I've kind of addressed this a little bit before, but the policymakers are, in this case, primarily the Environmental Protection Agency, and there is a legal requirement on them to come back and assess the public health risks ten years after passage of the Act. So I think that's one of the drivers behind their need to get this information and get risk assessments done.

MR. LEE: Okay. Jennifer, you want to ask the next question?

MS. HILL-KELLY: Thank you. I just have a question on the Akwesasne project. Is there continuing research -- community-based research -- on the adolescent children of the mothers that were in the breast milk study? Is that research going to continue to evaluate those kids who are now, you know, 11 or 12 years old?

DR. CARPENTER: Well, it's half yes and half no. We have a study ongoing of children between the ages of 10 and 16. Those children were born at the time when the Mohawks ate a lot of contaminated fish. A few of those children are children whose mothers were part of the original breast milk study.

But again, we felt in the design of this project six years ago that it

(540) 678-4845

21

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

20

21

was important to have a randomly selected cohort of children that were within the ages of 10 and 16.

So we are in some ways lacking the information about maternal serum and breast milk levels, but we have extensive information on fish contaminated and eaten by the mother, and it's a much larger cohort of children than we have now.

It would have been ideal if we had followed the same cohort for all those years, but unfortunately that was just not realistic.

MR. LEE: Rosa Hilda.

MS. RAMOS: Finally. I think we have a serious problem here. As a community leader, I understand that the advisory panels or councils are the entities that lead the agency to develop policies.

The problem we are having is that these panels or councils do not have the appropriate community representation seated in the table, participating in the decision process. It's not a matter of communities acting as peer reviewers is a big part of the process. Communities are not properly represented in those panels.

Also, there's too much representation from industry. Too many scientists that are biased, that are part of the industry, and their decisions work on behalf of the industry.

This is the root of all these standards that do not protect the health

AMERICAN REPORTERS.INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 of the people and the decisions that are supposed to be scientific but exclude accompaniment from the community that must be included by law. The law defines the composition of these advisory panels. All stakeholders must be included, all of them.

How come impacted communities are not a significant complement of those advisory panels? The agency must look into that because, you know, industry has been suing the agency for not being represented in those panels. The agency will soon see communities suing the agency because they are not being included in that process. So we have a problem that we must address.

MR. TURRENTINE: Thank you. I'm going to step into this part and I'm going to call a break of five minutes -- an as-quick-as-possible break. Then we have the next part of the agenda that we will get to. We've been sitting here for a while and I think it's appropriate for the next person who is going to speak to us, that at least he have our attention, and I'm not sure that he'll have that if we have one eye on the john and another eye on him.

(Laughter.)

MR. TURRENTINE: So, guys and girls, let's get back in here as quickly as we can because we have the deputy administrator coming on as soon as we finish.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

II-147

But before we do that, let's give these two panels a hand.

(Applause.)

(Recess.)

MR. TURRENTINE: We will get started again. I still see some of the Council chairs empty and I would ask Council members to please come back to your stations.

If I could have your attention, we'll move forward with the program. At this point I want to turn it back over to Sylvia Lowrance and Sylvia will introduce the next presenter.

MS. LOWRANCE: Thank you, Haywood. It gives me great pleasure to introduce EPA's Acting Deputy Administrator, Mike McCabe

I think you will find that throughout his career he's been a tireless advocate for public health protection and environmental protection. He has an extraordinary public service career spanning 25 years.

Prior to becoming the Acting Deputy Administrator, many of you know him from EPA's Region 3 where he was the Regional Administrator. While he was there, he had many accomplishments, among them was leading EPA, and ultimately the federal government's effort to reform mountaintop mining and valley fill practices in Appalachia. He was a leader in dealing with the problems posed by

poultry producers in the DelMarva area of Region 3.

On a personal note, he was one of our regional administrators who was one of the strongest advocates in EPA for a vital and strong environmental enforcement program.

Prior to coming to EPA, Mike served in a variety of positions serving the U.S. Congress. He served as Delaware Senator Joe Biden's Director of Communications and Projects. He was Staff Director of the U.S. House of Representatives Energy Conservation and Power Subcommittee and the Congressional Environment and Energy Study Group. I know you will all enjoy working with Mike in his new capacity.

Mike, we thank you very much for being with us today.

MR. McCABE: Thank you, Sylvia.

(Applause.)

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

PRESENTATION BY MR. W. MICHAEL McCABE DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR, EPA

MR. McCABE: Well, thank you, Sylvia, for that introduction. And thank you. Havwood, for being here and your leadership on the Executive Council. I'd also like to thank all the members of the Executive Council for the fine work they're doing and the time that they spend on these important issues.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 AMERICAN REPORTERS.INC. WASHINGTON-METRO

II-148

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

I appreciate the opportunity to be able to sit here next to my colleague John Hankinson, and thank you for all the work that you've put into putting this conference together. These conferences take a lot of time and effort on the part of a regional office, on the part of the resources of a region. I know that when you come to Atlanta you're going to have a good time, you're going to have a productive time, and I think that John and the folks at Region 4 have shown that.

In fact, I understand that tomorrow night John's going to actually perform with his blues band. If you have the opportunity, you really ought to see John and the blues band. And I hope that's the only blues that we're singing in this conference.

I'd also like to recognize and welcome the delegation of environmental justice leaders from South Africa. We are delighted to have you join us, to learn from us, for us to learn from you as well in our efforts to deal with the issues involving environmental justice.

I have very special memories of a visit that I took in 1981 to South Africa with the Congressional Subcommittee on Africa. That was at a time before -- that was the time during apartheid. I remember thinking as we were leaving about the pain and bloodshed that would inevitably occur when apartheid was brought down.

I think that in retrospect it is a real tribute to the world, it is a lesson

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 that the South Africans have taught the entire world, about peaceful political transformation that avoided that pain, that avoided that bloodshed.

Some day I hope to go back and see the progress that has been made in that great country.

It is a pleasure to be here with all of you, to be here at this conference. This is an important conference. I want to commend all of you for the work that you've done to make EPA a more effective

For more than seven years NEJAC has provided us with critical advice, important advice. Advice that has had a very direct and important impact on our initiatives. Advice that has made a difference in everything that we do, from Brownfields redevelopment to children's health protection, from Superfund clean-ups to Tier II emissions

We value your contributions. We count on your counsel. We look to you as invaluable allies.

As I look up across this room at the Executive Council, as I encountered folks coming into the room, I was reminded of all of the familiar faces that I know and the people that I've worked with over the years on these issues. I see a lot of familiar faces. I see dedicated

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

II-152

II-151

EPA staff, state, community folks, and folks in industry.

Now we are turning to NEJAC to give us your best thinking on a very complex and difficult subject, the issue of the role of risk assessment and cumulative effects on communities.

These are actually issues that I'm familiar with from my days as Regional Administrator in Region 3. During my time in Region 3, with a variety of stakeholders we conducted a risk assessment of Chester, Penn sylvania. I'm sure that many of you are aware of that.

This was the first of this kind of an assessment, and although it certainly wasn't perfect, we learned a lot about risk assessments and the lack of sound science to fully determine cumulative effects of industry in this community.

Undeterred, we conducted another risk assessment in Southwest Philadelphia. In this study, as in the one that preceded it in Chester, we relied on existing health and environ mental data to obtain a community profile. Once again, we found that we were unable to draw clearlinks between industry and health effects.

We are now turning to you to give us help and guidance so that we can better protect public health and the environment for all communities. And I wish you luck in this conference and the work that you're doing in this area.

WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 that, I hope very much that you understand that the Clinton Administration is your invaluable ally.

Think about it. A different kind of administration might tolerate your existence; this administration mandates your existence.

A different administration might listen to your concerns; this administration acts on your concerns.

In a different administration NEJAC might be little more than an example of toke nism. This administration will never permit that.

We've come a long way since 1994 when President Clinton issued his Executive Order on Environmental Justice. Since that time, the interagency integration of environmental justice has become a reality

Child-driven environmental standards have become the norm. Children's exposure to lead, particularly through old paint and contaminated soil, has been significantly reduced. The Environmental Justice Small Grants Program has distributed more than 750 awards totaling more than \$14 million. And perhaps most significantly, Title VI of the 1964 Civil Rights Act has been given new life and new force.

In the next couple of weeks EPA will be releasing the Title VI Guidance that you have long waited for. We have spent a long time putting together this Guidance.

It's been a difficult task because we were breaking new ground involving new stakeholders charging a new way of doing business that

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

I've said that EPA considers you invaluable allies. Having said AMERICAN REPORTERS INC.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

takes in, too, the needs of communities which previously had been ignored and abused. This guidance document will help us advance the cause of environmental justice. I am confident of that.

There will be a comment period. We hope you will comment. We know you will comment. We know and want you to tell us what you

Today I also want to share with you information about another initiative that represents a major step forward. This initiative has been in the works for about nine months. It started off at a meeting that I think some of you attended in South Carolina, at Hilton Head. That work, the work that has been done since then, produced what is called the Integrated Federal Interagency Environmental Justice Action Agenda.

I think in the federal government the more words that we put in a title, the better it's supposed to be. I hope you'll understand that from now on I will be calling this the EJ Action Agenda.

The aim of the EJ Action Agenda is to bring together the resources of 11 federal agencies to help environmentally and economically distressed communities. Together, 11 federal agencies and departments, identified 15 en vironm ental justice demonstration projects The anticipated result will be to use federal resources in a targeted way to improve life in 15 minority and low income communities that suffer

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 disproportionate environmental impacts.

Based on our experience with these pilot projects, we'll try to add more projects and broaden agency participation in the future.

I want to emphasize that the EJ Action Agenda is a work in progress. We're going to be looking at the results. We're going to be looking at how the agencies work together and work with the communities. And we want to make sure that it grows and develops in a way that helps those communities, that just doesn't impose another federal action on them.

The EJ Action Agend a is an effort to work with our federal partners to bring new resources to the EJ community. It was vetted, it was reviewed through representatives from communities and the state, local, Tribal and federal government, and I think it's a tribute to the benefits of collaboration, the kind of partnerships that will be valuable in facilitating the challenge that is the focus of this meeting. The challenge of fashioning strategies that will bootstrap progress towards effective, integrated community-based health initiatives.

The work of NEJAC and the Integrated Working Group are demonstrations of the power of partnerships. The history of the EPA for the last seven and a half years is in many ways the history of partnership formation. It's the history of reaching out.

Under this administration and the remarkable leadership of AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

II-156

II-155

Administrator Carol Browner, the EPA has been guided by the vision of a new kind of partnership, a partnership of Americans devoted to the twin goals of economic prosperity and environmental protection.

As a result of this vision and the extraordinary economic record of the Clinton/Gore Administration, we know that economic expansion and a health environment are goals that must be achieved to gether. They're not incompatible. They're not indivisible. And even though the naysayers will deny this, their claims ring hollow.

Experience has unequivocally demonstrated that an investment in the environment is an investment in job creation, an investment in the America we want our children to grow in. It is an investment in our communities.

Smoke belching out of smokestacks does not mean prosperity. It means that we are not protecting the workers in that factory or the people who live in the factory's shadow.

Economic expansion need not come at the expense of social justice. As we expand the economy and expand environmental protection, we also can expand the umbrella of justice. We can and must expand the benefits to those who bear the greatest burden of our strona economy.

At EPA in the last seven years we have been guided by the belief that environmental justice must be rooted in the understanding that we

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 all share this planet, that we all share the future, and we must all share the responsibility. This triad, this three-legged stool, if you will, of environmental and public health protection, economic expansion, and social justice defines the IWG agenda and the agenda under EPA's Administrator Carol Brown er.

In the pursuit of this agenda perhaps nothing this administration has done and nothing that EPA has done has been more important or has had a greater impact than our Right To Know Initiatives. The goal of our Right To Know Program is nothing less than the ultimate partnership, a partnership of all Americans on behalf of all Americans The Right To Know offers us the opportunity to prove that government and the business community and caring, involved, informed citizens can work together as responsible stewards.

Through the Right To Know we discover the progress that is possible when informed people speak up and speak out, we discover the extraordinary vision and power of so-called ordinary people, and we are reminded that Democracy and the safeguarding of our rights is not now and never must become purely a spectator sport.

This is what Right To Know Programs are all about, empowering people, providing people with the information they need to participate fully in the decisions that affect their communities. The Right To Know creates the power to act, and the actions of caring citizens enrich our

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

18

19

20

21

22

form of government, our Democracy.

At EPA we've worked hard to ensure that local communities have the information they need to safeguard public health and preserve the environment. Our Toxics Release Inventory -- better known as is all of the inside scoop that you need on the stuffthat they're dumping in your communities -- offers all citizens information about toxic chemicals that are being used, manufactured, treated, or transported in or near their communities

Let's note that when citizens are armed with information, industries become more responsible. Since 1988 when TRI reporting began, industrial facilities required to report their emissions have reduced their toxic releases by one-half. Many of you here in this room have effectively used this information to address health and environmental concerns in your communities.

Throughout the country citizen groups and businesses are taking advantage of EPA information to pinpoint environmental problems and design innovative solutions. Our Website, which now attracts 60 million visitors each month, gives the public access to gigabit upon gigabit of environmentalinformation. And we have recently reorganized our entire information operation to make our information more useful and more

We are seeing the democratization of environmental policy. We

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

should not underestimate the significance of this development. It is a landmark event, a trend that is defining our times and how we protect our citizens.

Giving an added boost to this trend has become a central part of EP A's mission. We aim to facilitate active and informed participation in public affairs to encourage all citizens everywhere to seize the right and accept the responsibility of guiding policy and thereby guiding our nation, to enable all people -- all people -- to become engaged, to be involved, to be part of the decisions that affect their quality of life.

It is this kind of activity, this kind of involvement, that we are committed to, that we are dedicated to, that you can't take for granted because it may not be there in another administration. It may not be there in the future. You cannot assume that this is something that you will be able to rely on through the future and through the work that you are doing.

I think that what we have seen in the work that you've been doing over the last seven years, and the work that we've been doing overthe last seven years, is nothing less than a significant movement. A movement of rights, a movement of civil rights, a movement of justice, of activity at the community level unlike anything that we've seen in the environmental movement before.

As we work to build on this movement and to ensure that it is there

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

II-159

FAX

in the future, I think that it will bear up and will sustain us in the future. It is the voice of the community, it is a voice of people in the community, and that is a strong and powerful voice.

It is a quality of life movement. It is a movement towards justice. It's not about growth versus no growth. It's about smart growth. It's about the right kind of growth.

It's not about industry versus environmentalists. It's about working together, about partnerships, about old adversaries becoming new allies.

It's not about justice or environmental protection. It's about justice and environmental protection.

What we are learning today, what we have learned over the last seven years, bodes well for the cause of environmental justice, it bodes well for the cause of environmental protection.

We are learning that the heart of our Democracy beats strong Today the people are saying, as they said three decades ago on the first Earth Day, we must save the environment; we must protect our natural heritage. Not for a few of us, not for some, but for all of us. Environmental protection for all of us; environmental justice for all of us.

My conviction is that we will advance EPA's mission, we will advance your mission, we will advance the mission of NEJAC, so long as we remain tuned in to this chorus of voices, the voices that we have

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130

FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 here today, the voices that we hear in our communities.

We're determined to do exactly that. And in this effort, as I said to you earlier, we are and we consider you invaluable allies.

I am very pleased to be here with you today. I'm pleased to participate in this important session, and I look forward to having a discussion with you afterwards in questions and answers. And I wish you all the best luck in this conference over the next couple of days.

Thank you very much.

(Applau se.)

MR. TURRENTINE: Mike, thank you very much. Now we are open for questions and answers from the Council. I see Rose, I see Luke, and I see Damon.

Hold on. Can I go back just a second because Damon has not -and I'm going to try and call people who have not had an opportunity to speak, but I want to get all of you.

Mike, how much time do you have available?

MR. McCABE: I've got about ten minutes.

MR. TURRENTINE: Okay. So we're going to ask you all, in order to get as many questions in as possible, to be concise in your questions to Mike and let him respond to it.

Damon.

MR. WHITEHEAD: Thank you. I just have one question, and I

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

II-160

understand later in the week we're going to have Ann Goode from the Office of Civil Rights. You did mention the Title VI Guidance that's coming out within the next couple of weeks. I guess I'm concerned that this guidance --

MR. TURRENTINE: Damon, if you can, because Mike may not know who we are, identify --

MR. WHITEHEAD: Oh, I'm sorry.

MR. TURRENTINE: -- yourself so that he will know.

MR. WHITEHEAD: I'm sorry. I'm Damon Whitehead. I'm with the Earth Conservation Corps.

My question deals with the Title VI Guidance that you mentioned will be coming out in the next couple of weeks.

My concern is that EPA, you've mentioned, in the last seven and a half years -- Title VI has brought a new -- EPA has brought a new life to Title VI. I have a significant disagreement with that, particularly since looking at the accomplishments or the number of cases EPA has actually determined under Title VI -- there's only been one. And, quite frankly, that case, you know, got determined in a record amount of time. I think everyone would agree with that. And particularly I think that that decision would not have happened if it wasn't for someone within the Administrator's Office directing that it be done. I'm not a political person but I can take that and see the writing on the wall.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.
NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO
(800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 So my point simply is, and my question is, that EPA has relied on this process of creating a guidance for Title VI over seven and a half years, and the administration is coming to an end in November, and based on what happens, EPA Title VI may not be in existence. I mean, that's just the reality of the situation.

So my point I guess would be, why hasn't the agency, and will the agency in the next couple of months, based on the prior process where it's taken years to take public comments and to redo it, simply go forward and decide these cases -- I forget what the exact number is -- I know that 48 have been filed so far -- and I cleaned up my office recently when I changed jobs and I saw a letter of two years ago from my colleague, Mr. Cole, who had written about the immediate need to do som ething on Title VI.

And so my point is, simply, between May 24th and November, instead of waiting on the guidance, you know, and all the public comment, why doesn't EPA just simply decide these cases now? I mean, you did it in Select Steel and you didn't have a guidance. So why must you wait for a guidance and a process that obviously hasn't worked over the last seven and a half years?

Why don't you just go forward and decide these cases now so that we can have some precedent on the books, instead of, you know, going through another process that's going to take another seven years that,

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

II-164

II-163

you know, may be no good come November?

MR. McC ABE: Well, no matter what happens in November, Title VI will be around and I think that the legal basis that we have built both through this Guidance and other activities, other actions that we've taken, will provide a foundation for not only you to take future actions, but also to hold the next administration to a standard of addressing concerns under Title VI.

The question that you ask about why don't we just go ahead and process all of the petitions that we've received -- now that we have guidance, we will have the framework to make those decisions, we will have the framework to process that. But we had to get there first.

I think if you've been involved with the Title VI Guidance development and with the outreach that has been done with the different drafts that we've been through, you realize how difficult it has been to put together this framework.

It's something that EPA just can't step up and mandate. This is something that involves our state partners. It involves the recipients of the grants. It involves not only the folks in this room but also industry.

There has been considerable concern and attention paid to the development of the guidance since our initial guidance came out a number of years ago, and it's been a lightning rod for a lot of the people who don't feel that EPA should be involved in any way in dealing with

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

WASHINGTON-METRO FAX

(540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

matters of social justice or issues of environmental justice in the community.

So we had to build a record, we had to build a framework that is going to withstand whatever changes happen in November. I think that we have done that. I think that what you will see over the next couple of weeks is something that will stand up to whatever assaults are launched on it. It will provide a good framework and basis to make these determinations, and we will be using it to process the petitions that we have.

MR. TURRENTINE: Luke.

MR. COLE: Mr. McCabe, I appreciate your coming before us today, and like my colleague, Mr. Whitehead, I frankly was startled when you said that you'd made great progress on Title VI.

This is the first time you've appeared before us like this, so you've not been the subject of my repeated inquiries about Title VI over the last four years that I've served on this panel.

We firstheard that a Title VI Guidance was going to be coming out imminently from Fred Hansen in December of 1996. We got that guidance promised in February of '97, in February of '98. We were told at that point that that was going to be the panacea, that then, when we had the Interim Guidance, we would start resolving the claims.

Well, since that time exactly one case, as Damon has pointed out,

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS,INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

has been resolved. And as has been amply documented, that case was resolved wrongly on the law and wro ngly on the facts. Now we're being told again, wait for the guidance. The issue is not the guidance. The issue is the enforcement of

facilities civil rights law.

(Applau se.)

MR. COLE: It is the resolution of the more than 50 outstanding complaints that are either under investigation or have been accepted.

If you were a sixth grader in 1993 in Noxubee County, Mis sissippi, Cancer Alley, Louisiana, Button Willow, California, you are now graduating from high school and going to college before the EPA is addressing your civil rights complaint.

This is unacceptable. Now I hearyou, what you're saying, that a new administration might change things. Well, frankly, a new administration could not have a worse civil rights enforcement record than the Clinton/Gore Administration.

(Applau se.)

MR. COLE: You cannot get worse than doing nothing for seven years and deciding one complaint and deciding it wrong. You cannot get worse than that.

So I would like to tell you as strongly as I can, you need to get off the dime and start resolving these complaints in a way favorable to

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 communities, that protects civil rights rather than protects the rights of polluters to continue to poison our communities.

(Applau se.)

MR. McCABE: I certainly appreciate the frustration that you're expressing. It is so mething that we have felt as well. We believe that the work that we have done over the last several years to build the framework is something that will stand up to assaults.

This is an area where we have had to break new ground, where we have had to establish not only new policy but keep an eye on establishing what might be challenged in the courts. And you can't do that overnight. We would have liked to have done it sooner. We would have preferred to have had this resolved at an earlier date, and I am well aware of the schedules that were set and unfortunately not met.

I think what you will see when we produce it in the next couple of weeks is that it's something that will be the basis for future action and will provide this community will a strong framework to address these important issues.

It is a commitment of this administration to get this done. And I think that the record of this administration does not stand only on this guidance. It stands on all the other activity that we have been involved in in communities around the country to address the environmental justice issue.

AMERICAN REPORTERS INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

II-168

II-167

The Title VI Guidance is one part of a very impressive agenda and impressive record of accomplishment in the area of raising this issue to a level that it has not been raised to before.

MR. COLE: You'll pard on me if I'm being skeptical --

MR. TURRENTINE: Luke, wait a minute. Wait a minute. We can take one more question. I think Mike has to go. Rose Marie.

MS. AUG USTINE: I'm going to repeat what I had to say last night. We have had some people come to this Council -- and not only to the Council; I've seen them in different conferences and different workshops -- and they've been coming to this Council for the last five years with their problems.

And we haven't been able to help them. And we've been hearing about other communities too that we have not been able to help.

The thing is that we have the EPA here, and we have like a puzzle but there's ten pieces of the puzzle that are missing. These agencies are not at the table. These agencies are the ones that -- these people, the Department of Defense, the Department of Energy, should be at the table. It's been seven years, if I'm not mistaken -- they should have been at the table to hearfrom the communities.

I'm glad that we have an environmental action agenda, but is this agenda going to begin in December? It should have been started seven years ago. It should start now.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

We need to analyze -- people come down here to the NEJAC and they're asking us for a voice. I was under the impression that NEJAC was supposed to be a voice for the community. And they're coming over for us to form a committee so that they can speak. So that means that in the past the NEJAC was doing real good, a lot of things have been accomplished by NEJAC.

But something is happening that we're not going forth. Title VI is one. You know?

And we need to have an analysis of the past and present activities of the NEJAC, where they have been successful, what has not been successful. And it needs to be done by the environmental justice leaders that made the NEJAC possible. We need these past chairpeople in a subcommittee or in a working group to analyze where the NEJAC is going, where it's been, and what are we going to do.

In order to provide a strong framework for what the EPA wants to accomplish there needs to be an analysis, there need to be recommendations on how to make it stronger. But it needs to come from the community.

(Applau se.)

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

MR. TUR REN TINE: Mike, just a little bit of background. We have a number of people who have come before this panel and they've reported on health problems in and around federal facilities. And so

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

18

19

20

21

22

just wanted to provide that framework -- that's some of the frustration -because we have not heretofore been able to address issues that related to problems around federal facilities because we have not had those federal agencies at the table where the discussions could take place I think that's really a part of the frustration of us not being able to go forward because a plethora of problems exist in and around federal facilities

MR. McCABE: I can certainly understand the frustration with not being able to get other partners to the table, other important agencies. I understand that there are a number of our federal partners here today, not all of the ones that are on that list.

We have found in working through the EJ Action Agenda, this was a convenient way to pull in other agencies to get them involved, to help them understand the importance of the environmental justice agenda.

I think that it's something that we can build on. It is something that I have raised to my counterparts in the other agencies. I've gotten them involved. I've asked for their commitment of resources not only for these action items but also to engage their agencies more completely in the environmental justice agenda. And I've gotten from my counterparts a commitment to do that.

So on the federal facilities issue, that's certainly something that I

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 can take back and see if we can't get them to work on it at a higher

Haywood, I see two signs up, so I'd be glad to answer those as well.

MR. TURRENTINE: Okay. Tom and then Rosa Hilda.

MR. GOLDTOOTH: Thanks. Of course, there's a lot of issues I want to talk about, but there's a question that I need to bring up on this dioxin reassess ment report.

There are a number of community people who are coming from Mossville.

MR. McCABE: From where?

MR. GOLDTOOTH: Mossville, Louisiana. They are concerned about dioxin poisoning in their bloodstream.

In our Native communities there's a number of Tribes who are concerned with elevated dioxin levels not only in the bodies of our people but in our food web, in the fish.

For the past six years our network -- I'm the Director of the Indigenous Environmental Network -- we've been writing letters and we've been asking EPA to release this dioxin reassessment report because we feel there's information in it that our communities and our Tribal leaders and people throughout the country need to know about how dioxin is a cancer-causing carcinogen.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

II-172

II-171

But we never had access to that information because it was always in draft, and it was a leaked document for the -- the Washington Post released it just two weeks ago, a week and a half ago -- released this document. And finally the agency admitted that dioxin was cancercausing.

But it's not just cancer. It affects our immune system, our learning abilities, sexual reproductive -- it affects the systems. And in our Native communities we're showing a bt of the symptoms. Then we find evidence that a lot of the other persistent organic pollutants that are similar to dioxin -- we're showing a lot of the symptoms, a high level of diabetes

So it's a concern to us. We've heard a rumorthat EPA was going to release it in March. Now we hear a rumor that it's going to be June. Can you tell us when EPA is going to release this document so that we can make some decisions that will protect our people?

The more you hold off -- I mean, since this has been not released, millions of children are bom a year that are being bom with dioxin.

MR. McCABE: The reason that the report was made available to the Washington Post, leaked to the Washington Post, was because they got ahold of a copy of the document as it was being prepared for release.

The document is currently in draft form. It's undergoing an

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 interagency review. It will be undergoing scientific review. We anticipate having the draft document available in mid-June.

You are right, this document has gone through many different permutations. It has been held up for a long time. It has been reviewed by different elements of the scientific community both within EPA and outside of EPA.

Part of the delay in getting it to where it is now was that the first version of the report many years ago was based solely on animal studies. There have been more human studies and epidemiological studies that have been conducted in the intervening years that give us much better information.

The information that we have in the preliminary report is that in fact dioxin is ten times more -- has a risk ten times greater than we estimated before in terms of the possible can cer effect.

You are correct that it's not just a toxicological impact, but also a non-toxicological impact that can affect the immune system and other aspects of public health.

This is going to be a serious report. It's a report that needs to be looked at not only by the public but by other federal agencies that have responsibility for protecting public health and for dealing with avenues of dioxin exposure through either the food supply or other ways.

You've got to go through that process to have assurance that the

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

20

21

22

of time

science is right on this. It needs to be reviewed in a way so that when the report does come out, you can't challenge the science. Otherwise it will be shelved, it will be pushed aside, it won't be used as the basis for any kind of policy changes. And this may require policy changes.

So we want to make sure that it does have the appropriate scientific review, the appropriate interagency review. And it will be made available; it will be made available to the public in a short period

I might note that one important finding of the study is that the steps that we have taken in this administration over the last seven years have helped reduce the amount of dioxins going into the environment by over 90 percent. So, some significant steps have been taken.

Unfortunately, there is a major reservoir of dioxin still in our environment that needs to be addressed and we need to inform the public about the impact of this study and possible ways to reduce human exposure to dioxin in the future.

MR. GOLDTOOTH: Mr. Chair, I'm not going to get into a debate, there have been cutbacks in dioxin emissions, but we have to understand that these dioxin are very persistent in the environment. They bioaccumulate. You have to question whether or not that remaining ten percent -- and when we get into the questions of science -- the stuff is invisible, it's poisonous, it's going into our people, and

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130

FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 those that have a close relationship to the land.

As Native people, the Tribes we work with, they feel that as long as the agency does not take action of reducing dioxin with the aim towards elimination in the production of this byproduct, of this waste, dioxin, that it is a form of cultural genocide against our Native people., that it violates our treaties.

We have treaty rights to hunt, fish and gather in some areas of this land. And if our people can't fish, can't have access because there is dioxin poisoning -- in about 26 or 27 rivers and lakes there are dioxin advisories "don't eat this fish." That violates a treaty agreement.

So I just cannot overemphasize the importance of this issue that is a life and death issue not only for Native people but all people, and especially our people of color.

Thank you.

MR. McCABE: Thank you.

MR. TURRENTINE: Rosa.

MS. RAMOS: As a community leader, I want to express our appreciation for the creation of this action group. This has been requested by the communities since the very beginning of NEJAC.

We know it has been hard for you because EPA is subjected to attack from racist politicians and from greedy industries. We know that. So we really appreciate the creation of this action group.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

II-176

II-175

But we respectfully request to include a community representative working with this action group, also a representative from indigenous communities. It doesn't matter if you have to invite the industry or the states, it doesn't matter; but communities at the grassro ots level should be included in the discussion, should -- it will give you a realistic perspective. The communities can give you the input of, you know, on the impact, the real impact, on the communities. So I respectfully ask you to include these types of citizens in the work group.

Thank you.

MR. McCABE: We will ensure that there are community representatives. This is not going to work if it's just the federal government imposing som ething on those communities. So I think that vou're ab solutely right.

MR. TURRENTINE: Thank you very much, and we very --

MR. CUEVAS: Excuse me, Mr. Chairperson.

MR. TURRENTINE: Well. I'm not in control of his time. He's indicated that he has to go, and I see his staff people coming to get him. So, it really does not --

MR. CUE VAS: I can tell him outside too, but it would be important to tell him here because it will be recorded of saying it here. Is that okay with you?

MR. McCABE: It's okay with me.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

MR. CUEVAS: So, actually, looking over this manual, booklet, of whatever you call it, that you're introducing as an EJ Action Agenda, one question that pops into my mind is a sentence that says there "Improving the environmental decision making." And then - I don't think it's improving it a lot, the decisionmaking, especially for agricultural workers, which is what I represent - my name is Fernando Cuevas, and I'm from the Farm Labor Organizing Committee, the Farm Worker Union of Agricultural Workers.

I don't see it as addressing agricultural workers on your page 3 whatsoever on several of the points you're saying. Also, on your page 9 that you're saying 15 different projects that you're going to be taking on on your demonstrations, on justice demonstrations.

I think desperately, coming from me especially, you should include agricultural workers. I mean, off the top of my head I can think of 15 states that are being contaminated, killed and deformed children, and on and on, of agricultural workers.

And not to read "including agricultural workers" here on your actions agenda, I think it's key -- very important forme to hear you say that you will add that on because that's what I represent, agricultural workers -- that's what I've been doing all my life, working in the fields -not just representing but working in the fields for 36 years of my life, and the last 22 years working as an organizer defending the rights of those

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

22

21

22

workers. Then, not to even see it here, it's a waste of my time, then, to be sitting on this NEJAC committee.

(Applause.)

MR. McCABE: As I said, these are 15 demonstration projects where we're pulling in other federal agencies, we're working with other federal agencies. We will be learning from our experience with working with those other agencies. The communities will learn from the experience too.

Agricultural communities were not meant to be excluded. They certainly could be included and can be included in future rollouts of demonstration projects, or whatever permanent program is put in place in the future. It is an important area and it was not omitted purposely.

MR. CUEVAS: Well, it made me think when I saw this, that you were choosing these 15 projects but very conveniently forgetting about agricultural workers which we're the ones that harvest the crops that we all eat, and you're excluding us.

It's just like -- I just want to for the record tell Mr. Chairman that I would like to see, e specially Richard Moore, come back so that we can ask the questions or comments that we wanted to say earlier. It made me feel when you cut us off the way I feel all the time when it comes to low income or agricultural workers, we don't have time.

MR. TURRENTINE: Let's conclude this and then we will address

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.
WASHINGTON-METRO

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-MET (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 that part of the agenda. I mean, at this time --

MR. McCABE: I think that you also ought to look more closely at the project in Region 8 -- Region 8, was it? -- that deals with drinking water in rural communities and it addresses some of the issues that you might be concerned about.

I think that the point that you're making, which is that agricultural communities should be included, is an important one. These are not all the projects that are going to be done in the future. These are demonstration projects. We're going to learn from them, we're going to build on this.

So I think that your point is well taken and will help inform us in the future as we put together a new package.

MR. TURRENTINE: Mike, thank you very much, and I'm going to invoke the authority of the Chair and stop this at this point in respect to the Deputy Administrator for coming before us. And now I know he has another schedule that he has to meet. So we want to thank him. Let's give him a round of applause.

(Applau se.)

MR. TURRENTINE: No, we're not breaking yet.

Now, there has been an express desire for some additional questions or comments with one of the other panelists. I think Fernando will try and put that into the schedule as we can.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845 FAX (540) 678-4048

II-180

II-179

I think in fairness to people who have been scheduled to come on at a particular time, we need to try as best we can to adhere to a schedule.

We also have a lunch break that we're looking at. I will be guided by the wishes of the Council. If we are to break for lunch now, I need to know if that's your desire.

MR. GELOBTER: Can we make -- again, because the last panel was brought to a close late, that prior panel came to the table pretty much -- can we just try to bring them at the beginning -- make sure we have question time in the next period, if we were to break for lunch now?

MR. TURRENTINE: I'm open. I'm open to a suggestion from the Coun cil. But I just think that we need to move the process, as opposed to debating it right now.

MR. GELOBTER: Right.

MR. TURRENTINE: We need to either go to lunch or we need to keep on working.

PARTICIPANTS: Go to lunch.

MR. TURRENTINE: Let's go to lunch. What time are we coming back from lunch?

PARTICIPANTS: One hour.

MR. TURRENTINE: It is -- listen up. It is presently ten minutes

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.
NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO

(800) 929-0130

SHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 after 1:00. Can we all be back in our stations at 2 o'clock so that we can get as much in as possible? Can we get a commitment to that?

PARTICIPANTS: Yes.

MR. TURRENTINE: Two o'clock. Thanks.

 $(Whereupon, at 1:10 \ p.m., the meeting in the above-entitled matter \\ was recessed, to reconvene at 2:00 \ p.m. this same date.)$

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

AFTERNOON SESSION

MR. LEE: This moming we said that there were members here from the Science Advisory Board and from the Children's Health Environmental Protection Advisory Council. Dr. Willa Fisher is here, and I wanted to give her a chance to introduce herself.

DR. FISHER: I'm Willa Fisher. I a local health officer in Washington State.

MR. TURRENTINE: Hold on one moment. Council members, let's take our stations. It's only fair to the people that are going to presenting that you give them your attention.

DR. FISHER: I'm Willa Fisher, a guest here today. I'm a local health officer in Washington State and a member of EPA's Children's Health Protection Advisory Committee --

MR. COLE: Can you talk into your microphone, please.

DR. FISHER: These microphones -- is it working?

PARTICIPANT: Yes.

DR. FISHER: O kay. I'm Willa Fisher. I'm a local health officer in Washington State and a member of EOA's Children's Health Protection Advisory Committee. I was invited here to day to represent the m and to take part in your meeting. So, it's a pleasure to be here and certainly a lot of the issues I hear you folks talking about and concerned with certainly do involve children's health.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

Certainly our committee is along the lines that we heard from one of the panelists, that one of the biggest areas for more data is understanding children's risks and children's exposures. I know this is one of the areas that our committee is really working on, is trying to get into. The research agenda of also an interagency working group on children's health is to let's get more data about children's exposures and children's risks.

So, it's nice to be here today.

MR. LEE: Thank you. Welcome.

We also have Dr. Hilary In yang from the Science Advisory Board. You didn't get a chance to introduce yourself this morning.

DR. INYANG: My name is Hilary Iny ang. I'm here to represent the Environmental Engineering Committee of EPA's Science Advisory Board. My regular job is at the University of Massachusetts where I'm Director of the Center for Environmental Engineering Research.

As I sat listening, one key point was made, which is you don't necessarily have to uncover all the things that need to be uncovered in research to do so mething about it because essentially more research often leads to more unanswered questions. So, from my own personal standpoint it is necessary to implement intervention programs, those that we may call mitigation programs.

Generally, facts often expose the need for more facts, and by

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

II-184

II-183

analogy we can look at the situation with earthquakes in this country. There's a lot to be learned still in seismology, which is the science of earthquake investigations. But because of very good programs in the earthquake mitigation area, the total bss of life and property has been minimized. So the same could be done for environmental justice.

Of course, I'm speaking as an individual and not on behalf of our technical committee.

Another area is that as more of these research programs become constructed, it is very good to look at the situation in developing countries where agencies like the World Bank and the World Health Organization -- I've done quite a lot of work for these organizations -they have uncovered a lot of relationships between a lack of environmental infrastructure support systems and human health problems.

I mean, cities like Rio de Jainero in Brazil, Calcutta in India, Lagos in Nigeria, all of these places have served as laboratories for these agencies. There's a lot to be learned there that could be adapted to U.S. policymaking.

One of the remarks made earlier by one speaker, he alluded to the fact this hesitance on the part of university-based researchers is not to get involved in social pdicy issues.

> AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

I would say that speaking for my committee, most of them are highly acknowledged experts, they have not been very shy to comment when it is necessary on matters that impact upon policy. We do not formulate policy ourselves, but the science that we do and the advice we give to the agency certainly impacts strongly on the agency's posture in some of these policy issues.

I think that it is general on our committee for people to believe that if it were true that the intellectual capital whose productivity in science and technology would immediately disseminate into programs that could be used to improve the human condition, we would rest assured. But we are not sure of that so we have to be in some cases advocates for the right thing to be done.

We do not want to be too partisan; the truth shall set you free, they say. So we are just interested in saying things the way they are, hoping that we have a preponderance of data and this data are available at levels where they should be. Then public policy will be based on sound science.

As more of the agency's initiatives that have science embedded in them come to us for advice, we'll be much more sensitive about how some of these things we recommend could have utility in the environmental justice arena.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

16

17

18

19

20

21

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

17

18

19

20

21

I'm very happy that NEJAC has through the Science Advisory Board established some sort of basis for our collaboration in areas like facilities siting, in areas like adaptation of technologies to minimize negative impacts of development, or technical guidance development by the agency, that our committee can certainly contribute.

So, I thank you very much for listening.

MR. LEE: Thank you. I wanted to make sure that our guests here from the other advisory committees feel that you know that - feel free to participate freely in the discussions and, you know, any points of view that you may want to share with us, we would welcome.

We look to you to be here as resource people and certainly will want to make sure that you are involved in the dialogue here as much as possible.

The next panel is going to look at the interface between physical and socioeconomic factors as important element for understanding cumulative risks and health disparities. Certainly this is a central question for environmental justice and environmental justice research and the science of cumulative risk.

I'm going to ask the next panel to come forward. They include Bruce Kennedy from the Harvard School of Public Health, Samara Swanston from Greenpoint-Williamsburg in New York City, Walter

AMERICAN REPORTERS.INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 Handy from the Cincinnati Health Department, and Michael Callahan who is with EPA, the Cumulative Risk Technical Review Panel.

I'm not sure if Dr. Kennedy is here yet. He's not, right? Okay.

I want to point out that there is in your materials the notation that for this panel an industry representative was going to be determined. We at the Office of Environmental Justice, in collaboration with many people on the NEJAC who are industry members and representatives, and the Chemical Manufacturers Association, looked extensively at the possibility for an appropriate panelist for this panel.

It just turns out that this is not an area that's been focused upon and, therefore, we wanted to make sure that everyone did not get a misimpression that there wasn't a real extensive effort nor an unwillingness on the part of industry to participate in this particular panel.

So, with that, I wanted to turn it over to -- I guess because Dr. Kennedy is not here, we'll start with you, Samara.

Each of you has ten minutes, and there's the timekeeper here.

PANEL 3

SOCIOECONOMIC VULNERABILITY

PRESENTATION BY MS. SAMARA F. SWANSTON, J.D.

MS. SWANSTON: I'm the Executive Director of a community-

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

II-187

based organization in Brooklyn, New York, an impacted community known as Greenpoint-Williamsburg. Greenpoint-Williamsburg has a sewage treatment plant, 13 major air sources, 23 waste transfer stations, 2 power plants, and 3,682 local air permits to pollutants. This is a community of 156,000.

The topic of my talk, socioeconomic vulnerabilities in health disparities and disproportionate environment health effects resonates strongly in our community which is disproportionately a community of color.

The measures most commonly used to evaluate socioeconomic status are income, education and occupational prestige. measures are limited in that they do not capture significant components of social stratification than can influence health status.

Other measures of socioeconomic status include the conditions in which an individual lives, intergenerational transfers of wealth since inheritance of wealth occurs less frequently among minorities, and a consideration of socioeconomic status in this country must also include race because socioeconomic status is transformed by racism.

So I'm saying that SES does not have the same meaning in communities of color as it does in other communities.

For example, college degrees and professional degrees do not buy

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

WASHINGTON-METRO

(540) 678-4845

II-188

the same income and opportunities for people of color as they do for other folks.

In addition, minorities routinely pay higher costs for food, housing, automobile insurance and credit than whites.

It's also beyond dispute that racism affects the quantity and quality of medical care received. Studies show that African-Americans and other minorities are twice as likely to receive medical care in hospital clinics and emergency rooms where it is impossible to see the same care provider for each visit and where no continuity of medical care can be achieved.

Furthermore, most people are aware of the many studies demonstrating that even after adjustment for insurance and clinical status, similarly situated minorities are less likely to receive coronary angiography bypass surgery, angioplasty, chemodialysis, kidney transplants, intensive care for pneumonia, and other aggressive disease treatment.

Racism even directly affects health status since in several studies an association has been established between reported racial discrimination and hypertension.

Interestingly, one study found that participants -- in this case they were all females -- who reported no incident of racial or sexual

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

FAX

discrimination were two to three times more likely to report high blood pressure than those who reported experiencing discrimination. The story postulated that the internalized denial of bias adversely affected health status, causing increased blood pressure levels, the hypertension.

So, income, education and occupational prestige measures do not measure the same thing in our community.

SES affects or influences health care. According to cancer experts, socioeconomic status plays a role in the use of different screening tests and higher SES is correlated with greater use of screening tests, more aggressive therapy and a greater chance for cancer survival.

Socioeconomic status plays a role in obesity, leading to diabetes. Diabetes, for example, was virtually nonexistent among Native Americans until many Native Americans were forced to change their traditional diet due to the effects of pollution and also forced relocations away from reservations. Now Native Americans have the highest diabetes rate in the United States.

Inequity in access to societal wealth also affects health status, and I think Dr. Bruce Kennedy argues in some of his writings that barriers to social, political and cultural capital results in wealthier individuals

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 being educated in better physical environments and having better access to municipal and health services.

For example, in Greenpoint-Williamsburg with all those environmental burdens and 156,000 people, there are no hospitals. It's well known that access to health care affects health outcomes. Somebody is having an asthma attack Greenpoint-Williamsburg, it's hard to get a cab to go to a hospital outside of the community.

Also, variation in utilization rates among socioeconomic groups is strongly connected to health status. Poverty, of course, exacerbates health disparities. Income affects access to health, and there are more than 41 million Americans who have no health insurance and thus face the greatest barriers to adequate health care.

Low income adult men are seven times as likely to be uninsured; low income adult women are eight times as likely to be uninsured.

Poverty and lack of insurance also increases the risk of health disparities. Poverty affects the likelihood of exposure to second-hand smoke because service workers are exposed to higher levels of environmental tobacco than non-service workers or white collar workers.

Poverty affects environmental exposures in a variety of ways not considered. For example, it's primarily poor people who heat their

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

II-192

11-191

homes with kerosene heaters in the winter. Studies show that kerosene heater use results in substantial increases in indoor concentrations of PM2.5, particle strong acidity, sulfate, and nitrate.

In a 1998 report by the Department of Health and Human Services, health in America was unambiguously tied to income and education. The report found that adults with less education die younger and have higher death rates for all major causes of death.

Socioeconomic status was found to influence the health of children. A study found that low birth weight and infant mortality rates were higher among the children of less educated mothers.

Environmental exposure to lead, elevated blood levels -- we all know is associated with low family income, and children living in poor families are five times as likely to have elevated blood lead levels as children from high income families.

Socioeconomic status has been used to predict homes with high allergen levels or the likelihood of becoming a single mother. And socioeconomic vulnerability has been used to predict the likelihood of stunting in children's growth.

Diet is another measure of SES that influences health, and diet is heavily influenced by socioeconomic status. And poor diet, or nutritional deficiencies are known to play a role in health status and

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

WASHINGTON-METRO

(540) 678-4845

1 individual responses to environmental exposures.

> For example, dietary calcium decreases gastrointestinal lead absorption and thus lead toxicity. A recent study by NIEHS looked at 314 children who were 88 percent African-American, nine percent Hispanic and two percent white. That study found that African-American children and Hispanic children in each group had calcium intakes significantly below the daily recommended intakes.

> Low calcium intake was in part attributable to lactose intolerance, a condition reported by many African-Americans and people of African descent.

> In addition to calcium, Vitamins A, B, C, E as well as numerous minerals, are known to reduce the toxic effects of pollutants. So, nutritional deficiencies as a result of poverty result in increased impacts of pollution exposures. Reduced Vitamin A levels make children more susceptible to DDT, PCBs and other pesticides.

> Approximately 25 percent of all children have Vitamin A levels lower than the recommended daily amount. Reduced Vitamin C levels increase susceptibility to arsenic, cadmium, carbon monoxide, chromium, DDT, dieldrin, lead, mercury, nitrates, ozone. And in as many as 30 percent of all infants, children, and low income adults, we see less than the daily recommended allowance of Vitamin C.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4048

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

FAX

(540) 678-4048

16 17 18

19

20

21

Selenium, zinc and iron are some of the minerals that reduce adverse effects resulting from pollution exposures.

Moreover, poor diet during childhood is not likely overcome by achievement of a higher socioeconomic status later in life. There's evidence that people carry lifelong vulnerabilities because of socioeconomic vulnerabilities experienced during childhood.

I'm a classic example of carrying socioeconomic vulnerabilities into adulthood because I was a lactose -- I'm a lactose intolerant person and as a child they did not have the soy substitute milk formulas, as they do today, and breast feeding was not recommended, as it is today, and I therefore did not get adequate calcium since I would get sick every time I drank milk. As a result of not getting adequate calcium, I had many problems with my teeth as a child and I still have many problems with my teeth.

Similarly, studies show that children will typically not overcome --

MR. LEE: Hey, Samara. MS. SWANSTON: Yes?

MR. LEE: You're over your time. MS. SWANSTON: I'm over time?

MR. LEE: Yes.

MS. SWANSTON: Let me just wrap up quickly. Racism can play

AMERICAN REPORTERS.INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 a role in disparate exposures. Disproportionately vulnerable individuals live in our communities in greater numbers, young people who are vulnerable because of preexisting diseases.

Another important measure is where we live. I don't want to take too much time, but I want to conclude by saying that we need to look at other measures that include things like where we live because income is assumed to be the kind of thing that would put you in a community where you're not exposed to a lot of environmental pollution, and that is not a fact of life for people of color. Our communities routinely include a lot of middle income and upper income people because of racism.

Cultural barriers, as well as language barriers, need to be included in socioeconomic status. Race, gender, location of residence, location of workplace and cultural distinction are measures that need to be included in SES because SES does not mean the same thing in communities of color than it does in white communities.

MR. LEE: Thank you.

(Applause.)

MR. LEE: Next we're going to hear from Dr. Walter Handy from the Cincinnati Health Department

(Applause.)

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

11-196

II-195

PRESENTATION BY DR. WALTER HANDY

DR. HANDY: Good afternoon. As you are aware, panelists in this session have been asked to offer comments about the interrelationships between socioeconomic vulnerabilities --

MR. TURRENTINE: You're still going to have to get more directly into the microphone.

DR. HANDY: Health disparities -- how's that?

MS. MILLER-TRAVIS: That's good.

DR. HANDY: Haywood, can you hear me? All right -- health disparities and disparate environmental effects in environmental justice communities. In the next few minutes I'd like to address this charge by first discussing some of the observed interrelationships between socioeconomic status, health, and health status.

Next I will provide a brief overview of prevention theory, as I believe it informs critical thinking about public health principles that underpin current public health practices.

From these conceptual analyses I will focus on what I believe to be the real work of this week's discussions; that is, identifying new and better ways of preventing adverse health impacts secondary to environmental pollution.

Finally, I'd like to offer a few ideas about potential areas of

AMERICAN REPORTERS.INC.

WASHINGTON-METRO

(540) 678-4845

research inquiry and policy formulation for the future.

Looking at socioeconomic status and health status, I'd like to comment that for more than 800 years, as Dr. Swanston indicated, people have known that higher rates of death, illness, and disability have tended to concentrate in the poorest members of the community This pattern has been observed in communities around the world, so this is not just Cincinnati or Ohio or New York or the U.S. This is a national and international phenomenon.

A number of researchers have offered a number of explanations about why this association tends to occur. They've offered such observations as inadequate medical care, low income, poor health habits, unemployment, race, hazardous living conditions.

A number of folks getting together in a National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences seminar developed an additional set of lists that included such threats as employment threats from unclean and unsafe work environments, work in industry without insurance, working without a living wage, other infrastructural types of threats that include inaccessibility to health care providers in rural areas, poorly constructed drinking wells, insensitive medical providers, and others.

In addition, that group developed a list that included educational threats that might be inferior educational systems and high drop-out

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

18

19

20

21

rates in schools.

All of these are components of socioeconomic status or attributable, as I've indicated earlier, to higher rates of death and disability among poor people.

These lists we feel, taken together with such intangible personal and community attributes as the availability of social support and personal coping styles, tend to round out what are likely to be the true connections between health status and socioeconomic status.

This is, from my perspective, a bewildering array of potential causes of adverse health outcomes and surely must amplify the unhealthy effects of pollution. The latter two concepts, however, intrigue me as a psychologist because those latter two concepts have to do with social support and personal coping style because they may not only help us to explain why although all people may be subjected to these adverse environmental conditions and socioeconomic conditions, all people do not succumb to that overwhelming sense of external threat by succumbing with adverse health outcomes.

Social support and coping style may also offer keys to examining the more difficult social contexts of health status. In addition, they may help to lead to the development of more effective partnerships to reduce the pollution and identify effective coping strategies and social support

AMERICAN REPORTERS.INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 mechanisms among other community residents.

Simply put, there are no simple cause and effect relations that I've been able to observe among the elements on these lists. It's not a simple you identify this, it has this particular effect.

From my perspective there also are no clear victims or perpetrators. In every community I believe that there are mixtures of interest -- some shared, many competitive. Prevention of adverse health effects contributed to by these multiple and interactive effects is clearly the major goal of our collective deliberations.

If I could switch a moment to prevention theory and say that the working construct of public health is largely an invention of the 20th century. Prevention theory and public health practice rest soundly on three elements, what we believe causes our ill-health, how we measure health, and who gets measured for health.

Over the past 100 years the models that have been used to conceptualize prevention and public health principles and practices have grown more complex as we've understood the greater complexity of the relationships that impact our health outcomes.

However, by observing that there are so many problems and so few resources, I believe the public health professionals and practitioners have been forced to become more efficient by becoming more effective.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

from occurring.

WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-200

II-199

Over these years, as methods of observing health status have evolved -- have evolved and improved -- beliefs about the causes of death and health status have become more complicated. Where once the individual's health status may have been identified as dead or alive, today we have such concepts as morbidity, comorbidity, disability, wellness, quality of life, socioeconomic behavioral, and environmental health. We now think also in terms of risk factors for morbidity, disability, mortality, et cetera.

Prevention, then, as a way of thinking about public health, largely emerged out of the dissatisfaction with the effectiveness of available treatment options. Alternatives were sought to address this frustrating condition.

The intent of incorporating socioeconomic vulnerabilities into community health assessments for populations already suffering health disparities is to prevent disparate impacts. During Title VI deliberations a number of possible options were considered.

Some argued during those deliberations that primary and secondary prevention efforts to prevent industry from polluting excessively might simply be limited to requiring that industry to comply with existing laws, permitting laws. Others argued that industry needed to go much further than that.

I won't get into the detailed discussion of that, but it was a fascinating one and one that I feel was basically aimed at trying to address this question of, given socioeconomic vulnerability and potential health poor outcomes, how can we prevent these situations

Many of those options, however, were built upon risk assessment. Risk assessment, as I'm sure you're aware, has many advocates and probably as many detractors. An option that was presented to the Title VI committee -- that I don't know if this committee has had presentations on -- was one offered by Jerome Balter of the Public Interest Center of Philadelphia. He proposed an environmental justice protocol in May of 1998 as a suitable substitute for the EPA Title VI Interim Guidance in general, and risk assessment in particular.

The protocol highlights the same available public health statistics; that is, age-adjusted total mortality, age-adjusted cancer mortality and infant mortality rates, as referenced in a document that I'm sure this committee is familiar with, and that is the Institute of Medicine report on environmental justice.

Mr. Balter proposed these as alternatives to risk assessment as a simple way of understanding what the health status of a community was, and then allowing local and state agencies to make permitting and

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

18

19

20

21

16 17 siting decisions based upon that information.

Another alternative that I won't go into any great detail on but on which there is plenty of information available from EPA and other officials, is a process called comparative risk analysis. Comparative risk assessment takes scientific information and blends it with values and attempts to render from that community decisions about environmental factors as well as health factors.

Since I see that my time is running very low I'm going to cut to the last portion of the presentation which is to highlight three or four possible research and policy recommendations.

One of them is to acknowledge, as I heard someone mention before the lunch break, that the number of problems that are facing our community are excessive and really too large for any one stakeholder group to address by themselves. There's a lot of merit, I believe, in therefore looking at researching some options for collaboration and training to allow stakeholder groups to work more effectively together.

The second research option that I'd like to suggest be considered is that of looking at what I'm referring to as invulnerability. I referenced earlier a notion that some people with effective social systems and coping strategies seem to be able to be inoculated against some of the adverse health effects that environment polluting offers, as well as

AMERICAN REPORTERS.INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 socioeconomic status it seems to place on people.

I think that we ought to be looking at those folks in terms of how they are managing with their coping styles and their effective social support mechanisms to mitigate some of those effects.

Another concept I mentioned earlier was this notion of comorbidity; that is, when you have a problem in one area that's simultaneously experienced by the person with problems in other areas. So you may have mental health problems that are associated with lifestyle choices or work settings or family settings, and they are likely to be exacerbated by physical health problems, diabetes, cancer, any of a variety of other health problems, which in turn are also likely to be exacerbated by environmental problems.

This notion of the interaction of those sources of morbidity, if you will, or ill-health status, need to be examined more carefully.

The last one, since I see I'm clearly out of time, is to look at the issue of measurement of health. I mentioned earlier briefly that Mr. Balter proposed an environmental justice protocol. I have some problems with that protocol because I think it suggests that there's research-grade health statistics among local health departments that I don't believe exists.

Nevertheless, I think the idea has a lot of practicality and merit and

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-204

11-203

I think more research needs to be done to develop the kind of baseline data that then using that kind of protocol might help in the application of deciding on siting and permitting decisions for industry.

Thanks for your attention.

MR. LEE: Thank you.

Let me now turn it over to Michael Callahan who chairs the EPA's Cumulative Risk Technical Review Panel.

Let me just say that these issues come together very much around issues of cumulative risk and cumulative impacts or differential impacts. Mike was very forthcoming in coming to us and relating that the Technical Review Panel for Cumulative Risk is developing a framework for understanding cumulative risk and identifying the priorities in terms of both policy development and research.

He's going to present to us their approach towards this and the relationship to the physical and socioeconomic factors that contribute to health disparities and pose a number of questions to the NEJAC that they would like to be addressed as EPA is developing this framework. Michael.

PRESENTATION BY MR. MICHAEL CALLAHAN

MR. CALLAHAN: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, Charles. Thanks for inviting me. It's a pleasure to be here. NEJAC

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

WASHINGTON-METRO

(540) 678-4845

20 21

FAX

(540) 678-4048

meetings are always thought-provoking, at the very least.

Today I'd like to talk about a process that EPA has to ultimately establish some guidelines for doing cumulative risk assessments. We have other guidelines in the agency; we have guidelines for cancer assessment, quidelines for exposure assessment. The guidelines for cumulative risk assessment will be another of these sets of documents that kind of outlines to the agency what it should and should not be doing when we're doing these sorts of scientific endeavors.

The cumulative risk guidelines effort is in two parts. The first is to develop a framework document for cumulative risk. This is the kind of document that says, if you're going to do a cumulative risk assessment, what is it, and what kind of issues are you going to be finding that you don't find in other kinds of risk assessments. The second is to actually develop the guidelines, which is a "how do you do it." If I could have the next slide.

What I'd like to go over quickly in my talk are some major topics. First, what is cumulative risk as we define it? What will be in the cumulative risk framework document and what is that? What are some of the issues involved in cumulative risk? Dr. Bullard told us this morning that we needed a paradigm shift, and a cumulative risk assessment from normal risk assessment is a paradigm shift, and I'll

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

18

19

20

21

talk about that. When can I look forward to seeing this document?

And then I have a couple of questions for the Council. Next slide.

First of all, the definition that we're using as a working definition -and, again, we do not yet have a draft of the framework document -we're still in the early stages of discussing things, which is one of the reasons that I wanted to talk to you about this.

Cumulative risk is the combined risks from two or more agents or stressors, and that's kind of some jargon which means chemicals, biological agents, radiological agents, or other things that put stress on a population -- they may not be chemical things.

So what this definition kind of encompasses is, first, there have to be two or more chemicals or stressors and the risks have to be somehow combined, not just listed separately. So if you do risk assessment and you have five chemicals and you just list the risks, you know, one after the other, that's not a cumulative risk assessmentunder this definition.

The key here is that combined means not necessarily adding risks, but looking at the interplay between the toxicities and the risks of the stressors. For example, one insult may make somebody more susceptible to an insult later from another chemical. You wouldn't add those risks. There isn't any real way to add those; they're not in the

AMERICAN REPORTERS.INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 same units. But you would look at how these interact.

Combining means we're looking at repeated exposures overtime, effects of prior exposures and current exposure, and effects of one stressor on the toxicity of another. The next slide, please.

What's in the framework? What is the framework? Well, first of all, it's a relatively short, hopefully plain-English document. We're taking about maybe 50 pages, maybe a little more, with some appendices. So it's going to be short. It's going to get into what is a cumulative risk assessment.

Second, it's a science document. It is not a policy document. So the things that I'm talking about today, we're going to focus on the science part of doing this assessment.

It's going to talk about what do we know about cumulative risk assessment at this point. It's going to discuss several issues, including why a cumulative risk assessment is different from other kinds of assessments.

What can we do right now and what can't we do in terms of cumulative risk? Science is not perfect, but what do we know right now, kind of an approach to it.

It's also going to talk about what kinds of things we think we can answer right now and what kinds of things we can't answer.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-208

11-207

Cumulative risk approaches require a different mindset from traditional -- certainly they're related, but we have to go into kind of a different mindset to see what makes cumulative risk different. If I could have the next slide.

Historically -- say, back in 1970 when EPA was created -- there was a lot of visible pollution. Rivers were on fire, things like that. The main goal of the agency at that time was to stop the pollution from getting into the environment. So we looked at chemicals and we followed where those chemicals wentthrough the environment and what kinds of subpopulations or populations, as you see in the diagram there, they contacted.

This is what I call a chemically-focused assessment. This is the kind of assessment that has been very common in the agency for years. The next slide, please.

Cumulative risk is a different type of operation; it's a populationfocused assessment. It's more along the lines of what a public health approach would be where it starts with the population and then asks the question what is contacting or affecting that population.

Why are we looking at this now? EPA has looked at populationbased things in the past, even as early as the '70s when we were doing the team studies, but we really didn't have questions such as the

questions that the environmental justice community has been asking us about community assessments, you know, two decades ago. It's those kinds of questions that I think are going to push us into looking at risk assessment in different ways.

What are some of the issues that we have here? First of all, we may be able to find from looking at the -- if you look at the yellow and green things, those represent perhaps that the chemically-focused way of doing risk assessment -- we can look at certain chemicals and find out how they affect population.

One of the issues about cumulative risk that's different is how do we find the rest of that stuff that we haven't focused on in our chemical focus; second, how do we combine the risks from those things including risks for non-chemical stressors.

If I could get the next slide -- actually, why don't you just skip the next slide and just go to the next one after that. That slide just listed the three things I just mentioned.

This is a daunting kind of task. The challenge here is how do we do this. I think the first step is to kind of lay out the lay of the land so that we can see what research needs to be done, or we'll never even look at the questions. The second challenge: We need to find new and more efficient ways to get the data needed for these assessments,

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

FAX

(540) 678-4048

18

19

20

21

including ways of determining which pathways are most important.

If we go about this as an exercise in looking at all 80,000 chemicals in the TRI and then trying to figure out how they interact, I don't think we're going to get there. We need some different approaches.

I think we heard earlier this morning about personal exposures. We have been talking in a very preliminary way with people from the National Academy of Sciences about maybe some biological marker research that may help, but one of the challenges is that if we're ever going to do this right, we have to get working on some different ways of doing it. Next slide, please.

Another challenge is vulnerability. I would like to say that we've heard a lot about vulnerability being spoken of today in the context of a socioeconomic factor. I would propose to you that it's not just a socioeconomic factor. It is a scientific -- and by that I mean a biological scientific -- factor also.

If we look at two people who are exposed to the exact same amount of a chemical and one has a much different response, that person is vulnerable. It's a dose response kind of question.

So vulnerability, as we're talking about it in our group, would be some thing like -- and this is not a formal definition; this is some words

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 that reflect kind of where our thinking is - would be a substantially different dose response than average, whatever that means, to an agent, possibly due to things like susceptibility or prior exposures or effects of concurrent insults from other chemicals.

All of these are issues that crop up in a cumulative risk assessment that don't necessarily come up in our more traditional way of doing risk assessment. Next slide, please.

Well, where are we going with this? I will quickly finish here. The agency-wide technical panel that I'm chairing is under the risk assessment forum and we are planning on working on getting a draft of this framework done by the end of the year.

This is something we are going to have to do a couple of workshops on this summer or fall to try to get information and ideas from other people. We have talked to some of our other federal agencies in a preliminary way about coming to talk to us and getting together to kind of pool our resources about what's known. That's been very favorably received.

Also, we're going to have a more public workshop that would be open to everybody. Again, what we would be doing in these workshops will be what do we know about these issues, what ideas do we have about tracking these issues, and just where we are and what kinds of

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

II-212

II-211

things we can answer right now.

Of course, this is going to have to be peer reviewed. I think Dr. Anderson's subcommittee is going to be involved in this, and we've had some preliminary talks with the SAB about this.

The final published product will be out by September of next year.

As many of you may know, EPA is, as all federal agencies are, under a law called GPRA -- G-P-R-A -- Government Performance and Results Act, I believeit is. What we have to do is we have to kind of set goals for ourselves and publish them, and they're reviewed by --

MR. LEE: Michael, can you begin to finish up?

MR. CALLAHAN: I will be done momentarily.

This is one that Congress checked off and said that they wanted to see, so this is not going to be a document that disappears.

In summary, EPA is developing cumulative risk assessment framework. It should be due by September of 2001. There's many issues that are going to be addressed and the work after that will start on developing the guidelines.

I have two questions that I would ask -- in the last slide -- to the panel. I don't expect answers right now, but I would like if I could somehow get some feedback on these.

First of all, what would you like to see in the cumulative risk

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

WASHINGTON-METRO

(540) 678-4845

document? This is the same question we've been asking people inside the agency now for several months. What would be helpful to you in having this, given the constraints that it's a scientific document and not a policy document, and it's a "what is" cumulative risk rather than a "how to"? Second, who all should we talk to about this?

With that, thank you.

MR. LEE: Thank you.

(Applause.)

MR. LEE: We are running behind schedule but I want to open it up for questions and answers and dialogue.

MS. MILLER-TRAVIS: Dr. Callahan, I have a question for you. I wrote it down, so let me find it.

Does this framework give you the ability to address or try to capture and investigate the concept of synergistic effects of cumulative and multiple chemical exposures?

MR. CALLAHAN: Yes, that will be a big part of this.

MS. MILLER-TRAVIS: Excellent

MR. CALLAHAN: We will try to incorporate -- when we talk about what is known, there's been alot of work at EPA and we've been talking to other people, like ATSDR, that's been working on how to combine

NATIONWIDE

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

18

19

20

21

toxicities of chemical. We will try to not repeat all that work but kind of summarize it and point to where to find it.

MS. MILLER-TRAVIS: I would just illuminate that you are coming to join the Waste and Facility Siting Subcommittee tomorrow at 11:30 --

MR. CALLAHAN: Yes.

MS. MILLER-TRAVIS: -- to talk further. If you could sort of think about focusing on perhaps this particular issue because this has been a burning issue for the NEJAC and this would be one of the first opportunities we've had to discuss with the agency sort of where you are in this set of questions.

MR. CALLAHAN: Okay.

MS. MILLER-TRAVIS: Thank you.

MR. LEE: Thank you. Dr. Fisher.

DR. FISHER: Another question for Mr. Callahan. Is your framework going to include the full lifespan of exposures like fetal, breast feeding? I mean, the whole lifespan so that there's that focus on children's exposure?

MR. CALLAHAN: Yes. Yes, it will be discussing that, and probably in the sense of special populations that are different from kind of the average adult.

DR. FISHER: Good, because that's always our concern from the

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 children's committee, is how we're going to be getting this data. Good. Thank you.

MR. LEE: Why don't we go to Pat, Pat Hill. Oh, Pat Wood, I'm sorry.

MS. WOOD: I answer to either. I actually have a question for

You were talking about that you had some concerns with protocols in terms of talking about looking atpublic health and you made the point that you did not have much and that there really needed to be more baseline data.

I wondered if you had a list or had identified those key factors that you felt were central for that baseline.

MR. HANDY: Sure. Let me try to respond to the question.

What I suggested was, my understanding of Mr. Balter's proposal is that it is built upon an assumption that local public health agencies or statewide public health agencies have what I'm labeling research grade statistics, health statistics.

I come from a pretty progressive local health department and we don't have research grade health statistics.

Quite often from one clinic site to another you have different physicians who may have different tendencies toward diagnosing

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

II-216

II-215

particular illness as primary, secondary or tertiary. If you want to have good health statistics, you need to have some good interaction among physicians or those who are offering the diagnosis so that you can look across the system and look, as Dr. Callahan said, at community population as opposed to individuals.

When I, as a treating physician, look at your chart, it might not make any difference whether somebody else would give you the same diagnosis as I would as long as I'm in charge of your treatment. But if I'm going to try to combine your chart information with the chart information of hundreds or thousands of other people which would be necessary to form the kinds of rates and indices that Mr. Balter proposes, then I think we need to focus much more on what I'm referring to as interactive reliability amongst those who are making the diagnosis, which will then allow us to develop what I think would be a good baseline so that we can say, as he's proposing, this community or this subset of the community has a higher incidence of the following health problems which we believe are sensitive to the following environmental pollutants. On the basis of that, we think this permit should be denied, or we think that one additional expansion of an existing permit shouldn't be allowed.

Is that responsive?

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

MS. WOOD: Yes. And a follow-up question to that. Is there any effort underway to try to reach consensus among the medical public health community about what that baseline would be?

MR. HANDY: I can't say that there is none. I can say that I'm not aware of it. But I'm not aware of a lot that goes on.

MS. WOOD: It seems to me that that's essential before we start to then try to use it as a building block to reach some of these other conclusions.

MR. HANDY: Lagree. I think public health continues to speak out of both sides of -- since I'm a public health professional -- both sides of our mouths.

On one side we say it's important to look at population statistics. On the other side, when we go medical interventions, we're primarily concerned with the individual patient and so we don't necessarily look at how generalizable the data that we're generating is across a community.

So, yes, I think that we're trying to move but I don't know of any unified efforts at this point.

MS. WOOD: Thank you.

MR. LEE: Thank you. Damon.

MR. WHITEHEAD: A quick question regarding -- Mr. Moore made

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

a presentation this morning where he talked about one of the myths about pointing to people's diet as one of the risk factors. I guess I want to spell that as -- a representative from the chemical association when we were at Baton Rouge also made the same type of -- you know, it was in a different vein but basically she was saying that it's diet rather than, you know, other factors.

Has there ever been any research on an assessment of diet -- you know, dietary exposures -- versus chemical exposures, and which one has a higher factor or, say, you know, one in a million or ten in one hundred, or something like that?

I'm not sure it's clear, but --

MS. SWANSTON: I'm not completely clear on your question, but let me --

MR. WHITEHEAD: Well, let me try to clarify real quickly. Basically what I'm saying is that people point out all the time that, you know, instead of it being exposed to, you know, the incinerator that's spewing out dioxin, you know, if you cut back on your high fat content diet, that would cure your cancer.

My point simply is, has there ever been an analysis looking at comparatively, you know, diet versus chemical exposure.

MS. SWANSTON: The two things are interrelated, so a good diet,

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 a nutritionally sound diet, is not going to prevent cancer. However, a poor diet due to conditions like poverty is going to exacerbate environmental exposures -- the same level.

It's a susceptibility issue, which is what Mr. Callahan was just describing. They're intimately connected.

A good source for research is Dr. Calabrese's book "Pollutants in High Risk Groups" which looks at susceptibilities that are related to diet as well as susceptibilities that are related to chemicals.

MR. LEE: We're going to go to the other side of the room. I guess next is Tom.

MR. GOLDTOOTH: This question is for Mr. Callahan.

As you're developing this framework, within our American Indian and our Alaskan Native Tribes there generally are many people in our communities have a deep profound spiritual relationship to the land, to the environment. So much that it's hard to interpret that into English, the importance of that connection.

It's a very spiritual level as well as a cultural. It's intertwining, connected. And the closest that the non-Native religious community that I've heard can come to grasp to define that is called stewardship. Okay? That doesn't quite capture it.

My point is that in the work that I do with communities dealing with

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-220

11-219

toxic and radiation exposure, as well as ecological disruptions, habitat -- as a stressor factor -- a lot of our communities I feel are exhibiting extreme -- you know, I don't want to say psychological or syndrome, but there's a loss there that is very serious. It's a cultural and spiritual

So how do you quantify that when you evaluate this, when you're putting together this framework in a way that reflects this concern that I've heard throughout this country with many of our people?

MR. CALLAHAN: Well, as I said, the framework is kind of the opening step in how to do this. We're not smart enough right now to know how to do everything. The kind of cultural issues that are stressors are something that will be mentioned in this document.

How to do that, how to quantify that, is probably going to be something that's going to be highlighted as a need for research. There's going to be some needs for research that are going to be outlined here.

I think one of the things that the framework document will do is it will at least put into people's consciousness -- risk assessor's consciousness -- some of the things that have to be researched. But as to how to quantify that right now, we don't know that yet.

DR. HANDY: Charles, can I add a comment to that? MR. LEE: Sure.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

22

DR. HANDY: There is a fair amount of research that is actually growing in size which looks at the same question that you're talking about. That is, taking psychological stressors, whether you want to call them diseases or just a sense of despair, and equating that to biological susceptibility. The psychological stressors actually produce changes in the body's physiology that increases their susceptibility to chemical agents or other agents.

So this isn't a matter of mystery or entire mystery. There is research going on right now that relates to that.

Looking at that from another point of view, there's a psychological concept referred to as "learned helplessness" that some people have equated with another psychological state called depression.

The reason I bring that up is that if you are sufficiently despaired, or if you have sufficiently learned that what you do has no impact at all, it certainly could have an effect upon the extent to which you as an individual or your community -- to the extent that that was an epidemic within the community -- would have the strength and the resources to do something about either health problems or environmental problems.

So I think the point that you raised about a sense of despair with the degradation of the environment is an important one. But I agree with Dr. Callahan, I don't know that we have a nice package yet that says that this is the way you quantify that in.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

FAX (540) 678-4048

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

19

20 21

22

It's really a multiple variable issue that I don't know that there are current statistics to be able to neatly report on.

MR. LEE: I know that there are a lot more questions here, but Haywood tells me that we are running behind time -- actually, we're about half an hour behind time. We do have the next panel, which is a very important one, which brings together -- everyone here has said over and over again about the need for interagency collaboration --

MS. RAMOS: Excuse me.

MR. LEE: -- and so the next panel --

MS. WOOD: Charles.

MR. LEE: Before we go on to that panel, I want to make sure everybody gives this panel around of applause.

(Applause.)

MS. WOOD: Charles, could I ask a procedural question --

MR. LEE: Sure.

MS. WOOD: -- which relates to this panel that's just leaving and, actually, the remarks that were just made, and also to the previous

I have had a brief conversation with Dr. Campion at the end of his presentation, and the question came up -- how long is our record open?

For instance, Dr. Campion had mentioned this morning that they had just had a symposium looking on how do you merge community AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 research with the scientific research, and he said that right now it is just about through its peer review. For instance, could he submit that document, and by the same token, some of the folks on the panel that was just here - it seems to me with reference to some of the research that's out there that it would be helpful to have some of that material as part of our record.

So, my question is, when does the record for this meeting close and how long would people have to submit some of that material?

MR. LEE: I don't know the specific date to that, but we welcome all submissions for the record. So we would encourage that and make every opportunity available for that to be part of the record.

MS. WOOD: Could we make a recommendation about the period of time or --

MR. LEE: Yes, we could. We may want to do that on Friday --MS. WOOD: Okay, thank you.

MR. LEE: -- and we'll probably have a better view about -- more precision on that. Okay.

As I said before, you have said over and over again that there is a great need for interagency collaboration around health issues and health related issues in environmental justice communities.

We have brought together, and this is perhaps the real crux of the meeting, a number offederal agencies, health agencies, to discuss the AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-224

11-223

question of what kinds of strategies should be developed, implemented and evaluated so as to ensure substantial participation, integration and collaboration by federal agencies. This needs to be done, of course, with impacted communities, public health, medical and environmental professionals, academic institutions and state and tribal and local governments and the private sector.

The persons that are going to be on this panel are Henry Falk who is with the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, Charles Wells from the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Michael Sage from the National Center for Environmental Health, Jon Kerner from the National Cancer Institute, Hal Zenick from EPA Office of Research and Development, and Michael Rathsam from the Indian Health Service.

Can I ask all of you to come and be part of this panel. Thank you. (Pause.)

MR. LEE: We had asked that each of the presenters give a tenminute presentation. We are running low on time so I would ask that if you could do it in less than that, it would be helpful.

We did want to use this as a platform to really have an engaged dialogue that goes on not only this afternoon, but throughtomorrow with the Health and Research Subcommittee. This is, I think, really where the crux of the issues come to bear as far as the kinds of strategies and

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 areas of research that should be developed and implemented by federal agencies in collaboration with each other.

I would ask that we begin with Dr. Falk.

PANEL 4

KEY FEDERAL INITIATIVES PRESENTATION BY DR. HENRY FALK

DR. FALK: Thank you very much. I just want to say that I appreciate the opportunity to be here and meet with you all. I guess each of us will have some initial prepared comments but we'll be very happy to hear any questions and discuss our programs with you.

I did meet with the Health and Research Subcommittee in December and spoke with them at that time about some of our activities at ATSDR, and have gotten to know some of the people on the NEJAC and on the subcommittees and very much appreciate the opportunity to be here and speak with you.

I am still somewhat new at ATSDR. It's my first year as Assistant Administrator there. I've been very busy there. I've been there long enough to appreciate and get to know the things that we need to do; maybe not yet have had the time to do all of them.

Our work at ATSDR I think actually gets very much to the core issues of the environmental justice that you all deal with, so we are very supportive of this conference and themes and the relationship with

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 20 21 22

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

18

19

20 21

22

public health.

Let me speak for a moment about ATSDR. It's a relatively small and relatively new agency compared to the other people at the table here. The full name is Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. It was created by the Superfund legislation in the early 1980s. Our basic mission is to work with EPA on the health issues related to Superfund and hazardous waste sites.

We have a very focused agenda and mission, as a result. Our role is not to deal with health research for all health problems. It's not to deliver health -- you know, health care delivery for a broad array of problems, or to prevent all kinds of disease. We have a very specific mission related to Superfund hazardous waste sites. So, in that sense, we have a very targeted role.

Our main purpose really is in terms of service. We work at approximately 500 sites during the course of any particular year. So that we actually come in contact with many people at many of the communities, and many of the issues that you are concerned with, you know, similarly concern us very vitally.

We are headquartered here in Atlanta. My office is here in Atlanta. We have a little over 400 people in the agency, and as I said, we work closely with EPA as a result of the Superfund legislation.

In terms of the questions that were posed to us, let me speak first

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 about what I see as the strengths of our agency in relation to your agenda and then talk about the limitations.

In terms of strength, as a result of our focus of working on sites, our agency is really organized to deal with communities and sites. And this applies to everybody up and down the agency. I just came back from a meeting at a site in Idaho where I spoke the day before yesterday. I think all of us have to participate. And so we are in that sense I think vitally involved and focused on that.

Environmental justice, because of the many sites that we deal with, is -- you know, we see that as a critical process for what we have to do. And we have to build that into the fabric of our agency. There is no other way for us to work at the sites.

We have, I think, a very diverse workforce. We think a lot about how to train the people who work for us to deal with diverse situations. We are also organized structurally to try to do this interms of having the Office of Tribal Affairs, an Office of Urban Affairs that deals with minority programs, a Community Involvement Branch, a Community Tribal Subcommittee as part of our ATSDR advisory group. And we also have people in regional offices who hopefully provide a local presence for ATSDR.

We are very dependent, as a small agency, for developing partnerships to work with other groups. We work closely with EPA AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-228

11-227

because of the need for all of the environmental data and the things that EPA does. But we also work closely with other groups, the Department of Health and Human Services, the Centers for Disease Control, NIH. and others. They oftentimes have many skills and resources that we lack, and so those are necessary partnerships for us.

In addition to the site activities, we also do a fair number of scientific and other activities to sort of build up our capacity for dealing with the issues that arise at sites. We develop a lot of community toxicology profiles and health education materials and other ways of relating to communities and providing materials to them. And, you know, conveying that science becomes very important to us.

Let me speak for a moment about the limitations that I see in terms of ATSDR and our work.

One is in terms of environmental justice. As I said, we always have to put ourselves in somebody else's situation. It requires a lot of effort from many of us to really work at it and train our people to do that.

We are a government agency. You know, we belong to the federal bureaucracy. It's not easy to always change the course, I think, of large federal efforts, but we really work at that and I think we try to sort of break out of that mold, the government bureaucracy, as much as we can and make sure people can get away from sort of feeling chained in, towards being creative and resourceful and helpful in terms of coming

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX

up with individual strategies.

Although I said we're a service agency, our service is not a simple one. You know, we're not like the post office, for example, where everybody understands the post office delivers letters or packages that are supposed to arrive in somebody's mailbox, and it's very easy to see whether the letters did or did not arrive on time.

The difficulty for us is that we are trying to assess exposure to people when it's not always easy to assess exposure. We're oftentimes looking at potential health effects in situations where it's not easy to assess potential health effects.

We're providing service in a situation where the knowledge to do that is somewhat limited, which makes it very important for us to develop the science base and to work with others and to try to come up with the best approaches that we can but also try to develop better ways for the future in dealing with the problems.

Our mandate is limited. At many sites, for example, people are concerned about health care delivery. We aren't a health care delivery provider organization.

You know, we get involved in ways of trying to deal with problems that sometimes we, as an agency, can't always resolve ourselves.

In terms of several recommendations that I have, one is obviously that I think we need to keep improving the way we deal with

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4048

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

14 15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

communities and the way we generally develop our partnerships. I welcome any advice or feedback in the course of this meeting.

Secondly, as I said, we need to work with other agencies to come up with holistic solutions. You know, oftentimes people do what they think they can do in terms of government agencies, but people in communities just see that as a very narrow kind of solution. We really need to think of holistically how to help people and how we can fit into maybe broader solutions that will help people.

Finally, I would like to see ATSDR, you know, be able to serve as a catalyst for creating solutions, even if there are times that we can't do that ourselves.

For example, when I was at CDC before I came here in the Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program -- you know, the money for cleaning up houses comes from an agency like HUD. It didn't come from us in the health agency. But we really, I think, served as a catalyst in working with HUD and working with EPA and others.

So I think we need to think about solutions that will help people and what ATSDR can do working with others to meet the needs of people in communities.

So, that's my goal. You know, every community will require a different kind of solution, but we are trying to work towards that.

Thank you very much.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 (Applause.)

MR. LEE: Thank you. I think that's certainly a lot of basis for further discussion later.

Next we'll have Charles Wells from NIEHS, the National Institute for Environmental Health Sciences.

PRESENTATION BY DR. CHARLES WELLS

DR. WELLS: Thank you, Charles.

Unfortunately, Dr. Olden couldn't be here today. He had prior commitments.

I joined the institute, NIEHS, back in December of 1999, so I'm very new and some information you may need I may have to get it back to you later.

NIEHS is located in Research Triangle Park, North Carolina. NIEHS is within the Public Health Service. We are a part of DHHS, we're a part of the Public Health Service, and we're part of the NIH in Bethesda. I am from the Office of the Director, which I work directly for Dr. Olden.

In 1966, you can see, we were just a division at the NIH, and in 1989 we became an Institute. In 1991 Ken Olden became the third director of NIEHS.

The mission of NIEHS is unique and guite different from the other Institutes at NIH. The basic mission is to reduce the burden of

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-232

II-231

environmentally-associated disease by defining how the environmental exposure affects our health and how individuals differ in susceptibility to these exposures, and how susceptibilities change with age.

NIEHS is basically an agency for prevention of diseases. We do not have the charge of finding the treatment for any particular disease, but our charge is basically to prevent diseases that are environmentally associated.

Our prevention of diseases is one of the most important services that I think a government agency can provide to the citizens because this way we can prevent a lot of morbidity and perhaps prevent some early mortality.

In terms of environmental justice, I know that all of you know what environmental justice means, but this is basically the definition that was developed in NIEHS back in about '81 or '82.

Basically the environmental justice that we practice there is basically our trying to empower people that for whatever reason -- that live in these environments that have a lot of pollution and a lot of other problems, to give them power and instruments and a way to address those issues, and at the same time provide them technical assistance directly or through academic institutions to be able to address and to deal with the problems that are due solely to pollution or other environmental issues.

> AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

I can't see my slide there too well so I have to look at my sheet here.

In our Institute we have public health and translational research. Environmental justice comes under our translational research. Our communities need to better understand the effects and risks to human health from exposure to physical and social environmental changes.

Now, this was a weakness within our Institute that we decided to look at. Therefore, NIEHS saw a critical need to establish new mechanisms to educate the public about environmental health issues and for supporting individuals and community involvement in the identification and investigation of environmental health concerns.

Under our environmental translation research programs, of which we have several which I will talk about, these programs here have three common objectives: to improve the understanding of how physical and socioenvironmental factors affect human health; to develop a better means of preventing environmental-related health problems; and to promote partnership among scientists, health care providers, and community members.

We define translational research as a conversion of finding from basic, clinical or epidemiological environmental science research into information, resources or tools that can be applied by health care providers and community residents to improve public health outcome in

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

at-risk populations.

Currently within our Institute we have several translational research programs: Community-based prevention and interventional research, which I'm going to talk about today; and environmental justice. The other five programs I will briefly talk about, but these are other types of translational research that we are making information available to the public that wasn't done before.

We have K-12 environmental health science education where we'll basically provide information and technology to public schools and private schools to expose our young students to the whole issue of environmental health science education.

We also have a center that deals with community outreach and education. And we also have developmental centers.

We have a new program called ARCH where we basically will partner our intensive research institution -- we have research institutions that have the capability but do not have a lot of funding from NIH or other federal agencies.

Last but not least, we are now dealing with health disparities, which is a big issue at the NIH today. NIEHS was the first agency in our NIH to basically start a program in health disparities. This program started right after Ken became the Director of the Institute in roughly '91 or '92.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS,INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 Now, the community-based prevention and intervention research
-- this program was developed to implement culturally relevant
prevention and intervention activities in economically disadvantaged
and/or underserved populations adversely impacted by environmental
contaminants

This program is intended not only to foster the refinement of scientific valid intervention methods, but also to strengthen the participation of affected communities, which we saw as one of our weaknesses because there were a lot of decisions being made at the NIH that affect these communities where they had no input.

The community-based prevention and intervention research projects are designed to expand our knowledge and understanding of the potential causes and solutions for environmental related disorders and enhance the capability of the communities to participate in the development of research approaches and intervention strategies.

These research projects are conducted in a manner that reinforces collaboration between community members and research institutions. Therefore, the relevant results are made available to the community in a clear, useful term. We try to make it as user-friendly as we can.

The NIEHS is currently supporting nine research grants under this program. This research is peer reviewed. At NIH when we talk about peer review we have two levels of review. The first level is actually the

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS,INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-236

11-235

review group, and really themembers of this review group reviewing the research are supposed to be peers of the applicant.

This at the NIH works pretty well when the Institute is in charge of putting together the review panels so therefore they can find members that know the community and know the research also. Now, where the weakness at NIH is currently -- this would not work for the roughly 100 standing review committees we have at the NIH.

Institutes at the NIH, when they review applications or requests for applications for program projects have the unqualified right to put together review panels themselves. Therefore, one thing we have done in our Institute is to find community and researchers who are already working with communities to serve on these review panels.

Our second level of review is done by the advisory council. One member of this Council here, Peggy Shepard, is a member of our advisory council. One speaker from this morning, Dr. David Carpenter, is also a member of our advisory council.

So we do feel that we've been pretty successful at both levels of review by putting together members from the community and members from the academic community that know the problems, and also, we have members from industry also serving on our advisory council.

MR. LEE: Hey, Charles, can you begin to finish up?

DR. WELLS: Okay. The last program, but not least, is our AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 IERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

WASHINGTON-METRO FAX

(540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

Environmental Justice Partnership for Communication. This was established by NIEHS several years ago to bridge the communication gap so that communities involved have a role in identifying and defining problems and risks related to environmental health.

The research grant for this program and for the environmental justice community-based program were developed to empower disadvantaged communities with resources to effecthealthful changes. There is an increase of awareness that these health disparities arise within a culture context and that strategies for that problem must be culturally proper. Not only culturally proper, but culturally sensitive.

Unfortunately, due to time, I will not have time to go through the other translational research programs that basically support what we're talking about. This is just basically to show you where our environmental justice projects are.

I don't think you can really see that too well, but this is a list of the grantees and the populations that are affected and basically the problems that they're looking at. We currently have 20 grants under our environmental justice program.

These are the other programs we have that unfortunately I can't go into. But I wanted to point out a couple here.

The Centers for Children's Environmental Health and Disease Prevention Research is currently funded by EPA -- well, NIEHS, EPA,

AMERICAN REPORTERS,INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

21

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

and CDC. We have eight centers. Dr. Olden is now basically discussing the possibility, if we get the resources, to start some environmental justice and health disparities centers also to look into this problem.

Thank you very much, Mr. Lee and Mr. Chairman.

(Applause.)

MR. LEE: As was mentioned by many of the speakers before, NIEHS has a significant history of support for community-based research models. I don't think we have adequate time to really go into all that. Certainly at the end of the discussion we hope that you'll raise questions to Dr. Wells.

Next we'll have Dr. Jon Kerner from the National Cancer Institute.

PRESENTATION BY DR. JON KERNER

DR. KERNER: I don't have a microphone, so I'm going to talk really loud, if that's all right.

(Paus e.)

DR. KERNER: Okay, thank you. Thanks.

My name is Jon Kerner and I am a recent immigrant to the federal government having spent 20 years in community-based participatory research in New York and Washington. I joined the Cancer Institute ten weeks ago, so I am very new to government and so I bring a perspective perhaps that reflects my perspective on government from the outside as well as what I've learned from the inside.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845 FAX (540) 678-4048 This is a very brief table of organization of the National Cancer Institute. I just wanted to point out that this is a very large Institute in the NIH. You'llnotice that our fiscal 2000 budget was almost \$3 billion, so we spend a lot oftaxpayer money. Ninety percent of the money we spend is on research. We are primarily a research institution. Our mission is defined by law, by the Nation al Cancer Act, and it's briefly described there.

I am the Assistant Deputy Director in the Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences. That division, and the Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics are the two divisions that probably have the most to do with environmental justice.

Now, earlier this morning you heard the 2010 heath goals, which I just want to repeat briefly here because I think it's important to understand the challenge that HHS faces when we talk about eliminating health disparities.

I gave this presentation to a group of Native American researchers at the NCI who pointed out to me that it took five hundred years to produce these disparities; what was the probability that we would eliminate them in ten? I had to admit that was an excellent question.

So, we do have many challenges in front of us, but I think it's important that for the first time the elimination of health disparities is on the agenda. I think that's a great credit to David Satcher and to others who

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845 FAX (540) 678-4048

11-240

11-239

have been voicing these issues for a long time. It's now a part of our national mission.

You also saw a list of the leading health indicators and I just wanted to indicate to you that from the Cancer Institute's point of view many of these indicators listed on the left relate to cancer -- physical activity, tobaccouse, environmental quality, and access to health care, all of which have come up today in the context of questions and comments, which I'm sure we'll hear more about later.

But as I did a quick look at them, I also thought that even more of them are related to the environment. And so when we talk about environmental justice, we perhaps don't often think of things like physical activity or tobacco use as environmental issues, and yet they clearly are.

They clearly have to do with how communities of color and low income communities perhaps have limited access to facilities or areas where physical activity is safe, and they've been targeted by many industries, including the tobacco industry, to sell their products. But all of these factors are environmentally related, so clearly there's a tremendous relation ship or overlap between our cancer-control objectives and your focus on environmental justice.

This is a model that I wanted to just briefly show you that we've proposed as part of our challenge to eliminate health disparities by the

year 2010. Each Institute at NIH was asked by the National Institutes of Health to develop a strategic plan for addressing health disparities over the next five years.

There was a comment I wanted to make earlier about hard science. Much of what we've done at the NIH is focused on biological factors and more recently on individual risk factors, behavioral issues. Of course, we've focused on the disease process. We look at what predicts the development of cancer through stage of disease. We've heard about comorbid illness and on to mortality.

But very little of the research we've done historically has looked at this section, this sort of upper left -- I don't think there is any political commentary there -- the upper left side of this diagram in terms of what we spend our research on.

A comment was made earlier about the need for hard science. Let me suggest to you that doing studies in laboratories is not hard science; it's easy science. The opposite of hard in this case is not soft; it's easy.

And so what we do in labs is relatively easy because we have enormous experimental controls. When we go out into the real world and start working with people who are being exposed across their lifes pan to many different factors and the confluence and behavioral factors, racism, income disparity, institutional factors, this is hard science. This is very

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 AMERICAN REPORTERS,INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

19

20

21

(800) 929-0130

difficult science to carry out. It's very difficult science to get through peer review, and this is one of the challenges we face in the research community.

So this is our overall goal at the NCI, to understand the causes of health disparities in cancer and to develop effective interventions to eliminate these disparities.

Very briefly, we're going to expand the capacity to do research in that upper left-hand portion of the diagram. We need new centers for population research and new collaborations with other agencies that have an interest in this area. We have to expand our ability to fund and monitor cancer-related health disparities.

We've been talking a lot about the issue of monitoring environmental exposures, and it's very difficult in cancer to necessarily link the development of cancer -- which might take 20 or 30 years -- to an exposure that took place 20 or 30 years ago. And so we clearly have some very complex methodological problems there.

It's not enough to understand these relationships. We have to develop and support intervention research in prevention, early detection and treatment, as well as communication. The part that I'm most interested in because, of course, that's what I was hired to do, is to expand the channels for research dissemination and diffusion.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

Scientific knowledge is not power unless it's applied. The NIH historically has not done the greatest job of taking our knowledge out of the libraries and working with the service delivery agencies to figure out how to get it applied particularly targeted to those communities who bear the greatest burden from these health disparities.

Then, finally, we need to strengthen training and education in health disparities and to increase the number of minority scientists who are competitive in peer review. We have a Native American Network; we have a Special Population Network that funds community-based partnerships between academic institutions and communities, in Native American communities, Asian-American communities, Latin American communities and African-American communities. But it's a drop in the bucket, we need to do a lot more of this.

This is an example of something. Next week I'll be coming down again -- remember. I've been here for ten weeks so I'm sitting next to someone from the CDC who I've never met before but I look forward to getting to know -- we'll be coming down to meet with folks in the Division of Chronic Disease Prevention at CDC.

We've put together this sort of model, which I thought might be helpfulto you, to talk a bout how partners hips in cancer control might work. The ACS stands for the American Cancer Society and NCI -- our primary

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-244

11-243

mission at MCI is research development. We do very little direct service. And we do some synthesis of research findings as well as dissemination and diffusion. We need to do more of that.

The CDC does a lot of dissemination and diffusion. They have direct links to the health departments. Much of the research that's developed at NIH can be a conduit -- the CDC can be a conduit. They do a lot of direct service. And they do a small amount of research and development. So they have different strengths.

And the American Cancer Society is very much about volunteer service, and they do fund some research.

So each of us brings something different to the table. And I think one of the challenges in partnership is figuring our what our respective strengths are and then figuring out things that we can do together.

This is the program that I'm developing. It's a program that's ten weeks old, called TRIO, Translating Research Into Improved Outcomes.

I'm going to end here -- actually, I won't end here because I see I've got two minutes, and I'm from New York so I can talk very fast -- origin ally from New York.

This program looks at how we can model and monitor the impact of dissemination and diffusion on the year 2010 objectives collaboratively with our sister agencies in the federal government, state and local

AMERICAN REPORTERS INC. WASHINGTON-METRO NATIONWIDE

FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 agencies, and particularly advocacy groups like yourselves, to work together to try and promote the adoption of good scientific evidence-based cancer control and interventions at all levels, but particularly in underserved communities.

That's why I have as my last bullet a special focus. We are looking for partnerships that focus specifically on how to eliminate cancer health disparities among the underserved.

Who are the partners in environmental justice? Well, I'm just getting to know you all. So I look forward to trying to figure out what this circle will look like in the future.

This is what I wanted to end up with in my remaining minute. These are the challenges to partnerships. How can different federal agencies know what we do? We are a huge -- and I say this with all humility -tower of Babel. We do different things; we don't know each other, we have our own institutional missions.

It's at conferences like this -- the reason I am here is because NIEHS held a conference on social determinance of health where I had a chance to speak. Marva King from EPA heard me speak and introduced me to Charles Lee who invited me to come to the meeting.

That's the kind of way itworks. But it really shouldn't work that way. There should be some mechanism by which we have a better

AMERICAN REPORTERS.INC. NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

19

20

21

understanding of what we do, what our respective strengths are and how we can work together.

How can research best form policy and service? That's important. We've talked about that. We can't simply be continuing to accumulate knowledge and not translating it into action both at the policy level and the service level.

But we need to work in partnership - and I see I'm out of time -- with service providers because they ask some of the best questions that we, as researchers, need to be able to answer. These questions that come from the community are the ones that drive the system -- should -- to make sure our research is relevant.

And then, finally, how can the environmental justice advocacy community work best with government? This is a wonderful meeting. I'm very impressed with the give-and-take here. We have some mechanisms like that at the NCI, but nothing quite as impressive as this. So we hope to learn from you.

Thank you very much for your time.

(Applau se.)

MR. LEE: Thank you, Dr. Kerner. He talked about the Centers for Disease Control, and we have here representing a CDC agency, the National Center for Environmental Health, Mr. Michael Sage.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 PRESENTATION BY MR. MICHAEL SAGE

MR. SAGE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I'm Mike Sage. I'm currently serving as the Deputy Director of our Center.

PARTICIPANTS: Can't hear you.

MR. TURRENTINE: It's on, just get it closer.

MR. SAGE: Can you hear me now? What do I need to do here?

PARTICIPANT: Speak directly into the microphone.

MR. SAGE: Okay. Can you hear me now?

PARTICIPANT: Yes.

MR. SAGE: I'm Mike Sage. I'm currently serving as the Deputy Director of the National Center for Environmental Health, I'm going to be actually moving jobs here in the next month to become the Director of our Policy Planning Evaluation and Legislation Office, and we've just hired Rosemary Henson to be the new Deputy Director of our Center.

Rosemary I think will be very interested in working with this group. She led CDC's Breast and Cervical Cancer Program for years. She's very interested in health disparities. I would hope both her and I could continue to work with the committee through time.

I've prepare d a short presentation to a ddress four issues. One, who we are; our strengths; barriers to working with us; and recommendations

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-248

11-247

I would have.

Before I do that, though, I was encouraged to hear some discussion earlier on children's environmental health, a topic dear to the hearts of many people at this table. There's a lot of efforts that are going on in children's environmental health and possibly this committee would want to hear those efforts in the future.

Specifically, though, you may be interested to know that there are 15 bills in Congress at this time related to children's environmental health, most of them probably going nowhere, but one billin particular introduced by Congressman Bilirakis passed the House a couple of weeks ago on a 403 to 9 vote

We have just been approached by Senator Kennedy and Senator Frist this week to have input into the Senate version of that bill, and all political indications are that that bill may pass and get signed this year, a major legislation on children's health issues and specifically children's environmental health issues.

To go on to my presentation, though, the Center for Environmental Health is one of the centers within the Centers for Disease Control. What struck me about the last presentation, if you broadly define environmental justice as an issue, there are a number of centers within C DC that would be appropriate to engage on this topic, such as the Center for Chronic

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

Disease and Health Promotion -- that's where the cancer programs at CDC sit, that's where the diabetes program sits, that's where adult and children and adolescent health education programs sit. So that may be another center within CDC to engage on this issue.

Specifically to the Center for Environmental Health, though, this is our bureau cratic definition of our mission. I think the key words here, though, for us and where some of the issues we have are, is we do applied science -- not basic research, but applied science. That tends to have more of a focus in this mission than our service.

We are very much into preventing disease and have really not very many of our efforts on controlling except for when a real emergency

And we are really looking at people, the health outcomes in people and not so much all the environmental factors -- but the environmental factors that may affect health outcomes in people.

Our strengths very much relate to our division of organization. We are divided up into four divisions within one office. That's of particular importance. The four divisions are: Emergency and Environmental Health Services; Environmental Hazards and Health Effects; the Laboratory Sciences Division; and Birth Defects, Child Development and Developmental Disabilities Division.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

21

Our office of interest to this group could be the Office of Genetics and Public Health. That is really a strategic coordination office across CDC to look at all the issues arising related to genetics and public health.

The strengths that we bring within those divisions are biomonitoring efforts. What I mean by that is over the last few years we've developed increasing technology and expertise in being able to measure substances in people, in human specimens. In the next few years we hope to increase that effort and bring that technology to bear on both population studies and profiling first a national profile and then possibly communitybased profiles of people's exposure, human exposure, to various substances.

We have wide-ranging expertise in epidemiological studies. We have one whole division essentially involved in.

We have community needs assessment took that I would hope would be part of your discussion tomorrow at your Health and Research Subcommittee meeting. Some of these -- one of our staff is going to be at that meeting -- some of these issues and tools that we have and strengths to bear I think would be a good dialogue in that group.

We have prevention programs. Here I would specifically just point out two right at this time. One is the Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program. Though we've made great strides in that area -- just to give you

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 an example of where we are right now, 60 percent of the children who were screened in Medicaid -- Medicaid-eligible children -- did not get screened for lead poisoning. Yet they are some of the children at highest risk in this country. So we're refocusing some of our efforts to try to focus in on that population.

This year we've just received funding related to asthma and the increasing asthma epidemic in the United States. For the first time Congress has funded us to try to develop a national asthma program. That's something that this group specifically might be interested in.

That's really where we see our strengths.

We also have a really developing area of environmental public he alth workforce training. Here really issues of pipeline, or diversity, and getting people trained.

I went to graduate school at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and I've learned that from my department in the last three years not one individual went into public health from the School of Public Health. From the Health Policy and Administration, they all went into managed care organizations. So that's a major issue for us that we're looking at in environmental workforce training.

Some of the barriers I see in working with us is our funding is very disea se-specific and very issue-specific. Meaning our funding comes from

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-252

II-251

Congress targeted to asthma. That boxes then into just dealing with asthma. Funding comes for lead; it then boxes it into dealing with lead.

I see that as a barrier in working with communities because it do esn't give us much leeway in working other issues that are often of more concern to communities.

We have a lack of direct community access to NCEH. Most of our programs are run through state health departments and local health departments and we have very few efforts that are really actually direct community-based efforts within NCEH.

We have a lack of understanding -- and this is more on the community side -- when we do work with communities, a lack of understanding of the population-based research that we do and all the concerns that people have for themselves in not understanding population-based research.

We have -- I'm not sure that "inability" is the right word here -- but aligning our scientific expertise with community-based efforts has been a barrier for us. I think some of it is this lack of connection we have between ourselves and communities in that we have a lot of go-between between us and communities.

Getting back to Jon's point, we have a lag time between the translation of the science and its use in community education and

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

prevention. A big issue for us. I would also hope that the Health and Research Subcommittee would kind of take a look at that issue and give us some of their concerns there and some suggestions.

We also have a real lack of understanding of a lot of the cultural issues. When we actually end up getting involved directly in communitybased efforts, we have lots bridges to gap in understanding cultural issues when we do go out into the field because often times we do our work in Atlanta and miss the perception of the cultural issues in the community.

Some of the recommendations that I would make is that we need to encourage broad based -- I'm not using the word "funding" here as the focus -- but broad based funding for CDC and state and local departments, meaning funding that will allow us to deal with real public health concerns, real issues that people have, and not necessarily the narrow-focused funding that we have.

We need to encourage -- once we have that broadbased funding, we need to commit to program-specific projects to address environmental justice concerns, which we really have an inability to do at this time.

We, as the CDC, need to spend a lot of time and effort on all the issues of communication, health communication, strategies, communication between communities and us, communication between the agencies. We need much more effort there, and I would recommend

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

FAX

21

some focus on that.

Also, I would recommend that -- we've had the staff internally working pretty hard to say what are we going to do in community involvement in all of our programs, and come back to the Director's office and tell us how you think you can work that, and by this fall -- we've given them a date by this fall -- to have that in our hands. I would hope to come back to this committee and get your advice on that community involvement then.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

MR. LEE: And certainly one of the real hopes of this particular NEJAC meeting is to discuss and begin to have some recommendations about how to work broadly with all the CDC agencies.

Next we have Mr. Michael Rathsam from the Indian Health Service. I just want to say that we're really excited about having a representative from IHS. He will be Mr. Michael Rathsam.

PRESENTATION BY MR. MICHEAL RATHSAM

MR. RATHSAM: Thank you.

Captain Tom Crow, the Indian Health Service's principal environmental health consultant, was unable to be here today. He does send his greetings to each and every one of you and expressed his desire for the overwhelming success of this meeting.

> AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 My name is Mickey Rathsam and I'm filling in for Captain Crow today.

We've been in the business of addressing environmental health disparities for about 45 years and providing direct health care services to Tribes. Over the next few minutes I want to share with you information on four topics. The topics include the mission, goal and foundation of the Indian Health Service, followed by an introduction to the Office of Environmental Health and Engineering. And during this introduction I'll identify a few of our major strengths. Then I'll finish up by addressing a few of our limitations and making several recommendations.

The mission of the Indian Health Service, in partnership -- and that's a very important word, in "partnership" -- with the American Indian and Alaskan Native people, is to raise physical, mental, social and spiritual health to the highest level.

The goal of the Indian Health Service is to ensure that comprehensive culturally acceptable personal and public health services are available and accessible to all American Indian and Alaska Native

And the foundation of the Indian Health Service is to uphold the federal government's obligation to promote healthy American Indian and Alaska Native people communities and cultures and to honor and protect the inherent sovereign rights of Tribes.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-256

11-255

Over the past 45 years we have made significant progress in achieving our mission and goals. Since 1955 ambulatory medical care visits have increased by 1,200 percent. Since 1973 infant mortality rates have decreased by 54 percent and are now equivalent to that of the general population. And life expectancy has increased 12.2 years. Mortality rates have decreased for maternal deaths; they've decreased for tuberculosis, gastrointestinaldisease, infant deaths, unintentional injuries, pneu monia and influenza; they've decreased for homicide, alcoholism and

Despite these successes, disparity remains. Life expectancy is 71 years, which is five years less than the national average. Tuberculosis occurs in the Indian population at rates six times greater than the "all races" rates. Alcoholism occurs at rates seven times that of the general U.S. population. Further, Indian communities are devastated by the suicide rate that is twice that of the general population. And as we heard earlier, death related to diabetes occurs at the rate of 46 per hundred thousand, compared to a rate of 13 perhundred thousand in the general population.

In Indian Country there are 79 percent fewer nurses, 60 percent fewer dentists, and 45 percent fewer physicians.

There are many underlying causes for these disparities. Social and

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 cultural disruption of traditional Native societies. lack of education and economic opportunities, and high levels of unemployment and poverty. These all put Indian people at higher risk.

Disparities in health are aggravated by a disparity of resources, especially the gap in health care spending for Indian people compared to other Americans.

A lot of work has been done. A lot of work remains to be done

Now, having said that, let me move on to -- or, let me provide you with a brief overview of the Indian Health Service's Office of Environmental Health and Engineering, otherwise known at OEH&E.

It is this office that is responsible for addressing environmental health disparity in environmental justice, and there are three divisions.

Our Division of Environmental Health Services routinely provides expertise to Tribes in all the environment health programs, programs including indoor air quality, outdoor air quality, toxic wastes, solid waste management, community injury prevention, groundwater contamination, pesticides, food protection, institutional health, occupational health, and radioactive wastes, are just a few of what we address in Indian communities every day, every year. And we've done for 55 (sic) years.

Our Division of Sanitation Facilities Construction is charged with designing and construction of water, sewer and solid waste management

> AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO

(540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

21

19

20

21

systems. And our Division of Facilities Engineering focuses on the construction and maintenance of IHS and Tribal hospitals, clinics and health stations.

Our strengths. Our strength is our staff, their commitment, and the comprehensive services they provide on rural reservations and communities. We currently have 164 environmental health officers in the Division of Environmental Health Services. They're scattered all across the country.

Our officers have graduated from accredited colleges with a Bachelor of Science in either environmental health or biological science. Most are registered professionally. Many have master's degrees and several have Ph.D.'s.

Our Sanitation Facilities Construction staff consists of nearly 500 civil and environmental engineers and an equal number of technicians. Most of our staff live on or near the reservations they serve. We are not removed from the people we serve; we are in their communities.

By both living and working in Tribal communities our officers quickly develop an understanding of and appreciation for Native culture and politics. Normally the longer an officer remains on a detail, the more effective they become with the Tribes they serve.

Let's talk about successes. We have found success in reducing AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 health disparity when basic public health programs become part of community infrastructure. In 1955 only 15 percent of Indian homes had safe water and sanitary liquid waste disposal facilities. And due to the determined efforts of Tribes and the Indian Health Service, over 90 percent of Indian homes had received safe water and sanitary liquid was te disposal systems by 1998.

At the same time, the age-adjusted death rate from gastrointestinal disease among American Indians and Alaska Natives decreased 91 percent. That's predictable.

In the mid-1980s we assisted several remote 85 percent impoverished Tribes in the development of self-sustaining, fee for service -- fee for service -- solid was te management programs that provided doorto-door collection service. These are the same types of services you would find in downtown Phoenix or in New York or anyplace else.

Flies, rodents, wild and domestic animals no longer have the opportunity to spread disease from de composing organic waste dumped in residential areas, and there are no open dumps to burn.

These programs continue to operate successfully and are now a stable component of the communities' infrastructure.

We are on the cutting edge of reducing injuries in American Indian and Alaska Native communities. Not too long ago Tribes placed low

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-260

11-259

priority on smoke detectors, child safety seats, bicycle helmets, seatbelts, personal floatation devices, and only a few chose to address DWI, suicide and homicide.

That has changed. Many Tribes are now incorporating proven injury reduction strategies into their infrastructure. Between 1987 and 1995 hospitalizations for injuries and poisonings dropped 29 percent.

And as you'll see on the graph on the screen, between 1973 and 1993 -- I apologize for the way that looks, but you'll notice the tall bar are the American Indians/Alaska Natives; the lighter color baris U.S. all races; and the darkest shorter bar is U.S. white race. Between 1973 and 1993 age-adjusted injury and poisoning death rates among American Indians and Alaska Natives decreased approximately 50 percent, while the decrease among U.S. all races and U.S. white race was much more subtle

We've led the way by not only developing and implementing a successful community-based public health model for injury reduction, but also by providing comprehensive multi-level training for all Tribes.

Now our limitations. The environmental health programs share one very basic important limitation, and we share it with the Tribes that we work with as well. We have never been funded at one hundred percent of our level of need. In fact, we are currently funded at only 34 percent

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 level of need. This means that each of our staff is attempting to do the work normally required of three people.

Given our success at the current funding level, we clearly see the immense positive effect that would be produced if funding was more adequate.

Now for recommendations. For 45 years we've approached health disparity in a comprehensive matter with a substantially limited budget. Despite this, we are able to demonstrate substantial progress toward achieving our mission and goals. More adequate sustainable funding must be provided to further reduce health disparity.

There is considerable potential for Tribes to be led to believe that health disparities and environmental justice is related only to contamination of air, so il and water, from manufacturing and possibly agricultural processes. I suggest to you that there are other issues, and there are other major issues.

These issues include geographic location and infrastructure of the community, the condition of roadways -- and I'm so pleased that we have a representative from the Department of Transportation here today; that's very important -- the condition of residential, commercial and public buildings, the condition of water and waste disposal systems, levels of building maintenance, technology, vectors of disease and injury, food

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

19

20

21

protection, solid waste management. The expertise of those who care for and educate children, and the expertise of those that provide medical care, fire protection, EMS, and disaster response.

These are but a few of the other issues that directly affect health disparity and environmental justice.

We need to be mindful of messages that Tribes or rural communities receive from our programs and actions. There needs to be frequent and routine communication between Tribes and agencies that fund Tribal environmental programs and those agencies that provide direct comprehensive environmental health services.

We all need to strive not to duplicate services. It's confusing out there.

Then there are the ever-changing intangibles which include culture, politics -- I'm just about done - I have another 30 seconds.

MR. LEE: Okay.

MR. RATHSAM: Then there are the ever-changing intangibles which include culture, politics, economics and sustainability. We can ill-afford any miscommunication regarding the comprehensive scientific nature of reducing health disparity and environmental injustice.

We look forward to having the opportunity to work more closely with our sister agencies and to continue working closely with Tribes providing

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 comprehensive environmental health consultation and direct services.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

MR. LEE: Thank you.

The last presenter in this panel is Dr. Hal Zenick from the EPA Office of Research and Development. Hal.

PRESENTATION BY DR. HAL ZENICK

DR. ZENICK: I'm probably one of the few people at the table who, having raised four boys, probably doesn't need a microphone.

(Laugh ter.)

DR. ZENICK: I've got a few overheads that I'm going to use. I'm actually going to -- realizing the time is tight -- run through the first couple very quickly and then take the discussion in a bit of a different tangent in throwing a proposition out to the NE JAC for consideration. If I might get some assistance on the first overhead

The first one is basically a diagram matic structure of EPA itself. Let me just point out -- there's several boxes up there - EPA can be viewed as sort of consisting of three interrelated elements. The ones that many of you may be the most familiar with are our program offices, such as the Offices of Air, Water, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, the Office of Pesticide Programs and Toxic Substances.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130

(540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-264

11-263

These offices, by congressional and legislative mandates, have missions to carry out to enforce and ensure that we have clean water, safe air, and so forth.

The second key elements within the agency are EPA's ten regions, which is where the rubber meets the road, where there is an interfacing to actually help work with the states and the communities to carry out the regulations and decisions that are made within the agency itself.

The third element then is the support offices to the agency. The Office of Enforcement and Compliance, the Office of Information that we have, and my office, the Office of Research and Development I will come back to that dimension later on in discussing sort of some of these dynamic interactions.

The next couple of overheads tend to reflect a bit of what the mission of the agency is, which it views itself as having a vital role in ensuring both human and ecological health in terms of environmental conditions.

And then, if you look at the agency's purposes on the next couple of overheads, there's actually a whole list of purposes for which the agency views its mandate in meeting its mission. But some of the key words that you can see are that it feels that it is committed to protecting the environment and resources for all Americans, that it wants to use the best science in making its decisions, and it wants to ensure that the decisions

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 that it makes in the protection of human health and the environment are enforced fairly and effectively.

I'm getting old, I can't read my own slides here. Bear with me. I can barely read these and they're right in front of me.

The next one there is -- I think this is a key one that I want to hit on -- that environmental protection is an integral consideration in the U.S. policies, concerning natural resources, human health, et cetera, et cetera,

I'm going to offer in a couple of my later slides that I'm not totally convinced that the environment is considered to be an important etiological factor when we make our human health policies in fact -- and I'll come back to that.

Then, finally, and I think of course of relevance to this committee of special relevance to this committee -- is that these decisions do ensure that all parts of the society have the opportunity to effectively participate in this decisionmaking process. We might go to the next overhead.

My comments on the next couple of overheads are based in part on experience that I've had in the last seven or eight years as a co-chair of a working group under Border XXI to attempt to address environmental health issues in the U.S./Mexico border. To some extent that experience has been very rewarding and in other instances it's been rather eyeopening.

> AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

The way that program has been structured has been an attempt to actually establish a partnership that has involved all of the pertinent public health service agencies, EPA and its regional offices, and a number of state agencies in terms of looking at how do you effectively address environmental health issues.

Based upon those experiences, I'd like to make and offer the following comments, if I could.

First of all, I think it's been noted by a number of the speakers today that environmental factors is only one of the elements that we face in trying to deal with health disparities and trying to deal with health communities.

We've listed a whole set of other factors, factors related to socio econ omic status, factors related to living conditions and race and so forth.

I think that the ability to effectively ensure healthy communities is absolutely dependent upon us being able to take a more integrated approach to looking at the dynamics between those factors.

I think that there is in fact a sto vepiping across federal agencies. It is not uncommon for people to believe that environmental justice is an issue for EPA and the other agencies, when they sitat the table, are doing us a favor.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 (Laugh ter.)

DR. ZENICK: Well, in fact, the environment is a factor for every agency. Health is a factor for every agency.

Much of my frustration I think has been observing that we create many interagency institutions and we do really well at meeting. But it's not clear that people go home with a reaction more than: that was interesting; it's another unfunded mandate to deal with. And I offer that I think this is a tremendous -- tremendous -- challenge to try and overcome.

The third bullet is one I've mentioned and I think that without this commitment we're not going to make very much progress. And that is, I believe it is absolutely essential that the public health and the medical community, which is a major powerful player in this country, recognizes that environmental conditions are a major etiological factor in health status.

I can tell you, that is a struggle to do. I think without that recognition it's going to be very difficult to actually engage the elements that operate within communities to effectively address a lot of the issues that programs such as mine, which is a national program that has to do with broad issues, can more effectively have success.

I think that the other aspect of this is that there are other key players that must be engaged. And I'm absolutely thrilled to hear that the

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-268

11-267

Department of Transportation has gotten more actively involved, that they've actually established an environmental justice component, that they recognize the decisions related to things such as where you place roads and land use decisions have tremendous impact.

I think there are other players -- HUD and others -- players whose budget and resources often dwarf many other players. I think it's critical to have them engaged and realize -- and I think they have realized that they have a health mandate just as we do.

Having said that, let me go to the last slide, which is a little bit off the wall, and I think that's okay.

I think that we need to renew our commitment and recognize the inextricable linkage between environmental health, public health and health care. In many of the communities that we've had an opportunity to visit with along the border, in the issues they bring to us, it's not a very good answer to say, well, ORD and EPA really don't deal with those issues. You must be able to hand those off.

One of our most successful partners hips in the last couple of years has been with HRSA, the Health Resources Services Administration. We now actually have an interagency agreement with them. We've actually begun to implement a program that is boking at trying to increase environmental education for health care providers in communities so they

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.
WASHINGTON-METRO

SHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 can become more aware and more cognizant, get into the early phases of recognizing environmentally linked problems.

I think some of those partnerships are absolutely critical to this integrated model.

I think we'vegot to recognize that we need research -- and I've heard a lot of that today. But research cannot be a substitute for action. Many of the problems we've pointed out, from Mike Callahan's presentation on, cumulative risks and the challenges, we know that we need more research, we know that we need more tools.

The fact of the matter is that the implementation of Title VI is a reality, that OCR and others are going to have to carry that Act out. When they get a petition that says we think there have been disparities in the granting of the permit for this facility, we're going to have to use the science that we have.

As we develop those experiences, we will be able to develop better research insights and do a better job. But I oftentimes am concerned -- and I hear a little bit of this in the meeting tod ay and my office has certainly been part of this -- is oftentimes we need more research. I'm not sure.

The model I'm going to suggest is one that Haywood Turrentine mentioned very pointedly in a teleconference we had I think back last August when he said the key verb is "action."

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.
NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO
(800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

INC.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

20

21

What I'm going to suggest for consideration -- and again, I can do this; I'm not an M.D. person, I'm not a public health person per se; I can always fall back and simply let you know I'm a toxicologist -- is I think we need a diagnostic action-oriented model that I think is not particularly different from what we already use in the medical community.

When someone presents himself with an illness, the first thing we may try and attempt to do is understand a lot of the symptoms. And as we begin to try and treat that, if it be fever and give it an antipyretic or whatever, we begin to try and deal with symptoms. We may dig in further and try and ascertain, well, what are the causes and how can we address those?

In the border we have know (inaudible) defects has been an issue off and on. CDC has implemented I think a tremendous program in folic acid supplementation of food products. It's beginning to show a marked decrease in recurrence

Do we know what the trigger is? No, we don't. Do we know that we're being able to effectively treat it? Yes, we do.

I would suggest that one of the things that we might want to consider is how do we begin to combine our expertise that when we look at a community we can begin to understand what are some of the things that in a community partnership we can begin to treat, even if it's the

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 symptoms, that begin to improve the health of the community as we try and understand what those triggers are.

There's a variety of approaches. One of the things I would offer in terms of whether this is realistic is perhaps we can center on a limited number of communities over the next three to four years, develop -- I hate the word, but develop a swat team type of mentality. Can we go in with a group of experts working with the community and local folks, do the diagnostic, try and determine where can we influence, persuade, implement some changes in the conditions in that community, and then step back and make some assessment of have we been successful, what were the barriers, and I think critically when I have to go back to my agency and talk about this -- it is, and what are the constraints. What was the cost to do this? Is it something that you can adopt in your way of thinking and planning within your agency? Is it realistic or not?

I think there are some opportunities to take maybe a bit of a different model and begin applying it and determine whether or not it is in fact realistic and what are the partnerships that you need to do it.

I tend to be a rah-rah for making interagency efforts work. But, again, I think that needs to perhaps look at different models that extend beyond just more meetings.

Thank you very much.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-272

II-271

(Applau se.)

MR. LEE: Thank you, Hal.

Before we open it up for questions I want to do a time check because it is now ten minutes to five and we have public comment period at 6:30. So I would say that we would go to 5:30. Is that possible?

MR. TURRENTINE: If you do, we'll never get back at 6:30.

MR. LEE: So can we do it until 5:15? Okay. Great.

MR. TURRENTINE: The more important guestion is what is Council going to do relative to getting back here so that we can start at 6:30? That largely determines when we leave. You know, we invariably can get back here and get started and we've published this in the Federal Register. We really don't have any choice in the matter. The public comment period will start at 6:30 with or without you.

Now, having said that, what time do you want to go to dinner? (Simultan eous convers ation.)

MR. LEE: So, 5:15 is the consensus, right? Keeping in mind that this is a very important part of the discussion, this actually is the heart of why we're here, but the way we have planned this, for everyone's edification, is that this is going to be an ongoing dialogue that's going to continue all day in the Health and Research Subcommittee.

Before we open it up for questions I want to also make sure that we

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 recognize the fact that there are a number of officials from other federal agencies here. Rather than introducing them individually, I would just ask that they all stand to be recognized.

I don't know, if they're here, maybe they don't want to be recognized. They will be joining us in our various subcommittees.

One of them I do want to point out is Jeanine Willis from the Health Research Service Administration which was actually very much -- very prominent in the dialogues thus far.

When Hal talked about the inextricable link between environmental health, public health and health care, they are the other key agency involved here. So I just wanted to make sure that we all realized that Jeanine is here and for all of you to talk with her. She will be joining us tomorrow in the subcommittee.

Let me just begin with questions from the Councilmembers. I guess we can start with those who weren't able to ask their questions the last time. I think we left off at Peggy Shepard.

MS. SHEPARD: I'd like to know what kinds of interventions -- what are the components of interventions that you think will begin to really reduce health disparities? Do you really think that can be done in the next ten years? And can it be done without partnering with communities?

MR. TURRENTINE: Anyone. Anyone.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

18

19

20

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

DR. KERNER: We're all rushing to the microphone.

Good question. Let me say that I think if you think back to that diagram I showed you, a lot of the interventions we've developed, at least in the area of cancer control, have fo cused on health behavior change and also medical approaches which is a small part but a part of the problem.

I don't think any of those targeted to underserved communities can be done without partnership with that community. I think we have lots of evidence over the last 20 or 30 years that community-placed research interventions don't work as well as community-based participatory research interventions. And so I think that partnership is essential.

I think as we get a better understanding of the links between some of those factors that are sometimes difficult to measure -- the hard science, as I was alluding to earlier -- the policy implications are areas where links to the advocacy community are going to be absolutely essential because in my limited tenure at the federal government I understand that I have a very limited influence over policy.

I have a chance to do research, I have a chance to dialogue with communities that have a stake in what policy changes might take place, but ultimately it's the legislative process linked to community advocacy that often drives policy change.

And I'd just like to make one other comment about this. You know,

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 as scientists we kind of eschew stories and ane cdotes for data. But it's been my observation over the last 20 or 30 years that a lot of policy change comes from a really good story. And so I think as scientists we need to learn to present our data as really good stories.

And we need your help to do that because tables of numbers don't necessarily change policy, but what those numbers mean and how to communicate them in human terms does.

MR. SAGE: I think to answer to the last part of your question first is, I don't think you can implement an intervention without community-based efforts. If you're really going to implement --

MR. LEE: Can you speak into the microphone, please.

MR. SAGE: I'm sorry. If you're going to implement interventions, ultimately they come down to community-based efforts, truly. So, the last part to your question is that they have to be.

The first part of your question is that it's hard to imagine for me personally -- and this is my personal opinion -- that all health disparities for all illnesses will be eliminated inten years. That doesn't mean that we shouldn't have a goal to take the first ten years and get as far as we can.

I would think we would try to focus on priority health outcomes that

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-276

11-275

affect the most people first and go after that. Such as diabetes and numerous other health conditions that affect large populations.

DR. WELLS: In NIEHS -- I agree with you, Peggy -- our intervention programs and intervention strategies are developed by the community in concert with academia or government -- so I agree with you that intervention without input or consideration from that community probably won't be very effective.

MR. RATHSAM: The lessons we've learned from preventing injuries in Native American communities is that you've got to have sound scientific data collection and analysis. That means you have to know what you're looking for and it has to be measurable. And it has to be tooled up, the technology, locally to do it.

The second step is advocacy. Once you get that scientific information, you've got to convert it into something that the community understands. That's a very important component. You can't just talk scientific language to the general populous, I don't think; it's scary.

The next step we go to is community mobilization or coalition building. Then on to intervention development within that community group. And then implementation. And then you gothrough the process again of the scientific data collection and analysis again to see if

> AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO

FAX (540) 678-4048 interventions worked.

That's an ongoing process. It's a lifetime process. But I think it can happen.

DR. FALK: I just wanted to add that, yes, there has to be a very important dialogue with the communities.

I think Hal made a very good point, which is that we all always think of ourselves in terms of where we come from in our agencies, but the federal government as a whole really ought to be the target of that dialogue with communities. We really have to be creative, I think, in terms of what resources can be brought in to help in those discussions.

Yes, there needs to be a very active partnership and dialogue with the communities, but both of us -- the communities and the federal agencies -- really need to do a better job, I think, in terms of drawing on the resources of the federal government to really respond to those needs.

MR. LEE: I think the next person that - were there any other thoughts on the question? Can we go to Rose Marie Augustine?

MS. AUGUSTINE: My experience with ATSDR in the community has not been very good. One of the things that I think ATSDR needs is to be a little more understanding of the culture of the community that

> AMERICAN REPORTERS INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

(540) 678-4845

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

One of the health studies or assessments that they did in the community is they came, they started knocking on doors, and told the people they were interviewing, we'll give you \$10 for your interview. The community started calling me and they were very upset because they felt that, you know, here they had family that was sick and dying, they had people that were -- that the families had died and they felt, well, what are they offering me \$10 for? Is this what my family is worth to them, \$10?

So, you know, that is -- that was a slap in the face to offer them that. You know, \$10 or any kind of money. They would have done it for free. They didn't want anything. They just wanted to be counted. They wanted their families to be counted.

Another thing, too, is that I find in the community -- well, let me tell you this. After this happened, when the report was ready, the person that was working in the community, the ATSDR person, had to call the TCE clinic so that someone from the clinic could go and pick up the report at the hotel because the community did not want her there. And that's how we got the report.

Another thing, too, when you talk about culture. When you talk

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

AMERICAN REPORTERS,INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 about people that smoke, that drink, and you tell the women it's hereditary, it's the smoking, the drinking, that your child inherited this, you have cancer because it's hereditary -- it's not the chemicals you were exposed to, all the diseases you have, it's your fault.

So here's another cultural thing. In the Mexican community -- and this is the old people that I have seen and I have heard -- is when a person is dying, "oh, did you hear so and so has been suffering so much, she's got cancer. I wonder what sin she committed that she is suffering so much and God is punishing her."

Yet, when a person has cancer -- and my grandson's paternal mother died two years ago -- they will not tell you they died of cancer and they don't come out -- and they won't even go to the doctor until it's too late because they have been blamed for the disease they have and they don't want anybody to know they've committed a bad sin, and that's what, you know, they're suffering for.

MR. LEE: Hey, Rose.

MS. AUGUSTINE: So she died of a ruptured appendix after she had had a series of radiation treatments. But it wasn't cancer.

MR. LEE: Did you have a question?

MS. AUGUSTINE: When are ATSDR and all these scientific

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.
WASHINGTON-METRO

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

VASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845 FAX (540) 678-4048

11-280

11-279

agencies going to start taking into account the burden that they're putting on the community when they tell them it's hereditary? And the comments that I just made -- so that you understand what we're talking about in culture -- you take culture and religion into consideration when you come out with these reports.

DR. FALK: Thank you for your comment. I recognize that there are situations, and have been situations, where community members or others have been approached maybe in a less than sensitive way, and I accept your concerns and maybe we could talk about that afterwards as well. We will try to do our best to rectify that going down the road.

I think one of the difficulties we have in terms of working with diseases, whether it's cancer or other diseases, is that most have multiple potential causes. For many of the diseases, certain types of cancers, we don't even know what causes most of them. And you're right, that's certainly not something that ought to be left in terms of either concerns about blame or concerns about guilt.

We as scientists really ought to be aware of the limitations of knowledge and what we can't say and what we can say. So I accept your concerns and hopefully we will do better in other situations.

MR. LEE: Next is Luke.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 MR. COLE: I just have a short statement. This is great progress, to have the six of you here talking about environmental justice because often your agencies, particularly Indian Health Service and ATSDR, have been the obstacles to progress towards social justice.

I mean, the Indian Health Service up until the 1970s was carrying out sterilization policies. You know, many people see that as a justice issue.

ATSDR more recently, as Rose mentioned, has had a number of problems with community credibility, and continues to this day.

Based on the national experience of groups like Rose's — the California communities with which I work have a strict policy of not working with ATSDR and not allowing ATSDR to come in to study their communities because they cannot point to a single community that has been helped by ATSDR's involvement, but they can point to a number of communities that have been hurt by ATSDR's involvement. So they have said, on a policy level, we're not going to work with ATSDR and we don't want ATSDR to come in here because they will only hurt our efforts to get justice.

(Applause.)

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

DR. FALK: We are working with about 500 sites around the

AMERICAN REPORTERS, IN WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

17

18

19

20

country and I visited a number of those sites and I speak to people in the regional offices and have, you know, spent several meetings with our community and tribal subcommittee and spoken to people around the country.

So, I am sure that there are instances where what you're saying is correct. I don't think that that's the generally prevailing situation throughout the country. But I'm happy to really try and correct whatever situations are not working.

In the interest of this particular panel, you know, I'll tell you about a recent site and something we're trying to do which at least I think can help people in terms of partnering. It's not going to answer all the questions that you raised, but I'm not sure we can do that in this sitting.

For example, we have an active investigation in Libby, Montana with concerns about treating people with diseases related to asbestos. That's not something that is purely within our ken, but the National Cancer Institute has treatment protocols for mesothelioma and research protocols underway for pulmonary diseases.

So we did a joint workshop where we brought in the physicians from Montana to Washington and met with various parts of NIH, including NIEHS, NCI, and others who are here, and actually had the

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 people with the most current information on how to treat illnesses related to asbestos and provide something which at least can allow people to think about what might be the best way to approach treatment or how to incorporate new kinds of research proposals.

I think there are things that we all clearly can do, and I am happy to try to rectify problems that may have existed in the past. I don't know that I can do them all adequately or sufficiently but I'm happy to try to do that.

There are a lot of places where I think we are doing value added, and I think there are a lot of mechanisms or the kinds of things that people are talking about here which can increase that. So maybe later on we can talk about it and see what can be done.

MR. LEE: I think Michel is next, but I was going to say that -- well, why don't you go.

MR. RATHSAM: If I might just address the comment about sterilization.

MR. LEE: Sure. sure.

MR. RATHSAM: I am unaware that that was a situation. There have been a lot of folks in line to provide health care to Native Americans over a lot of years. The Bureau of Indian Affairs, and I think

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-284

11-283

the Army at some point was involved with that process. Indian Health Service came in right around 1955, so certainly if you are familiar with information about 1970, you know, I'm curious, I'm going to find out about that.

But I want to assure everyone that in the 16 years that I've worked for Indian Health Service I've found that our medical staff is extremely compassionate, they extend themselves into the community, and that is something that is not done -- it's just not done, that I'm aware of, at any place.

My specialty is environmental health, but we do interface with our medical staff. That is something that is very unique about the Indian Health Service; we provide health care. We have something like 865 physicians, almost 3,000 nurses, dentists, and a whole cadre of health professionals. That means we also have health records and we can access those records for good use.

But I'll check into that. That's a very interesting comment.

MR. LEE: Before you go, Michel, I wanted to try to get us somewhat focused on the purpose of this particular panel, which was to look at what kinds of recommendations can come forth from the NEJAC as far as more integrated federal activities to address the

> AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 community-based public health issues in environmental justice communities.

There were a number of recommendations that were forwarded by each of the panelists and I was wondering if any of your questions or your comments may be focused on those so that we can further that dialogue in the interest of your coming forward with a set of recommendations and advice and counsel to the agency.

Michel.

MR. GELOBTER: I'd like to focus on a recommendation that I thought was by and large missing, unfortunately, from what was presented.

You know, we stand here at the end of what we're claiming is the longest peacetime economic expansion, particularly the National Institutes of Health is one of the federal agencies that's done very well given the budget onslaught that a lot of other agencies have faced. Particularly the National Cancer Institute, but in NIH generally -- and maybe not NIEHS -- you benefit from a huge constituency, you know, people cheering for you "cure cancer," cure heart, lung, and blood disease.

At the same time, we don't see enough, it seems to me, of your

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

18

19

20

use of that constituency to reach to those communities that are most devastated by those diseases.

I guess my question really comes to -- and even whether it's people who are devastated by environmental diseases and NIH's ability to reach out and help EPA with their approaches to research, and NIH's ability to reach out and help the health services, whether it be the Indian Health Service or the Public Health Service, and the people who are more interested in broad health concerns than in pure research, as is NIH.

So my question is really for the whole panel -- and I don't want to let EPA off the hook either, frankly - is what is the place of communitybased research in your agency's agenda? What is the place of research that brings to the table those most affected by disease, those dying of the diseases that you are meant to address?

Frankly, particularly -- I mean, I think there is some of it at some of the National Institutes of Health - we don't see it in environment except at NIEHS. NIEHS has really been a leader in doing this. And at EPA we see virtually none of it, particularly out of ORD. The CUP grants were run out of the Office of Environmental Justice. We see almost -- I haven't seen a request for proposals on even social or

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 political research around environment for a long time.

If we run around like cowards from industry, at the end of a period when we're fat with resources, particularly research resources which they're pushing for their interests, then when are we ever going to get to these issues? I ask that question of the EPA, I ask that question of the National Institutes of Health.

And if Richard Moore -- the question I think a lot of us had wanted to ask, particularly of Richard Moore -- and it might be good if he's able to make it back into the room - at the end of this it would be interesting to hear from him what he thinks as a community representative on an overview what is good behavior for researchers? What ethics should be followed by these kinds of institutes when they come in and do research on our communities on environmental issues?

So, two sets of questions. The first one really to everyone on the panel: Where is community-based research in your agenda? Why isn't it more prominent?

DR. ZENICK: Well, let me first of all go back to the home page for ORD. ORD has in fact solicitations all for community and EJ programs. Could vou--

MR. GELOBTER: I can't quite hear vou, sir.

AMERICAN REPORTERS.INC. NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-288

11-287

DR. ZENICK: Oh, I'm sorry. We're co-funding the environmental justice -- so there are dollars in there.

The fact of the matter is that the only agencies where there has been an increase in the federal R&D in health -- in science -- primarily has been NIH and NSF. For most of our other agencies we're either in a declining or flat budget, which I think has made it extremely difficult, especially with increasing congressional mandates, in terms of the problems we're supposed to be focusing on.

So I think there is a serious issue here with regard to budget. And I will be honest with you, if you look at the budget scenario for 2002, which is what we're planning now, and so forth, it doesn't get better; it gets worse. So I think that there are some serious concerns there.

One of the things that we are attempting to do within our programs is -- I think it was suggested by one of the speakers earlier today -- and that is to get beyond simply science for science, but to begin to challenge our scientists within our programs to give us some sense of what is the impact, how is that work going to translate, what's going to be the impact of that, and do we have the mechanism set up to get that information out to consumers, which we're not very good at, just to be honest with you.

AMERICAN REPORTERS.INC. WASHINGTON-METRO NATIONWIDE (540) 678-4845 (800) 929-0130

FAX (540) 678-4048

EPA has set up an Office of Environmental Information which is now I think -- is almost up to speed, which its key role is to take the data that we're producing and how do you get it out there.

Our office per se, the Office of Research and Development, its primary mandates are to meet the needs of the Office of Air and the Office of Water, to meet their statutory and regulatory mandates that they have. Much of our effort goes towards that.

In doing that, when we have the opportunity to work with communities to allow them to address their needs and also obtain data that could help inform those decisions, we attempt to do that. But much of our program is driven by our primary clients, which are program offices.

We are beginning to build much stronger relationships with our regional offices because our regional offices are the ones in daily contact with communities, the state officials, and the communities. That is probably something that is going to be a direction that our program is going to begin more heavily emphasizing.

So I think that we're beginning to put mechanisms in place. ORD, for the first time, a year ago established a Community Science Council, which it has never had, to begin looking at how can you look at the

> AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

15 16 17

18

19

20

landscape of what you're currently doing and to find opportunities in the current program that would benefit communities, and then how do you actually begin looking down the road to get this into your planning process.

So I think there is a sensitivity and awareness. The challenge is a fixed-sum budget gain, and how do you meet all the demands in that budget. For that one, I don't have an answer, but I think we're going to try and give a greater consciousness to what we are doing in terms of supporting community needs.

MR. LEE: It is now 5:15. We had said that we wanted to break at 5:15 for dinner. I don't know if you want to entertain a few more answers to this particular question, but I know we do have a time constraint as far as making it back here by 6:30.

DR. KERNER: Since NCI was particularly singled out as one of the growth industries in the federal budget, I thought perhaps I should comment a little bit about that.

It's absolutely true, in the last four years our budget has gone up enormously compared to some of our sister agencies. It's been a function I think in part of the tremendous cancer advocacy community that has raised these issues. Some of it has been targeted to specific

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 diseases, but in general there's been a rise.

The Director, Dr. Clausner, has a consumer liaison group that I actually spoke with on Friday about our five-year strategic plan on health disparities. Many of the members of this group are from underserved communities, cancer patients, who have had to deal with the struggle of not only trying to prevent cancer but having had it diagnosed, how to get treatment within those communities. I think we're beginning to listen and hear more than we've had in the past.

As someone who has sat on both sides of the peer review panel -- both those who submit grants and those who review them -- I can tell you that community-based participatory research is not only the hardest research to write an application for, but it's also the hardest research to review.

This stems from the fact that I think thereview panels -- and I think NIEHS has had an experience with this; we've had experiences with this -- that getting common understanding amongst -- first you've got to have adequate representation of all parties. Who are your peers? I mean, if they're community-based partners, you need community-based folks doing the review. And we know that. But then getting a common understanding between reviewers about what's good science and what's

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-292

II-291

good community-based research is also a challenge.

So I think as we move into this field, the whole review process for grants is going to have to come under some scrutiny and bok at how we're doing this because we're learning as we're going.

When I used to submit applications we often said in the research community that being on the leading edge was being on the bleeding edge; that your chances of getting funded with new ideas were very slim because of either methodological controversies or the difficulty in gaining consensus about what constitutes quality community-based participatory research.

I think the good news is that there have been some good examples. We now have principles that are out there. And I know that a number of you have been involved in NIEHS conferences and others.

I can just tell you that just this month -- or just last month, rather -the NCI committed over five years \$60 million to the Special Populations Network which is predicated on the community-based participatory research model where community-based folks and academic institutions are coming together to try and define what constitutes research that meets the needs of the community.

And we're providing developmental funds for new studies so that

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4048 (540) 678-4845

we can get pilot data, and ultimately it's our goal to make those studies competitive in peer review.

So we have a bt of work to do but I think we're taking the right steps.

MR. GELOBTER: Is any of that work going to be environmental? I mean, are you working with NIEHS --

DR. KERNER: Well, I think that's an excellent point. You know, I think that one of the things we need to do -- and somebody talked about stovepiping and I talked about a tower of Babel -- the most challenging part of my job has been finding out all the people I need to meet to find out what they're doing -- in the last ten weeks. Conferences like this, actually, are very helpful in that regard.

MR. LEE: Well, on that note, can I ask that the NEJAC give this panel a round of applause.

(Applause.)

MR. COLE: Charles.

MR. LEE: Marinelle, as you know, this is a discussion that we're planning to continue to carry over into the all-day session at the Health and Research Subcommittee tomorrow and so I don't think this is the end of this.

> AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

14 15 16

18

19

MR. COLE: Charles.

MR. LEE: This is only just the beginning, laying the ground work for that discussion from which a lot of recommendations, advice and counsel will emerge.

MR. GOLDTOOTH: Mr. Chair, I just have one question.

MR. LEE: Okay.

MR. GOLDTOOTH: I have a question -- well, this is part of the recommendation I need to say, too, on the Indian Health Service presentation. I'm sorry I wasn't able to hear all of yours but I got the tail end of it. Part of the recommendation that I see in the years of my experience with Indian Health Service, which is the lead federal agency that provides health care and also sanitation services to our Tribes -- our federally-recognized Tribes -- is that the lack of funding that he said is an environmental justice issue, it's an environmental inequity issue because we still have the sanitation issues, lack of infrastructures that do impact the health.

So that is a big point. However, that's addressed within the partnership interagency working group. That is a serious situation. I mean, many of the Tribal leaders have been in Congress on this issue. There's been lack of funding every year and every year. And now we're

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845 FAX (540) 678-4048 experiencing the environmental health impacts.

That leads into the second point, which is that from my experience the Indian Health Service does not have the expertise to address these continuing issues that are emerging concerning environmental health impacts. They are not experienced – and they admit that -- in area after area office. They don't have the expertise to address the environmental health effects that are emerging.

If we look at the mercury impacts in the Great Lakes, we look at northern California from the gold mining, there's mercury issues cropping up there, radiation exposures from Nevada to the Navajos, the pueblos, to the whole State of Alaska, the villages.

We ask the Indian Health Service, help out the Tribes, give them the information, get involved. Are there toxic and radiation exposures that are impacting our Tribes? We need answers. We don't get that from Indian Health Service.

They say, go to Congress, get the money or try to maybe to get CDC or another agency to help, which really doesn't work.

So, really, in Indian Country there is a lack of services and outreach when it comes to environmental health services. It's alarming. It's serious. And it demands prompt attention. Whatever the agency

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845 FAX (540) 678-4048

11-295

can do through interagency to push this thing forward, because we don't get that support from IHS --at some point, I don't know if it's frustration, they throw up their hands. But we don't get those answers.

And then, the community-based needs to be stressed. I mean, I don't know if the Indian Health Service understands what community-based research is. It goes beyond Tribal Council Government and it goes right into the community using some of the models that we've heard throughout the past two days.

I'd just like to stress the importance of this issue.

MR. LEE: Thank you, Tom. Okay, with that I guess we --

MS. AUGUSTINE: Mr. Chair, is it possible for the -- well, I would like to have a copy of their slide presentation, if it's possible.

PARTICIPANT: Yes, all of them.

MR. LEE: That should be no problem. Great.

Okay, Haywood, I'll turn it over to you.

MR. TURRENTINE: No, you're not. All right, we're done.

(Applause.)

(Whereupon, at 5:30 p.m., the meeting in the above-entitled matter, was adjourned to reconvene on this same date at 6:30 p.m.)

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

WASHINGTON-METRO

(540) 678-4845

EVENING SESSION

(6:38 p.m.)

11-296

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

MS. SHEPARD: Welcome to the Public Comment period of the Fifteenth Meeting of the National Environmental Justice Advisory Council. My name is Peggy Shepard and I'm the Vice Chair of the Executive Council of the NEJAC. I'll be chairing tonight's public comment period.

I'd like to thank everyone for attending this meeting, and particularly those of you who've traveled to participate in the public comment periods.

Many people have signed up to participate in the period for tonight, and we ask that everyone, commenters and NEJAC members alike, adhere to the public comment guidelines. They're included in your meeting materials.

Now, remember the following. A court reporter is present to record your testimony, so please remember to speak clearly and into the microphone. The microphones do work, but you must talk directly into the microphone to be heard clearly.

I'd like to ask the members of the Executive Council to refrain from comments, discussions and questions unless it's ab solutely ne cessary until all individuals have had an opportunity to provide comment.

Please be considerate and limit your comments to five minutes. And

FAX NATIO (540) 678-4048 (800) 9

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

21

22

we do have a timekeeper here.

Now, if several people are present from the same organization, please select one individual to serve as your organization's spokesperson to comment on a particular issue.

When your name is called, please come up and sit at the speakers table, state your name and affiliation for the record, and please provide your comments. If you do have written comments or handout materials, please give them to the support contractor as you approach the table. The support staff will distribute copies to the Executive Council.

Now, there are a few other administrative items. Restrooms and telephones are located down the escalator and to the right. The support staff will not be providing photocopy services. If you need to make copies of handouts in support of your comments, please use the hotel's business center which is located one floor down.

And please note that we must be out of this room by 11;00 o'clock. So, Council members.

PARTICIPANT: You shouldn't have said that.

MS. SHEPARD: I know. I just realized that.

PARTICIPANT: The session ends at 9:30.

MS. SHEPARD: Well, hopefully it will be 9:30. But we all know that you all have so many questions.

Our first presenter is Mable Anderson. Would you please come

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 forward.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Actually, could she be joined by Carl Fuller, Cecil Corbin-Mark, Michael Lythcott and Lionel Dyson

MR. GOLDTOOTH: Peggy, are we going to get a list of the speakers like we did last night?

PARTICIPANT: Yes, but they have to (inaudible).

MR. GOLDTOOTH: Okay.

MS. SHEPARD: Okav. Ms. Anderson.

PRESENTATION BY MS. MABLE B. ANDERSON

VILLAGE CREEK HUMAN AND ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE SOCIETY

MS. ANDERSON: Thank you. I do have some handouts. I brought information to share.

Tonight I will address two issues. I thought I would address two issues, so I'll have to address one in depth and one briefly. The first one is the health and research needs and proposal funding need. The second one is the in-kind agency acceptance of the water quality of Village Creek.

I brought to share with the Council tonight -- and thank you for hearing me -- a copy of the statement I will read first and then a copy of the Village Creek News, the first of its kind that's been done in that community because it's comprised of minorities and low-income people, and it's written for them and by them on information that they had wanted to know. I want to share with you the struggles and actions that we have faced from 1983 to 2000.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-300

11-299

My name is Mable Bell Anderson. I'm the youngest daughter of Izzy and Beatrice Craddock Bell. I am a developmental psychologist who dared to return home in Birmingham, Alabama and stay, against the odds, to lead our people in our environmentally unjust environment.

Now, my parents migrated to Birmingham, Alabama. It was called the Magic City. My dad to work as a galvanizer at the mill for 42 years. But I went to college off of that, so it's fine. They came in the 1920s from the good land of that independent forum, Paris and Eufaula and Abbeville, Alabama.

In 1927 my parents bought land and built an adequate home for their three girls at that time in the area where colored people could live, where they could buy land and live, in Ensley Moro Park.

What my parents and folk who brought land and built - and owned their homes; everybody owned homes right in that area then -- did notknow was that the Urban Creek, called Village Creek, located one block from our house and two blocks to three blocks from otherfolks' homes, that it flooded -- that the water came to them. And this was real, real polluted water.

This polluted water came in the house as much as three feet, so my mother and daddy said. And it soaked the bedding, it soaked the clothing and the furniture. The vegetables that we ate from the garden -- everybody had direct body contact with it. Those filthy waters came and left debris, raw sewage, moles, odor, musk. Oh, oh, for months and months, really. And

> AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO

FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 a lot of dampness.

Body contact had to be made with that water because people had to get out of it. It was not swimmable because no one wanted to swim in it, but they had to get out of it.

A lot of families -- in the 1940s my parents had the house raised three and a half feet. But other families who did not, the high water continued to get into the houses. And it also got into 1205, our home. It would get right up to the carpet and it would stay damp and everything.

What most of the older generation died not knowing is that the water was and still is very, very pollute d. It still is classified by the Alabama Water Standards as agricultural and industrial.

Water agencies talk ofhelp, but they havenever lived down that way -would like to see it fish and wildlife, but you see, when I fish -- and we're certainly not the lower wild animals so we're not wildlife, we are human beings. We are seeking residential and human life classification. That is what we need. That is what we will get through your help because you're going to help us. We know that.

We apparently got a \$5 million FEMA buyout in 1997 to get this 125 homes to relocate some families out of this particular area. But relocation is not good. Relocation is very painful. Very, very painful because people leave their familiar environments.

The good news, though, is that this small number of people, at least

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS.INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 20 21 22

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

20

21

22

they're away from the polluted water, but they carry with them their health problems that they got from this dirty, filthy water. They still are dizzy. They still have asthma. They have breathing problems. They have joint problems. They get sores, hy pertension, poor school concentration, can cer, and I know of a case of hepatitis.

MS. SHEPARD: Could you begin to sum up, please.

MS. ANDERSON: What's that?

MS. SHEPARD: Could you --

MS. ANDERSON: I see she's keeping time and it's just making me tense because I don't see the minutes pass that fast. I want to say this because I want to get to why I'm here and I haven't gotten to that yet.

MS. SHEPARD: Okay, please do.

MS. ANDERSON: Okay. The bad news is that everyone has an unwanted mortgage, and most have a real adjustmentand behavior problem situation. Now I'd say there's about five thou sand people who live in this one area, but there are six municipalities along the Village Creek Watershed.

The trouble is now -- well, let me mention this, this grassmots group worked night and day -- and it was terrible, it was hard -- but we were able to get the federal, the state and the local people to come together n one church, along with Secretary of the Interior Bruce Babbitt, to get what was done up to this point. But it is a troubled situation.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

What we need now -- and we are very concerned that the big universities and other groups get money to study us -- we don't even know what they're studying. We know that they do not know what our health problems are. They don't know the complaints.

For example, the Dirsman (phonetic) spill situation in 1997, the emphasis was on the dead fish, not on human life. It was in Village Creek and it came to the people. The emphasis was not on indoor/outdoor problems, health problems, and the children's health problems, the sore throat, the breathing, the sickness, the sick spells, and all of the things, all of the health conditions that people had.

People told -- and they still are, they still have these pro blems -- they're asking what are you going to do about it.

Well, we're trying to do something about it. We have a population, we have a methodology, we have medical personnel to assist. We have research deals because we've done it. And we have a proposal.

I know that we are a minority. I don't feel that way, but that's what society describes us as being. But we've done research before. We've -got prepared by the big eastern school, you see, but we still came back to the southern place, you see, to do what we have to do. But what we need now is get a health proposal funded now.

We need you to read our proposal. We need you to study it. We need you to tell other agencies in NIH about it and get the other agencies to know

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-304

11-303

about it. And we need you to do it now. We need you to do it now. We need you to know about it.

Now, that's one. We can try first with \$200,000 to get our first set of claims attended to for the problems they have and the blood tests with toxicologists and other people that are part of this group. But just to start, that's what we need right off.

we know how to do these things; we know what our concerns are and our needs are. Help us to get started so that we can really help our people to know that society can be good, society can be on their side.

One other situation that we need you to help us with is the Creek Bank Restoration Project. You have the statement there. I'm trying to rush for time, okay.

MS. SHEPARD: Okay.

MS. ANDERSON: The Creek Bank needs to be improved. It's in this poor neighborhood. That's my neighborhood. It's still my neighborhood But the Creek Bank Restoration Project would improve the water flow, it would prevent Creek Bank infill -- it would make the area look prettier. It would provide a way for us to get the mentor/mentoree partnerships so the young people won't go to jail when they start learning what their environment is like and want to take pride in it.

We want the Creek Bank to become and educational science

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845 (800) 929-0130

FAX (540) 678-4048

environment for people. You see, Jackson Nolan High School is located right on those Creek Banks and that water is still impure -- agricultural and industrial -- I am scared about what might happen later.

And it's going to be expanded. It's going to be expanded to include another high school right on the Creek Bank, and they do not even know that those waters are classified as agricultural and industrial. That's one concern.

McCall School is right on -- that's an elementary school -- is right on the Creek Bank. They don't know about the impurities that are there, and the kinds of problems that they say they have, the health problems that they

But if we can get that situation done -- our technical director was not able to speak tonight to you personally at this time -- he has estimated the cost to be about a couple of million dollars for 3,400 feet for a demonstration project. And there we can see that these kinds of changes go hand in hand with health. But we need to also get that Creek Bank -- the Creek reclassified from residential -- I mean, from agricultural and industrial to residential and human life. And we need to do that now.

Now, you know we've been working. We've already been to the Department of Interior. And we're not going to stop. If I have to see each one of you personally, you know, I'll find you because we need you to help us. Not tomorrow -- I mean, not yesterday. Yes, yesterday. But not next

> AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

Just because we're minorities just don't keep overlooking the fact that

12 13

14 15 16

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

year. Now.

Thank you so much.

MS. SHEPARD: Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. SHEPARD: Karl Fuller.

PRESENTATION BY MR. KARL FULLER

PECHANGA ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAM

MR. FULLER: Thank you. My name is Karl Fuller. I'm from the Pechanga Indian Reservation and I'm here to talk about the proposed Gregory Canyon Landfill.

Currently there is a proposal -- there is a draft Environmental Impact Report that's been done to put the landfill in Gregory Canyon. That's a problem for several reasons.

The first of which is there are five Indian reservations in the immediate vicinity of this proposed landfill. The subregion which is going to be using this landfill is large and there are other sites that have been proposed, but the Gregory Canyon site is the one that's being pushed.

The Indian Tribes in this vicinity don't use nearly as much waste to justify that sort of impact on them. So there's a disproportionate impact on Indian Tribes in that regard.

Secondly, --

MR. COLE: Mr. Fuller, can you tell us what state the Gregory

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 Canyon --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

MR. FULLER: Yes. Sorry. The State of California. San Diego County, in southern California. Sorry, I'm trying to rush; I want to beat the clock.

Secondly, Gregory Canyon isn't just a location. Gregory Canyon contains important village and ancestral sites for the Pala Band of Mission Indians. Not only that, but the mountain, Gregory Mountain, which is also -- half of which is included in the Gregory Canyon Landfill site, is probably the most sacred site for the Luiseño people.

The Luiseño people make up many different tribes in southern San Diego County. The Pechanga Band, the Pauma Band, La Jolla, Seboba. Lots of different Tribes that would be impacted.

The environmental impact report -- I'll just read a little bit -- addresses this issue slightly in terms of the impact on the sacred site. I quote, "The project could also affect the Pala Band of Mission Indians' religious ceremonies at Mt. Gregory and Medicine Rock by the presence of human activity. The proposed project includes the preservation of the higher elevation of Mt. Gregory as open space, the construction and maintenance of a foot trail from the base to the top of Gregory Mountain, the dust and noise control measures mentioned above, and the formal recording of the rock art on Medicine Rock.

"These measures would reduce the significance to religious AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-307

ceremonies, but not to below a significant level. There would remain an ethnographic impact relating to this location of the landfilling in close proximity to sacred Native American locations." That should say "sacred Native American locations, as discussed in the section above."

There are several problems with that statement. First, as I mentioned previously, it's not just the Pala Band that's impacted. It is all of the Luiseño Tribes in that area.

Secondly, one mitigation measure is that a higher elevation on the mountain be preserved. Well, it's not just the higher elevations where the sacred activities are conducted; it's all over the mountain.

Next, they talk about maintaining a foot trail to the bottom of the mountain. Well, to me that suggests that the other parts of the mountain will not be accessible and that's why they need to preserve a foot trail in that way.

Fourth, they want to record the rock arton Medicine Rock, which is a sacred site, a religious site. This is a place where people go today to do

> AMERICAN REPORTERS.INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 religious worship. They want to record the art on there. Well, what good does that do if someone has to go the library in order to do religious worship. Also, it's not just what's on the rock, but the site itself that is

sacred

Next, which is probably the greatest in this regard, once you desecrate a sacred site -- you probably couldn't desecrate a site in a better way than to put a waste facility on it and next to it and to have the odor and also the other activities that go on there. This desecration makes the site unusable

The mitigation measures proposed do not address that to one iota They use the term "ethnographic" impacts. I don't entirely know what that means. I've spent most of my life in school, but I'm not sure what they mean. I think they mean that they're going to desecrate the site.

feeds into a couple of different water supplies, namely the San Luis Rey

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

Going on further, there are impacts to the water supply. This canyon

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-308

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

2

3

5

6

7

8

15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

applicant has to provide water to the district. The limit that is placed is

FAX (540) 678-4048 MR. COR BIN-MARK: My name is Cecil Corbin-Mark and I'm the

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

II-312

II-311

Program Director of an organization called West Harlem Environmental Action located in New York City.

I'm a New Yorker so I talk fast, think fast and work fast, so please forgive me. And I have five minutes.

Over the last century, despite the advances in medical science which have led to substantial improvements in the nation's health, people of cobr have fared and still fare worse than their white counterparts. Disparities in health status continue to persist and communities of color suffer disproportion ately from many illnesses, even after controlling for socioeconomic status.

The impact of these disparities becomes even more profound when projected growth of populations in our communities are factored in.

The extent of current disparities in health really highlight the pressing need for us to develop strategies to address the health of our communities.

AMERICAN REPORTERS.INC. NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

Developing strategies for reducing health disparities is a complicated and complex task, and addressing them will require all of us towork in the

line of health promotion in our communities to reduce not only unhealthy behaviors but also to begin to educate scientists and policymakers alike

to work on things like the conditions of the environments in which our

communities live, work and play, and including the quality of the air and

other exposures and environmental hazards.

It will also require addressing issues of the condition of the social environment, including exposure to racism and poverty.

As we begin to grapple with these disparities, we have got to develop strategies that both value and embrace community input and make communities equal partners in the protection of public health.

Over the last five years my organization, West Harlem Environmental Action, has worked to develop and promote community-based research to benefit the Northern Manhattan Community Reserve. This is being

> AMERICAN REPORTERS.INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

accomplished through collaborative partnerships with the Harlem Health
Promotion Center, the Harlem Lung Center at Harlem Hospital, the Joseph
L. Mailman's School of Public Health at Columbia's NIEHS Center for
Environmental Health in Northern Manhattan, and the Columbia Centerfor
Children's Environmental Health.

Over the last five years these partnerships have used community concerns to shape a research agenda and embark upon a journey to produce research that is of the highest quality. That is a very important factor to realize.

Every member of this Council and all of its subcommittees received in their packets three articles, one in the environmental health perspectives, the primary communication work of the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, and two others published in the American Journal of Public Health, which document our work.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS,INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 So what scientific results have these partnerships or community-based approaches produced? Our first study, a small pilot study, sought to look at individual level data on diesel exhaust exposure and lung function among addlescents in Harlem as a part of a community-driven research agenda.

In this study we used high school students from the community to administer in person surveys to seventh graders to ascertain information on demographics, asthma, history and self-reported, and maternal smoking. Urine samples were checked for something called 1-hydreneperoxi (phonetic) which is actually a marker of dieselexhaust in the body and for cotinine which is a marker for tobacco smoke and exposure. Computer assisted spirometry was used to measure lung function.

What did we learn? Welearned that 76 percent of the participating students had detectable levels of the diesel marker and the data collected suggested that many of our adolescents were exposed to detectable levels of diesel exhaust, a known exacerbator and possible cause of chronic lung disorders such as asthma.

In our second study one of the things that was demonstrated though our air monitoring with students in Harlem was that there were spacial variations in particulate concentrations inside Watts and Harlem.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-316

II-315

These variations appear to be related to the magnitude of local diesel sources such as trucks and buses in our community.

One of the things that we recognized was that it was not only good enough for us to actually look at collecting this type of data with this new approach, but to also begin to use the data to help influence change, change that would make a lasting and significant impact in the quality of the lives in the communities which we serve.

To that end, we have taken the data not only to be placed on shelves, but really taken it to the policymakers and presented it to them as we forged our demands for change in terms of the policies that impacted our air quality.

Significant benefits that have accrued from this type of work in this partnership have included changes, we believe, in terms of diesel exhaust rules for the operation of diesel buses. We in New York City have been fighting for the past 13 years to get clean fuel buses, and we can happily say that we are beginning to turn a corner in that victory.

In addition to which we have taken it into schools and tried to urge them to change their policies about informing and educating children around asthma and some of the air quality issues as well.

So it's not only collecting data for the sake of collecting data, but moving beyond that.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.
WASHINGTON-METRO
(540) 678-4845 (540)

FAX (540) 678-4048 A couple of the things that we'd like to urge the NEJAC to do is to really look at some of the NIEHS models and to begin to call upon the EPA to re-fund the Community University Partnerships and begin to delegate more funding for this type of research model and approach.

Thank you.

MS. SHEPARD: Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. SHEPARD: Michael Lythcott.

PRESENTATION BY MR. MICHAEL J. LYTHCOTT

CITIZENS AGAINST TOXIC EXPOSURE

MR. LYTHCOTT: Good evening. I'd like to thank the members of the Council for the opportunity to speak this evening.

I'm also very happy that my nine year old nice, Omi Kun'le was excited about joining us in coming here because when we hear about all the horrors and we think about our ancestors who died because of environmental racism, sometimes it's helpful to know that this is why we go through all of this.

Maybe from this experience she'll want to be an EJ activist, but I really hope that won't be necessary.

(Applause.)

MR. LYTHCOTT: I am the President of The Lythcott Company in

AMERICAN REPORTERS,INC.
NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO
(800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

Lythcott Company in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

20

21

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

Marlboro, New Jersey. I am also the Relocation TAG Advisor for Citizens Against Toxic Exposure in Pensacola, Florida. I came to report to NEJAC on the progress with the National Superfund Relocation Pilot in Pensacola.

First of all, I must say that since we last met in Crystal City, Tim Fields' office, specifically Joan Fisk, the people out of Region 4, have demonstrated due diligence in reading every single doc that we wrote, in responding and investigating every single allegation and problem that we brought up.

We don't always agree with their findings or their methodology. We would have liked perhaps to have been in the session with the Army Corps that is the least forthcoming of all the agencies involved in the relocation.

One of the issues that's very critical has to do with what is called a relocation differential payment. When they come to appraise your property -- now, we feel all the appraisals are low, but when they come to appraise your property, then they give you "this is what we're going to give you for your house." They then go out and see if they can find a comparable house in a clean neighborhood, which almost always costs more.

So they have a pot of \$22,500 they can dip into to make up the AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 difference between your appraisal and what it's going to cost to get you into a new house.

In 99 percent of all the cases in Pensacola they have had to dip into that pot of money so people could be kept whole. However, if I'm a landlord and I'm the owner of the house but I don't live in it. I am not eligible to get into that \$22,500. Also, if I'm an homeowner scared by the toxins and went to live with my son somewhere else, if I'm not occupying the home, I'm not eligible for that payment.

Now, Ken Lucas, bless his heart, sent me 16 pages of legal documents about why they're not doing it. Yet, at the Relocation Roundtable the Department of Transportation who is the custodian of the Uniform Relocation Act dearly said this Act simply says all the things the government must do in the process of taking property. It does not say all the things the government can do.

We posit that if it is necessary for you who live in your house to take the \$22,500 to get into a comparable house, then it takes me, as the landlord, the same amount of money to buy a comparable rent house so that I can be kept whole. Not enriched; simply kept whole.

So we beg the EPA to find another way. If I was an attorney, I'd jump all over this because it is straight-out discrimination and there must be a way so that homeowners/property owners do not suffer

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-320

II-319

financial harm from this relocation.

Among the many issues there are two requests that I have to make. The Escambia Arms Apartment complex, the residents are still trapped there. Unless they could afford to move themselves, the EPA and the Army Corps are offering them no help until Bates Realty signs the agreement with the government.

So I go down there and I see my clients. They bring me deformed babies. They bring me stories of woe that they can't move away.

I'm asking two things as the TAG Advisor. Number one, please give me access to the negotiations that are going on with Bates realty so that I can begin to at least tell my residents, "Things are getting close; maybe another month; maybe you'll get your relocation then."

Right now I am PNG in terms of the discussions with Bates Realty. I realize they are a private owner and I don't need to know how much money they're going to be paid, but I need to be in the loop in some degree on how those negotiations are going.

Secondly, HUD has done -- in the process of doing a report, they sent an investigator to the Escambia Arms which is in dreadful condition that these people are living in -- there's 200 families living in this squalor in the most toxic area. They are doing a report on the living conditions and I thought that we might be able to use that report to pressure Bates

> AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO

FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 to stop asking you all for more money than you want to give -- that's what's holding it up -- so that we could at least embarrass Bates with the HUD report.

So we're also asking -- CATE is officially asking for an immediate or as soon as it's released copy of the HUD report on Escambia arms.

Thank you for your time and thank you for your support.

(Applause.)

MS. SHEPARD: Thank you. Lionel Dyson.

PRESENTATION BY LIONEL A. DYSON

PUBLIC INTEREST LAW CENTER OF PHILADELPHIA

MR. DYSON: Thank you, Madam Vice Chair, and good evening My name is Lyonel A. Dyson and I'm an environmental attorney with the Public Interest Law Center of Philadelphia.

The message I want to share with the NEJAC membership and the assembled stakeholders is as much an admonition to this distinguished body as it is a note of encouragement. That message, quite simply, is that the development of a substantive national environmental justice policy that fully incorporates public health criteria is both essential and feasible if there is to be meaningful change in this area.

A health-based national EJ policy is essential because, in the words of your own handout entitled "Community-Based Health Model

> AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

17

18

19

20

21

Discussion," quote "One should not treat minority, low income, and/or indigenous communities with an all-things-being-equal approach."

It is patently obvious, in fact, that all things are not equal in terms of the baseline health status of communities of color and low income. Furthermore, it is of no consequence whatsoever if the substandard health of the community is deemed attributable to toxic exposures or to so-called lifestyle choices which are themselves the demon strable result of systemic inequities in the socioeconomic opportunities and educational attainment.

That oft-heard refrain of pseudoscience is yet another in the litany of excuses to justify an action.

Health considerations are as essential to an environmental justice policy as considerations of food need are to a policy for famine relief. Health considerations are inextricably linked to the search for a solution to the EJ problem. In addition to being essential, health considerations are also a feasible feature of the national environmental justice policy.

Naysayers and critics abound who offer an endless succession of plaintiff insights bemoaning the difficulty of crafting EJ policy that successfully integrates health.

I hope to be a better and more constructive critic of the EPA's fledgling efforts. My organization is of the school of thought that AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 believes in proffering credible alternatives and solutions for improvement. It is in this spirit that I will tell you that the EPA's interim guidance is fundamentally and I fear fatally flawed by its exclusion of public health considerations, and any final guidance with the same shortcomings will also be an exercise in bureaucratic folly.

We at the Law Center of Philadelphia have proposed an alternative policy, an approach we call the Environmental Justice Protocol. We field tested this protocol for the City of Philadelphia, the fifth largest municipality in American, numbering nearly 1.5 million people.

The Environmental Justice Protocol requires the parametric mapping of four health criteria in Philadelphia: non-cancer mortality, cancer mortality, infant mortality, and low birth weight. The EJP also engender the spacial analysis of related demographic data in the City of Philadelphia, including poverty rates, minority status, household income, educational attainment, among others.

The rationale underlying the Law Center's protocol is that if certain population groups are already experiencing substandard health or deleterious environmental impacts to their persons and communities, it would be unconscionable to further burden those affected communities with still more environmental depredation.

Expressed differently, consider the following question: Would a AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-324

11-323

permit be issued that allowed a smokestack to be emitted through the middle of a hospital? The obvious answer is no because if someone is sick, it is counter-intuitive to subject them to anything that might make them more ill.

Accordingly, the protocol assesses the relative health of the community as compared to surrounding communities in the jurisdiction of the regulatory agency or entity and creates a rebuttable presumption that it is unlawful to issue permits that would exacerbate an already bad situation.

Our work with numerous data sets made available from the state and federal agencies informs us that at the census track level in Philadelphia approximately 94 percent of all the people in the census tracks with the poorest health are minorities, compared with barely ten percent minority representation in the census tracks with the best health.

I'll repeat that. Ninety-four percent of those living in census tracks with the poorest health in Philadelphia are minorities, compared with barely ten percent minority representation in the census tracks with the best health.

At issue in EJ research is whether such geographically located subpopulations are disadvantaged, exposed to serious risks for which AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 no benefits accrued, and caught in those conditions are a result of broader patterns of systemic racism.

The EJP attempts to depict some of the patterns associated with the hypothesis that the class and racial discrimination is apparent in the distribution of poor health. This analysis was derived from data which is readily accessible and which was obtained from a number of different state and federal sources, including the Pennsylvania and Philadelphia Departments of Health, the U.S. Census, and the USEPA.

Our study was longitudinal, including health data spanning 1992 through 1996 and it was age-adjusted by the non-cancer and cancer rates.

The important thing about the methodology I've just summarized is not how rigorous and defensible the science is, though it was both. Nor is it important to remember how engrossing we found our product proved to be, though it was.

The important thing to remember -- and I'll leave you with this thought -- is that it is feasible. If a few civil rights lawyers and a university-based researcher with a Ph.D. can devise a communityhealth-based method for securing environmental justice to the City of Philadelphia and the State of Pennsylvania, then surely the USEPA with the awesome resources of the federal government can manage to craft

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

18

19

20

21

a policy that would ensure such protections to our entire nation.

In closing, I say to you, the members of the NEJAC, as you meet here in one of the battleground cities of the civil rights wars, I admonish and encourage you to find the conviction to do what is right. Don't wait for someone else to take the first step to confront this national shame. The integration of health considerations into an EJ policy begins with you.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. SHEPARD: Thank you. You're excused and we'll call the next group.

Daisy Carter, Gary Grant, Omar Freilla, Carrie Mitchell-Washington, and Mike Matulin.

(Pause.)

MS. SHEPARD: All right. Are you Ms. Carter?

MS. CARTER: Yes, I am.

MS. SHEPARD: All right. Please proceed.

PRESENTATION BY DAISY CARTER

PROJECT AWARE

MS. CARTER: Good afternoon to the Chairman, the members of the NEJAC Council, ladies and gentlemen. I am thankful to be here this AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 afternoon.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I am here for the purpose of asking you to assist us with some funding or putting us in contact with someone that can help us with funding to improve our water system. As you may know, we are located in the county where the largest hazardous waste dump was located, and have been receiving hazardous waste for over 30 years from 50 states and 17 foreign countries or more.

We are afraid that the poisons and the hazardous waste are beginning to seep into the aquifer where we receive our water.

You may know that we receive our water from the Utah Aquifer of the Utah Foundation, which extends from Pickens County down to Mobile. Alabama, which is a radius of over 500 miles, and we have been informed that some of the trenches are leaking and many of the citizens are afraid that it is seeping into our water aquifer.

We have five wells and five tanks that store the water for half of the citizens of Sumpter County, and we have one system that's located on the surface water which receive their water from our lake. The lake is exposed to all type of contamination.

This town is not able, financially able or economically able, to buy the necessary equipment that is needed to bring the water system up to date. When I called the state department to find out what condition AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-328

11-327

this water system was in and asked him if he would send me a letter stating that the water was good or excellent and not contaminated, before I could finish my statement he said, no, I cannot send you such because I'm at present when you called writing up this system for a violation.

The system does not have the necessary computer to take care of the water contamination as it should be, they're still on the old system using various chemicals and measuring them out.

And the citizens in this area are suffering from such health problems as kidney problems, the immune system, cancer, and a lot of the infants, when they take baths, the children, they break out into rashes.

We have had some of the farm owners that have had a lot of cows to die because of the seepage of gas into their water stream.

And, of course, when we try to report our problem to certain authorities, they say, well, no one had informed us about that, therefore we did not know that you were experiencing that problem.

It was our understanding that they should know -- they know, of course, because I have letters of documentation from the people that's in authority.

Another thing. Located on this aguifer, some of our water is salty AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 And you know, the whales they had to bore so deep until they did extract into salt. And, of course, you know that that causes hypertension, high blood pressure, and many of our citizens are suffering from that problem.

The water is -- you don't really taste salt, but it has a type of taste to it. And if you water your flowers or your garden for irrigation, you know, in case of drought, it will kill the plants.

So we are asking you to please help us with some type of finance so that we will be able to help this town to buy some of the necessary equipment to bring the water up because the water is contaminated. We can drink contaminated water, but I believe that every citizen has a right to safe drinking water.

This is what we are trying to do. You see, if you're getting your water from the surface lake, the turbidity must be at a certain level. And in this particular town, it is so high until the person that's checking the water for safety would not grant us a written documentation that we're drinking safe water.

Sometimes the odor is so strong that you can't hardly stand to smell it.

Of course, the salt that we're having there, it can cause gastric intestinal problems, and we are having a serious problem.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

FAX

(540) 678-4048

11-332

18

19

20

21

So I'm coming before you tonight asking you if you know of any agency or any place we can submit grant applications for funding to help us get rid of this problem that we are experiencing. We would most certainly appreciate you helping us in that.

I do thank you for your time and I will be glad to go into further detail with you, because I do have the information, at any time if you're interested in our problem.

Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

MS. SHEPARD: Thank you. Jane, do you have a question or comment? Oh.

MR. VARNEY: Bob Varney. I'm a state environmental official in New Hampshire and we work with a lot of communities on improving public water systems and have worked with many smaller communities that have situations not unlike what you're talking about.

One source of funding would be the U.S. Department of Agriculture Rural Development. They have both grants and low interest loans for communities, and also Community Development Block Grant funds are available. In our state we also have both a 20 to 30 percent grant program plus low interest loans under the state/federal SRF low interest loan program, and that would be available in your state as well.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO

(800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

(540) 678-4048

FAX

So there are quite a few different sources available and I'd be happy to write them down for you and help you track them down if necessary.

MS. CARTER: Thank you.

MS. SHEPARD: Okay, thank you. Any more questions?

MR. TURRENTINE: Yes. Ms. Carter --

MS. CARTER: Yes?

MR. TURRENTINE: -- let me encourage you to go to the Air and Water Subcommittee meetings tomorrow at least at some point because -- I don't see Michel here at the present time, although -- would you be in a position where you would want to talk to her further and perhaps your subcommittee could start a dialogue with her?

Okay, so as a member of that subcommittee let's see what we can do.

MS. SHEPARD: Rosa.

MS. RAMOS: I'm just appalled to hear that if you water your plants they die.

MS. CARTER: Yes, if you put too much of that water on them.

MS. RAMOS: And that's the same water you drink?

MS. CARTER: Yes.

MS. RAMOS: And no agency has visited you or tested those AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO

(800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

II-331

waters?

MS. CARTER: Well, at one time we were sending in trying to test the water. Each tube costs \$5. In the past three years we have gone up to \$12 and \$15 per tube. And so we cannot afford that since we do not have the money, you know, doing it on our own.

Of course -- yes?

MS. RAMOS: This is amazing. You know, this is an urgent situation that must be addressed by EPA itself. We have to help this lady urgently because, you know, the water is poisoning. This is an urgent matter. It's not a matter of, you know, sending this to the subcommittee for development. This is an urgent matter that should be addressed immediately by EPA.

MS. SHEPARD: Thank you, Ms. Carter.

Our next presenter is Gary Grant.

PRESENTATION BY MR. GARY GRANT

CONCERNED CITIZENS OF TILLERY

MR. GRANT: Thank you and good evening, and I thank the Committee for the opportunity to appear before you.

I wish to bring to the Committee's attention the issues of cesspools in the communities of rural American, and particularly, I come from North Carolina and I want to talk about hog cesspools that are being

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130

FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 labeled "lagoons." There is another four-letter word for what they actually are, but I guess in polite company we won't use that tonight. (Laughter.)

MR. GRANT: Vertically integrated industries of confined animal growing are entering the communities in rural America, and primarily African-American communities, because of, one, the loss of farmland by black farmers and the aging process that's taking place within rural America.

Many of these communities are still on well water, individual well water. There are no set guidelines by which these cesspools are being dug. In my community, for example, we strike water at seven feet, and these cesspools are being dug at anywhere from 10 to 30 feet and are as large as football fields, and some even larger.

All of this wastethat is washed into these cesspools seeps into the groundwater. Even though our local county has sought monies from some of the very agencies that were just recommended to the lady here on my right, when the money comes into the county, even though it's gotten, because of poverty which is predominated in the African-American community, the water lines don't come into those communities.

They go everywhere else but into those communities. We are

(540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

21

always last on the list even though the statistical data for getting the funding is coming out of the African-American community.

These cesspools are leaking fecal chloroform into the well waters. And not only does it leak, but then they also spray, and there are no set guidelines as to how close they can spray to people's property lines and to people's homes so, thus, we actually have animal feces and urines being sprayed on the homes of people as well as clothes that may be hanging on the clothes lines. Again, there are no standards for which the spraying can take place.

There is no required design for the cesspools, and each state is designing its own. In my state there's no demand that you do it this way; you do it voluntarily. So, actually, someone can just go out and dig a hole and start washing hog feces into an open pit. But if I want to put a bathroom in my house, I have to go through all of this process of getting permit after permit after permit.

Even in my community where they tell me that land won't perk for a septic tank, people are allowed to dig 30 feet down and dump hog waste.

The odor is offensive and studies are showing that our respiratory problems have increased in areas where people live next door to these confined growing facilities.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

One of the things that's happening is that somehow or another the industry is learning to camouflage the odor. But because the stench is gone does not mean that the airborne agents are not still present. So we're still wondering what our children are breathing and what the elderly population is breathing as well.

To add to that, you also have these huge exhaust fans that are constantly pulling bacteria, ammonia, and who knows what all, out of these structures where the animals are confined. Putting that into the air does not necessarily mean that it's a foul odor, but we know that there are things there that we should not be breathing.

As one of the citizens of Tillary, North Carolina said, that if I read on the back of the can when I'm cleaning my house that prolonged smelling of ammonia is harmful to my health, certainly the prolonged smelling of ammonia coming from confined animal growing facilities is harmful to my health as well.

The EPA is working on some standardized guidelines and if you will excuse my expression, the EPA is working on water guidelines because what you are proposing wouldn't hold -- you know, it's a sifter, it's a strainer. The bucket's got a big hole in it and you need to go back and fix it.

One of the big problems that happens -- and even as the lady on AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-336

11-335

my right here -- you don't live here, you don't live in the community.

My time is up. See, I get started but I don't knowwhen. But that's all right.

Anyway, you don't live in the communities. We know what we are smelling. We know what's being sprayed on us. Environmental Protection Agency is what your name is, and the citizens are asking you to do that. It's not only urban America; it's happening in rural America as well.

And, please, don't send us any more policies that don't work.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. SHEPARD: Okay, thank you, Gary. Luke.

MR. COLE: I'd just like to say, thank you, Gary, for coming before us. Also, that Gary and Steve Wing will be coming to the Enforcement Subcommittee tomorrow at 2 o'clock. So those of you in the audience who are concerned about confined animal feeding operations, please join us at 2 o'clock tomorrow.

MS. SHEPARD: Okay. Thank you, Gary.

Omar Freilla.

PRESENTATION BY MR. OMAR FREILLA NEW YORK CITY ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE ALLIANCE

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS.INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

MR. FREILLA: Good evening. My name is Omar Freilla and I'm the Transportation Coordinator for the New York City Environmental Justice Alliance. We are an alliance of organizations in New York City that are based in low income communities of color and that are all suffering from a serious asthma epidemic that has communities around the city, low income communities of color, with asthma rates that are 22 times higher -- in many cases 22 times higher than some of the richest and whitest neighborhoods in the city, and have some of the highest asthma rates in the country.

I came out here today, and I'm trying to be real quick -- I came out here tonight because there are two things that I want to talk about, two things in New York City that are blocking a real and adequate assessment of environmental injustices, and I'm sure that -- and they are things that are occurring in other cities and regions around the country.

The first of these is the fact that in New York City when an environmental impact study is done, there is a tendency on the government on projects that they want to push through to look at air quality, to look at how the project is going to impact the neighborhood -not by looking at the actual effect on the neighborhood, but by looking at how air quality is going to be changed in the region.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

21

19

20

21

That means that if a project is going to be built in a neighborhood, let's say a low income community, such as a waste transfer station or a bus depot, or any other project of the sort, when that study is looked at, instead of looking at what is going to be the effect on a building on people who are living four blocks down or five blocks down, the actual study is what is the effect going to be on emissions throughout the city or throughout the borough. Anything other than a local impact.

So what obviously winds up happening is that the impact on a neighborhood is completely ignored. That's how a lot of projects get pushed through, such as 23 waste transfer stations in Hunts Point or bus depots or numerous other facilities in communities, because the focus, the area that is being looked at, is much greater than the actual impact that people are concerned about.

So that's something that needs to be addressed and we are asking the EPA and we are asking NEJAC to urge the EPA to really flex some muscle on the city and on the state to adequately address that issue.

A perfect example is what has been going on with the fight over the interim garbage export contract where you have tens of thousands of trucks that are proposed -- have been proposed -- to export garbage out of the city, going through communities that are primarily low income communities of color. And when a study is done to see what the impact

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 is going to be, the actual study looks at not the routes, the actual routes that are being taken, but what's the impact on the entire city.

That's just one example of how these things are perpetuated and you get situations where you have heavy concentrations of facilities that concentrate diesel fuel, which is known to be a serious precursor -- or, seriously antagonizes asthma -- and EPA is on the roll to labeling that carcinogenic. We hope so. But that's just one example of an issue that needs to be addressed.

The second issue is the fact that in New York City the Metropolitan Planning Organization has failed to seriously monitor Title VI compliance in the region. The agency, which consists of all the transit agencies and state and city agencies, has no procedures for identifying. reaching out to, or determining disparate impacts on low income communities of color.

There is also no coordination among the different agencies that are its members of how any sort of Title VI report is going to look like. Each of these agencies, like the New York City Transit, or City Department of Transportation, puts out its own Title VI report because that's what they're required to do.

But if you ever take a look at the report, you'll see reports that are completely vague and are designed to create an impression that there AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-340

11-339

is equity in the transit system, but there's no real guidelines on what each of these agencies is supposed to be doing to actually measure disparities between low income communities of color and wealthier whiter communities. There is nothing of the sort.

So those are just examples of things that need to be addressed when you talk about research, what it is that agencies that are supposed to be implementing these things need to be doing.

I just want to end -- I still have a minute -- I just want to end by saying that in New York City there are a lot of issues that need to be addressed. There are issues about waste transfer station permitting. There are issues about access to parklands where you have communities next to public housing projects being cut off from access to parks that will wind up being turned into construction and demolition landfills. But those types of things aren't even included in environmental impact studies, and numerous other situations that need federal oversight.

But the type of attention that we've been receiving from the EPA Region 2 is lacking. EPA has been MIA in Region 2.

I'm urging this Committee to put some pressure on EPA's Region 2 to actually do some sort of regulating. We've had interaction with the agencies where we've had lots of meetings coming up with lots of ideas

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130

FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 to do lots of educational programs, lots of talking with different people, setting people down at the table. But there hasn't been that sort of effort to really turn the screws on agencies that have wound up being out of control.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. SHEPARD: Thank you. Michel.

MR. GELOBTER: In addition to welcoming a New York-based organization to the meeting, I want to particularly thank Ms. Carter for her testimony. She has been a founding member of the Air and Water Subcommittee and a relatively selfless one in the sense that she keeps telling us, gee, we should drink the water, gee, we should have safe drinking water, and this testimony points to the fact that there's some immediate problems, of which her community is one example but of which there are many more around the country. Arnoldo was just telling me about nine out of tendrinking wells in California being contaminated like that.

So I'd like to say -- I think Ms. Carter is a member of our subcommittee -- we should work tomorrow to get some direct answers from the deputy about what kind of actions can be taken, and we'll try to get a report back to the NEJAC on Friday about the Safe Drinking

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

11-342

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

20

21

Water Act issues which we have honestly in the breadth of our agenda not paid as much attention to it as we need to to date.

So thank you for coming and raising it with the whole NEJAC and helping us get some support to bring the water office to the table on these issues.

MS. SHEPARD: Okay, thank you. Vernice.

MS. MILLER-TRAVIS: I was just looking through your documents, Omar, and also your testimony. Your information refers to low income communities of color and the impact of these issues on low income communities of color, but I would perhaps suggest that you go back and look a little further in the files of the New York City Environmental Justice Alliance and talk to some of us who sort of created this research framework.

It is not only low income communities of color that are impacted by these issues; it is all communities of color in New York City that are impacted by these issues and targeted for the placement of these facilities. The ambient air quality issues, the lack of data collection, the lack of enforcement by Region 2 -- which I completely concur on -- around these issues. The lack of attention by city agencies and by state agencies.

It is not just low income communities of color, and I think we do a AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845 FAX (540) 678-4048 disservice to the whole fundamental concept of environmental racism by suggesting that it is only low income communities of color in New York that are affected by this issue.

The insidiousness of the issue in New York City is that every communities of color is affected by these issues, whether they be working class, middle class or low income.

So I would just suggest that you sort of -- you know, that the coalition be a coalition of all of the communities that are affected. There is virtually no community of color in New York that is not affected by the issues that you all are addressing.

I would just sort of suggest that it's sort of -- you know, it removes a section of the population from concern, and the insidiousness of it is that no one in New York City -- no one of color -- is escaping these issues.

MR. FREILLA: I didn't mean to insinuate otherwise.

MS. MILLER-TRAVIS: It's in the material. It's written in the material. And it's also in your testimony. So I would just suggest that we -- that, you know, you maybe highlight the broader expanse of the issue because you are working city-wide, and I know you are working in a number of different communities all over the city.

MR. FREILLA: Thank you.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845 FAX (540) 678-4048

11-344

11-343

MS. SHEPARD: Thank you. Our next group, Harry Mitchell Washington --

(Applause.)

MS. SHEPARD: Mike Matulin, Larry Charles, Jr., Mildred McClain, Rose Mary Brown, Katina Hills, George Eugene.

Okay, it's just you, Mildred?

Let me also call Beverly Wright, Dr. Grace Hewell, Jaribu Hill, Richard Bright, Mildred Colen.

MS. MILLER-TRAVIS: Peggy, Berverly Wright is Beverly Wright of Massachusetts, not Beverly Wright of Louisiana?

MS. SHEPARD: Oh, sorry. James Hill.

(Pause.)

MS. SHEPARD: All right. Mildred, you're going to start?

PRESENTATION BY DR. MILDREN McCLAIN

PEOPLE OF COLOR AND DISENFRANCHISED COMMUNITIES

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH NETWORK

DR. McCLAIN: My name is Mildred McClain and I'm here tonight representing the People of Color and Disenfranchised Communities Environmental Health Network.

As most of you remember, we spoke to you at your last meeting about the issue offederal facilities. We again come before you to bring

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.
WASHINGTON-METRO

FAX (540) 678-4048 some things to your attention.

Before I start, and I won't be long, I want to remind some of you and introduce to others of you, the following:

Environmental justice calls for universal protection from nuclear testing, extraction, production and disposal of toxic hazardous waste and poisons and nuclear testing that threaten the fundamental right to clean air, land, water, and food.

Environmental justice affirms the fundamental right to political, economic, cultural, and environmental self-determination of all peoples. And I will add, the right to health.

Environmental justice demands the stopping of the production of all toxins, hazardous waste, and radioactive materials, and that all past and current producers be held strictly accountable to the people for detoxification and the containment at the point of production.

Environment justice affirms the right of all workers to a safe and healthy work environment without being forced to choose between an unsafe livelihood and unemployment.

It also affirms the right of those who work at home to be free from environment hazards.

And, finally, environment justice protects the right of victims of environmental injustice to receive full compensation and reparations for

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

/ASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

damages, as well as quality health care.

(Applause.)

MS. McCLAIN: For those of you who have forgotten, those are the principles of environment justice, which those of us who call ourselves environmental justice activists and organizers and educators live by on a daily basis.

Perhaps you should begin to include it in your briefing book so that we shall not forget.

I want to bring to your attention that there are African-Americans, in particular at the Savannah River site which is one of the 165 federal facilities that must be cleaned up, who have been overly exposed to contaminants and poisons and they are being denied the right to say that they are sick and need attention.

The Department of Energy held a worker's hearing at the Los Alamos National Laboratory and expected about 30 or 40 workers to come. Instead, 400 showed upon crutches, in wheelchairs, blind being led by the young, because they had been damaged and they said that records had been falsified that could prove they had been affected by the work at the plant.

As I said, there are 165 federal facilities, 135 of them belong to the Department of Defense, and some 20 to the Department of Energy.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

Why are they not at the table helping us to formulate policy? And when will they come?

There are recommendations that we have in terms of the health care and health research model, but I see I've got a minute left so I'm going to have to shut up 'cause you all are going to tell me to sit down. But before I leave I want to say that in terms of improving the risk assessment process, we must begin to include the following:

Providing a mechanism for meaningful community involvement from the initial stages of the risk assessment throughout the entire study, developing an understanding of the background health status of the community, including various subpopulations, along with more thorough exposure pathway information and multiple exposure sources. We feel can improve this so-called risk assessment process, and such information can be gathered through the community.

The bottom line is that we the people who are on the front line being affected every day must be included in the processes of assessment, intervention, and certainly prevention.

We call upon you to really institute a subcommittee on federal facilities and to create space on your council for those of us who represent federal facilities. But in between meetings we need to hear from you.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-348

11-347

Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. SHEPARD: Thank you, Dr. McClain. Are any of the three --I'm sorry, Luke.

MR. COLE: Mr. Chair, do you want to address that issue briefly, or have --

MR. TURRENTINE: Not at this point, no.

MR. COLE: Okay.

MS. SHEPARD: Okay. Are any of the Concerned Citizens of Norco present?

(No audible response.)

MS. SHEPARD: Okay. Beverly Wright.

PRESENTATION BY MS. BEVERLY WRIGHT

WAMPANOAG TRIBE OF GAY HEAD AQUINNAH

MS. WRIGHT: Thank you. My name is Beverly Wright and I'm Chairperson of the Wampanoag Tribe of Gay Head Aguinnah which is located in Martha's Vineyard Island. I bring you greetings from my chief, my medicine man, and my elders.

First I'd like to give you a brief history of our tribe. We can document our existence in Massachusetts back 10,000 years. We are the people of the First Right. We are the people that met the Pilgrims AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

WASHINGTON-METRO NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 And we were organized in -- federally-recognized in 1987.

We have a Tribal member that graduated from Harvard in 1665, and when the movie Moby Dick was made, Cash Tiego was a Wampanoag Indian.

When we became federally-recognized in 1987, the government said, "You are Indians," which we already knew, but that was okay --(Laughter.)

MS. WRIGHT: It gave pride to our young people that were growing up. With federal recognition came money for education, health, human services, trust services, economic development, and last but not least, natural resources, and that's why I am here tonight.

There is an island that is located five miles off the coast of Martha's Vineyard, five miles from my town of Aguinnah. Between the years of 1940 and 1994 the Navy bombed the hell out of the island. I grew up with our windows shaking, with our dishes falling off the table off the china closets, with the windows rattling.

A couple of years ago the Department of Navy decided that they no longer wanted the island and we applied -- because it was access property. We were denied that because the Fish and Wildlife Service decided that they wanted to use it as a refuge.

Under the Fish and Wildlife Service there is an Indian policy. The

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

17 18

19 20 21

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

18

19

20

21

Indian policy states that Native Americans, or American Indians, will not be denied access to their traditional homelands. In our registry of deeds we can document that in the 1500s one of sages deeded that island to his brother.

When the Fish and Wildlife Service took it over, they spent \$1.7 million to do a surface clean-up. Last year the State of Massachusetts did a cancer study. The cancer study came back and stated that the residents of my reservation, or trust lands, had a 93 percent higher cancer rate than any of the other residents of Massachusetts.

I have been before Patrick Kennedy, Ted Kennedy, William Delahunt, Senator Kerry. What I am asking for is that a reassessment be made of the island. I cannot prove that the carcinogens and the unexploded ordinances that are deteriorating on the island cause cancer. I certainly would be appreciative if they could prove that it didn't cause the cancer.

What has brought it to the forefront again is the Island of Viegues. The Island of Vieques is in the same situation as Nomans Land Island. And, as you know, Robert Kennedy has stated that he will sue the Department of the Navy. He called me last week and he said he's going through the process of suing the Department of the Navy and probably in September he will know the process and he will give us a call back,

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 and he is inviting us to participate in the process.

I can't wait that long. As a federally-recognized Tribe, we have access to certain funding. I cannot get that funding if the federal government decides they do not want to give me the funding.

So I am here today to ask you if you would consider nominating the Island of Nomans Land as a Comprehensive Environmental Response, Comprehension and Liability Assistance Act, which in plain English, is CERCLA.

Another thing that I would -- oh, and I've only got a minute -- we are in the process of building a hatchery. In that hatchery we are spawning seed for cohogs, scallops and oyster, which is the basis for our economy. I have this sinking feeling that this hatchery is pulling in the water to grow the seed, and if that water is contaminated, it is going to be a vicious circle of cancer.

I come before you today to plead -- the funding is available as a federally-recognized Tribe, and I come before you today to ask the EPA to put some pressure on the federal agencies for money for me to do a cancer study.

And I thank you very much.

(Applause.)

MS. SHEPARD: Thank you. Vernice.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130

FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

11-352

II-351

MS. MILLER-TRAVIS: I just want to share that Ms. Wright is coming to meet and talk with the Waste and Facility Siting Subcommittee tomorrow about further exploration of these issues and ways that perhaps the NEJAC and the Waste and Facility Siting Subcommittee can be of assistance in trying to bring some resolution to these issues.

Tim Fields, who is the Assistant Administrator for the Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, is going to be in that meeting with us, Beverly, so you will be talking to the person and presenting to the person who has oversight over the implementation of CERCLA.

Not to put Tim on the carpet any more than we already do, but I just want you to know that we pulled all the people together that you need to talk to at EPA and they'll be there to listen to you and dialogue with you tomorrow.

MS. WRIGHT: Thank you very much, but I'd like you to also know that it is a point system for CERCLA, so we need to do a lot of studies in order to make that point system. If we go through the system when we don't make the points, we're dead in the water. So, I mean, I need the backup documentation in order to bring our point system up. Thank vou.

MS. MILLER-TRAVIS: Thank you.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 MS. SHEPARD: Tom.

MR. GOLDTOOTH: I just wanted to say that as the Indigenous Peoples Subcommittee Chair I'd like to work with Vemice of the Chair of the Waste and Facility Siting Subcommittee so that we're working together in seeing that there's some follow-up on this.

I've been out in your area. I have some friends there. I'm very familiar with the cultural ties you have with the whale as well. And that's part -- your brother, your sister, the whale nation. So I'm sure there's some ecological impact over the years.

And I understand that there is an intended end use here, to do a surface sweep of the island for unexploded ordnances. There's grass up there and they have to have -- I guess they have to have a controlled burn. So here we go again with another situation, the federal government having a controlled burn in a situation where there is pollution there.

So I'm kind of aware -- I just got a FOIA request because our network was requested to get involved with this as well -- so I just got a FOIA request I'll share with you.

MS. WRIGHT: Thank you. And I would also like to make another point, that, as you know, American Indians are doing gaming. Being the political beings that they are in Massachusetts, they think we want

WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

10 11 12

20

21

gaming on Nomans Lands Island.

We do not want to game on Nomans Island. Why we originally started to have the land returned to us is that through NECRA we have a lot of ancestors that are coming back that have been in the museums for hundreds and hundreds of years and we would like to re-inter our ancestors on the island.

MS. SHEPARD: Thank you. Haywood.

MR. TURRENTINE: Luke, you asked if I wanted to make a statement in regard to the question that Dr. McClain asked, and I said no at the point. Now I'm going to ask that either Barry or Charles would make that statement because I think it is a significant statement that we need to make regarding the question you asked.

MR. LEE: Thanks, Haywood. First of all, let me just say in response to Mildred, there has been some follow-up since our last meeting. I don't think we --

PARTICIPANT: Will you speak into the microphone.

MR. LEE: I'm sorry. In response to Mildred, we know that there have been a number of items in terms of follow-up since the last meeting as far as the federal facilities issues. Sylvia and Barry and I had talked and we thought that -- and this is in agreement with Marinelle Payton, the Chair of the Health and Research Subcommittee, that that AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 subcommittee be the point of contact for the federal facilities issues for the NFJAC.

The Office of Environmental Justice will work with the Federal Facilities Office in the Office of Enforcement to follow-up on the facilities identified and will report back to NEJAC at every meeting on these.

You are right. You know, this isn't -- like I said, there have been a number of attempts to make sure -- we're trying to coordinate around these federal facilities issues. I think the issue of a work group is something that the subcommittee should decide as to whether or not -and have a discussion as to whether or not that should be needed, what that should look like, and so on and so forth.

The reason that's the case is because, as you know, the federal facilities issues actually falls -- I mean, I'm just talking about just EPA -- within several parts of EPA. And so I think there should be some kind of coordination and discussion with those other areas. So that's the way it stands right now.

DR. McCLAIN: Let me just try to understand that the Health and Research Subcommittee will be our point of contact, and it's out of there that perhaps a working group on federal facilities will be --

MR. LEE: Can be established.

DR. McCLAIN: I'm not sure of how to respond to that because I'm AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-356

11-355

not quite sure of what that means in relationship to the establishment of a full subcommittee and representation on the Council, but I'll talk with you about it later.

MR. LEE: Right. Right. I mean, I don't think that this is the only thing that should be explored, but this is at least a start to get to the issue of the work group and to ongoing monitoring of the issues in the federal facilities.

MS. SHEPARD: Okay. Rosa Hilda.

MS. RAMOS: I just want to thank Dr. Beverly Wright for, you know, teaching us the value of your culture and also for supporting our people in the Vieques Island. Thank you very much.

MS. WRIGHT: You're welcome.

MS. SHEPARD: Damon.

MR. WHITEHEAD: I just have a question for Dr. McClain.

The 165 facilities you referred to, is that only DOE sites? The only reason I'm asking is --

DR. McCLAIN: No. no. The 165 are federal facilities. 135 are DOD and 20 are DOE.

MR. WHITEHEAD: And those are only sites that are either qualified -- EPA doesn't normally put them on NPL, but some type of interagency agreement, is that what you're referring to?

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 DR. McCLAIN: Say that last part again?

MR. WHITEHEAD: Well, I guess I'm trying to ask, this 165 sites that you have identified, are those sites particularly polluted that they either qualify under the NPL or an interagency agreement or something?

DR. McCLAIN: They're on a toxic -- you know, one of those toxic lists

MR. WHITEHEAD: The reason I'm asking is because the number seems kind of low.

DR. McCLAIN: That's the top, the worse.

MR. WHITEHEAD: Oh, okay. My only point is that I would like to support Dr. McClain's initiative on this in that federal facilities historically has been a gray area where the EPA and the agencies who are regulated don't like to come together, meaning EPA doesn't like to go after sister agencies. It's been a significant area where the rule has been inaction because of arguments over authority and things like that.

I look forward to Dr. Payton's work on this issue.

MS. SHEPARD: Thank you. We'll move on to Dr. Grace Hewell. PRESENTATION BY DR. GRACE HEWELL

HEALTH POLICY GROUP

DR. HEWELL: Good evening, everyone.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

19

20

21

MR. TURRENTINE: Good evening.

DR. HEWELL: I am very, very pleased tonight to be able to say good evening to you because for as long as you've been in existence I've been chasing after you --

(Laughter.)

DR. HEWELL: -- to see what you are doing and how you are doing it, and how I can help and what I could learn from you.

I am a professional in many fields due to the GI Bill of Rights, the GI Bill from service in the military, in the Women's Army Corps. And I thank you. I went to Spelman -- I just left there - my 60th class reunion. I knew I was going to college and I had six dollars and a bus ticket, so I knew that that would pay for not only my bus ticket but also for food, for housing, and for books, for everything.

They put me out the second semester of my senior year because I demanded to take a course at Atlanta University from Dr. W.E. DuBois. He had been at Chattanooga and I saw him with the goatee and I said, that man must know something.

(Laughter.)

DR. HEWELL: He was attending American Sociological Society's meeting and there was a lot of hullabaloo whether they were going to let him in the front door of the hotel or wasn't going to let him in at all.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO

(800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

I had nobody to talk about civil rights and these wonderful things you talk about, but I knew there was something different about him.

Fortunately, the dean of women let me do errands, and I did all kinds of things for her, so that when she missed me for three weeks, she asked where I was and sent the students for me. She told me to go right over to Atlanta University and take anything I wanted.

Now, I didn't know I was an undergraduate because all these labels that we have might inhibit a lot of people; it would have inhibited me. And I'm glad that I had the pleasure of studying under Dr. DuBois.

So that's the kind of person I am. I have wanted always to find out how you do things to help people because when you talk about poverty, there wasn't anybody poor but me and my family. There was no one to receive more gratuities and benefits than me because you gave me the benefits of the GI Bill.

So what could I do to help? So I got degrees and everything -public health, social work, social foundations. And we're looking now at the social science background and we're looking at public health. And you are doing all the wonderful things that my life has unfolded and I've benefitted from.

Public health is my heart and I'm here today because you're looking at community health. I've worked in Harlem. I've worked in AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-360

11-359

Queens after I graduated from Columbia University School of Public Health. I worked all around.

I'd say, I'm trying to find out how things are done. So I decided to do my doctorate project in the Rockaways of New York City. I'd never heard of the Rockaways before in my life, but I knew that they had something going there; the health department told me they wanted me to set up a health counselor. I didn't know what that was either but I went out there and set up a health counselor and that's the reason they can now call me Dr. Hewell, because I got my degree.

But I'm saying to you that the only thing I have asked of you has been to extend you an invitation to come to Chattanooga and to give priority to environmental health education because we need more people who are informed and can accept responsibility to do things for themselves.

I was trained in community organization at the Atlanta University School of Social Work, and this was the basic principle, to help people to help themselves, and that's what I have tried to do.

I want to say that I agree with the gentleman, the lawyer, who said that we're weak in the area of public health. We don't have representatives of this top-level field. I have spent sixty years as a paying, card-carrying member of public health.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

When I got involved in this environmental justice, I retired andwent back to Chattanooga where I grew up -- I was born here -- I asked, heavenly days, what is this? The people would say, Oh, Dr. Hewell, we're so glad you're here. I'd never seen them before because I worked in Washington and in Europe.

So I said, well, I'll go with you to see what you're talking about and I'll help. And I went and listened to them. They told me what their problems were. In the federal government in the Kennedy Administration, the Johnson Administration, I was the Program Coordination Officer, one of the highest paid physicians in the federal government.

So everything there, you know, I found out. You know, you've got to inventory -- a booklet back there -- that says all about the agencies and everything. I ran around and learned about all of them. And what I didn't know, I asked the professionals.

So when people call and ask me about resources, I find them some. Central Harlem got \$18 million when we worked in the -- in what you call the Juvenile Delinquency Program.

We set up a Domestic Peace Corps. You've never heard of it. Students came from Columbia, from Yale, from everywhere, to help us. And a lot of people who worked in the Peace Corps got top-level jobs

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

21

with the Urban League, and whatnot, and went back to school. It was a success. We didn't know anything about writing proposals. Everything you

get now out of the federal government is a proposal-writing something. I can't write proposals; I don't have that skill. But I know people who do.

So we've got to, as you said, partner with sincerity. Ask the people that know these things. I have not had anyone to turn me down except in Chattanooga. The women were having a conference by satellite from Washington. I'm an educational telecommunications specialist. Ambassador Walt Annenberg will attest to that because he gave me the opportunity to go to his communications school free. The federal government wasn't going to pay for me to be a telecommunications specialist.

I'm a specialist in transportation; I'm in the division of adult education. If you're (inaudible) out there, you don't have any class. So the best way to get there is to use the school buses that are used in the day. Be smart. Think of what your resources are and you ask people and a lot of them can tell you how to get there because I didn't know anything about transportation. I didn't know anything about anything.

We have the greatest resources in the world here, and I want to thank you for all the booklets. I gave the chairman there a copy where AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 they honored me May the 10th in Chattanooga and they said Dr. Grace (inaudible) your home museum and archives. I've got your material. You have excellent materials.

MS. SHEPARD: Well, thank you, Dr. Hewell.

DR. HEWELL: What?

MS. SHEPARD: Thank you. DR. HEWELL: Oh, thank you.

MS. SHEPARD: And how can the NEJAC be of assistance?

DR. HEWELL: I don't hear very well.

MS. SHEPARD: Okay. How can we help?

DR. HEWELL: Help me do what?

(Laughter.)

MS. SHEPARD: Well, --

DR. HEWELL: When I sent the invitation and NEJAC said, we supported. But as they went up the line, you didn't come. So you will have to deal with the upper echelon. I can't deal with that. I had both my Republican Senators to sign letters, we support this.

MS. SHEPARD: Well, thank you. Luke, I know you would like to comment.

MR. COLE: Dr. Hewell, I wanted to thank you for coming before us. I have two apologies. The first apology is a personal apology which

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-364

11-363

was for missing your 80th birthday party. But I thank you for the invitation.

My second apology is an institutional apology from this body. I want to provide a little institutional memory for those of you who haven't been on the NEJAC for as long as Dr. Hewell has been giving us her

In 1996 Dr. Hewell came to the NEJAC and requested that we have a meeting in Chattanooga. We, as the NEJAC, passed a resolution in 1997 saying we would go to Chattanooga. That resolution went by the boards when we had a transition in leadership as the head of the Office of Environmental Justice who had a different design on where we would be going. That design has brought us instead of Atlanta.

There were some of us who pushed strongly to continue going to Chattanooga because we had made a commitment to you to do so, but we understand that there are other needs as well.

I wanted to let people here know that we had at one point committed to going to Chattanooga.

DR. HEWELL: I know that, and I can deal with it. But I'm asking you why is it that I won't deal with it.

MR. COLE: I'll let Barry Hill, the Director of the Office of AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 Environmental Justice, --

(Laughter.)

MR. COLE: Who made the decision? Answer the question. Why don't you tell Dr. Hewell why we're not going to Chattancoga, Barry.

PARTICIPANT: Not to put you on the spot.

(Laughter.)

DR. HEWELL: Well, I'll tell you why I won't deal with it. Because I don't want anything negative to rub off on what you are doing. I think it's wonderful because when I finished the school of social work, we were talking about multi-problem families. These are the same people, and you're trying to do the best you can, you're trying to do something. Oh, I can deal with that issue.

I was talking today with one of the staff. Unless I can see my way clear, they will not have a negative adverse effect upon you.

MR. HILL: Well, Luke, in answer to your question, Chattanooga, Tennessee is under consideration, as are so many other cities. But depending on the issue. The issue essentially determines where the meeting will be held. Once the issues have been determined, defined, so on and so forth, Chattanooga may be under consideration.

MR. COLE: I would just like to take this opportunity to recognize Dr. Hewell's more than seven decades of commitment and fight for civil

(540) 678-4845

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO

FAX (540) 678-4048

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

17

18

19

20

21

rights and social justice, including environmental justice. If when I'm in my 80s I have the same clarity, vigor, wisdom and grace that Dr. Hewell brings to us, I'll be in good shape.

Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

DR. HEWELL: May I say three words? Three words as the closing on my statement. I'm sorry, I perhaps go off on the wrong thing.

One is we must train our people. Education still is very important. But not only our people. Nobody understands what we are talking about when we're talking about environmental justice. So we must really give education a thrust.

Number two, with the technology, we must give our people access to information. The information system is closing us out.

And, number three, I came here to tell you that if you're going to work with the public health people, you're going to have to take up our basic foundation, and that is the human rights -- the human rights concept that has been accepted by the world. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights has been adopted by the world.

So then, why not we adopt something that everybody else has adopted? You know about the Rio conference? So this is all spelled out. Mrs. Roosevelt helped to write the Universal Declaration of Human

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 Rights. President Carter sent me to Vienna to attend the 30th anniversary of the signing of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, and I got all that information and I brought it back to you.

MS. SHEPARD: Thank you for those three points.

DR. HEWELL: Thank you.

MS. SHEPARD: Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

MS. SHEPARD: Jaribu Hill is next. Welcome.

PRESENTATION BY MS. JARIBU HILL

CENTER FOR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

MS. HILL: Thank you. I'm really glad I had an opportunity to experience one of our elders coming before us and giving us her wisdom. We should always celebrate that life and that experience because it's what keeps us moving forward and keeps us on the path that we who grew up in the Baptist tradition call the path of righteousness.

My name is Jaribu Hill and I'm a civil rights attorney. I work for the Center for Constitutional Rights in Greenville, Mississippi in the Delta, and I'm also here today speaking on behalf of the Mississippi Workers Center.

My comments are going to really support comments that basically AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-368

11-367

have been made already on what I consider to be a really important item and an issue that has come up and been touched on but not explored as in depth as it should be, and as it must be: Dying to make a living.

April 28th is Worker Memorial Day, a day set aside to remember workers who were killed or injured or maimed on the job. According to the Bureau of Labor Statistics, as of 1998 more than 6,000 workers died on the job in the United States as a result of workplace hazards. While many of these workers were victims of fatal workplace accidents, many died because they were poisoned by toxic substances.

Because of racism, segregated workplaces are found across the country, and particularly in the southern region where workers of color are assigned to the dirtiest and most dangerous of all jobs. Because of the aftermath of the system of human bondage, these descendants of captured Africans are steered toward life-threatening jobs and away from office jobs that are reserved for whites.

Ricky knows what it means to be black at work. He worked for Tyson's Poultry plant as a cleaner. His job was to clean the processing machines. To do this awful job, he had to use chlorine. The more Ricky worked with this toxic substance, the sicker he became, until one day he got so sick he went to see a doctor. It was then that Ricky

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130

FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 learned that he had contracted a precancerous condition. His nostrils were inflamed and he had already started having chronic nosebleeds and was having difficulty breathing.

Ricky then went to his supervisor and was told that nothing could be done, and if he didn't like it, he could quit. That is when Ricky contacted OSHA. Ricky filed an anonymous complaint and OSHA appeared at Tyson's to inspect the workplace. That very day Ricky was fired.

Because of his occupational injury, Ricky hashad difficulty holding down a job and since become a substance abuser.

In 1992 twenty-five workers died and over fifty more were seriously injured when a boiler exploded in Imperial Foods Poultry plant in Hamlet, North Carolina. The workers died and others were injured because the bosses locked the fire door supposedly to keep the workers from stealing chickens.

When FDA agents would come to the plant to inspect the chickens to see if they were fit for human consumption. The workers would cry out for help, appealing to these government officials to take their complaints about the dangerous work environment they worked in seriously.

The FDA officials told them, we are here to inspect the chickens

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

They cared more about their chickens than they did about live human beings.

Those responsible for the killing of workers and injuring workers in that plant on that day in September 1992 escaped punishment except for the plant manager who was sentenced to three to five years for manslaughter.

Then the thermometer plant. Two workers put into an unventilated room to crush and recycle mercury. One workerbecame ill immediately and is permanently mentally impaired. The other worker died.

These employers were given six weekends in jail. The rationale for six weekends in jail was that they had their families to go home to. But these two workers will never be able to go home to their families.

My colleague Bob Bullard said earliertoday that what was needed to eradicate childhood lead poisoning and to provide relief for suffering children was to form collaborations and cooperation across disciplines, departments, agencies, and other branches.

In this regard, we are recommending the same kind of collaboration between EPA and OSHA, coming together to form a task force to address the chemical poisoning of workers and the enforcemental racism in plants across the country.

We therefore ask, in order to end the cycle of reservations for AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 workers of color to dirt and danger, we call for the forming of such a task force. The Mississippi Workers Center and the Center for Constitutional Rights joins with injured workers, and families of workers who died while trying to make a living, to bring an end to these atrocities that are the direct result of ongoing effects of racism and class oppression.

(Applause.)

MS. SHEPARD: Thank you. Rosa Hilda.

MS. RAMOS: We, the people in the community who are involved in this movement against abuse must engage themselves in helping employees by filing the complaints in OSHA. In other words, you know, we file the complaint and the employee is not identified, so they cannot be punished by the industry.

We have done that in our community and it has worked.

Go to your community leaders. They can file a complaint on behalf of the employees. I don't know if this is of help in your community, but in our community it has helped.

MS. HILL: Okay. I know that there is clause a in OSHA that protects workers, supposedly, from retaliation. This worker that I mentioned filed an anonymous complaint. But as I said, the day that the plant was inspected, he was fired that day.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-372

II-371

Now, one of two things happened. Either his identity was revealed -- and that has happened before -- or they surmised that he was the one that reported it because he had been complaining about health conditions.

Yes, we have filed complaints and we have urged workers to file complaints. But as most of you probably know, there is no private right of action, and no worker has the right to sue an employer for occupational health and safety injury. All he or she has is Worker's Compensation, and in my state there is a 450-week cap on Worker's Compensation.

(Applause.)

MS. SHEPARD: Rose.

MS. AUGUSTINE: I'd like to ask, does your organization work with welfare workers that have been trained to work in hazardous conditions?

MS. HILL: I know you're not being sarcastic when you say they've been trained, because -

MS. AUGUSTINE: No.

MS. HILL: Because the welfare to work workers are not being trained. That's the problem. They're being forced to work in situations where they're not being trained, and they are placed at risk.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130

FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

They clean parks where needles are found, where rats run amuck. They've been bitten. They've been stung by needles. They've been infected with the HIV virus. They are not trained in HAZMAT, working with hazardous materials. And they don't have the right to know, as welfare workers, because they're the untouchables.

(Applause.)

MS. AUGUSTINE: Well, I was wondering -- I'll rephrase that -- that have been told or they are being told that they are being trained to work in a hazardous condition? Do you --

MS. HILL: No, they're not, and they have not been privy to rightto-know information. There's a gray area because they're not really considered employees although they are working.

MS. AUGUSTINE: Do you work with the welfare workers that --

MS. HILL: I don't work directly with the welfare population in my center, but I'm in coalition with organizations which do.

MS. AUGUSTINE: We have a situation in Tucson where the workers -- and we're talking about -- I don't see too many men -- I haven't seen any men on welfare, but it's usually women of color, a single parent with children that have been taken off the welfare roles, from welfare to workfare, and trained to work in a beryllium plant. And now they are dying.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS.INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

17

18

19

20

21

You're talking about Workmen's Compensation. The company has been getting money from industrial compensation to pay for their legal fees against the workers who are trying to sue them.

MS. HILL: What we would like to see is the declaration that what I just described and what other people talked about earlier in terms of pesticides, that these workplace injuries to workers are certainly environmental hazards, and because they are specifically and disproportionately targeted toward the black and the brown, that it is an issue and an incident of environmental racism.

We want that to be part of the understanding in terms of the scope of environmental racism, that these attacks and assaults on workers is part of the definition of environmental racism.

(Applause.)

MS. AUGUSTINE: Haywood, may I ask a question? Would this fall under the jurisdiction of the Department of Labor as an interagency working group?

MR. TURRENTINE: I'm sorry, did you ask me that question? MS. AUGUSTINE: Yes.

MR. TURRENTINE: I'm going to have to apologize because I was not focused on the conversation so I really don't know what the issue is that you were talking about.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

MS. AUGUSTINE: We're talking about the rights of workers that have been damaged in the workplace.

MR. TURRENTINE: Right.

MS. AUGUSTINE: We're talking about OSHA not protecting the worker. But as an environmental justice issue, would that fall under the Department of Labor as being one of the interagency working group?

PARTICIPANT: Yes.

MS. HILL: Only to the -- well, I'm a labor lawyer. The Department of Labor addresses wage and hour issues and workplace conditions issues up to a point. They address issues where workers are working out of title, they address issues where child labor might be involved.

The proper agencies to collaborate on this, it seems to me, are EPA and OSHA because those are both organizations that acknowledge hazards against workers to one degree or the other.

MS. SHEPARD: All right. I'm going to move on to Dr. Yang.

DR. YANG: Thanks, Peggy.

Thank you, Ms. Hill. I wanted to point out, actually, that some of the things that you were mentioning just sound fascinating -- or, remarkably so much like the issues that the International Subcommittee is going to be taking up tomorrow in regard to protecting farm workers from the dangers and effects of pesticide poisoning.

> AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-376

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

11-375

As you mentioned, the failure to obtain adequate Worker's Compensation, workers not knowing the kinds of dangers in the workplace, not being protected adequately by their employers, and the reporting of violations -- and I guess I would encourage you in part to attend that part of our meeting tomorrow.

But I have a little more specific question for you, and that is, seeing some of these parallels, do you think the next -- what is needed, and I think it's one of the issues that our subcommittee will have to grapple with, is the problem of the lack of enforcement? Is it the lack of adequate laws? Or is it the failure to adequately educate the employees about these kinds of dangers that they're facing?

MS. HILL: I'm going to answer your question because it's really a question that I want to answer, but I've first got to give you some bad news

For farm workers there is no coverage under OSHA. Nor is there coverage for domestic workers who work with dangerous cleaning materials as well. There is no coverage for those two sets of workers. Some of most affected workers, and there's no OSHA relief for them at all. And we've been fighting that for many, many, many years.

In answer to your question, we have been battling around the issue of how more protection can be afforded workers. In the State of New

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 York, there are 80 OSHA representatives for the State of New York. So I don't even have to tell you how many there are in Mississippi.

Enforcement is one issue, but the coverage is laughable. The coverage is laughable. And so what if an employer is fined? What he then does is he gets a variance and he gets extension upon extension.

We inspected a plant and recommended closure because of lead exposure to workers. The employer got a variance that lasted four years, just constantly getting extensions so that he would not have to abate the lead problem.

So there is a frontal attack on workers when government fails to act and protect workers. It's egregious, and workers should be allowed to sue but they can't sue.

So that's why I'm saying that the coverage has to be broader than the agency OSHA because it's been impotent ever since Reagan. It's never had any teeth, guts or bones. It's a shell of a law.

So, in order to protect workers more fully there has to be a declaration that these atrocities that you and I have been talking about are environmental atrocities and perhaps the Environmental Protection Agency and counterparts like the NEJAC group can look into these atrocities and form a group to answer some of these needs because obviously OSHA is not up to that task.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS.INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

MR. TURRENTINE: Let me just -- I didn't want to respond because I didn't want to provide my particular bias and I wanted to be fair to the process, but I think I would not be fair to the process if I don't respond.

From the environmental justice standpoint of what we're talking about with respect to the situation in Mississippi --

PARTICIPANT: All over.

MR. TURRENTINE: -- the grass -- and it's all over -- but grassroots organizations have done an incredible job of organizing and until and unless you organize in these situations -- see, the individual worker is never going to get enoughenforcement from federal agencies. If the community and the workers were to organize in a collective bargaining way, then you would have the muscle of a union organization who would take that to the NLRB and to take it to wherever it had to go in order to get some redress to those issues.

But I can say this to you, and we've seen it time and time again, whenever a worker complains, even during a union organizing drive, most often they're let go or they're intimidated or they are harassed. And the only time you stop that harassment is when you win an election with NLRB and then you come in and get a contract because just because you win the election does not mean anything if you don't get AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 a contract.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

If that organization -- and especially in the poultry industry -- if they decide that they ain't going to bargain in good faith, there's precious little that you can do unless the community itself decides to organize around that issue.

So I would say that that's one avenue that you might want to pursue, is through your grassroots organizing efforts, join forces with a local union in the area. And I'm not suggesting that it has to be the Laborer's International Union; it could be the -- I mean, it could be anybody else that wants to represent you and go in there. But you need the support of some organization, one, who has some resources, and, two, has some human capital to invest in that process.

MS. HILL: With all due respect to what you just said, you made an assumption that that's not already being done. I am an organizer. I said I was a lawyer, but I was an organizer way before I became a lawyer. And we have been organizing.

What we need is governmental accountability. What is missing from this equation is the fact that these atrocities that I described are not even defined within the range of environmental issues, and in fact the workers are being poisoned at the point of production so that their environment at work is poisonous. That is an environmental hazard.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-380

11-379

Furthermore, because most of these workers are people of color, it's environmental racism.

(Applause.)

MS. HILL: I am not suggesting that avenues that you explored with me just a moment ago have not been tried. Organizing continues to go on. That is the main thing. That's why everything comes to a head, because people take to the streets and decide that they're not going to take it anymore.

I'm talking about a piece that's missing, and that is a piece where workers are left to suffer and die. And they shouldn't have to suffer and die when there are agencies that are supposed to protect them. That's the piece that I'm talking about.

(Applause.)

MR. TURRENTINE: I agree with you. I'm agreeing with you that the agencies haven't. I'm also going one step further and I'm saying, based on your efforts, the local community organizing drive alone, it's still not going to do it. That's why I'm suggesting that you need to bring in the powers of an anational union.

MS. HILL: That's what we've been doing.

MS. RAMOS: Mr. Chair.

MS. HILL: That's what we've been doing. But we're talking about

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

we're coming here to talk about what this agency and what these agencies can do. We don't need to be told about organizing. That's what you've got in the room, people who organize. What we're asking for is a way for agencies to work across their disciplines to provide greater protection for citizens. And that's all we're asking for.

(Applause.)

MS. RAMOS: Mr. Chair.

MS. SHEPARD: All right, thank you. Richard Bright. Oh, okay, James Hill. Sorry.

PRESENTATION BY MR. JAMES B. HILL, JR.

NAACP - OAK RIDGE, TENNESSEE BRANCH AND THE **SCARBORO**

COMMUNITY ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COUNCIL

MR. HILL: Good evening. My name is James B. Hill, Jr. I'm the President of the Oak Ridge Branch of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, the NAACP. Also I'm Chairman of the Scarboro Community Environmental Justice Council located in Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

They cannot hear me, so you want to turn the micup, please? Mic check, Mic check.

(Laughter.)

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

AMERICAN REPORTERS.INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

20

21

PARTICIPANT: That's better.

MR. HILL: She said go ahead and speak into the mic, so here we go. She says to turn it up max.

Let me say this before I get started. I want to go on record that I support the effort of the People of Color and Disenfranchised Communities Environmental Justice Network as they deal with federal facilities because the Oak Ridge Reservation is a federal facility part of a Superfund site.

Since the last visit with NEJAC -- I visited here several years ago at Raleigh-Durham, North Carolina, I want to give you an update on what's transpiring in Oak Ridge. I'm talking about a lot of activity, so I hope I can do it in the five minutes that's been allotted to me.

The Scarboro community, which is a predominantly African-American community, was designed by the Corps of Engineers in the late '40s or early '50s to house African-American workers and their families that worked at the local plant. There were three plants on the reservation, namely the Oak Ridge Gaseous Diffusion Plant which is coded K-25, now called the East Tennessee Technology Park/Horizon Center; the Y-12 weapons production plant; the Oak Ridge National Laboratories, coded X-10.

The Scarboro community is located approximately 500 yards from AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 the Y-12 weapons plant. It is said that the Scarboro community is considered the closest community located near a federal facility. I will discuss the series of events that took place that brought us to where we are today.

The Tennessean, the newspaper in Nashville, ran a series of articles related to illness across the country. These stories related to sick workers and communities. In one particular article there was a series that consisted of interviews with 16 children who lived on one street in the Scarboro community. These children were reported to have respiratorial asthma-related and infection, all similar health problems, on one street.

After that article went out, a call went out to the State of Tennessee to investigate the cause and see that these children would be properly cared for. The state officials responded and their response was that this is not a concern and we will not adhere to this call.

After these comments were made, a representative from Georgia, Cynthia McKinney, make a statement publicly that it's a sin for the health officials not to investigate why these children are sick.

From that statement a letter from Senator Fred Thompson was written to the state requesting that they investigate the reason for the kids being sick and to invite CDC, the Center for Disease Control, to AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-384

11-383

conduct an investigation in the community.

For the past two years the Scarboro Community Environmental Justice Council has been meeting with local officials, state officials, and federal health officials conducting the following activities:

Establishing leadership in the community, establishing objectives and strategies and prioritizing goals and objectives, developing a community help survey in which over 250 interviews were conducted, conducting health examinations to identify the sick children, conducting health examinations with the help of -- that the University of Tennessee was involved in in the examination of the kids.

From the survey, the results indicated that the asthma incident rate was above the national average. I think the national average is around 7 or 9 percent and our incident rate was around 13 percent.

We also reviewed the summaries from the CDC and the summaries had to go back for rewriting to make sure that the summary was in layman's terms so it could be understood by the community.

MS. SHEPARD: And would you please conclude?

MR. HILL: As I stated before, there are several activities related to the Scarboro community. We have engaged with the Department of Energy in doing soil sampling. We are discussing with DOE to do additional soil sampling because from the sampling taken, there was

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130

FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 contamination in the community.

EPA has presented a plan, a sample plan, to the community and we are waiting now for EPA to come back with the input from the community during the comment period to discuss with us the plan as it relates to soil sampling.

Those are just a few of the activities going on. As you know, upcoming and ongoing events relate to the sick workers health examination, the beryllium workers health assessment and other things related. But currently there is a charge to select members to form a FACA for the Oak Ridge Reservation. It is my hope that these agencies do not wait until this FACA is up and running and functional before we continue our activities.

As I stated before, there are a lot of activities going on, but there is no closure to any of these activities; they are all pending.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. SHEPARD: Okay, thank you. Mildred Colen.

PRESENTATION BY MS. MILDREN COLEN

PRIVATE CITIZEN

MS. COLEN: My name is Mildred Colen. Thank you for allowing me to speak here tonight. I speak on behalf of nine other families that

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

18

19

20

21

live in the community of Warren, Arkansas.

Our case is a case of posterity where these families have lived in the community since the 1800s. Warren has five lumber companies. One of these lumber companies is located adjacent to the community where these nine families reside.

These nine families have approximately 36 acres of land. When we purchased our land, most of the second generations were still alive, but most of them have since died of cancer, cardiovascular disease, or diabetes. Four of them lost their sight.

These people drank water, bathed, washed their clothes and watered their vegetable gardens from contaminated private wells. City water was not available to them until 1970. These wells, the soil, the air, on a daily basis received high -- very, very high -- doses of toxins from the lumber industry that is located adjacent to these private properties.

The lumber industry manufactures hardwood flooring. For more than three decades this industry has saved thousands of dollars by discharging, dumping and burying its waste products on our properties.

Recent samplings of soil by EPA in our vegetable garden -- within our vegetable garden -- revealed 15 heavy metals. The concentration of arsenic was 17.2 parts per million. The U.S. maximum contamination AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 limit for arsenic is 0.05 parts per million.

When I questioned the EPA official about the high content of arsenic in our gardens, he told me that the amount of arsenic in my garden was about the same as in other places in Warren. But he said that I shouldn't worry.

A more recent soil test done by a state agency of soil taken from the outside perimeter of the garden -- my vegetable garden -- revealed nine volatile organic chemicals. These same chemicals are used by the hardwood industry in some of their manufacturing products.

We are exposed to these chemicals every single day. We eat them, we breathethem, they are in our houses, they are in our clothing. These volatile organics cause cancer, kidney and liver effects. circulatory disorders and nervous system effects. And they tell us not to be concerned.

Bradley County's total cancer death rates for 1994 and 1995 exceeded the state rate. Over a six-year period in the community adjacent to the industry the breast cancer rate -- adjacent to the industry which I'm speaking of now - the cancer rate exceeded the state rate.

The Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality, EPA, ATSDR, and the Arkansas Department of Health are all aware of an illegal landfill that was created by this industry on one of the properties without AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-388

11-387

the owner's consent.

The landfill consists of hundreds of 55-gallon barrels from the industry and contaminated soil from the storm drains that are located behind the industry. The Warren Street Department hauled debris from the city streets and contaminated soil from the storm drains behind the industry to this property and covered the drums.

This property is across the street from my property, only a few hundred feet from our house. The runoff from these drums enter our property by a stream that is a tributary to state waters, which is the Saline River. And I live one mile from that river.

Incidentally, soil analysis reveals acetone in that landfill. Could it be seeping from the buried drums or could it come from the soil that was hauled from behind the plant and taken out of the storm drains?

Knowing all of the irregularities that existed, why did the Department of Environmental Quality in Arkansas issue the industry an NPDES permit? Not only did they issue them the permit, they authorized the industry to discharge on our private properties.

Then the industry failed to meet the effluent limits of the permit repeatedly, and ADEQ had to cancel their permit. If the industry wanted to get rid of their waste, they should have disposed of it properly.

As a result of their careless disregard for the health and well-being

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 of the community citizens, lives have suffered, land values have plummeted, and because of their sinister plans, which I will not mention tonight, we are at risk of losing everything.

We believe that the city, the federal and state agencies are falling short of their duties.

MS. SHEPARD: Ms. Colen, could you begin to conclude?

MS. COLEN: I have one more -- some more statements, and I think that they're very important. I hope you will give me just a few more minutes to express them.

MS. SHEPARD: Please go ahead.

MS. COLEN: Thank you.

EPA has done some strange things to our family over a period of 11 years. We made our first contact with the agency in 1989 when the City of Warren, Arkansas arbitrarily put a sewer across five acres of our land. We contacted EPA because the City of Warren had received a two-step grant for construction of the sewer and ADEQ had participated monetarily in sewer acquisitions.

We were discriminated against through their method of payment and they took us to criminal court under the eminent domain law. Two persons were sent to Warren from EPA and two people were sent from DEQ to settle the matter. The attorney from EPA and DEQ officers told

> AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

us that the City did in fact owe us money and they assured us that they would make them pay.

After a two-hour meeting with the city officials they returned to our house where the EPA attorney said that if we showed him the federal statute that would require them, the city, to pay us, he would make them pay. We showed him the Federal Registry, the Uniform Relocation Act with all the statutes. He literally ran out of the house, and that was the end of that.

The second incident with EPA was concerning the illegal landfill in our neighborhood. A special investigator came to our home following statements that I made at a NEJAC meeting in San Antonio, Texas. We showed the investigator the illegal landfill and told him of another landfill in a white neighborhood that is approximately a quarter of a mile from our neighborhood. Clean-up of the landfill in the white neighborhood was ordered within weeks; whereas, our landfill remains untouched by EPA.

We would like to know why EPA made a difference in a white neighborhood and a black neighborhood. This landfill has existed in our neighborhood since the 1980s.

Last, but not least, I filed two complaints with the EPA Civil Rights

Department under Title VI. These charges were against the City of

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845 FAX (540) 678-4048 Warren and the Warren mayor for participating in the pollution of our neighborhood through the Warren street department and against ADEQ for issuing an NPDES permit that authorized industry to discharge on private property with failure to monitor.

Not only were my complaints denied, an employee in the department wrote me that they were turning my complaint over to the recipients of the complaint. This they did without asking for my permission. This is a violation of my rights under the Privacy Act.

We are tired of having our phones tapped. We are tired of threats through having --

(Applause.)

MS. COLEN: Listen to me -- black hogs, dead hogs, and dead animal carcasses placed in our driveways. These things happened to us. This is the same to me as someone burning a cross on my lawn.

I would hope that someone here tonight has heard the things that I've had to say because this situation has gone on over a period of three decades before we moved into that neighborhood. My husband was born and raised in that neighborhood, but we lived in California for a while and we came back and we bought property there.

We have been asking EPA and other agencies for help. We haven't really -- see, we've gotten some help but we need for this to be AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845 FAX (540) 678-4048

11-392

II-391

over. If there is anybody here that can help us, would you please contact me tonight.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. SHEPARD: Okay, thank you.

DR. McCLAIN: Is it possible to ask a question?

MS. SHEPARD: What is it?

DR. McCLAIN: I would like to know how can we as communitybased organizations help to shape and influence the issue that NEJAC addresses at its session?

I raise this because we have continually come here to deal with this issue of federal facilities and I have to say that I'm very disappointed, and the others who are with me have also voiced their disappointment, since they heard the response of the Health and Research Subcommittee being the point of contact and that possibly we will have a working group come out of that committee -- I would like to know -- we would like to know -- how do we influence what you do and what you discuss at the session because I have to say that quite frankly we are fed up.

(Applause.)

DR. McCLAIN: And I appreciate the small efforts that have been

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS,INC.
WASHINGTON-METRO FAX
(540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

made, but to sit here and hearthese conditions over and over and over and over again and then to have you give us some watered-down solution is unacceptable.

(Applause.)

DR. McCLAIN: We have to go beyond this. I know about the working groups -- because I serve on one -- of the International Subcommittee of NEJAC. Please somebody tell us how we can influence this process.

MS. SHEPARD: Dr. McClain, you are influencing the process. We are considering everything that we've heard, and we hope to get a good response back to you.

DR. McCLAIN: Mr. Hill would like to know when we could expect that.

MR. TURRENTINE: Barry, you need to address that. That has to be addressed from EPA.

PARTICIPANT: Madam Chair.

MS. RAMOS: Madam Chair.

MR. TURRENTINE: Now, hold on.

MS. SHEPARD: Just a second. Hold it.

MR. HILL: I have to echo what was just said. Mildred, the fact that you've testified, that you've done a presentation --

NATIONWIDE WAS
(800) 929-0130

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

17

18

19

20

21

MR. TURRENTINE: Barry, can't hear you.

MR. HILL: Mildred, the fact that you have done a presentation for the second or third time is a reflection of how you are influencing the NEJAC and the agency.

The response that was given to you a little bit earlier by Charles was an indication of how the agency is moving towards that particular issue. And we were talking, Tim, Charles, and myself, about how we can go a little bit further than that.

You have been heard by the NEJAC, by the agency, and we will address that

DR. McCLAIN: When?

MR. HILL: When it's appropriate. I can't tell you right now when that will be.

MS. SHEPARD: Rose and Michel.

MS. AUGUSTINE: Madam Chair, earlier -- I understand what the community has to say, and earlier I was going to make a suggestion for the NEJAC to consider -- the whole body of the NEJAC to consider forming a working group, a federal facilities working group.

Now, if we could - and I was going to propose it today, so tomorrow when the working groups break out, they can think about it, they can discussit, and when we come back, they can come back with AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 a response.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

That's what my suggestion was going to be, for the NEJAC to consider a federal facilities working group.

(Pause.) (Inaudible discussion among members of the Council.)

MS. SHEPARD: Rose, we're in public comment and we're not entertaining any motions.

MS. AUGUSTINE: I would like to make that motion, that the NEJAC consider forming a federal facilities working group.

MS. SHEPARD: Rose, we're not considering motions at this time.

MS. AUGUSTINE: Pardon?

MS. SHEPARD: We can discuss that at another time; we're in public comment.

MS. AUGUSTINE: Okay. But I would like the working group to consider that and come back with a recommendation tomorrow.

MS. SHEPARD: Yes. Michel and Tom.

MR. GELOBTER: I have questions for two testifiers.

First of all, Ms. Colen. Are you in Region 4 or Region 6? What state are you in?

MS. COLEN: Pardon?

MR. GELOBTER: What state are you in?

MS. COLEN: Arkansas.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX

(540) 678-4048

11-396

11-395

11-393

MR. GELOBTER: And that's I guess Region 4 or 6?

MS. COLEN: That's Region 6.

MR. GELOBTER: I wasn't clear in your testimony if you're implicating them in the activities you felt had been taken place against you, that you felt that they had acted in ways that -- besides the Title VI violations which appear to be obvious from what you're talking about, have they personally -- have you felt personally assaulted and do you feel that some of these personal assaults may have come from that region?

MS. COLEN: Yes, I do.

MR. GELOBTER: Okay. So, do you feel -- I mean, who do you think we should be trying to get recourse from? The agency -- I mean, we need to think about this obviously and try to deal with it. But, you know, Brother Tom here was saying, well, has the region heard this? And if what you're saying is that if the region is part of the terrorism you feel like you're suffering, where do you think we -- where do you think we can go to help you? I mean, I'm just trying to get some --

MS. COLEN: I think you're the experts. I've told you my story. They have been -- the people our -- Region 6 have been to Warren. There have been a total of about -- well, let me say, one engineer came down and wrote up about a 15-page report in 1994. It covered just AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 about everything.

Since then -- well, before that, the EPA attorney came, and it was blatant, the treatment that he gave us, I couldn't believe it. So we just -- we never pursued that one anymore. But then when we started to pursue the issue of the -- the environmental issue -- I guess they think it's just my family. We're the only ones that are left living in the community. But there are other people that live probably in closer proximity to the company than I do --

MR. GELOBTER: Can I -- I'm sorry, I don't mean to interrupt you. MS. COLEN: Go ahead.

MR. GELOBTER: Can I suggest -- do you have some of the documents with you that you're talking about?

MS. COLEN: I don't have any with me, but I can document everything that I've said.

MR. GELOBTER: Because if you're saying you feel the region is a part of some very serious problems you're obviously experiencing, you know, it makes it hard for us -- it makes it hard for me to think about recourse. But let's talk a little bit afterwards and try to get some stuff, because at least the Water Office is partially implied. Idon't know if we can get someone out of Washington to look into it, or whatever, but let's try to figure out where -- help me figure our, or us figure out, where we

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

21

22

can --

MS. COLEN: I would appreciate it.

MR. GELOBTER: On the federal facilities issue, I just have a quick question, which is, are there any EJ representatives on the federal facilities FACA at this point that we know of? Have there been any requests to get them on from our committee, or other things like that? Is Mildred still here?

There is a federal facilities FACA, as I recall, right? I think there is.

PARTICIPANT: Is there?

MR. GELOBTER: Yes.

MS. BR ADSH AW: Could I reply to that?

MR. GELOBTER: Please.

MS. BRADSHAW: Doris Bradshaw.

MS. SHEPARD: Michel, maybe the Air and Water Subcommittee can meet with her and get the documentation?

MR. GELOBTER: Meet with the federal facilities folks?

MS. SHEPARD: No.

MR. GELOBTER: Oh, yes, absolutely, with Ms. Colen, absolutely.

MS. SHEPARD: Yes.

MR. GELOBTER: I'm just talking about the federal facilities piece.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-397

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

Is there EJ representation on the federal facilities FACA at this point?

MS. BRADSHAW: No.

MR. GEL OBTER: Okay. But there has --

MS. BRADSHAW: And never have.

MR. GELOBTER: Have you tried to interact with them or show up at their hearings and things?

MS. BRAD SHAW: I've been coming here for five years. I think many of us today are with federal facilities that came out yesterday and today. Some of us have gone home. I know I have been coming here for five vears complaining to the NEJAC.

We have asked for a place on your board. And I feel like Teresa, we're not asking you for welfare; we feel like we should have a place on the board and we should have a subcommittee because our issues have not been addressed and we don't have a system where we can address

MR. GELO BTER: I understand, but the specific question is have you all interacted with the federal facilities FACA.

MS. BRADSHAW: We have not -- well, I think we have, yes.

MR. GELOBTER: Okay. Okay, because I think it may be worth us thinking about, in addition to whatever we're doing here, is putting some pressure on that designated FACA as well to address these issues -- in addition to what we can do here.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-400

11-399

MS. BRADSHAW: We do need a FEJAC.

MS. SHEPARD: Tom.

MR. GOLDTOOTH: I guess I just need some clarification. Is there a federal facilities advisory committee? Is there a federal facilities FACA? Can someone -- Mildred, you were a speaker. Clarification of a speaker, so that's Mildred.

MS. McCLAIN: No.

MR. GOLDTOOTH: Is there a federal facilities FACA out there somewhere in some agency?

Is there a federal advisory committee formed by the Department of Defense or some entity? Is there a --

MS. McCLAIN: Okay, let me -- there is what is called a Citizens Advisory Board, which is a site-specific advisory board for a site. There is a Health Effects Subcommittee for four sites under the Department of Energy. There are other FACA bodies for DOD.

The problem is that they don't work and the participation of community-based organizations, as it is here, is lacking. So, of sorts.

MR. GOLDTOOTH: Now, here is an other clarification. I think I heard this yesterday, that you, as a representative of the Disenfranchised -- the organization that you're working with -- there's a number of members who are here as part of that.

MS. McCLAIN: Yes.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

MR. GOLDTOOTH: That you're not requesting that your participation be established through a working group, are you? You're asking that there be a subcommittee formed and not a working group? Is that what you're asking for?

MS. McCLAIN: Yes, my brother.

MR. GOLDTOOTH: Then we need to have a petition.

MS. McCLAIN: What the People of Color and Disenfranchised Communities Environmental Health Network, which represents over 17 sites -- what we are asking for is a subcommittee of NEJAC, not a working group of a subcommittee.

MR. GOLDTOOTH: Okay. We needed that -- I think I needed that clarification. And I'd just like to say that when NEJAC was first formed there was no Indigenous Peoples Subcommittee and there was no International Subcommittee. We wentthrough our own process of coming to NEJAC asking that one be formed. And I think it was two and a half years in the making, demanding that it be formed and going through the bureaucracy that we know that all federal agencies have.

We know that as activists sitting on this very NEJAC. So I think that clarification is important, and I'd just like to say that as the Chair of the Indigenous Peoples Subcommittee we deal with many issues that are specific to indigenous peoples issues and we have to prioritize.

Some of those issues involve federal facilities.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

understanding that there was a process within NEJAC, and that was what Charles Lee was trying to explain that's going to start discussing how this NEJAC is going to address the issues that our communities are dealing with concerning federal facilities.

Maybe I should be more engaged with that. But the way that we're structured as Chair of the Indigenous Peoples Subcommittee, and there are people here that are involved with their own subcommittee -- involved with those issues that we're dealing with.

So, somewhere, maybe as individuals, we -- something falls through the cracks. I just want to say that that's not their intentions, at least from myself. That's not their intentions.

I'm an activist, just like a lot of community people are here. So I do need that clarification because it sounds like there is a need, there is a need to talk about the formation of a subcommittee that deals with federal facilities. And we will talk a bout that.

The subcommittees meet tomorrow and then Friday is the time when we have to give some clarification of what we're going to do to address these issues

I would like to commit that I'm going to engage the Executive Committee into that discussion somehow. And I'm sure that there's some others here that will do that.

(Applau se.)

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845 FAX (540) 678-4048 MR. TURRE NTINE: I don't want to continue this debate forever, but I hope you would at least understand that there is a time within the NEJAC process where we will sit down and take under advisement everything we've heard and we will put it in our process, as I think Tom is alluding to, where we will make recommendations back to the Administrator of EPA.

That's all we can do at this point. I don't think we'll be -- I think it would be asking too much to ask Barry here or to ask Charles Lee to make a commitment to do something tonight for which they have not had an opportunity to sitdown with the various program offices within the EPA to determine where the funds are going to come from, whether it can even be expanded.

I don't know. You're asking some very good and legitimate questions. We simply don't have the answers to night. By way of saying that we're not going to let your concerns fall on deaf ears, we're going to at least move in the direction where the issue can be fully vetted and we will come back with what the EPA will allow this FACA to do in regard to the requests that you've made.

One such item that they've come up with at this pointwas a working group to start looking at a whole host of issues around that which you've raised. That is far as we can expect Barry and EPA and Charles to go without going back to the various program offices and getting some commitments and some buy-ins.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845 FAX (540) 678-4048

11-404

11-403

Whether we know it or not, e very subcommittee within the NEJAC is supported by a program office, and somebody has to support those activities.

So let us not interrupt the process any further, Council members. Let's let the public make their presentations to us, let's hear what they have to say, and then let's take that under advisement and let's go forward.

I really don't think we're helping the process when members of the Council continue to ask questions that get us off of the public -- this is the public's time to comment before us and we need to leave it at that. Call your next speaker.

MS. SHEPARD: Caitlin Waddick and Stan Caress. Then we have Michelle Xenos, Pat Hartman, Ian Zabarte, Charbtte Keys.

PRESENTATION BY MS. CAITLIN WADDICK
CITY PLANNING PROGRAM, GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF
TECHNOLOGY

MS. WAD DICK: Hello. A re you still awake? My name is Caitlin Waddick. I'm a doctoral student at Georgia Tech in Urban Planning. I'm here on behalf of my professor, Ann Steinman, who couldn't make it tonight because she's sick. I'm here with Stan Caress. The three of us are doing research on multiple chemical sensitivity.

Recently the NEJAC Enforcement Sub committee prepared a draft

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130
 WASHINGTON-METRO
 FAX

 (540) 678-4845
 (540) 678-4048

resolution on multiple chemical sensitivity. The draft resolution on multiple chemical sensitivity drafted by the Enforcement Subcommittee requests that EPA review and a scertain a host of is sues associated with multiple chemical sensitivity.

It's very good, and I ask that you pass it. We've looked at it and we have some changes to make to it to improve it, in particular Item 7. We suggest that you amend Item 7 of the resolution, the draft resolution on multiple chemical sensitivity to read that,

The EPA should device and adopt a reasonable accommodation policy for affected persons who work and/or attend meetings held at or sponsored by the EPA. This should include the identification and provision of EPA work places and EPA meeting places which are non-toxic and suitable. A fragrance-free policy for EPA offices in internal and external meetings. And other actions to accommodate multiple chemical sensitivity, disabled workers, and meeting participants."

For instance, some people could not be here to night because this room was n't going to be fragrance-free and because they'd be exposed to chemicals just in this room. So that was the first point I wanted to make

The second thing was I wanted to give you a little bit of information about the prevalence of multiple chemical sensitivity. Several large-scale studies indicate that the prevalence of multiple chemical sensitivity in the

NATIONWIDE W (800) 929-0130

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

19

20

21

22

United States could be between 16 and 32 percent of the population. These people are so sensitive to low levels of chemicals that it's difficult for them to go to church or to go to the grocery store, to go to work and a lot of times people lose their jobs. It's very, very disruptive to their lives.

My third point that I wanted to make to you tonight is really to stress the importance that you pass this resolution on multiple chemical sensitivity. It's very important that we take action now for two reasons. One, we really need to accommodate people who are sensitized to chemicals. Their lives, in many cases, are very much destroyed by this illness because they are so severely disabled because they cannot tolerate very low levels of chemicals in their indoor environments or their outdoor environments.

Second, we need to take action now to prevent individuals from becoming sensitized to chemicals. It looks like people get sensitized in a couple of different ways: through pesticide exposures, through exposures to indoor air pollutants, exposures to new carpeting, of course there's the Gulf War illnesses, and implants. People become sensitized in these wavs.

MS. SHEPARD: Okay, thank you.

MR COLF: Madam Chair

MS. SHEPARD: Yes.

MR. COLE: I'm the Chair of the Enforcement Subcommittee and if

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130

(540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 you have specific language you'd like us to consider, please give that to me. This resolution will be discussed on Friday by the full NEJAC, but that would be the time when I would suggest a friendly amendment to the language the Enforcement Subcommittee has passed out, if it's something that the Enforcement Subcommittee members are comfortable with.

So please give me any specific suggestions you have. Thanks.

MS. WADDICK: Okay.

MR. CARESS: Let me add that the revision of wording was suggested by Nicholas Asher of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology who is an expert on multiple chemical sensitivities.

MS. SHEPARD: Thank you. The next speaker is Pat Hartman.

Ms. Hartman, if you would proceed.

PRESENTATION BY MS. PAT HARTMAN CONCERNED CITIZENS OF MOSSVILLE

MS. HARTMAN: My name is Pat Hartman. I'm from the Concerned Citizens of Mossville. We are a community that's working together with M.E.A.N. Incorporated.

A few years ago we had an EDC spill in Mossville on the east side of Mossville which resulted in a class action suit by the people of Mossville. The settlement was reached, but it was very unfair. It's now being investigated

Many people live there in the area who are very sick. And they're AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE

WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-408

11-407

dying already. Right after the spill, Condea Vista realized that something was very wrong because the farm animals were dying and the people were very sick.

So Condea Vista bought out that community. The tragic thing was the Verdan (phonetic) family. Within a year all of them were dead.

Now they're saying that the spill stopped at the tracks. I want to know, the community wants to know, how can water stop at the tracks. And the government agency said it did. So what's going on?

I'm here today to tell you that many of our people are dying and that many are very, very sick. These people are not dying from gunshot wounds, drugs, and not alcohol either. They're dying from cancer and other illnesses.

Too many people in a small community like ours shouldn't be dying. Something is wrong.

When it was mentioned that people are spitting their blood, a person from an agency said, "That happens when people drink heavy." This is a disgrace for our black people that they get from the government agencies.

We're not going to take it anymore. We have become more frightened that the agencies are working against us, not supporting us. We want the state agencies and federal agencies to finally start helping us and working with us.

We want to know if an agency can help us get a health clinic in

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 Mos sville. The doctors in the area don't know how to treat our illnesses They don't even recognize the sickness. It's the chemical contamination. They prescribe expensive medicine for people who can't afford it. That is why we need a clinic and a doctor who knows how to test and treat us.

We also want other medical services, such as free medications and health insurance. We don't want that ten years from now; we want it now.

Instead of the government volunteering to help us, we ask the people and experts from other environmental organizations to come to Mossville.

We also know that the refineries contaminated our air, our water, and land. But they don't know that we -- we didn't know at that time if something can be done. We learned that we have legal rights and the agencies that are supposed to stop the industry around us -- Mos sville is being dumped on, chemicals are dumped on us.

We know that we are not the only black community in the country that's being contaminated, and we are uniting with other communities in Louisiana and in the entire country in the fight for life of our people.

M.E.A.N. has written letters to agencies asking for help with the people on the environmental problem in our community. We have suggested workshops. ATSDR promised to stand by us but now it seems that the agencies pull out from us.

The ATSDR and LDHH sent out flyers two weeks ago saying they wanted to meet with us and listen to us. But when they came to our

> AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

community to hear, they wanted to divide us up into little small groups and take us into different rooms like little cattle or so mething. I don't understand that.

The point is that our people are dying, they are sick. We want help. Everything that M.E.A.N. wants is good. The health, the welfare of the people of our community. The people of our community needs help.

M.E.A.N. has also started a Bucket Brigade that provides who is poisoning us, and they have set up workshops already that we have united.

M.E.A.N. has also told us about the reports from the ATSDR and,
Ms. Pat Costner, who is right here --

MS. SHEPARD: Could you begin to wrap up?

MS. HARTMAN: Excuse me?

MS. SHEPAR D: Could you wrap up, please? Could you complete your statement?

MS. HARTMAN: Okay. All we want is help now for our community.

Another thing, the 28 people that they came out and tested, four have died already and ten are real, real sick, out of the ten people that have dioxin. We need help now; not ten years from now.

MS. SHEPARD: I understand that there will be a joint meeting between Mossville residents and the Solid Waste and Health and Research Committees tomorrow.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845 FAX (540) 678-4048 MS. HARTMAN: Okay. Thank you.

MS. SHEP ARD: Thank you. Pat Costner.

PRESENTATION BY MS. PAT COSTNER

GREENPEACE INTERNATIONAL

MS. COSTNER: I'm Pat Costner, senior scientist with Greenpeace International. I would like for my colleague, Damu Smith, to speak for 20 seconds, and I will take the remaining time.

MR. SMITH: Members of the Council, at the last NEJAC meeting there were several questions from many of you all about the dioxin exposure investigation conducted by the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. At the November meeting, ATSDR had just released and finalized its exposure investigation.

Pat Costner and Greenpeace have done a critique of that exposure investigation, and that's what you're going to be hearing now and we hope that you will have questions about the scientific evidence on the dioxin crisis.

Thank you.

MS. COSTNER: First I would like to place the situation in Mossville in context. In a briefing on May 10th to the EPA senior man agement Dr. Bill Farland and Dr. Dwaine Winters presented a series of overheads in which they noted that USEPA estimates now that the cancerrisks of the general population of the U.S. from background dioxin exposure ranges

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.
NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO

(800) 929-0130

WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845 FAX (540) 678-4048

II-412

11-411

from one in a hundred to one in a thousand cancer deaths.

Now, in Mossville the 28 people who were tested were found to have levels of dioxin and PCBs in their blood that are on the average of three times higher than the so-called background level of the U.S. population. This means that their cancer risk is triple that of the general population.

Now, the dioxin levels found among these people in Mossville also show that their dioxin levels easily fall within the range of those body burdens of dioxins at which the adverse effects have been identified both in laboratory animals and in humans.

I believe that you have a copy of our paper. You can see a graph of that on Figure 3 on page 11.

What's unique -- another unique characteristic of the dioxins in the people in Mossville are that their profile, the relative concentrations of the dioxins that are in this population are quite different from those of the U.S. population at large.

This suggests that there is a unique -- or, there are one or more unique local sources of dioxin in the Mossville environment.

Likewise, the dioxin-like PCBs in the people in Mossville are about three times higher than in the general population. Again, the relative concentrations are different from those in the general population.

Again, this suggests that there are unique local sources of PC Bs in Mossville.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 6784845

FAX (540) 678-4048 Besides the blood samples, ATS DR also analyzed one breast milk sample -- only one. This breast milk sample contained dioxins and dioxin-like PCBs that are about 30 percent higher than the U.S. general average.

So this suggests that there is a possibility that infants born in Mossville are suffering extraordinary perinatal exposure to dioxins.

Two yard eggs were also analyzed. They were found to have dioxin levels that are about double the levels found in a supermarket egg from Kansas City. They are also five times higher than the dioxin levels in commercial eggs from California.

The soil levels of dioxin in people's yards in Mossville are about 17 times higher than the levels found in rural soils in the U.S., and about one and a half times the levels found in urban soils.

Now, based on these findings we would recommend -- and if you would look on page 22 of our report -- we would recommend that it is a priority that the sources of diox in and PCBs in the Mossville environment be identified and eliminated as guickly as possible.

Now, these sources are not only primary sources, as in the facilities, such as perhaps a vinyl chloride facility that is immediately across the road from many of these homes -- that these sources be identified and dealt with, but also that reservoir sources such as sediments and dumps that are also in the area, need also to be remediated.

I see that my time is now up. Thank you.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

19

20

21

MS. SHEPARD: Thank you. Charlotte Keys.

PRESENTATION BY MS. CHARLOTTE KEYS

JESUS PEOPLE AGAINST POLLUTION

MS. KEYS: I'll try to make it as short and sweet as possible.

I want to say that I am honored to some degree to speak on the issues of environmental and primary health care. But it also saddens me that in listening to all of the comments that have been made, it is my personal experience with local issues on public health from living and breathing the poisoned air, drinking and using the poisoned waters, and having government houses using HUD monies, housing of urban develop ment, the Farmer's Home Administration funds, building homes in close proximity to or on top of these dump sites, creating an environmental health crisis.

In my mind, all of this is related to the public's health. I believe that the only true remedy to a lot of these problems will be to bring all agency resources together. As I have listened over the years, many sites such as RCRA, Superfund, federal facilities brownfield sites, farm workers and pesticide sites, workplace hazards, and many others, are experiencing the same public health concerns.

When will these problems, these public health problems and concerns, be fully addressed? We understand that EPA is just one arm of many agencies. I personally would like to recommend that the NEJAC

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845 FAX (540) 678-4048 work to help enactor enforce existing policies that will make it mandatory for every agency that needs to be involved to foster partnerships with communities to develop corrective measures through a joint effort with any and all agency resources, such as DHHS, because there's so many different entities that come up under that Department of Health and Human Services, such as HRSA.

They need to be an integral part because I get tired of hearing that much sickness comes from just poverty. But as it stands, much of the sickness is coming from environmental polluting problems.

I do recommend that such agencies as DHHS, EPA, HUD, DOT, the Army Corps of Engineers, DOE, DOD, USDA, and all that I did not name, work together in helping to create solutions to a lot of these public health concerns through the use of the existing funds that they have and finding new funds if necessary for communities to receive clinics with environmental and primary health care services.

I also recommend that existing and new physicians, nurses, and other medical professionals go through toxic health training to become aware on how to service the needs of these environmental diseases as they relate to short-term and long-term exposure.

I also recommend that we stop talking about environmental diseases and begin to focus on addressing environmental primary

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845 FAX (540) 678-4048

II-416

II-415

health care needs through utilizing existing assessment and use the medical and financial support that you already have to address intervention and prevention through medical testing and medical referrals and prevent diseases through not using funds to place communities at risk close to poison sites and in workplaces that poison humans to death.

It is clear to me that we need to foster the right partnerships for communities and put dollars in communities to address health needs and not talk health needs, but service the health needs through handson services and treatment.

And last, but not least, I pray that we stop the poisoning and start the hands-on services and treatment through looking at preventing a continuation of polluting poisons inworkplaces, communities, and even around schools.

I would also like to invite you all that's here to a Southern Human Rights organizing conference that will be held here in Georgia. It's a grassroots preparatory conference to prepare folks for the United Nations World Conference Against Racism. I think it is important that we do our best in working together to build the right partnerships that will work to find just solutions to a lot of the public health issues.

MS. SHEPARD: Thank you. Ian Zabarte.

NATIONWIDE WA (800) 929-0130

AMERICAN REPORTERS,INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

PRESENTATION BY IAN ZABARTE WESTERN SHOSHONE GOVERNMENT

MR. ZABARTE: Good evening. I want to thank the Council for giving me this opportunity to speak this evening, and I thank you for your attention.

My name is Ian Zabarte. I'm the Secretary of State for the Western Shoshone Government, the Western Shoshone National Council, and I'm here tonight to speak to you about how to kill a nation by environmental racism in U.S. policy practiced by the United States Government, the Department of Justice and the Environmental Protection Agency.

The Western Shoshone have filed documents in the United States courts that present a concise analysis and critique of the so-called U.S. federal plenary power over Indians, raising sharply for the first time in the United States court proceedings a wholesale rejection of the structure and doctrine of U.S. federal trusteeship asserted over American Indians.

This is significant because it brings to light the outdated theory underlying this body of law which because it originated in an era of racial discrimination seriously offends contemporary values and is unacceptable in moral society.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

21

16

17

18

19

20

21

The government of the United States asserts that it has plenary power and trusteeship over the Western Shoshone. From the U.S. Government's perspective this means that they can wield unlimited administrative control over Western Shoshone people and our property. This policy is destroying Western Shoshone language, culture and

traditions. The United States Government maintains that the Western Shoshone territory was taken and that they have set aside monies to compensate the Western Shoshone people. However, at no time have the Western Shoshone relinquished title to our lands nor indicated a willingness to do so, and have steadfastly refused any payment of claims on the territory.

It is important that the general public come to understand that the foundation cases of U.S. federal Indian law are explicitly grounded in supremacy principles decreed by popes and kings in the 15th century. The cases which the United States uses to justify its policy are Johnson versus Mackintosh in 1823 which is based on Christian-heathen distinctions penalizing Indians for not believing in Christianity and the basis for the discovery doctrine. The second case, the Cherokee Nation versus Georgia. This case produced the so-called trust responsibility.

In 1855 Henry Wheaton, a reporter for the United States Supreme

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 Court, elaborated these concepts in this way, "The heathen nations of the other quarters of the globe were the lawful spoil and prey of their civilized conquerors. And as between the Christian powers themselves, the sovereign pontiff is the supreme arbiter of conflicting claims. It thus became a maxim of policy and of law that the rights of the native indians were subordinate to that of the first Christian discoverer."

This unjust posture of Christian right and might colors the United States Government's dealings with Native Americans to this day and is used to justify the ongoing theft of land and natural resources and the wasting away of the Western Shoshone Nation.

Now, what does this have to do with the environment? Through these processes the United States has detonated 924 nuclear weapons within Shoshone territory, over 100 in the atmosphere.

I had a copy of a New York Times article which brought some of the important facts of the National Cancer Institute's 1997 report of iodine-131 and exposure pathways -- 160 million Americans exposed. So this isn't just localized to us, but it happens in our territory. This is where it starts. And the United States is there as trespassers.

We have an existing environmental crisis in our territory from the 828 underground nuclear weapons. The radiation is in the groundwater. It is moving. We don't know where it's going or how long it's going to

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-420

11-419

take to get so-called off site, the place where the United States Department of Energy conducts its nuclear weapons testing, which is on our property and is trespassing.

Regardless, we have some important concerns, our people, our land, our responsibility, our duty. We have an existing environmental crisis that needs attention. A billion curies into the atmosphere from U.S. nuclear weapons testing

We have also been targeted for a proposed high-level nuclear waste repository at Yucca Mountain. We had an article in the New York Times two weeks ago on this. You may have seen this.

We are doing research collaboratively with researchers. This is our community approach to dealing with these problems. We're going to continue to move forward on this research and tomorrow I'll give you an in-depth presentation on that research, this collaborative research at the International Subcommittee.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

MR. TURRENTINE: Thank you.

MS. SHEPARD: Thank you. Michelle Xenos.

PRESENTATION BY MS. MICHELLE XENOS

SHUDAHAI NETWORK

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845 (800) 929-0130

FAX (540) 678-4048

MS. XENOS: Thank you very much. I want to talk about federal facilities. No, just kidding. I'm kidding.

(Laughter.)

MS. XENOS: I want to talk about something else for a little while. My name is Michelle, I'm from the Shudahai Network, and I appreciate the opportunity to speak again. Last night I was signed up by someone else. Tonight I just want to really -- I took the time to go to my community and show them the question that was posed, and so I do want to take the time to reflect on what I learned from asking my community these questions.

First of all, the question was not very easy for my community to understand. Number one, if youwant community input, I think language is very important, and how we use language. On this level we're not at the same place, language-wise as the community members. So that's just one factor.

There's just a few things. One of the things that I learned and I've been learning -- and this is not very practical, but this is something that maybe might help guide us more on a daily basis -- and that's that I was reminded immediately that everything has spirit. Human beings, animal life, plant life, rocks, water. Everything has life like we carry.

When what was brought to -- you know, when I started this

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

18

19

20

21

discussion and we started discussing health, then all of the sudden the discussion on health and the definition of health came up on how we define health because we're taking about our physical bodies and our physical impacts, environmental impacts.

Yet, there's mental health and there's spiritual health, and I really just wanted to make sure that that was something that we at least acknowledged, that our spiritual health and mental health is equally important and also manifest physical problems.

There's three other things. The second thing was that -- so, what affects one person -- like what's happening in one community, is related to what happens in all the other communities. So I can't isolate myself and my health from your health.

It might not seem like something in this context that makes sense, but the reality is that we are all connected to one another. My health is totally dependent on the health of my ecosystem. My health is also dependent on your health because we are relatives that way.

So I want to mention that when we sit here and we listen to each other's stories, that we probably are feeling quite impacted by each other's impacts as well.

The third thing was regarding the health studies because when I brought it up to the community members, then they said, well, do we

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 really want to become healthy people or do we just want to measure how unhealthy we are becoming?

That was the thing about it, it's like that was the first reaction: What do you mean research and health studies? We've done so much. There's so much already.

The first thing that one of the people said was that there's a lot of flaws in the health studies themselves, the methodologies and how they're done. There's imperfections in the health studies. And as community members, how do we trust the interpretation even of those health studies, much less how they're conducted?

So that was another factor, in that those health studies are only part of the picture because the first two points are not being taken into consideration: mental and spiritual.

The fourth thing that was brought up was that as long as we, as a society, are profit-driven, we will not be healthy. That's just the reality of that -- you know, all of the wealth that exists in the world is because of exploitation of this earth. The wealth --

(Applause.)

MS. XENOS: The wealth of this country exists because of the exploitation not only of this earth but of the certain peoples of this earth and the genocide of the Native people, the genocide of the Black

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-423

people. This is why wealth exists, and that the resources of the earth are extracted and bought and sold.

And so environmental protection and the agency that you guys are advising is up against it because you're up against protecting the very resource that creates profit. And that is why we are unhealthy today.

So those are the things that my community had to say. I just wanted to take the opportunity, and I thank you very much, very much.

MR. TURRENTINE: Thank you.

MS. SHEPARD: Thank you. I thank all of you.

I'll call the next set of presenters. David Baker, Dr. Deborah King, Delbert DuBois, Nan Fredland, Connie Tucker.

Natalie Levert, Edgar Moss, Usha Little.

Good evening. Go ahead, Mr. Baker.

PRESENTATION BY MR. DAVID BAKER COMMUNITY AGAINST POLLUTION

MR. BAKER: Good evening. Let methank the NEJAC Council for

MS. SHEPARD: We can't hear you.

MR. TURRENTINE: Pull the microphone closer, please.

MR. BAKER: Let me just thank the NEJAC Council for inviting, for allowing us to be here today. My name is David Baker and I represent

> AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO

FAX (540) 678-4048 CAP, Community Against Pollution, in Anniston, Alabama.

Just let me start off by saying that I'm going to be very brief and as soon as I finish -- and I would like for you to excuse me because I do have another meeting that I'm already late for.

I want to just thank you all for coming to Anniston the other day, and I'm hoping that you've seen with your own eyes and heard with your own ears the problems that we are having in Anniston.

I would like for you to do one other thing for Anniston, if there's nothing else that you can do. We have three and half million of PCBs that are buried right in the neighborhood of Anniston, Alabama. We also have a high industry, pipe shops, and other industries that have caused an assault on our city.

But I just wanted you to know that we have met with the EPA, we have had the EPA there. They've been working with us. They have come in to try to do the job that I think up until this point has been satisfactory to a degree. We have not gotten some results back, but we have gotten some results that we thought were in our favor.

Yesterday after you left, the judge of one of the litigation cases made it clear to Monsanto that he wanted them to go to the table to settle the problem there in Anniston.

(Applause.)

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

11-424

FAX (540) 678-4048

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

17

18

19

20

21

MR. BAKER: And he told them he wanted to have it by Friday. So your presence there yesterday was showing that it is a problem.

But we do still have the problem of three and a half million tons of dioxin PCBs buried in the community. And I have not backed off off of my position and I won't back off until it's done. I have asked the EPA and I have told the EPA, either move the people or move that mountain. And I believe that that mountain can be moved.

So I'm asking that you all, if nothing else and with your help, if they can get to the table. We do need a health clinic there in Anniston. I think you've seen it for yourselves.

We need more help in other areas, but the little help that we can get, we do appreciate it and I thank you again for inviting me here tonight to get a chance to speak to you and thank you all for coming to Anniston, Alabama.

(Applause.)

MS. SHEPARD: Next, would you please state your name. PRESENTATION BY MS. NATALIE McSWAIN LEVERETTE P.E.A.C.E.

MS. McSWAIN LEVERETTE: Hi, I'm Natalie McSwain Leverette, and I'm here -- this is my first time ever being in a setting like this, but upon this year a discovery was made in the community in which I grew

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 up. I'm from a community in Richton, Mississippi.

We just recently discovered some horrifying information that now nobody wants to admit existed. We discovered this because we are attending so many funerals, there are so many diseases - if you've seen this sheet (indicating) -- you can go from household to household and there's something there. Either lupus, leukemia, rashes that go away for a while with topical creams and come back, multiple myeloma, gout, kidney failure, and so on. I mean, from door to door.

We recently discovered -- after seeing all these illnesses, we decided to ask some questions. What could be causing these problems? We thought maybe the chemical companies because we have several. Leaf River, Georgia Pacific, Joselyn which is a Superfund site, and American Wood, and Delta Pine. Okay?

So we asked DOE for some help. We knew they had come in and did a characterization because they were looking at Richton for a site -as a site for a nuclear waste facility.

So we asked them, will you send us information? We get books about this thick (indicating). I guess that would probably deter a lot of people, but not us, because we were persistent.

Upon reading this information we discovered that there were wells with high levels of chloride, sodium, strontium boron. We knew that

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-428

11-427

these wells were in our community because we recognized the names that were mentioned, Thompson Creek, Hintonville, three miles outside of the Richton area, which would be us.

After finding this out, we attacked the chemical companies, but they said, no, we didn't do it. We asked DOE, did you put anything in here? No, we didn't do anything.

So, we said, well, there has to be a linkage. So the next thing we looked at was the water. We went further to try to find out if there was any other documentation.

We have a study that was done by Bentley for the United States Geological Survey and he mentioned this well, C-15. And he says there's a high level of chloride, high level of sodium, with high levels of strontium boron. Where is it coming from?

We asked them also to send us water reports that were collected These water reports, it confirms that we were the citizens that were on this well. For years we were allowed to drink this water. Nobody did a thing about it. We were a separate system. In this documentation you can see where C-15 periodically after years and years of testing constantly showed up with high levels of chloride, high levels of sodium.

But if you look at wells in the surrounding area, there are none. But we found out this year also that we were a separate system, which

> AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO

FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 meant that whatever we would get, the other community weren't.

Let me point out that the community in which I live is predominantly Black, and the state department which I went to said that you could tell that we were a separate system. So this was allowed to

There were also traces of arsenic in the water in '93. We went to the state department. They said, oh, no, that was a typo. This year they corrected their typo; they changed their documents.

Now we're still supposed to trust them to come out and test our water and to make sure that what we're drinking is safe to drink. We have not -- no one has come to test it. We asked the state, would you send someone to test our waters? They haven't came.

In the meantime, this well that we we're on has been sealed and they said they cannot go back in and test. Because we questioned, was there arsenic in that water? Arsenic. We have it. I have the report if you want to see it. We asked them, could you go back and test the water. Oh. no. it's been cemented over.

But today what I want to ask EPA environmental justice is to see if you all can come down and get that well opened up because we want to know, we want to know what we were drinking.

We also need health facilities. We need health care for these

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

18

19

20

21

people who doctors are still pondering what their ailments are; they're treating them for whatever they could possibly come up with a name for.

My son has been diagnosed, but I don't know what. And I've tried four different medications. The last one made him so sick he couldn't hardly get in and out of bed. My mom is suffering with gout, high blood pressure, eye problems, can't see.

I mean, we have numerous and we have nobody who is willing to come up and help us. Nobody is willing to come and see about our communities. And I'm just asking EPA if today I could get someone to say, yes, we'll come down and check out your community, we'll come down and see about your race, your community of people who are dying out daily.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. SHEPARD: Thank you. Next, please state your name.

PRESENTATION BY MS. NAN FREELAND

NORTH CAROLINA ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE NETWORK

MS. FREELAND: Myname is Nan Freeland. I represent the North Carolina Environmental Justice Network.

MS. SHEPARD: Pull it closer. Speak into the microphone.

MS. FREELAND: Nan Freeland. My name is Nan Freeland and

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 I'm here representing the North Carolina Environmental Justice Network. I was asked to speak on fish consumption advisories and basically how that ties into environmental justice.

In North Carolina fish advisories are posted, but rarely are they posted in areas where poor people and African-American people can see these. They usually are posted in areas where people are out with their boats and actually doing fishing. But the fish consumption advisories are rarely, if ever, posted where people just go to fish.

Fishing is not only a recreational pastime, it is a very important occupation for many people in the State of North Carolina, and I'm sure in other states too.

Because these fish advisories are not posted where children are going to play in the water -- and sometimes they're not even posted until after dead fish have been found.

My question would be how many people were actually fishing there before the dead fish were found, and what did they do with the fish? Did they eat it?

And these fish advisories and these streams and tributaries run through these communities and oftentimes, again, the advisories are placed on the lakes and not in the very communities where these people are working and living.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-432

II-431

Because of the bad situation with waterin North Carolina -- I mean, just about every lake at one time or another has had some type of fish advisory posted. We have had problems with fish, fish dying and not really knowing why they are dying. We've had pfisteria and other problems with the fish.

And then we have just had bad water, and bad water, and fish disappearing. I mean, I've heard people say that they've gone to a place where they used to fish, only find that there are no fish there. What happened to the fish? And if there are some surviving creatures, should they be in any way consumed? Should people fish and throw the fish back in?

When there are fish consumption advisories I think that they should be publicized. I think that people should know, that there should not just be a sign posted at recreation areas. These fish consumption advisories I think are important enough that they should be -- that people should know where they are in every waterway that it affects. So if somebody wants to fish under the bridge, they need to know if that fish is going to be consumable or not.

The water in North Carolina definitely needs to be cleaned up. People fish, again, like I said, recreational and they do for a living. Where the water comes from I think is really important and even for the

> AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO

FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 fish farms, the catfish farms, the other farms. I mean, there is a water issue and it is really tied into these fish consumption advisories.

And because no one really thinks about fish advisories -- I mean, that's just something that sort of slips beneath the cracks -- and the effect that it has on communities and the effect that it has on people who have been fishing all their lives. Like, again, I think it's important enough that there should be some way that these advisories are more than just posted, that people should be able to -- the people should be notified in communities and maybe in that whole watershed. I'm not sure, but I do know that there should be a way that people know if there is an area and if that fish should be consumed or not.

Again, people do fish a lot in the State of North Carolina, as they do in most states. You know, they may not play golf, but they'll go fishina.

(Laughter.)

MS. FREELAND: I just think that also communities and people need to have some type of insight and need to know what an advisory means. After you publicize the fact, then what does it mean, what is the fish consumption advisory? What does it mean to them and their communities?

People are really confused about that. They may see the fish

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

18

19

20

21

advisory for those who go to those areas to fish, but they may still choose to actually fish there.

My time is up.

(Applause.)

MS. SHEPARD: Thank you. Michel.

MR. GELOBTER: Just briefly. I know you're coming to our committee meeting tomorrow and I appreciate that. You are coming to our committee meeting tomorrow, right?

MS. FREELAND: Yes.

MR. GELOBTER: Okay. But we do have a working group that has been in starting for over a year now and we will be pushing to fully start it and get through some of the bureaucratic hurdles we had around its composition, and things like that, as well as perhaps join with the Indigenous Peoples Subcommittee to have a joint working group because many of those issues affect Indian Country as well. Thank you for your testimony.

MR. TURRENTINE: We have 45 minutes before we have to vacate this room, and we intend to hear all of the public, so members of the Council, that's it.

PRESENTATION BY MS. CONNIE TUCKER SOUTHERN ORGANIZING COMMITTEE FOR

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL JUSTICE

MS. TUCKER: Good evening. I'm Connie Tucker with the Southern Organizing Committee for Economic and Social Justice. I want to say that we really appreciate all you environmental justice activists up there with this hard job, this unending job. We're not confused about where your allegiances are.

I don't think I've ever spoken before the NEJAC more than five minutes so I'm going to read this and try to stay within my five minutes. but I would appreciate this because I've been coming here for so long that you allow me to make kind of an unwritten comment at the end of my statement.

On May 5th and 6th of this year representatives from 15 Region 4 communities attended a Region 4 dtizens training and community stakeholder forum. The three major goals of the forum were to educate citizens on the new structure of the NEJAC, engage stakeholders in a dialogue on public health issues affecting low income and people of color communities in Region 4 states and discuss policy recommendations for addressing public health issues.

A planning committee was established to identify stakeholder representatives to be invited to attend the forum, develop an agenda, and timeline for activities, establish pre-planning teleconferences and

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-436

11-435

a format.

Members of the Southern Centerfor Studies on Public Policy were selected to facilitate the pre-planning stakeholder forum and to draft a report to include public health priorities and concerns and policy recommendations.

By consensus of the planning committee, the stakeholder forum focused on a community-based public health model to elicit input from impacted community representatives and other stakeholders to include assessment, intervention and prevention.

The committee identified major issues for discussion and policy recommendations at the stakeholder forum. They include children's health, air and water pollution, Superfund/Brownfields and other sites, federal facilities and commercial agriculture.

The result of these efforts is a major document of policy recommendations on assessment, intervention and prevention. It is still in draft form and will be submitted to the NEJAC in final by the end of next week. The final report will include the names of planning committee members and forum participants.

We extend our thanks to the Region 4 EPA Environmental Justice Office for their assistance, the planning committee, Bob Holmes, representatives of AJAM from Region 4 impacted communities who

> AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO

FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 attended the forum, and to Charles Lee.

We learned some lessons and have some recommendations from this activity. We recommend that each hosting region for the NEJAC should fund environmental justice organizations to conduct forums at least three months before the NEJAC to allow enough time to complete a report of policy recommendations and to get approval of participating organizations prior to the NEJAC.

Adequate funding should be provided by the EPA for community participation and technical assistance. We realize that the NEJAC is mandated to include all stakeholders; however, industries and other private and governmental agencies have the resources to sit at the table, impacted communities do not.

Regions that host the NEJAC should, therefore, empower our communities to participate in the policy dialogue.

We request the NEJAC to develop a process to review and adopt policy recommendations contained in our report and others recommended in this public comment period.

That's the end of that particular report. I'd like to point out that you may notice that our turnout for this NEJAC in Region 4 is small compared to the previous meetings that were held here in Atlanta, the first NEJAC that was held here in Atlanta, as well as the interagency

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

WASHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

18

19

20

21

hearing that was held, mandated by the President's Executive Order.

There's a reason for that.

Each time we turned out large numbers of our network it was at a cost to us because it is very expensive to have people travel here and

stay in these expensive hotels.

Two, we have come to realize that although we have made some major reforms to the NEJAC process, the bottom line is that too often the Environmental Protection Agency has to kowtow to state government and therefore we are shifting our major effort toward targeting state government for their lack of enforcement and

Tomorrow in the Waste and Facility Siting Subcommittee we will be giving a presentation on RCRA facilities. We are aware that RCRA facilities are tomorrow's Superfund sites and we are in a state of emergency as a result of that.

We hope that the NEJAC will begin to concentrate on the failure of EPA to give oversight to delegated state enforcement and compliance responsibilities.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. SHEPARD: Thank you, Connie. Next, please, state your

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

compliance.

WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845 FAX (540) 678-4048 name.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

PRESENTATION BY MR. EDGAR E. MOSS

McINTOSH ENVIRONMENTAL AND ECONOMIC TASKFORCE, INC.

MR. MOSS: Hello, everybody. I'm Edgar Moss, Vice President of the McIntosh Environmental and Economic Justice Taskforce. I am retired from Ciba Geigy Chemical Corp.

McIntosh is located in Washington County, Alabama where Ciba Geigy and Olin Chemical companies are located fenceline to the African-American communities, and a Native American community, a short distance away. Although our communities are located a short distance from the city hal, we are not included within the city limits.

Ciba Geigy produces pesticide agricultural chemicals and chemicals that cause cancer and developmental problems in children. Olin produces caustic soda, chlorine. The companies have contaminated the basin of the Tom Bigbee River and created a Superfund site.

Acute cancer and other permanent diseases are prominent among workers at an early age. The community is sick and dying. Our children suffer from reduced learning, learning disabilities.

Although our community workers have been exposed to deadly

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845 FAX (540) 678-4048

11-440

11-439

chemicals since the 1950s, there has been no help intervention from the state or federal agencies.

In addition, our community is surrounded by railroad tracks which often block our exits and entrance to and from the communities.

We request the NEJAC to ensure and immediately help interventions in McIntosh and investigate our urgent needs for relocation.

Additionally, this Olin Chemical plant, they produce 200 tank cars of chlorine per day, and that's yards from the community which we're wanting 300 families relocated.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. SHEPARD: Thank you. Next, please state your name.

PRESENTATION BY MS. USHA LITTLE

NORTH AMERICAN ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COALITION

MS. LITTLE: Good evening. My name is Usha Little. I'm the Director of the Native American Environmental Protection Coalition.

MR. TURRENTINE: Speak into the --

MS. SHEPARD: A little closer.

MS. LITTLE: I'd like to thank you all, the committee members and participants, for having the patience to stay late and discuss these very

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.
IONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO

SHINGTON-METRO FAX (540) 678-4845 (540) 678-4048 important issues.

Our president started talking about the Gregory Canyon landfill yesterday and my colleague earlier, a representative of the Pechanga Indian Reservation talked earlier about this landfill and I'm here to give you more specifics about this project.

There are not enough people in this room that can talk about this project because the environmental impact report prepared by the proponent is 900 pages long. It's a one-foot long document and we already reviewed once last year and they came up with another version this year. And I understand just yesterday they're going to be coming again with another version.

This project has been going on for the last six or seven years. Let me tell you a little bit about what is the Gregory Canyon landfill. It is a project that would convert 1,770 acres of canyon land, part of the San Luis Rey River Watershed that replenishes the groundwater sources in southern California, San Diego County, which ultimately drains down to the Pacific Ocean within 20 miles of distance.

This is a habitat that includes diverse native plants and animal population. It's surrounded by six Indian Tribes within 15 miles of radius. They include, Pala, Pechanga, Pauma, Rincon, SanPasquale, and La Jolla.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

17

18

19

20

21

This dump site is basically -- is a disaster to Native American Tribes. It's a significant impact to the livelihood of minority population whose voices are weak, unheard, and our resources are limited technology and monetarily. We cannot continue to fight about this and review EIR again and again every year. And that apparently has been their strategy. It's to make us exhausted.

Where is Gregory Canyon landfill? The Gregory Canyon itself for Native American Tribes it's on the place where they worship, Medicine Mountain, what the people call Gregory Mountain these days. For the Luiseño people the mountain, they call it Chokla, which is the home of Taakwic, the most powerful and feared guardian spirit of the First People.

For centuries Medicine Rock and the entire mountain has been a place for a Native to get connected with their ancestors, religion and spiritual knowledge that has been passed down from generation to generation.

I am sorry, I didn't realize time goes by that fast when you are talking on such important issues here.

There are -- let me quickly wrap here -- 22 prehistoric and historic sites within this site, and there are nine vegetation communities which includes three sensitive vegetations within the California Environmental

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 Quality Act. That is, the engelman oak, rainbow manzanita and prostate spineflower. This project impacts 100 percent of the engelman

Traffic, it's going to be 1,410 additional trucks, eight-ton dump trucks on that road. Air is going to impact seven different reservations. It's in the flood zone. It's in the earthquake zone.

I am just really surprised how come this project has continued to proceed, and I request to this advisory council to advise to those who are going to be responsible for giving the permit, including director of the county environmental health office, Mr. Gary Urbick (phonetic), the San Diego Water Authority, the San Diego Water Quality Control Board, the Army Corps of Engineers. They all need advice from you all experts.

This project is a disaster environmentally, culturally, and desecration to the Native American people.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

MR. TURRENTINE: I just want to -- Connie Raines, are you still in the room?

MS. RAINES: Yes.

MR. TURRENTINE: Connie, Ms. -- is it Levot?

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845 FAX (540) 678-4048

11-444

11-443

MS. LEVERETTE: Leverette.

MR. TURRENTINE: Leverette. Connie, could you raise your hand. She asked a direct question about any EPA involvement in her community regarding the problems. So, Connie, can you get with her -- from Region 4 -- and talk with her and try to provide some kind of dialogue and get some movement on that, please?

I really -- I apologize for putting you on the spot but I think her question begs for an answer and I think we would be remiss if we walked away from this session without at least putting you all together.

PARTICIPANT: (Inaudible.)

MR. TURRENTINE: Thank you.

MS. SHEPARD: Okay, thank you very much. The next group of presenters --

MS. LITTLE: If I could just take a second, I wanted to introduce this video documentary to you all because there were so many Tribal leaders and community members that wanted to be here and they could not be here so they made this documentary where their voices are recorded.

Please take eight minutes of your time and review -- I have two copies and if you need, I can bring some more. Thanks.

MR. TURRENTINE: Thank you. We'll take that and we'll make

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTO (540) 678

 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

 WASHINGTON-METRO
 FAX

 (540) 678-4845
 (540) 678-4048

that a part of the official record of this meeting.

MS. SHEPARD: Tom.

MR. GOLDTOOTH: We did talk to them and both the chair of the Waste and Facility Siting Subcommittee and the Indigenous Peoples Subcommittee are going to work with them tomorrow, just to let you know.

MS. SHEPARD: Okay, thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. SHEPARD: All right. The next group is Hazel Johnson, Evelyn Elaine Yates, Sterling --

MS. MILLER-TRAVIS: Could you just review how many more people you have because we're operating from a totally different list than you have. To us it looks like we've just finished and your list definitely is not finished.

MS. SHEPARD: I've got 15.

MS. MILLER-TRAVIS: We have a very different list, so if we could

MR. TURRENTINE: Let's not take time to go over that now.

MS. MILLER-TRAVIS: No, we're just saying, Haywood, that we can't follow the conversation, we don't know who we're looking at, we don't know what the information is. We're lost at this point. And the

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

18

19

20

21

only person that has the information is Peggy.

MS. SHEPARD: A number of people have been -- who spoke last night -- the list at the end.

Hello, Hazel. Again, Hazel Johnson, Evelyn Elaine Yates, Sterling Gologergen, Gilbert Sanchez, Doris Bradshaw, Kenneth Bradshaw, Elodia Blanco, MaVynne Oshum Betsch, Jeannie Economas, Marvin Crafter, Mark Mitchell, Bill Burns, Elizabeth Crowe, Jeannie Economas -- oh. she's on twice -- James MacDonald, and Damu Smith. And those are the last speakers.

Ms. Johnson, please begin.

PRESENTATION BY MS. HAZEL JOHNSON PEOPLE FOR COMMUNITY RECOVERY

MS. JOHNSON: Thank you all for taking this time out

MS. SHEPARD: Speak into the microphone, Hazel.

MS. JOHNSON: Thank you all for giving us this time to voice our concerns. I am the founder of People for Community Recovery and I'm also a former NEJAC member.

(Applause.)

PARTICIPANT: A survivor.

MS. JOHNSON: I come here today to seek help for my community, and I've been crying forover 20 years about my community.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 We have so much toxin in our community that I call it a toxic donut.

What I am requesting today is to do a health study. Not by no people from the state or the health department. What I'm talking about is training residents to knock on doors and get this information regarding the health problem that we have in our community.

The reason why I'm saying the residents in our community, then we'll know that the information that we receive is a true information because a lot of times we have been misled by government people. We don't trust them any longer.

The reason why I'm saying that because about the PCBs that we had in our community that we didn't get the true analysis of it. We had to get Northwestern University to come in and do the right analysis of what they found in our community.

Now we are having a class action suit against the public housing because they did not inform the residents of the conditions that were in our community to make the choice whether we want to live in that community or not to live in that community.

The young lady that had worked with me for ten years died this past March of liver problems.

We have a lot of people in my community that are on dialysis. We have three people that's in the hospital now; it's just a question of time.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-448

11-447

Some weeks we have eight people in our community that die. That's too many people. And I feel with all these chemicals that are in my community are a form of genocide of the black people.

And I am concerned about my people not just only in my community. This is happening all around the country. It's time for agencies to wake up and start doing something for these people because a lot of people in my community can't even afford medical, how expensive as medicine is today a lot of people cannot afford to pay for

It's time for someone to do -- we also have children that have skin problems that never heal. We have the pink eye. We have cancer. We have so many illnesses in my community.

If you have a pattern of something, then you know something is wrong somewhere. If you see one or two people have it, then youknow it might not be something serious; but if you have a lot of people with the same complaints, you know something is wrong there somewhere.

What I would like to also see is that someone come in and train our people on how to help the children that have high levels of lead, educate their parents where to look for the lead, how to clean itup, and what diet to put the children on to try to help reduce the level, the high level of lead.

> AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

The second part of this, I would like to see a lot of doctors and nurses being trained because a lot of people have been misdiagnosed because the doctors don't know about the environmental illnesses and we need to educate our doctors and nurses on this. This is a serious problem that we're having throughout this country and something needs to be done.

I thank you very much.

(Applause.)

MS. SHEPARD: Thank you, Hazel. Dr. Mitchell.

PRESENTATION BY DR. MARK MITCHELL

CONNECTICUT COALITION FOR ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE

DR. MITCHELL: I'm Dr. Mark Mitchell with the Connecticut Coalition for Environmental Justice and I also do some consulting to community groups on training on how to do door-to-door health assessments and also interpretation of --

MS. SHEPARD: Could you speak a little louder, please.

DR. MITCHELL: I'm sorry. I'm Dr. Mark Mitchell with the Connecticut Coalition for Environmental Justice and we do -- I also consult to community groups on regarding providing training on how to do door-to-door health assessments and also interpretation of health data.

> AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

18

19

20

21

I wanted to answer some of the questions that were asked originally about priorities in research that we would recommend.

We've done some community-based, community-driven research that's actually been funded by EPA on behalf of ONE/CHANE Incorporated, and we have some preliminary analysis.

As I mentioned previously, we now have the highest documented rate of asthma in the United States based on a study done by the Connecticut Children's Medical Center, where 41 percent of our children have asthma out of the first 7,500 that have been studied.

We believe that it's important to look at these high rates of asthma and the relationship to air toxins and even, for example, look at the relationship between nickel in the air and chromium-6.

We are concerned about trash and sewage sludge, incineration, and we're very concerned that trash and sewage sludge is not part of the Toxic Release Inventory. Even thoughin our community it accounts for more than 2,000 tons of air toxins per year, it doesn't show up in the Toxic Release Inventory.

We are also concerned that we found a new kind of condition -we've documented it -- that we're in the process of documenting a new kind of condition that affects 22 percent of people in Hartford, but also it seems to be common around the country in urban areas. We call it

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 chronic recurrent respiratory ailment.

These are respiratory illnesses that last several months, like minor colds that last several months, but that aren't really asthma, that aren't associated with allergies, and really haven't been described in the literature.

We're very concerned about this type of illness and if I had the time, I would like to ask how many people have had this kind of condition because -- how many people have had the condition?

Okay, this condition is not described in the literature. We believe that it's associated with the environment. Our studies show that this condition is not evenly distributed throughout the city; it doesn't have the same distribution as asthma. It looks like it's more concentrated in the areas of higher rates of air pollution and maybe traffic. We're not sure. But we believe that this needs to be looked into.

Hartford had a fire in our sewage sludge incinerator and we're concerned about the dioxin and parasites which were produced in the air, that we measured in the air. But we know that people got asthma from this fire, and so we're very concerned about what might have caused asthma attacks from this fire -- or, the accumulation of asthma from this fire.

We believe that asthmais a two-step process, just like cancer, that

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-452

II-451

there's an initiator and a promoter, and we believe that toxins are the initiators and that other air pollutants and many other things -- allergens, dust mites, and cockroach parts -- can be promoters of asthma once it has started.

We are also looking at children that are attending schools on contaminated sites. There tends to be more -- it looks like there's more and more of a trend toward locating schools on contaminated sites. These children need to be studied because hopefully this won't continue very long and we won't have the opportunity to study these children right now. We believe that the environmental exposure in air and soil should be looked at.

We also believe that we should be looking at hormonal mimics such as PCBs and dioxin. Although PCBs and dioxin have been studied a lot and there's a lot known about PCBs and dioxin, but we believe that there are other hormone mimics and other immune modulators that should be looked at, and it should be studied, that may cause allergies and autoimmune conditions.

On strategies, to address this, we again talked about communitybased organizations having representation on the committees. We believe that there should be voluntary biomonitoring, that people's blood should be tested for chemicals, for known toxins, to see whether there

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 is a relationship between the increase in chemicals -- particularly in children -- and the association of disease.

We believe that there should be required reporting of results of studies to the community, and we believe that the approach that the FDA takes -- that is, that any new chemicals introduced into the environment should be proven safe before they're allowed to be introduced into the environment.

In summary, we believe that the focus should be on health outcomes rather than on engineering controls. We need to look at resolving environmentally related diseases such as asthma, lead poisoning, diabetes, cancer, allergies and low birth weight.

So we believe that these are the kinds of approaches that would be helpful to our communities in addressing environmental health issues.

Thank you.

MS. SHEPARD: Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. SHEPARD: Next, please state your name.

PRESENTATION BY MS. MaVYNNE OSHUN BETSCH

A.L. LEWIS HISTORICAL SOCIETY

MS. BETSCH: First the bad news, then some good news. Don't

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

20

21

you want to hear some good news tonight? Well, I'm going to give it to you. Just hang on.

The bad news is Jacksonville. The people were not able to show up tonight so I want this to be on the record, that the Forest Street incinerator, Fifth and Cleveland incinerator, and Lonnie C. Millist Senior Park, are three dump sites in Jacksonville, Florida that need to be addressed by EPA.

So, please, I want that to be in. I think you have it. Someone should have given it to you in your packet. This is Jacksonville, Florida.

I am MaVynne Betsch from American Beach, better known as the Beach Lady. And, folks, I am an environmental injustice survivor.

I think I would like to recommend that you have a special working group of people who have survived this injustice. Let me give you a rundown now

I'm a retired opera singer. I mean, who else would be just like this but someone from the theater. Come on.

(Laughter.)

MS. BETSCH: I've lived in London when they had coal-fired plants. Can you believe this? Here I am, graduating at the top of my class, singing in the opera Solome (phonetic), the most difficult opera there is. I have to dance. I have to sing, do the whole nine yards.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 We had to wear surgical masks, so polluted was the air there. I sang there for ten years. I was only 21 years old. After ten years of singing I went to London and that was my fatal mistake because the coal-fired plants there were even worse.

I came home breathing like this (indicating). Can you imagine with a voice that -- well, I hate to brag, but it was pretty spectacular. And here I am coming home with this.

I'm depressed beyond belief. The doctors are telling me not only will I never sing again, but I've got colon cancer, the whole nine yards.

I had a dream of my great grandfather, who founded American Beach back in 1935. He said, "Baby, don't give up. There's a way to do it. Remember what your mama used to say, eat those vegetables." And I read Dick Gregory's book. I became a strict vegetarian. Man, I'm so healthy it's frightening. I mean, I even -- to the point that I have my friends -- you'd be surprised, I speak at all the schools and I have them all eating natural foods.

So, the point I'm trying to make, there is a way to fight this. Even the dogs – a lot of people are allergic to the dip that they give to their dogs. They come to American Beach and let the dogs go in the salt water. That heals them.

So I'm thinking you should have record of people who have stood

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845 FAX (540) 678-4048

11-456

11-455

up to this horror and have survived, even to the point of the fact that you know how black folks love all that pork and their meat -- pork in their greens and everything. I've even found a substitute for that. Guess what it is? Peanuts.

Think about it. It tastes just like pork. It does. It does.

(Laughter.)

MS. BETSCH: So, anyway, the point I'm trying to make is there is a way to survive this. And even AIDS. You all are not talking about AIDS.

I have a friend here in Atlanta, Georgia, Dr. Andoh, his telephone number is 404-767-4786. This man is the world's authority on the botanical world of Africa. He's found the cure for AIDS. Trust me. It's in the rain forests. The little pygmies know where it is. In fact, I danced -- there's a record I'll call Deep Forest -- ten percent goes to help the pygmies.

The pharmaceutical companies want to go to the rain forest, get the pygmies out, and get to the trees. Dr. Andoh, he lives right here in Atlanta, call him. He has found out that AIDS immediately takes effect in places that have been polluted.

And listen to this now because you need to listen to a lot of folklore. In Jamaica they deliberately let the mosquitoes bite them

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO

N-METRO FAX 3-4845 (540) 678-4048 because they say if you survive malaria, the body is ready to fight AIDS.

So what I'm saying is, listen to some of these old wive's tales and folklore and maybe it will work.

My grandmother was a root lady. And, of course, a lot of black people, when organizations come to tell them, they are white and they don't talk to them in a way -- they tell them, you know, you should eat tofu -- that's white folks food. I won't eat no tofu.

So when I talk to them, they see me with all this seven feet of hair, you know, and I say, "Listen, baby, now do you realize those chickens don't do it?" They say, "What you talking about they don't do it?" I say, "There's no sex."

MS. SHEPARD: Well, thank you. Please wrap up.

MS. BETSCH: Well, it's only one minute.

So anyway, the point is you have to talk to them on their level, understand the cultural thing that they're coming from. So when I tell them about the chickens and the fact that they're not doing it, one man said, "Well, that's it, I'm not going to eat another egg if they aren't even doing it."

Plus the fact, with milk, if you tell black people that you're going in with this very sophisticated and all your statistics, oh, lactose intolerance. I mean, this is going to turn them off. I say, "Listen, baby,

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

19

20

21

do you know they're giving those cows stuff so that that thingis hanging down to the ground?" They saying, "What? What you talking about?" I say, "That's rape."

That's rape. That's exactly what it is. They force those sperm into that cow to make her produce milk over and over again. And so I got the black people off of milk.

So my point is, use culture. Use folklore. Whatever. And use me. I'll gladly be an example for you. Who else is seven feet and a half? Babe, I feel so good it's a sin.

MS. SHEPARD: Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. SHEPARD: Damu Smith.

PRESENTATION BY MR. DAMU SMITH

GREENPEACE

MR. SMITH: Thank you very much. As most of you all know, tomorrow there will be a two-hour session, a joint session of the Health and Research Subcommittee and the Waste and Facility Siting Subcommittee focusing on the dioxin and health crisis in Mossville, Louisiana.

The reason I wanted to speak tonight, Mr. Chairman, is because I know that many members of the Council will not be able to make that

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE WASH (800) 929-0130

WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845 FAX (540) 678-4048 session, and I just wanted to give you just a little preview of some of the issues that we will be addressing with the community, representatives from Mossville, Louisiana tomorrow.

I want everybody to understand that while we will be focusing on the health and dioxin crisis in Mossville, we want the NEJAC to look at the policy implications growing out of the experience of the community of Mossville with the government agencies in dealing with this very serious health crisis which includes very severe dioxin contamination in that community because we have to look at other communities that are similarly situated and how their crisis would be dealt with.

I want you all to know that tomorrow we will, through documentation, demonstrate how serious the health crisis is in Mossville, how serious the dioxin crisis in Mossville. You've already heard from Pat Costner, the senior scientist from Grænpeace. We've critiqued the ATSDR dioxin study and we have presented our own findings and we will rehash those at the meeting tomorrow.

But more importantly, or as important as that information, is how the various government agencies, the state and federal agencies, have responded to a community -- and in this instance a black impoverished community -- crying out for help and doing everything possible to mobilize the resources of its community to compel federal and state

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845 FAX (540) 678-4048

11-460

11-459

agencies to take effective and meaningful action.

What we have seen in response to the community's efforts are a series of actions by federal and state agencies to frustrate the process that become mired in politics because the state officials in Louisiana are determined that they're going to restrict how they and the federal government is responding to the situation and they want to make sure that in doing that they do everything possible to discredit and undemine the work of Mossville Environmental Action Now, the organization that has been organizing and mobilizing their community for more than three years in trying to bring environmental justice to the people there.

So one of the things that we want the committee to look at tomorrow is a couple of things. One, how do you conduct health studies in communities like Mossville where you document a problem, and how do you do the follow-up. What is the most effective and meaningful follow-up.

The second thing is, we want to address the issue of the role of the federal and state agencies and what is the proper and effective role for federal agencies when state agencies fail to act and when state agencies actively do everything possible to frustrate the efforts of a community like those who are in Mossville.

The other thing that we will be doing tomorrow is releasing yet

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

 WASHINGTON-METRO
 FAX

 (540) 678-4845
 (540) 678-4048

another report on the Mossville, but also other communities in Calcasieu Parish where Mossville is. Mossville is one of many communities in that area that are severely polluted and very sick.

And so one of the things we will also show tomorrow through this new report is how bad the toxic exposure is for all of the people of Calcasieu Parish and the very severe health impacts that have come about as a result of the pollution coming from more than 52 polluting industries in that area.

I want to take this opportunity to thank the co-chairs of both of those committees for providing this time tomorrow to do this, and I want to thank the NEJAC for its continued support for the people of Mossville in addressing the dioxin crisis.

Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

MS. SHEPARD: Thank you, Damu. Please state your name.

PRESENTATION BY MS. ELIZABETH CROWE CHEMICAL WEAPONS WORKING GROUP

MS. CROWE: Thanks. My name is Elizabeth Crowe. And it's late, isn't it.

I wasn't intending on making comments again tonight until I realized this morning that there are some things missing in the

AMERICAN REPORTERS,INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

17 18

19

20

21

discussion about community-based health assessments and I actually haven't heard some of these things raised up yet.

I realize that for some of this I might be preaching to the choir, but I think it's important to get it into the record.

It's regarding assumptions made when we look at doing community-based health assessments and risk assessments, and that I've heard little measure of precautionary principle and no mention at all of alternatives assessment in shifting the burden of proof over to industry and the military, which is where it belongs. So just a few statements on that.

First of all, some assumptions I think need to be made as NEJAC, EPA, and agencies talk about developing a just -- that is, a justicebased community-basedhealth assessment, and that can't happen, that will not happen until these agencies -- EPA and other agencies -- stop presuming that chemicals are innocent until they're proven guilty.

It should be exactly the opposite. We should assume that these chemicals are going to do harm and make that assumption until the industries have proven otherwise.

Another point is that the absence of evidence of pollution of toxics of doing harm to our health does not equal the evidence of absence. If the information is not there, if there are scientific data gaps, we should

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 not assume by that that there is not harm. Just like I said before, we should just assume exactly the opposite, that chemicals that are unidentified can cause just as much harm, and it should be assumed so, as those for which we know the harm exists.

The precautionary principle uplifts both of those assumptions and then states that when science cannot fill the data gaps, even with the absence of evidence, we err on the side of precaution. That is, of protecting public health. A very simple concept.

This provides us a bridge from health assessments and science, to policy, which is often where the risk assessments come in. Former EPA Administrator Bill Ruckelshaus said about risk assessments that "they are like a captured spy; if you torture it enough, it will tell you whatever you want."

I think that's something that communities know full well about risk assessments; that the assumptions going in will very much dictate what comes out. Policymakers rely on risk assessments oftentimes the tool for what I believe is not much different than premeditated murder.

When you give a name -- all these communities that we heard tonight citing all the deaths in their communities, the only difference between a risk assessment which identifies one person in a million, one person in a thousand, one person in a hundred, one inten -- whatever

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-464

11-463

it is as being identified as the people who are acceptable of getting cancer or other effects -- the only difference to me between that and premeditated murder is that those people in the risk assessment are not named.

The people in these communities, all that you heard today, talked very eloquently about the deaths and the illnesses in their community It's not acceptable.

A far more protective model closely linked with a precautionary principle is the alternatives assessment, which if implemented says that if an action is too dangerous it will be unacceptable from a public health standpoint to a community that that assessment -- that you don't go along with trying to manage what risks there are. That is unacceptable to the community. That you find an alternative.

And without such an assessment, I believe, the EPA and other agencies provide little incentive for development and use of cleaner technologies and they actually allow -- the same insight we heard earlier today from the Deputy Administrator of EPA, that sitting on a dioxin health assessment for seven years while allowing facilities to continue polluting communities for seven years, where precaution could have been taken but it was not because we were waiting for guidance to come down from there - I don't buy the statement that EPA was

> AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 checking its fact and making sure that the document was scientifically credible.

To me that translates into seven years of having to get their stories straight so they didn't have to answer for the industry.

So those are the things I'd like to leave with you. Also the fact that again, you know, the whole issue of community health assessment is continuing to put the burden of proof on communities like Mossville and every other one here. These folks are dying. They should not have to be the ones to prove that they're being harmed.

The burden of proof should be on industry, the military and the government to prove before they take action that that action is not going to result in any harm.

My time is up. Thank you very much.

MS. SHEPARD: Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. SHEPARD: Mr. MacDonald.

PRESENTATION BY MR. JAMES MacDONALD

PITTSBURG UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

MR. MacDONALD: Hi. My name is Jim MacDonald. I'm a trustee with the Pittsburg Unified School District in California.

I know you received this flyer yesterday (indicating). It's one that

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

says "Distr Incorporated Some s public about is that there

says "Distributed by the Californians for Renewable Energy Incorporated."

Some strategies. First of all, I think we need to tell the truth to the public about what this committee is up against. The fact of the matter is that there have been decisions made in the EPA and above which basically makes impossible environment justice.

While I've heard a lot of good things said, most of these programs and suggestions which are being made can be easily bypassed by industry simply by saying that there is no impact from the industry, and thus, no studies need to be done.

I find this fairly peculiar. Even the EPA seems to say that this is a credible finding, that if in fact you believe that there is no impact to the community, then you don't have to do the studies to find out if there is impact to the community.

Again, this is the type of double-talk that I continually go through.

I hope that this committee isn't mislead. Well, first of all, I want to say that it is extremely important, the work that groups are doing as far as trying to find out the cause and cure of diseases. It has very little to do -- and we saw a great pony and horse show from EPA about everything that they're doing to find all these problems -- but that is not really a manifestation of environmental justice.

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO (800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 This would have been going on whether or not environmental justice was an issue. Wealthy people get sick from these diseases too and these types of studies would be done.

They are trying to sidetrack you -- what she was telling you in this community-based stuff, they want you to stay with this argument of cause and effect. This is something that they can argue for years.

You only have tolook at the tobacco industry. The only reason the tobacco industry got hit was because of insiders giving you the information and their own industry saying, you know what, my friends are lying to us. Okay? If it wasn't for that, they'd still be arguing that cigarettes have no effect

So what you need to be concerned about is what environmental justice is. It's a civil rights matter. Everybody has the right to breath the same quality of air.

I was in Washington, D.C. and we heard the testimony about basically discrimination, how African-American were segregated, industries were put into those communities. This is the exact same mechanism that caused public education to be segregated. Okay? It's the exact same argument. It's discrimination, it's racism.

The zoning practices of today came from racism and discrimination. Okay? It's the exact same argument for why we

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-468

11-467

desegregated public education. The issue needs to be addressed in that fashion.

Secondly, thirdly or fourthly, who is to blame? Well, I'm an elected official and when I got advice on how to get elected the first I was told was, don't waste your time in low income and minority communities because they don't vote. And don't waste a lot of time in the working class because you don't have a president or a governor on the ballot so they're probably not going to show up. So, out of a community of 60,000 people, Mr. MacDonald, you basically have to get to 4,000 of them and convince them to vote for you.

Okay? Eight thousand people out of a community of 60,000 voted. I won by three votes.

Most of the people in this room don't even know -- I'm sure -- don't even know who their school board people are, have no idea. I was guilty of it. Okay?

This is the problem. Minority – the people run this country. We are the government. I hear people say -- and, yes, the EPA is at fault, yes, these other agencies are at fault. But I'll you what, they are doing -- they are doing exactly what the people who -- the officials that were elected, the people who voted those people in, tdd them to do.

Because you people are sitting back there moaning and groaning

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

 AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

 WASHINGTON-METRO
 FAX

 (540) 678-4845
 (540) 678-4048

and complaining about this body not doing anything when in reality it's your school board and what's called the Carl Perkins Act -- I know you probably don't know about this, it's a federal grant. You know what my job is under that? My job is at sixth grade I'm supposed to say, oh, your child is going to vocational school; I think your child -- yeah, I think your child could go to college; no, your child is going to vocational school; your child is going to go to college. Okay?

That's not my job, my job, as far as I'm concerned. And then, you know, I'm up here talking to you, your industry is telling me about me coming to things like this -- they go, what the hell are you doing, Mr. MacDonald? Your job is to train those kids to be skilled workers or to do what labor needs, what the employer needs.

Okay? That's my job as far as the majority of industry is concerned. My job is to train these kids to be happy individuals -- you know, this thing about regardless of the good grades or bad grades, everybody gets rewarded for being losers. Okay.

MS. SHEPARD: Could you --

MR. MacDONALD: Okay. So they're happy, so they're happy about getting low income jobs and the whole bit.

All I've got to say is, Caucasians aren't the only ones that fall victim to greed. Don't listen to what your politicians tell you; go down

AMERICAN REPORTERS,INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

19

20

21

NATIONWIDE

(800) 929-0130

to your city council -- your city council's got more control over what happens than most of you can understand. Most of your city councils are controlled by big business and commercial interests and you need to see how they vote. And when they come to you and ask you for their vote, don't look at how many signs they've got up there; ask them this one question: When you get elected, are you going to put a resolution in --

MS. SHEPARD: Please wrap up.

MR. MacDONALD: -- front of the city council that any new industry or any new pollution source that comes to your community must do an environmental justice impact study? And don't say "I'll work on it," or "I'll think about it." If you get a yes, fine. If he says no, don't vote for him.

MS. SHEPARD: Okay, thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. SHEPARD: Last but not least, Donnel Wilkins.

PRESENTATION BY MS. DONNEL WILKINS

DETROITERS WORKING FOR ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE and

MICHIGAN ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COALITION

MS. WILKINS: I get to say good night, the last word.

I'm Donnel Wilkins. I represent Detroiters Working for

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130

WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 Environmental Justice out of Detroit, Michigan. I'm also here on behalf of the Michigan Environmental Justice Coalition.

I have to say at the outset that I'm humbled by all of the comments that were made previous to me and I appreciate the position that I'm in tonight in having the last word. I would also like to thank you for allowing me to come and speak, realizing that my name was not on the list. But I appreciate your bringing that to closure.

I'm here today because I'm also humbled by the EPA's mission, which includes an assurance to protect human health. And I'm here to ask the question: Ain't I human?

More importantly, I'm here to ask the question about Shantel. Was she human?

Shantel, a 15 year old, recently applied for a summer job, had a great future in front of her. Was offered the job, and the day that she was supposed to start her new job the agency received a call to inform us that she had died because of an asthma attack.

Now, there are many, many other stories like that across the country and even in my own community and I won't belabor that today.

What I'd like to do, appreciating the amount of time that I have left, is begin to offer up what I believe and what the people in my community believe are strategies for addressing the issues that are before us. This

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

11-472

II-471

is a tremendous issue that I know you all and I don't take lightly.

I certainly believe that we need a common sense approach to addressing the health impacts and issues in our community. And we need to embrace and utilize the tools that we have within our own hands. So I submit the following strategies.

I believe that there should be a remedial education project designed and developed with agency representatives from federal, state, and local communities with them in mind, involving community representation in the design of that educational project, because I believe, like Ms. Crowe said, that there is an assumption that prevails in our community, one, that community members don't understand the issues that they face, and, two, that there is a disregard for the inherent wisdom and knowledge that they possess.

I really believe that agency people really need to engage in a process to understand the issues they learned from people who are impacted by it. The community involvement is an absolute must.

I believe before we are able to come up with the appropriate strategies that there needs to be a moratorium placed on new permitted sites until we find out that the community can bear it.

I believe that we also need to enforce existing laws. If people in our community want the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality,

> AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048 the Detroit Department of Environmental Affairs, to enforce what is already on the books, health must be placed first, not last.

I also believe that there needs to be a reversal of the trend that places the burden of proof on the community to prove that health disparities exist and that there are linkages.

One quick example is our recent fight against a hospital that had a medical waste incinerator operating out of compliance. First, the idea that a hospital could do such a thing is shocking. But, secondly, to learn that the highest rates of asthma surrounded this facility, this hospital, was an amazing discovery.

We were successful in defeating that, in closing that facility down But it's really important to understand that the community had to prove -- nothing was absolute -- we had to prove that the health of our community was somehow linked to what was coming out of the stack of this hospital incinerator.

I don't pretend to be a scientist nor a researcher, but I do believe that we need to address what is already existing in agencies and research the tools and resources that exist and encourage the continuance of interagency interaction between those entities.

I believe that the answers that we're looking for already exist and there needs to be a push and a demand from this body to dig deeper

> AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC. WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845

FAX (540) 678-4048

19

20

21

into making certain that agencies are speaking with each other, sharing resources, and are talking to one another.

I find it very interesting, even on a local level, how our local health department has no idea about the issues of environmental health and their associations with what's in our communities.

And I believe that education needs to occur right at that very level.

There also needs to be a tool to address the cumulative impacts. Discontinue the discussions about it and just get with it. We need to understand the cumulative impacts and its burden on our health and our communities.

I'm going to leave you with that and ask the question: Ain't I human too? And I say good night.

(Applause.)

MS. SHEPARD: Thank you very much.

The Council is going to be in recess until Friday morning. We'll be in subcommittees tomorrow.

MR. COLE: Madam Vice Chair, I'd like to congratulate you on your first evening, your fair but firm hand on the gavel, and my colleagues, all 21 of us who stayed until the bitter end.

(Applause.)

(Whereupon, at 11:15 p.m., the meeting in the above-entitled

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.

NATIONWIDE (800) 929-0130 WASHINGTON-METRO (540) 678-4845 FAX (540) 678-4048 matter, was adjourned, to reconvene on Friday, May 26, 2000.)

AMERICAN REPORTERS, INC.
NATIONWIDE WASHINGTON-METRO
(800) 929-0130 (540) 678-4845