

Fact Sheet Date: June 1998

**NEW YORK STATE
- AQUATIC FACT SHEET -**

**Ambient Water Quality Value
For Protection of Aquatic Life**

SUBSTANCE: Naphthalene

CAS REGISTRY NUMBER: 91-20-3

TYPE:	BASIS:	Ambient Water Quality Value, ug/L	
		FRESHWATER	SALTWATER
Chronic	Propagation	13	16
Acute	Survival	110	140

INTRODUCTION

These values apply to the water column and are derived to protect aquatic life from the effects of waterborne contaminants. Values for the protection of propagation of aquatic life are referred to as Aquatic (Chronic) or A(C) values. Values for the protection of survival of aquatic life are referred to as Aquatic (Acute) or A(A) values.

SUMMARY OF INFORMATION

The U.S. EPA AQUIRE (AQUatic toxicity Information Retrieval System)(U.S. EPA, 1993) was searched for toxicity data on naphthalene with EC₅₀ or LC₅₀ toxicity endpoints. The initial search identified 120 toxicity test records. From that original group, only data with a documentation code of C (Complete methods and results documentation), and 96 hour LC₅₀ or 48 hour EC₅₀ endpoints were selected. If both flow-through and static test toxicity data were available for the same species, only flow-through data was used. Static toxicity test data was used only if flow-through data was not available. This second screening resulted in a final acute toxicity database for naphthalene of seven studies with four freshwater species and six studies with seven marine species. Species Mean Acute Values (SMAVs) were determined from the acceptable naphthalene toxicity studies obtained from the AQUIRE database. Ambient water quality guidance values were then calculated in accordance with 6NYCRR Part 706.1.

DERIVATION OF ACUTE VALUES

As shown in Table 1, data are available for four of the eight families necessary to derive a Tier 1 freshwater value. Consequently, the data from the four families are used to derive a Tier 2 freshwater value. Similarly, Table 2 shows that data are available for seven of the eight families necessary to derive a Tier 1 saltwater value, so those data are used to derive a Tier 2 saltwater value.

Table 1. Freshwater toxicity data used to derive the naphthalene acute value. When only an SMAV is listed, the SMAV was the LC₅₀ of the test species indicated. NDA means no data was available.

Data Requirement	Species	LC ₅₀ ug/L	SMAV ug/L	References
Family Salmonidae	Rainbow trout		1600	15131
A second Family in the Class Osteichthyes	Fathead minnow	6080	6656.30	10954
		6140		12447
		7900		15131
A third family from the phylum Chordata	Mosquitofish		150000	508
A planktonic crustacean	<u>Daphnia magna</u>	4663	11497.36	3283
		19556.8		6489
		16666		11936
A benthic crustacean	NDA			
An insect	NDA			
A family in a phylum other than Arthropoda or Chordata	NDA			
A family in any order of insect or any other phylum not already represented	NDA			

The lowest SMAV was divided by the SAF to determine the secondary acute value (SAV). Because four data requirements were met, a secondary acute factor (SAF) of 7.0 is required. The Tier II value was determined by dividing the SAV by two and

rounding to two significant digits.

$$\text{SAV} = 1600 / 7.0 = 228.5714 \text{ ug/L}$$

$$\text{Freshwater Tier II A(A)} = 228.5714 \text{ ug/L} / 2 = 114.2857 \approx 110 \text{ ug/L}$$

Table 2. Saltwater toxicity data used to derive the naphthalene acute value. When only an SMAV is listed, the SMAV was the LC₅₀ of the test species indicated. NDA means no data was available.

Data Requirement	Species	SMAV, ug/L	References
A family from the phylum Chordata	Pink salmon	1200	10567
A second family from the Phylum Chordata			
A family in a phylum other than Arthropoda or Chordata	<u>Neanthes arenaceodentata</u>	3800	5053
Either the Mysidae or Penaeidae family	Brown shrimp	2500	420
Three other families not in the family Chordata; may include Mysidae or Penaeidae, which ever was not used above	Dungeness crab	> 2000	5035
	Daggerblade grass shrimp	2350	420
	Humpy shrimp	1289	5030
Any other family	<u>Elasmopus pectinicus</u>	2680	5013

Because seven data requirements were met, a secondary acute factor (SAF) of 4.3 is required.

$$\text{SAV} = 1200 \text{ ug/L} / 4.3 = 279.0698 \text{ ug/L}$$

$$\text{Saltwater Tier II A(A)} = 279.0698 \text{ ug/L} / 2 = 139.5349 \approx 140 \text{ ug/L}$$

DERIVATION OF CHRONIC VALUES

The secondary chronic value (SCV) is determined by dividing the SAV by the secondary acute to chronic ratio (SACR). The Tier II A(C) value is equal to the SCV. A species acute to chronic ratio (ACR) is an acute LC₅₀ divided by a chronic value for the same species. The chronic value is the geometric mean of the NOEC (No Observed

Effects Concentration) and LOEC (Lowest Observed Effects Concentration) values. A minimum of three species ACRs are required to derive a SACR. If three species ACRs are not available, 6NYCRR Part 706.1 requires that a species ACR value of 18 should be substituted for each missing species ACR. The SACR is equal to the cube root of the product of the three species ACRs. Both saltwater and freshwater species data are used to derive a common SACR. No studies could be found in the AQUIRE database that provided adequate acute and chronic data to determine an acute to chronic ratio as required by 6NYCRR Part 706.1 so the SCV was determined by dividing the SAV by a SACR of 18.

The freshwater SCV = $228.5714 \text{ ug/L} / 18 = 12.6984 \text{ ug/L}$
Freshwater Tier II A(C) value = $12.6984 \approx 13 \text{ ug/L}$

The saltwater SCV = $279.0698 \text{ ug/L} / 18 = 15.5039 \text{ ug/L}$
Saltwater Tier II A(C) value = $15.5039 \approx 16 \text{ ug/L}$

REFERENCES

U.S. EPA 1993. AQUIRE, AQUatic toxicity Information Retrieval Database, Technical Support Document. U.S. EPA Environmental Research Laboratory, Office of Research and Development, Duluth, Minnesota, September, 1993.

AQUIRE DATA CITATIONS

REFERENCE NUMBER: 420

Tatem, H.E., B.A. Cox, and J.W. Anderson, 1978. The Toxicity of Oils and Petroleum Hydrocarbons to Estuarine Crustaceans. *Estuarine Coastal Mar. Sci.* 6(4):365-373

REFERENCE NUMBER: 508

Wallen, I.E., W.C. Greer, and R. Lasater, 1957. Toxicity to *Gambusia affinis* of Certain Pure Chemicals in Turbid Waters Sewage Ind. Wastes 29(6):695-711 (Author Communication Used)

REFERENCE NUMBER: 3283

Smith, S.B., J.F. Savino, and M.A. Blouin, 1988. Acute Toxicity to *Daphnia pulex* of Six Classes of Chemical Compounds Potentially Hazardous to Great Lakes Aquatic Biota. *J. Great Lakes Res.* 14(4):394-404; *Aquat. Sci. Fish. Abstr.* 17(2):139 (1987)

REFERENCE NUMBER: 5013

Lee, W.Y. and J.A.C. Nicol, 1978. Individual and Combined Toxicity of Some Petroleum Aromatics to the Marine Amphipod *Elasmopus pecteniscrus*. *Mar. Biol.* 48(3):215-222

REFERENCE NUMBER: 5030

Korn,S., D.A.Moles, and S.D.Rice, 1979. Effects of Temperature on the Median Tolerance Limit of Pink Salmon and Shrimp Exposed to Toluene, Naphthalene, and Cook Inlet Crude Oil. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 21(4/5):521-525

REFERENCE NUMBER: 5035

Caldwell,R.S., E.M.Caldarone, and M.H.Mallon, 1977. Effects of a Seawater-Soluble Fraction of Cook Inlet Crude Oil and its Major Aromatic Components on Larval Stages of the Dungeness Crab, Cancer ... In: D.A.Wolfe (Ed.) Fate and Effects of Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Marine Ecosystems and Organisms, Pergamon Press, NY:210-220

REFERENCE NUMBER: 5053

Rossi,S.S. and J.M.Neff, 1978. Toxicity of Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons to the Polychaete *Neanthes arenaceodentata* Mar. Pollut. Bull. 9(8):220-223 (903 Used As Reference)

REFERENCE NUMBER: 6489

Geiger,J.G., A.L.Buikema,Jr., and J.Cairns,Jr., 1980. A Tentative Seven-Day Test for Predicting Effects of Stress on Populations of *Daphnia pulex* In: J.G.Eaton, P.R.Parrish, and A.C.Hendricks (Eds.), Aquatic Toxicology and Hazard Assessment, 3rd Symposium, ASTM STP 707, Philadelphia, PA:13-26

REFERENCE NUMBER: 10567

Moles,A. and S.D.Rice, 1983. Effects of Crude Oil and Naphthalene on Growth, Caloric Content, and Fat Content of Pink Salmon Juveniles in Seawater Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 112(2A):205-211

REFERENCE NUMBER: 10954

Holcombe,G.W., G.L.Phipps, M.L.Knuth, and T.Felhaber, 1984. The Acute Toxicity of Selected Substituted Phenols, Benzenes and Benzoic Acid Esters to Fathead Minnows *Pimephales promelas*. Environ. Pollut. Ser. A Ecol. Biol. 35(4):367-381

REFERENCE NUMBER: 11936

Bobra,A.M., W.Y.Shiu, and D.MacKay, 1983. A Predictive Correlation for the Acute Toxicity of Hydrocarbons and Chlorinated Hydrocarbons to the Water Flea (*Daphnia magna*). Chemosphere 12(9-10):1121-1129

REFERENCE NUMBER: 12447

Geiger,D.L., C.E.Northcott, D.J.Call, and L.T.Brooke, 1985. Acute Toxicities of Organic Chemicals to Fathead Minnows (*Pimephales promelas*), Vol. 2. Center for Lake Superior Environmental Studies, University of Wisconsin, Superior, WI:326 p.

REFERENCE NUMBER: 15131

Degraeve,G.M., R.G.Elder, D.C.Woods, and H.L.Bergman, 1982. Effects of Naphthalene and Benzene on Fathead Minnows and Rainbow Trout. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 11:487-490

DFWMR/ts/2-98