



U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Catalyst for Improving the Environment

Quick Reaction Report

Results of Technical Network Vulnerability Assessment: EPA's Erlanger Building

Report No. 10-P-0211

September 7, 2010

Report Contributors:

Rudolph M. Brevard
Charles Dade
Cheryl Reid
Michael Goode, Jr.
Vincent Campbell



At a Glance

Catalyst for Improving the Environment

Why We Did This Review

As part of the annual audit of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) compliance with the Federal Information Security Management Act, the Office of Inspector General (OIG) conducted network vulnerability testing of the Agency's network devices in EPA's Erlanger Building located in Erlanger, Kentucky.

Background

Network vulnerability testing was conducted to identify any network risk vulnerabilities and to present the results to the appropriate EPA officials, who can then promptly remediate or document planned actions to resolve the vulnerability.

For further information, contact our Office of Congressional, Public Affairs and Management at (202) 566-2391.

To view the full report, click on the following link:
www.epa.gov/oig/reports/2010/20100907-10-P-0211.pdf

Results of Technical Network Vulnerability Assessment: EPA's Erlanger Building

What We Found

Vulnerability testing of EPA's Erlanger Building network conducted in June 2010 identified Internet Protocol addresses with numerous *high-risk* and *medium-risk* vulnerabilities. The OIG met with EPA information security personnel to discuss the findings. If not resolved, these vulnerabilities could expose EPA's assets to unauthorized access and potentially harm the Agency's network.

What We Recommend

We recommend that the Director, Enterprise Desktop Solutions Division, Office of Environmental Information, and the Director, Information Resources Management Division – Cincinnati, Office of Administration and Resources Management:

- Provide the OIG a status update for all identified high-risk and medium-risk vulnerability findings contained in this report.
- Create plans of action and milestones in the Agency's Automated Security Self-Evaluation and Remediation Tracking system for all vulnerabilities that cannot be corrected within 30 days of this report.
- Perform a technical vulnerability assessment test of assigned network resources within 60 days to confirm completion of remediation activities.

Due to the sensitive nature of the report's technical findings, the attachments are not available to the public.



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

September 7, 2010

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Results of Technical Network Vulnerability Assessment:
EPA's Erlanger Building
Report No. 10-P-0211

FROM: Arthur A. Elkins, Jr.
Inspector General

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Arthur A. Elkins, Jr.", is written over the typed name.

TO: Johnny Davis, Jr.
Director, Enterprise Desktop Solutions Division
Office of Environmental Information

Aundair Kinney
Director, Information Resources Management Division – Cincinnati
Office of Administration and Resources Management

Attached is the final technical network vulnerability assessment report prepared by the Office of Inspector General (OIG) of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).¹ The site assessment was conducted in conjunction with the Fiscal Year 2010 Federal Information Security Management Act audit. Vulnerability testing of EPA's Erlanger Building network conducted in June 2010 identified Internet Protocol addresses with numerous **high-risk** and **medium-risk** vulnerabilities.

We performed this audit from May through August 2010 at EPA's Erlanger, Kentucky building. We performed this audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. These standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient and appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on the audit objectives. We believe the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions.

¹ A vulnerability assessment is the process of identifying, quantifying, and prioritizing (or ranking) the vulnerabilities in a tested information system. A vulnerability assessment does not include a penetration test which would attempt to use the identified vulnerabilities to gain further access into the tested information system.

We conducted testing to identify the existence of commonly known vulnerabilities using a commercially available network vulnerability assessment tool recognized by the National Institute of Standards and Technology. We tested Internet Protocol addresses provided by Agency representatives and identified as being associated with network resources controlled by your offices. We used the risk ratings provided by the vulnerability software to determine the level of harm a vulnerability could cause to a network resource. We accepted the results from the software tool. The vulnerabilities identified by the software are disclosed in the attachments. On July 8, 2010, management representatives sent a status update indicating actions taken to correct some of the identified vulnerabilities.

The estimated cost for performing these tests and compiling this report is \$4,858.

Recommendations

We recommend that the Director, Enterprise Desktop Solutions Division, Office of Environmental Information; and the Director, Information Resources Management Division – Cincinnati, Office of Administration and Resources Management:

1. Provide the OIG a status update for all identified high-risk and medium-risk vulnerability findings contained in this report.
2. Create plans of action and milestones in the Agency's Automated Security Self-Evaluation and Remediation Tracking system for all vulnerabilities that cannot be corrected within 30 days of this report.
3. Perform a technical vulnerability assessment test of assigned network resources within 60 days to confirm completion of remediation activities.

Action Required

In accordance with EPA Manual 2750, you are required to provide a written response to this report within 30 calendar days. You should include a corrective actions plan for agreed-upon actions, including milestone dates.

Due to the sensitive nature of the report's technical findings, the full report will not be made available to the public. However, the OIG plans to publish the unrestricted version of this report, your response, and any corrective action plans on OIG's Website, which is available to the public. Therefore, we request that you provide your response to Recommendation 1 in a separate document.

If you or your staff have any questions regarding this report, please contact Rudy Brevard at (202) 566-0893 or brevard.rudy@epa.gov.

Status of Recommendations and Potential Monetary Benefits

RECOMMENDATIONS						POTENTIAL MONETARY BENEFITS (in \$000s)	
Rec. No.	Page No.	Subject	Status ¹	Action Official	Planned Completion Date	Claimed Amount	Agreed To Amount
1	2	Provide the OIG a status update for all identified high-risk and medium-risk vulnerability findings contained in this report.	U	Director, Enterprise Desktop Solutions Division, Office of Environmental Information and Director, Information Resources Management Division – Cincinnati, Office of Administration and Resources Management			
2	2	Create plans of action and milestones in the Agency's Automated Security Self-Evaluation and Remediation Tracking system for all vulnerabilities that cannot be corrected within 30 days of this report.	U	Director, Enterprise Desktop Solutions Division, Office of Environmental Information and Director, Information Resources Management Division – Cincinnati, Office of Administration and Resources Management			
3	2	Perform a technical vulnerability assessment test of assigned network resources within 60 days to confirm completion of remediation activities.	U	Director, Enterprise Desktop Solutions Division, Office of Environmental Information and Director, Information Resources Management Division – Cincinnati, Office of Administration and Resources Management			

¹ O = recommendation is open with agreed-to corrective actions pending
 C = recommendation is closed with all agreed-to actions completed
 U = recommendation is undecided with resolution efforts in progress

Appendix A

Distribution

Office of the Administrator
Assistant Administrator for Environmental Information and Chief Information Officer
Assistant Administrator for Administration and Resources Management
Director, Enterprise Desktop Solutions Division, Office of Environmental Information
Director, Information Resources Management Division – Cincinnati, Office of Administration and
Resources Management
Acting Senior Agency Information Security Officer
Acting Director, Technology and Information Security Staff
Agency Follow-up Official (the CFO)
Agency Follow-up Coordinator
General Counsel
Associate Administrator for Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations
Associate Administrator for External Affairs and Environmental Education
Audit Follow-up Coordinator, Office of Environmental Information
Audit Follow-up Coordinator, Office of Administration and Resources Management
Inspector General