

**MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
 WATER RESOURCES DIVISION
 HUMAN & WILDLIFE TOXICITY SUMMARY**

Chemical Name: 1,1,1-Trichloroethane
 Derived By: D. Bush
 Reviewed By: Sharon Briggs

CAS No.: 71-55-6
 Literature Review Date: 7/18/12
 Verification Date: 8/9/2012

	Drinking Water	Nondrinking Water
Surface Water		
HNV (Tier 1)	<u>62,000 ug/L</u>	<u>1,300,000 ug/L</u>
HCV (Tier 1)	<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>
Screening Level	<u></u>	<u></u>

Ground Water

GW Noncancer

GW Cancer

HUMAN HEALTH INTERMEDIATE VALUES:

ADE (RfD)	<u>2.295 mg/kg/d</u>
POTENCY	<u></u>
HH-BAF-TL ₃	<u>4.1 L/kg</u>
HH-BAF-TL ₄	<u>6.2 L/kg</u>

WV	<u>NA</u>
WV-BAF-TL ₃	<u></u>
WV-BAF-TL ₄	<u></u>

Comments:

**MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
WATER RESOURCES DIVISION
HUMAN NONCANCER VALUE WORKSHEET**

Chemical Name: 1,1,1-Trichloroethane
 Developed By: D. Bush
 Reviewed By: Shannon Brigg

CAS No.: 71-55-6
 Literature Search Date: 7/18/2012
 Verification Date: 8/9/2012

Key Study: NTP (2000) exposed male and female mice to 1,1,1-trichloroethane via the diet for 90 days. A NOAEL and LOAEL (> 10% body weight decrease) occurred in male and female mice exposed to dietary concentrations of 10,000 ppm and 20,000 ppm, respectively. NTP (2000) calculated NOAEL doses of 1,770 mg/kg and 2,820 mg/kg for male and female mice, respectively. Since it could not be determined if one sex was more sensitive than the other, the arithmetic mean of 1,770 mg/kg and 2,820 mg/kg was used to derive the ADE.

ADE = 2.295 mg/kg/d

$$\text{ADE} = \frac{2,295 \text{ mg/kg/d}}{1,000}$$

Where UF = 10x for each intraspecies and interspecies extrapolation. An additional 10x was used to account for subchronic-to-chronic extrapolation and concern for neurological effects.

drinking water
 HNV =
$$\frac{(2.295 \text{ mg/kg/d}) \times (70 \text{ kg}) \times (0.8)}{(2 \text{ L/d}) + (0.0036 \text{ kg/d} \times 4.1 \text{ L/kg}) + (0.0114 \text{ kg/d} \times 6.2 \text{ L/kg})} = 61,627.28 \text{ ug/L}$$

HNV for drinking water = 62,000 ug/L

non-drinking water
 HNV =
$$\frac{(2.295 \text{ mg/kg/d}) \times (70 \text{ kg}) \times (0.8)}{(0.01 \text{ L/d}) + (0.0036 \text{ kg/d} \times 4.1 \text{ L/kg}) + (0.0114 \text{ kg/d} \times 6.2 \text{ L/kg})} = 1,346,605.1970 \text{ ug/L}$$

HNV for non-drinking water = 1,300,000 ug/L

**MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
WATER RESOURCES DIVISION
BIOACCUMULATION FACTOR WORKSHEET**

Chemical Name: 1,1,1-Trichloroethane
 BAF Derived By: D. Bush
 BAF Reviewed By: Shannon Briggs

CAS No. 71-55-6
 Literature Review Date: 7/18/2012
 Verification Date: 8/9/2012

HH-BAF-TL.3: 4.1 L/kg
 HH-BAF-TL.4: 6.2 L/kg

WL-BAF-TL.3: _____
 WL-BAF-TL.4: _____

I. FIELD BAFs, BSAFs, or LABORATORY BCFs

Ref #	BAF, BSAF, or BCF	Value	Species	Exposure Duration (days)	Tissue Type	Tissue Lipid (%)	Steady State Tissue Conc. ng/g	Water or Sed. (BSAF) Conc. µg/L
1.)	BCF	9	bluegill sunfish	28	whole body	4.8%	N/A	73.4

Final BAF: Even though the BCF is based on a measure of radioactivity, it is used in this assessment
Justification: because it is the only measured BCF available.

II. LOG Kow VALUES

Ref #	Meas./Calc. Log Kow	Method	Value	Meas./Calc. Log Kow	Method	Value
2.)	Measured	Shake-flask	2.47			
3.)	Measured	Shake-flask	2.49			
4.)	Calculated	CLOGP	2.48			

Final Log Kow: 2.48
Justification: The final Log Kow is the geometric mean of the two measured values.

Food Chain Multipliers
 FCM-TL.3: 1.0098
 FCM-TL.4: 1.0019

**MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
WATER RESOURCES DIVISION
BIOACCUMULATION FACTOR CALCULATIONS**

Assessment/Calculations:

$$\text{Baseline BAF} = (\text{FCM})[(\text{BCF}/F_{fd}) - 1](1/F_i)$$

$$\text{Baseline BAF}_{TL3} = 1.0098[(9/1) - 1](1/0.048) = 168.30$$

$$\text{Baseline BAF}_{TL4} = 1.00192[(9/1) - 1](1/0.048) = 166.99$$

$$f_{fd \text{ ambient}} = 1/[1 + (2.4 \times 10^{-7})(10^{\log K_{ow}})]$$

$$f_{fd \text{ ambient}} = 1/[1 + (2.4 \times 10^{-7})(10^{2.48})]$$

$$f_{fd \text{ ambient}} = 0.9999275$$

Note: $F_{fd} = 1$ because $\log K_{ow} < 4.00$

$$\text{HH BAF}_{TL3} = [(\text{Baseline BAF}_{TL3})(0.0182) + 1](f_{fd \text{ ambient}})$$

$$\text{HH BAF}_{TL3} = [(168.30)(0.0182) + 1](0.9999275)$$

$$\text{HH BAF}_{TL3} = 4.1$$

$$\text{HH BAF}_{TL4} = [(\text{Baseline BAF}_{TL4})(0.031) + 1](f_{fd \text{ ambient}})$$

$$\text{HH BAF}_{TL4} = [(166.99)(0.031) + 1](0.9999275)$$

$$\text{HH BAF}_{TL4} = 6.2$$

References:

- 1.) Barrows, M.E., S.R. Petrocelli, K.J. Macek, and J.J. Carroll. 1980. Bioconcentration and elimination of selected water pollutants by bluegill sunfish (*Lepomis macrochirus*). In: Dynamics, Exposure, and Hazard Assessment of Toxic Chemicals. R. Haque, ed. Ann Arbor, MI: Ann Arbor Science. 379-92.
- 2.) Banerjee, S., S.H. Yalkowsky, and S.C. Valvani. 1980. Water solubility and octanol/water partition coefficients of organics: limitations of the solubility-partition coefficient correlation. Environ. Sci. Technol. 14(10):1227-1229.
- 3.) Hansch, C. and A. Leo. 1979. Substituent Constants for Correlation Analysis in Chemistry and Biology. John Wiley and Sons, N.Y.
- 4.) USEPA. 1997. ASTER Ecotoxicity Profile, ERL-Duluth.