

201-16591

May 21, 2007

Steven Johnson, Administrator  
US Environmental Protection Agency  
Ariel Rios Building  
Room 3000, #1101-A  
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20460

RECEIVED  
OPT CBIC  
2007 MAY 22 AM 7:30

Subject: Comments on the HPV test plan for HPHP

Dear Administrator Johnson:

The following comments on the BASF Corporation (BASF) test plan for propanoic acid, 3-hydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-, 3-hydroxy-2,2-dimethylpropyl ester (HPHP) (CAS RN 1115-20-4) are submitted on behalf of the Physicians Committee for Responsible Medicine, People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals, the Humane Society of the United States, the Doris Day Animal League, and Earth Island Institute. These health, animal protection, and environmental organizations have a combined membership of more than ten million Americans.

BASF submitted its test plan for HPHP in November 2006. According to the test plan, HPHP is a chemical intermediate, used in the production of binding agents, coatings, and polymers. The chemical is apparently not present in consumer products, and likely human exposure occurs in an occupational setting through mostly dermal but also possibly inhalation and/or oral routes of exposure. BASF uses analogs and knowledge of metabolic processes to complete the test plan endpoints, and does not propose any further testing.

Available data on HPHP include physico-chemical properties, ecotoxicity and environmental fate data, and mammalian acute and genetic toxicity data. The remainder of the HPV endpoints is fulfilled by data from an HPHP metabolite, neopentyl glycol (NPG) (repeated dose/reproductive/developmental and in vitro cytogenicity) and a related chemical and its metabolite, isobutyl isobutyrate (IBIB) and isobutanol (in vivo micronucleus testing). Additional data is given for these two chemicals for the acute, repeated dose, reproductive and developmental toxicity endpoints, to support the data in the test plan.

We support this thoughtful toxicology approach. At the same time, we would also like to suggest some strategies that could help fortify the information contained in this test plan, while still avoiding additional animal testing.


First, we appreciate the comparative toxicity tables prepared for the acute and repeated dose toxicity endpoints. These are a very good way to evaluate the adequacy of the analog for

informing the toxicity knowledge base for endpoints in which data is not available from the original test plan chemicals; if the data are available, it may be that the preparation of data tables for other mammalian toxicity endpoints, and also for other data categories such as physicochemical properties or environmental fate, could be very helpful in increasing confidence in the analog approach used by BASF here.

Additionally, while it is clear to us from reading the entire test plan what BASF intends in its justification of the use of IBIB and isopropanol, the discussion could be further enhanced by expanding the chemical structure pictures and discussion provided into metabolism pathway diagrams. This would clarify the relationships of the chemicals discussed in the test plan for the reader.

This test plan is an example of the thoughtful toxicology that is needed to be consistent with the EPA's stated goal of maximizing the use of existing data in order to limit additional animal testing and to avoid a mere box-checking approach to the HPV program. Thank you for your attention to these comments. I may be reached at 510.834.8320, or via e-mail at [kstoick@pcrm.org](mailto:kstoick@pcrm.org).

Sincerely,



Kristie M Stoick, M.P.H.  
Research Analyst



Chad B. Sandusky, Ph.D.  
Director of Research