

Proposed Total Phosphorus TMDL for the Wissahickon Creek Watershed

EPA Region 3 – Philadelphia, PA

Public Meeting

Temple University Ambler Campus

June 10, 2015



Overview

- General presentation
- Technical presentation on TMDL details and modelling
- Next steps



Clean Water Act Basics


- “Fishable and swimmable” minimum goal
- States adopt water quality standards (WQS)
- Assess whether waters meet WQS
- Issue permits for discharge
- If water is impaired, look for causes
- Identify necessary reductions



What is a TMDL?

Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL)

Pollution budget or expression for the maximum amount of a pollutant that a waterbody can receive and still allow for water quality standards or goals to be met.





Why is a TMDL Needed?






Impact of a TMDL

- Identifies existing loads from point and nonpoint sources
- Identifies critical conditions
- Flags reductions needed to meet WQS
- Permits issued after the TMDL must be “consistent”




A Brief History



EPA established nutrient TMDLs for the watershed. The endpoint for the nutrient TMDL was based on the state's numeric criterion for dissolved oxygen (DO).




Improved TMDL Needed



PADEP requested EPA develop a TMDL with a total phosphorus (TP) endpoint to protect the state's narrative water quality criteria by controlling algal growth. Rigorous monitoring begins to support effort.




Developing an endpoint



An EPA study conducted to establish scientifically defensible nutrient endpoints protective of aquatic life for streams in the Northern Piedmont Ecoregion of Pennsylvania. The TP endpoint of 0.04 mg/L was identified.



Endpoint review



EPA updated its guidance for stressor response analyses. Therefore, the endpoint identification study was revised to be consistent with the updated EPA guidance, and finalized in 2011.



More complex water quality modelling

2003 2005 2007 2010 2012 2013

The modeling approach used for this TMDL included two dynamic models which take into account all flow conditions and in-stream TP.



Why a Phosphorus Endpoint?

- PA has not yet adopted numeric criteria for nutrients.
- PA has “narrative criteria” in place which prohibit substances harmful to aquatic life.
- EPA’s selection of an endpoint was based on:
 - Statistical analysis
 - Multiple lines of evidence of what the phosphorus number could be
 - Concluded that 0.04 mg/l Total P would protect aquatic life




Point Sources of Nutrients Identified

Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plants

- Abington
- Ambler
- North Wales*
- Upper Dublin
- Upper Gwynedd

*No longer a discharger





Point Sources of Nutrients

Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4s)

- | | |
|-----------------|------------------|
| • Abington | • Philadelphia |
| • Ambler | • Springfield |
| • Cheltenham | • Upper Dublin |
| • Horsham | • Upper Gwynedd |
| • Lansdale | • Upper Moreland |
| • Lower Gwynedd | • Whitemarsh |
| • Montgomery | • Whitpain |
| • North Wales | • Worcester |






Land uses determine nutrient loads

Land uses (by area)
within the MS4s include:


Residential (51.2%)
Background (18.0%)
Pervious Developed (13.2%)
Impervious Developed (7.3%)
Agriculture (6.7%)
Golf (3.6%)






Nonpoint sources of Nutrients

- Limited to Septic Systems
- Other sources covered under Point Source Category
- Smaller contribution






Loads by Sources






Loads by Sources

WWTP Contributions by Facility



- MS4
- North Wales
- Upper Dublin
- Abington
- Upper Gwynedd
- Ambler
- Septics


MS4s Contributions by Land Use



- Agriculture
- Golf
- Pervious Developed
- WWTPs
- Background
- Impervious Developed
- Residential
- Septics



MS4 Loadings by Municipality





Modeling the Wissahickon

High-quality data was collected around the watershed to use in the models, including detailed land use data.






Modeling the Wissahickon

The models developed for the Wissahickon Creek TMDL were very detailed to ensure accurate representation of the watershed.

- The watershed was divided into 118 subwatersheds, with 118 stream segments
- Water quality modeling included loads delivered to the Creek as well those taking place within the Creek





Modeling the Wissahickon

DATA

High-quality data was collected around the watershed to use in the model. This data included:

- Flow
- Stream cross sections
- Land Use
- Dam data
- Meteorological data
- Water quality data



MODELS

Two dynamic models were developed for the Wissahickon Creek TMDL:

- Loading Simulation Program in C++ (LSPC)
- Environmental Fluid Dynamics Code (EFDC)



ALLOCATIONS


The models informed the allocations, ensuring the 0.04 mg/L total phosphorus endpoint was met throughout Wissahickon Creek and all of its tributaries.



The Pollution Budget


Wissahickon Total Phosphorus TMDL

$$(11,161 \frac{\text{lbs}}{\text{yr}})_{TMDL} = (1661 \frac{\text{lbs}}{\text{yr}})_{WWTPs} + (9225 \frac{\text{lbs}}{\text{yr}})_{MS4s} + (275 \frac{\text{lbs}}{\text{yr}})_{Septics}$$






Reductions Necessary to Meet Allocations





Reductions by WWTP





Public Process Schedule

- **May 20, 2015** – Published Notice
- **June 10, 2012** – Public Meeting
- **July 6, 2015** – Deadline for Comments



Next Steps

- Stakeholders considering whether they can commit to an alternative plan
- EPA will review and respond to comments
- Final TMDL will be developed based on responses



EPA Contacts

Evelyn MacKnight, Associate Director
Office of Standards, Assessment, and TMDLs
215-814-5717

Macknight.evelyn@epa.gov

Ashley Toy
PA TMDL Coordinator
(215) 814-2774
toy.ashley@epa.gov

<http://www.epa.gov/reg3wapd/tmdl/index.htm>