

VMEP--A Key Facet in the Continuing Evolution of Mobile Source Regulation

- Credit generation is from *voluntary* effort, which may be seasonal or episodic
- Means of quantifying the benefit must be reliable and defensible
- Must be accompanied by any necessary adjustments for compliance and/or programmatic uncertainty



Criteria Pollutant Emission Reduction Credits for AFVs

- Many air quality non-attainment and maintenance areas still need to find new emission reductions for implementation plans under *existing* standards
- EPA's VMEP program has been very helpful in this quest for new credits, but time is fast approaching that VMEP-based reductions need to be locked in
- AFVs have thus far provided consistent, durable, reliable, and defensible reductions in this program



- Extremely low NMHC emissions, especially with NGV, LPG, and electrics, and gaseous fuels generate virtually no evaporative hydrocarbons
- No morning cold starts mean less VOC added to the precursor "soup"
- NOx can be lower than for diesel-powered counterparts
- Fleet operations are often densest close to the urban center, where NMHC reductions are of greatest value





Background Of EPA/OTAQ Interaction with Clean Cities under VMEP Rubric

- Why has EPA been involved with AFV initiatives under EPACT?
- Clear that certain AFVs are environmentally friendly
- EPA interested in encouraging use of AFVs
- A mechanism EPA can utilize to encourage use of AFVs is giving SIP credit for AFV usage





The Need for an Estimation Tool

- Alternative Fuel Vehicle emission credits are relatively small on a per vehicle basis
- Currently there are low numbers of operating alt fuel vehicles
- State and Regional Air Quality staff are very busy with multiple programs
- Thus, need to minimize the effort needed to calculate emission benefits



The Assist



- In order to minimize the effort in calculating emission benefits, EPA and DOE have developed a userfriendly emission software tool (in Visual Basic run off a standard PC) to calculate emission benefits with a minimal amount of data input
- Based on existing EPA data



- Certified by EPA/OTAQ in 2000 for application to VMEP and SIP emission reduction calculations attributable to onroad AFVs
- Approved by DOT for estimating program effectiveness in CMAQ grant applications
- Over 1,000 users and other interested parties have downloaded the tool from its web site over the past year and a half



Context Contex - 🗆 🗙 File Help Distribution Version 3.15 Updated 01Aug2001 ATCRED for **ALTERNATIVE** FUEL VEHICLES ISPORTAT Web browser software for determining alternative fuel vehicle emissions credits and benefits. Click Here to run the Ozone SEPA United States Environmental Protection Agency Season Credit Version Click Here to run the Winter CO Credit Version Copyright (C) 2001 Argonne National Laboratory Session Date/Time: 5/9/2002 11:05:44 AM

http://appserver.es.anl.gov/aircred.html

Clean Cities Have Embarked on a Long-term Commitment to AFVs, but Coalitions Have Had Few Tools Available to Track Relevant Benefits

- Interest is shifting increasingly to heavy-duty AF vehicle acquisitions, especially buses (motivated by concerns about fine PM exposure)
- Evidence that buses and heavy trucks powered by natural gas surpass counterpart diesels in NOx reduction capability per unit of travel distance
- MOBILE6 calculation does not show this to be the case either today or in the future; thus, Clean Cities in ozone non-attainment areas will be denied ability to demonstrate net heavy-duty AFV benefits if they apply only regulatory tools to inventory estimates



CONCLUSIONS/OBSERVATIONS

- Mobile source emissions estimation at a fine grain is still needed because most measures we define as voluntary produce only small additional reductions
- Mobile source emissions assessment tools usable by the AFV fleet owner/manager are regularly requested
- Voluntary efforts like Clean Cities need a boost from consistent, reliable quantification on an ongoing basis of the benefits they provide
- Because some portion of each fleet turns over annually, only regular updating of benefit estimates with current data can meet this need; today's regulatory models are not structured to do that