

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Catalyst for Improving the Environment

Special Report

Congressionally Requested Report on EPA Staffing Levels and Total Costs for EPA Facilities

Report No. 09-P-0080

January 14, 2009



Report Contributors:

Patrick Gilbride Gail Saunders

Cover photos: EPA facilities, clockwise from top left: The Research Triangle Park complex, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina; the EPA Region 8 office, Denver, Colorado; the EPA Kansas City Science and Technology Center, Kansas City, Missouri; and EPA Potomac Yards, Arlington, Virginia (EPA photos).



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

January 14, 2009

The Honorable Norman Dicks Chairman Subcommittee on Interior, Environment and Related Agencies Committee on Appropriations U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

On July 14, 2008, we met with your staff to discuss the Office of Inspector General's Key Management Challenges for Fiscal Year 2008. During this meeting, your staff requested that we provide additional information on the staffing levels, rental/lease fees, and utility and security costs for all of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) facilities and/or locations where EPA incurs costs associated with its employees. For those offices that house five or fewer employees, we were also asked to provide the purpose of the facility.

Enclosed is the information we obtained from EPA. Enclosure 1 includes the staffing levels and costs associated for all EPA facilities. Enclosure 2 includes information pertaining to the costs and purpose of facilities that house five or fewer EPA employees. We have not independently validated the accuracy of the data provided.

We appreciate your interest in our work. Should you or your staff have any questions on this or any other matter, please contact Eileen McMahon, Assistant Inspector General for Congressional, Public Affairs and Management, at (202) 566-2391.

Sincerely,

Bill A. Roderick Deputy Inspector General

Enclosures

Enclosure 1

Total Costs for EPA Facilities

Location	Employees	EPA-Owned Facility? (Y/N)	Rent/Lease Costs (Year) ^(a)	Security Costs (Year) ^(b)	Utility Costs (Year) ^(b)	Total
REGION 1						
Massachusetts-Boston	658	N	\$10,680,745	\$1,643,729	\$0	\$12,324,474
Massachusetts-North Chelmsford	64	N	\$2,567,087	\$39,302	\$475,995	\$3,082,384
Rhode Island-Narragansett	69	Y	\$0	\$625,392	\$586,321	\$1,211,713
Subtotals	791		\$13,247,832	\$2,308,423	\$1,062,316	\$16,618,571
REGION 2						
Connecticut-Stamford	2	N	\$15,533	\$0	\$0	\$15,533
New Jersey-Edison	195	Y	\$0	\$620,156	\$1,022,664	\$1,642,820
New York-Buffalo	2	Ν	\$31,692	\$0	\$0	\$31,692
New York-Hudson Falls	2	Ν	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
New York-New York	683	Ν	\$17,573,172	\$1,972,068	\$0	\$19,545,240
Puerto Rico-San Juan	10	N	\$18,316	\$441	\$0	\$18,757
Puerto Rico-Santurce	41	N	\$606,703	\$9,403	\$0	\$616,106
Virgin Islands-St. Thomas ¹	2	N	\$118,140	\$905	\$0	\$119,045
Subtotals	937		\$18,363,556	\$2,602,973	\$1,022,664	\$21,989,193
REGION 3						
Maryland-Annapolis	20	Ν	\$657,421	\$11,588	\$0	\$669,009
Maryland-Fort Meade	67	Y	\$0	\$680,202	\$1,139,365	\$1,819,567
Pennsylvania-Philadelphia	845	N	\$7,645,655	\$1,289,668	\$0	\$8,935,323
Pennsylvania-Stroudsburg ¹	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Pennsylvania-Titusville	1	Ν	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Virginia-Richmond	1	Ν	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
West Virginia-Wheeling	22	Ν	\$386,561	\$7,349	\$0	\$393,910
Subtotals	957		\$8,689,637	\$1,988,807	\$1,139,365	\$11,817,809

Location	Employees	EPA-Owned Facility? (Y/N)	Rent/Lease Costs (Year) ^(a)	Security Costs (Year) ^(b)	Utility Costs (Year) ^(b)	Total
REGION 4						
Alabama-Mobile	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$2,760	\$2,760
Alabama-Montgomery	45	Y	\$0	\$0	\$173,560	\$173,560
Florida-Gulf Breeze	63	Y	\$0	\$278,949	\$439,502	\$718,451
Florida-Marathon	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Florida-West Palm Beach	5	Ν	\$102,675	\$0	\$14,672	\$117,347
Georgia-Athens	160	Y	\$2,158,259	\$304,032	\$874,107	\$3,336,398
Georgia-Atlanta	923	N	\$9,304,405	\$996,799	\$0	\$10,301,204
Georgia-Atlanta (Spellman College)	1	Ν	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Hawaii-Honaun ²	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Kentucky-Louisville	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$1,495	\$1,495
Mississippi-Bay St. Louis	25	N	\$540,000	\$0	\$0	\$540,000
North Carolina-Asheville	1	Ν	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
North Carolina-Chapel Hill	49	N	\$4,299,200	\$150,000	\$1,836,947	\$6,286,147
North Carolina-Durham	1221	Y	\$1,835,134	\$3,294,791	\$6,386,632	\$11,516,557
North Carolina-Raleigh (NC State Univ.)	2	N	\$0	\$0	\$1,500	\$1,500
North Carolina-Raleigh (New Bern Ave.)	1	N	2,193	\$0	\$0	\$2,193
Tennessee-Jackson	1	N	\$4,728	\$0	\$3,332	\$8,060
Subtotals	2501		\$18,246,594	\$5,024,571	\$9,734,507	\$33,005,672
REGION 5						
Illinois-Chicago	1227	N	\$16,307,070	\$1,548,307	\$0	\$17,855,377
Illinois-Marion	1	Ν	\$2,400	\$0	\$2,100	\$4,500
Michigan-Ann Arbor	278	N	\$2,470,328	\$1,692,859	\$754,585	\$4,917,772
Michigan-Grosse Ile	15	Y	\$0	\$13,000	\$133,181	\$146,181
Michigan-Traverse City	1	Ν	\$0	\$0	\$2,220	\$2,220
Michigan-Traverse City	1	Ν	\$2,064	\$0	\$1,920	\$3,984
Minnesota-Cass Lake	1	Ν	\$4,764	\$0	\$3,696	\$8,460
Minnesota-Duluth	83	Y	\$3,688	\$1,690	\$446,522	\$451,900
Minnesota-St. Paul	1	Ν	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Location	Employees	EPA-Owned Facility? (Y/N)	Rent/Lease Costs (Year) ^(a)	Security Costs (Year) ^(b)	Utility Costs (Year) ^(b)	Total
Ohio-Cincinnati	485	Y	\$1,163,943	\$2,151,206	\$2,521,957	\$5,837,106
Ohio-Cincinnati (Erlinger, KY)	20	Y	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ohio-Clermont	7	N	\$8,500		\$0	\$8,500
Ohio-Norwood	94	N	\$564,400	\$13,289	\$0	\$577,689
Ohio-Westlake	19	N	\$243,258	\$22,054	\$0	\$265,312
Wisconsin-Green Bay	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Wisconsin-Keshena	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$2,436	\$2,436
Wisconsin-Madison	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subtotals	2236		\$20,770,415	\$5,442,405	\$3,868,617	\$30,081,437
REGION 6						
Louisiana-New Orleans	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Oklahoma-Ada	50	Y	\$3,233	\$228,985	\$198,490	\$430,708
Oklahoma-Tulsa ¹	2	N	\$6,120	\$1,609	\$0	\$7,729
Texas-Austin	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Texas-Dallas	811	N	\$5,791,508	\$381,460	\$0	\$6,172,968
Texas-El Paso ¹	5	N	\$31,872	\$371	\$0	\$32,243
Texas-Houston	55	N	\$1,563,427	\$59,919	\$518,713	\$2,142,059
Subtotals	925		\$7,396,160	\$672,344	\$717,203	\$8,785,707
REGION 7						
Iowa-Des Moines ¹	4	N	\$16,488	\$5,676	\$0	\$22,164
Iowa-Iowa City	1	Ν	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Kansas-Galena	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Kansas-Kansas City	556	N	\$9,255,050	\$1,204,193	\$341,262	\$10,800,505
Missouri-Fenton ¹	2	N	\$91,656	\$131	\$0	\$91,787
Missouri-Jefferson City ¹	1	N	\$4,092	\$96	\$0	\$4,188
Missouri-Kansas City ¹	1	N	\$205,284	\$16,848	\$0	\$222,132
Nebraska-Lincoln	1	N	\$7,860	\$684	\$0	\$8,544
Subtotals	567		\$9,580,430	\$1,227,628	\$341,262	\$11,149,320

Location	Employees	EPA-Owned Facility? (Y/N)	Rent/Lease Costs (Year) ^(a)	Security Costs (Year) ^(b)	Utility Costs (Year) ^(b)	Total
REGION 8						
Colorado-Denver	557	N	\$8,759,468	\$1,061,267	\$0	\$9,820,735
Colorado-Golden	11	N	\$1,573,520	\$23,564	\$243,075	\$1,840,159
Colorado-Lakewood	121	N	\$3,691,567	\$190,098	\$0	\$3,881,665
Montana-Butte	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Montana-Helena	34	N	\$384,581	\$43,988	\$0	\$428,569
Montana-Libby	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
South Dakota-Pierre ¹	1	N	\$2,400	\$76	\$0	\$2,476
Subtotals	726		\$14,411,536	\$1,318,993	\$243,075	\$15,973,604
REGION 9						
American Samoa-Pago Pago	6	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Arizona-Phoenix	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Arizona-Tucson	2	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
California-Eureka	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
California-Long Beach	2	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
California-Los Angeles	17	N	\$495,178	\$10,649	\$0	\$505,827
California-Richmond	16	N	\$2,073,975	\$0	\$342,041	\$2,416,016
California-Sacramento	4	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
California-San Diego	8	N	\$333,366	\$3,280	\$0	\$336,646
California-San Francisco	829	N	\$7,977,540	\$368,691	\$0	\$8,346,231
Guam-Agana	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Guam-Barrigada	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Hawaii-Honolulu	2	N	\$131,806	\$12,751	\$0	\$144,557
Nevada-Carson City	3	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Nevada-Las Vegas	149	N	\$6,066,417	\$111,977	\$637,500	\$6,815,894
Nevada-Stateline	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
New Mexico-Farmington ²	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Northern Mariana Islands-Saipan, Mariana Isle	3	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subtotals	1047		\$17,078,282	\$507,348	\$979,541	\$18,565,171

Location	Employees	EPA-Owned Facility? (Y/N)	Rent/Lease Costs (Year) ^(a)	Security Costs (Year) ^(b)	Utility Costs (Year) ^(b)	Total
REGION 10						
Alaska-Anchorage	35	N	\$322,861	\$41,191	\$0	\$364,052
Alaska-Juneau	3	N	\$51,060	\$7,714	\$0	\$58,774
Alaska-Kenai	1	N	\$9,636	\$234	\$0	\$9,870
Idaho-Boise	23	N	\$109,038	\$3,614	\$0	\$112,652
Idaho-Coeur d'Alene	3	N	\$17,088	\$353	\$0	\$17,441
Idaho-Pocatello	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Oregon-Corvallis	59	Y	\$445,412	\$13,784	\$387,365	\$846,561
Oregon-Eugene	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Oregon-La Grande	1	N	\$3,564	\$152	\$0	\$3,716
Oregon-Newport	20	Y	\$0	\$0	\$175,255	\$175,255
Oregon-Portland	23	N	\$434,876	\$7,598	\$0	\$442,474
Washington-Lacey	12	N	\$132,511	\$2,718	\$0	\$135,229
Washington-Manchester	18	Y	\$0	\$0	\$490,764	\$490,764
Washington-Prosser	1	N	\$2,628	\$47	\$0	\$2,675
Washington-Richland	10	N	\$87,761	\$1,905	\$0	\$89,666
Washington-Seattle	499	N	\$7,025,275	\$871,379	\$0	\$7,896,654
Subtotals	710		\$8,641,710	\$950,689	\$1,053,384	\$10,645,783
HEADQUARTERS						
District of Columbia-Washington	5302	N	\$78,754,637	\$14,939,399	\$821,464	\$94,515,500
Virginia-Arlington	1267	N	\$18,051,807	\$4,681,732	\$312,000	\$23,045,539
Subtotals	6569		\$96,806,444	\$19,621,131	\$1,133,464	\$117,561,039
Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance ^(c)						
Arizona-Phoenix ¹	3	N	\$63,012	\$3,159	\$0	\$66,171
California-Sacramento ¹	1	N	\$74,964	\$3,809	\$0	\$78,773
Connecticut-New Haven ¹	3	N	\$95,976	\$28,883	\$0	\$124,859
Florida-Jacksonville ¹	4	N	\$148,104	\$3,585	\$0	\$151,689
Florida-Tampa ¹	1	Ν	\$60,348	\$1,109	\$0	\$61,457

Location	Employees	EPA-Owned Facility? (Y/N)	Rent/Lease Costs (Year) ^(a)	Security Costs (Year) ^(b)	Utility Costs (Year) ^(b)	Total
Georgia-Brunswick ¹	2	N	\$102,804	\$1,569	\$0	\$104,373
Georgia-Glynco	4	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Idaho-Boise	2	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Illinois-Chicago	11	N	\$355,824	\$3,883	\$0	\$359,707
Indiana-Indianapolis ¹	2	N	\$65,527	\$1,432	\$0	\$66,959
Kentucky-Louisville ¹	2	N	\$42,876	\$8,368	\$0	\$51,244
Louisiana-Baton Rouge ¹	5	N	\$149,664	\$1,859	\$0	\$151,523
Minnesota-Minneapolis ¹	2	N	\$40,476	\$3,267	\$0	\$43,743
Mississippi-Jackson ¹	1	N	\$18,732	\$4,306	\$0	\$23,038
Missouri-St. Louis ¹	2	N	\$53,820	\$16,101	\$0	\$69,921
Missouri-Springfield ¹	1	N	\$7,764	\$168	\$0	\$7,932
New Jersey-Trenton ¹	4	N	\$84,840	\$4,948	\$0	\$89,788
New York-Syracuse ^{1,4}	5	N	\$34,368	\$797	\$0	\$35,165
North Carolina-Charlotte	1	N	\$21,192	\$381	\$0	\$21,573
North Carolina-Raleigh	5	N	\$3,552	\$116	\$0	\$3,668
Ohio-Middleburg Heights ¹	7	N	\$57,780	\$1,360	\$0	\$59,140
Oregon-Portland ¹	4	N	\$142,404	\$1,846	\$0	\$144,250
Tennessee-Knoxville ¹	3	N	\$45,960	\$476	\$0	\$46,436
Tennessee-Nashville ¹	2	N	\$35,208	\$6,259	\$0	\$41,467
Utah-Salt Lake City ¹	1	N	\$38,220	\$10,069	\$0	\$48,289
Virginia-Herndon	7	N	\$120,298	\$2,428	\$0	\$122,726
West Virginia-Charleston	3	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subtotals	85		\$1,863,713	\$110,180	\$0	\$1,973,893
Office of Inspector General						
Tennessee-Winchester	1	N	\$6,878	\$0	\$2,028	\$8,906
Subtotals	1		\$6,878	\$0	\$2,028	\$8,906
Office of Research and Development						
Virginia-Hampton	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subtotals	1		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Location	Employees	EPA-Owned Facility? (Y/N)	Rent/Lease Costs (Year) ^(a)	Security Costs (Year) ^(b)	Utility Costs (Year) ^(b)	Total
Office of Air and Radiation						
Florida-Key West	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subtotals	1		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTALS	18.054		\$235,103,187	<u>\$41,775,492</u>	<u>\$21,297,426</u>	<u>\$298,176,105</u>

<u>Notes</u>

(a) Rent/Lease Costs - FY 2008 projected costs

(b) Security/Utilities Reported - FY 2007 costs

(c) Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance Criminal Investigation Division offices typically include special use space including secure storage, conference rooms, and interview rooms

1 - Full service leases, utilities are included in the base rent

2 - The location listed is where the regional employee resides

3 - Currently no Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance employee at location/employee is transferring

18,054

4 - Other costs such as LAN lines, cell phones are included under utilities

Total Costs for EPA Facilities with Five or Fewer Employees

Location	Employees	EPA-Owned Facility? (Y/N)	Rent/ Lease Costs (Year) ^(a)	Security Costs (Year) ^(b)	Utility Costs (Year) ^(b)	Total	Reason Provided by Agency for Maintaining EPA Staff at Location
REGION 1							
No facilities outside regional office with 5 or less employees							N/A
Subtotals	0	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
REGION 2							
Connecticut-Stamford	2	Ν	\$15,533	\$0	\$0	\$15,533	Section 119 of the Clean Water Act states that the Administrator "shall establish an office to be located on or near Long Island Sound." The duties of the office are elaborated on in Section 119 (c) and include supporting the Management Conference of the Long Island Sound Study established under Section 320 of the Clean Water Act. The program office works with Management Conference partners throughout New York and Connecticut and needs to be accessible to these local partners. In addition, while two EPA employees are located in the office, two other federal employees established through interagency agreements and one Senior Environmental Employment program staff are also located in the office.

Location	Employees	EPA-Owned Facility? (Y/N)	Rent/ Lease Costs (Year) ^(a)	Security Costs (Year) ^(b)	Utility Costs (Year) ^(b)	Total	Reason Provided by Agency for Maintaining EPA Staff at Location
New York-Buffalo	2	Ζ	\$31,692	\$0	\$0	\$31,692	This field office was created to serve the residents and stakeholders of western New York, which includes the expanse from Syracuse to Buffalo and communities in nine upstate counties. This office provides a wide range of critical community involvement, and intergovernmental and communications programs to a variety of diverse communities. EPA program areas covered include: clean water and the Great Lakes, international cooperative initiatives, and hazardous waste. The on-site presence of EPA experts is essential to the success of EPA activities west of Syracuse as well as cross-border initiatives. Region 2 has out-posted an On-Scene Coordinator (OSC) to Buffalo to support emergency response and removal response activities in western New York. This OSC has a variety of roles, including responding to environmental emergencies in this region, managing environmental clean-ups, and acting as a liaison with State and local environmental, public health, and law enforcement officials. Given the amount of work in this area, it is critical to out-post an individual in this location.

Location	Employees	EPA-Owned Facility? (Y/N)	Rent/ Lease Costs (Year) ^(a)	Security Costs (Year) ^(b)	Utility Costs (Year) ^(b)	Total	Reason Provided by Agency for Maintaining EPA Staff at Location
New York-Hudson Falls	2	Ν	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	The vast size of the Hudson River PCB site and the scope of the clean-up plan make this an unusual and highly complex Superfund site. EPA made many commitments to the affected communities, including a commitment to proactively address community concerns and improve its community outreach program. An example of EPA commitment was the establishment of the Hudson River Field Office. Staffed by a Director and Community Involvement Coordinator, this office houses EPA field headquarters, provides public information services, and is a center of project data. There has been extensive field work performed since the office was established in 2002. The field efforts were initiated in the summer of 2002 with a sediment sampling program. More than 50,000 samples were collected over the years under that program. In addition, field personnel have overseen the construction of a nearby 110 acre, \$100M+ sediment processing facility. What has been called the largest environmental dredging project will begin next spring. Dredging is expected to continue through 2015. It is particularly important to have a local presence, and central location for construction oversight and community involvement for the dredging program. The Field Office is funded from a site-specific special account established with funds recovered from settlements.

		EPA-Owned	Rent/ Lease	Security Costs	Utility Costs		Reason Provided by Agency for
Location	Employees	Facility? (Y/N)	Costs (Year) ^(a)	(Year) ^(b)	(Year) ^(b)	Total	Maintaining EPA Staff at Location
Virgin Islands- St. Thomas	2	N	\$118,140	\$905	*	\$119,045	This Virgin Islands Coordinator's Office, located in Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas, represents EPA Region 2 in the geographical areas of St. John, St. Croix, and St. Thomas. It is staffed with two full- time equivalents (FTEs) that are responsible for the planning and coordination of activities necessary to implement regional programs, goals, and objectives in the U.S. Virgin Islands. They also represent the Director of the Caribbean Environmental Protection Division in dealings with territorial government officials, the press, other federal agencies, and private organizations; arrange for technical assistance; and support delivery of needed emergency response actions. In addition, these FTEs conduct compliance evaluation inspections at regulated facilities, initiate enforcement actions as necessary, and serve as project officers for grants awarded to the U.S. Virgin Islands.
Subtotals	8		\$165,365	\$905	\$0	\$166,270	
REGION 3							
Pennsylvania- Stroudsburg ¹	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Improves spill response time and builds relationships with the State on important emergency response and homeland security preparedness matters.
Pennsylvania-Titusville	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Improves oil and gas inspection coverage. This location is centrally located to facilities being inspected.
Virginia-Richmond	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Improves spill response time and builds relationships with the State on important emergency response and homeland security preparedness matters.
Subtotals	3		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Location	Employees	EPA-Owned Facility? (Y/N)	Rent/ Lease Costs (Year) ^(a)	Security Costs (Year) ^(b)	Utility Costs (Year) ^(b)	Total	Reason Provided by Agency for Maintaining EPA Staff at Location
REGION 4							
Alabama-Mobile ²	1	Ν	\$0	\$0	\$2,760	\$2,760	Out-posted regional OSCs have proven to be most beneficial to EPA's effectiveness in support of State partners in responding to emergencies. This arrangement has greatly enhanced business relations with those partners and improved both parties' use of limited resources. Out-posted OSCs supports EPA's Strategic Plan Sub-objective 4.1.2, "Reduce Chemical Risks at Facilities and in Communities," wherein EPA has committed to build State capability to prevent, prepare for, and respond to chemical emergencies.
Florida-Marathon	1	Ν	\$0	\$O	\$0	\$0	Office is co-located with the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary where the employee works directly with State and local governments on improving wastewater and storm water treatment in the Florida Keys. Being local he is available for meetings and site visits, which in turn vastly improves coordination and communications. He is also responsible for Special Studies in the Sanctuary and can work directly with researchers on project modifications or other issues as they arise. As a wetlands regulator, he is able to inspect projects more timely, as well as work with other agencies.

Location	Employees	EPA-Owned Facility? (Y/N)	Rent/ Lease Costs (Year) ^(a)	Security Costs (Year) ^(b)	Utility Costs (Year) ^(b)	Total	Reason Provided by Agency for Maintaining EPA Staff at Location
Florida- West Palm Beach	5	Ν	\$102,675	\$0	\$14,672	\$117,347	Water Division: By locating the South Florida Geographic Initiative staff here, EPA employees are able to conduct numerous site inspections associated with Clean Water Act Section 404 permit reviews and enforcement actions. In addition, staff can attend various meetings associated with the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, the Southeast Florida Coral Reef Initiative/Land Based Sources of Pollution Local Action Strategy, and other regional initiatives. By locating staff in the geographic area of interest, EPA core programs and specific activities and projects of the South Florida Geographic Initiative are more effectively implemented. By avoiding travel cost, limited travel money is more efficiently used. Superfund Division: As a Remedial Project Manager in the South Florida office, the employee undertakes Superfund program activities and cross programmatic support functions, including: (1) managing South Florida National Priorities List sites, improved coordination and communication with State and local partners, and improved interaction of federal, State, and local programs; (2) providing field support and local knowledge to other resource project managers; (3) providing coordination and technical assistance to State, and local governments on federal Brownfields initiatives; (4) reviewing Clean Water Act Section 404 and Everglades Restoration projects; and (5) providing Safety & Health support and on-site technical support. This staffing allows for shorter response time and lower travel cost. Local presence results in improved coordination and communication with State and local partners and improved interaction of federal, State, and local programs.

Location	Employees	EPA-Owned Facility? (Y/N)	Rent/ Lease Costs (Year) ^(a)	Security Costs (Year) ^(b)	Utility Costs (Year) ^(b)	Total	Reason Provided by Agency for Maintaining EPA Staff at Location
Georgia-Atlanta	1	Ň	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	In 2007, the Administrator signed a memorandum of understanding with Spellman College, a Historically Black College and University, to further strengthen coordination and cooperation between the parties in several areas. In furtherance of the goals of that memorandum of understanding, Region 4 detailed an employee to work with Spellman faculty, staff, and students on several initiatives, including an environmental policy curriculum, environmental projects, and a fall 2008 environmental conference. The employee also co-teaches three classes.
Hawaii-Honaun	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$O	\$0	As part of an Interagency Agreement between EPA Region 9 and Hawaii, this Region 4 employee competed nationally and obtained a developmental assignment to the State's non-point source and watershed management program. The experience gained will ultimately improve EPA's ability to work with States to achieve positive improvements in water quality on the local watershed scale.
Kentucky-Louisville	1	Ν	\$0	\$0	\$1,495	\$1,495	Out-posted regional OSCs have proven to be most beneficial to EPA's effectiveness in support of State partners in responding to emergencies. This arrangement has greatly enhanced business relations with those partners and improved both parties' use of limited resources. Out-posted OSCs support "EPA's Strategic Plan Sub-objective 4.1.2, Reduce Chemical Risks at Facilities and in Communities," wherein EPA has committed to build State capability to prevent, prepare for, and respond to chemical emergencies.

Location	Employees	EPA-Owned Facility? (Y/N)	Rent/ Lease Costs (Year) ^(a)	Security Costs (Year) ^(b)	Utility Costs (Year) ^(b)	Total	Reason Provided by Agency for Maintaining EPA Staff at Location
North Carolina-Asheville	1	Ň	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	By locating this staff person in North Carolina, she is able to conduct numerous site inspections, participate in State and federal meeting with partner agencies, and conduct project overviews for Clean Water Act Section 404 permits in North Carolina, the second most active Region 4 State for Section 404 permits. The employee is housed at the Corps of Engineers Ashville field office which also creates efficiency for coordination of joint EPA and Corps of Engineers activities.
North Carolina-Raleigh (NC State Univ.)	2	Ν	\$0	\$0	\$1,500	\$1,500	This position is funded by Federal Highways Administration and North Carolina Department of Transportation to improve coordination between the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and Section 404 of the Clean Water Act in the review of transportation projects. She is located in Raleigh for the efficient coordination with and effective responsiveness to these agencies' requests for field visits and meetings. Out-posting results in reduced travel costs. EPA is presently providing office space at Research Triangle Park. The second position, an out- posted regional OSC, has proven to be most beneficial to EPA's effectiveness in support of State partners in responding to emergencies. This arrangement has greatly enhanced business relations with those partners and improved both parties' use of limited resources. Out-posting OSCs is supportive of EPA's Strategic Plan Sub- objective 4.1.2, "Reduce Chemical Risks at Facilities and in Communities," wherein EPA has committed to build State capability to prevent, prepare for, and respond to chemical emergencies.

			_				
Location	Employees	EPA-Owned Facility? (Y/N)	Rent/ Lease Costs (Year) ^(a)	Security Costs (Year) ^(b)	Utility Costs (Year) ^(b)	Total	Reason Provided by Agency for Maintaining EPA Staff at Location
North Carolina-Raleigh (New Bern Ave.)	1	N	\$2,193	\$0	\$0	\$2,193	This position is funded by Federal Highway Administration and North Carolina Department of Transportation to support streamlined NEPA reviews under SAFETEA-LU (Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficiency, Transportation Equity Act - Legacies for Use) Section 6002 for proposed transportation projects in North Carolina. He is located in Raleigh for efficient coordination for project meetings and site reviews. All funding for the position, travel, IT, and administrative cost is provided by these agencies.
Tennessee-Jackson	1	Ν	\$4,728	\$0	\$3,332	\$8,060	Out-posted regional OSCs have proven to be most beneficial to EPA's effectiveness in support of State partners in responding to emergencies. This arrangement has greatly enhanced business relations with those partners and improved both parties' use of limited resources. Out-posted OSCs support EPA's Strategic Plan Sub-objective 4.1.2, "Reduce Chemical Risks at Facilities and in Communities," wherein EPA has committed to build State capability to prevent, prepare for, and respond to chemical emergencies.
Sub Total	15		\$109,596	\$0	\$23,759	\$133,355	
REGION 5							
Illinois-Marion	1	Ν	\$2,400	\$0	\$2,100	\$4,500	See comments for Michigan-Traverse City below. The employee works from an office in the Carb Orchard National Wildlife Refuge within U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) office in Marion. EPA and USFWS have an agreement in place for use of USFWS office space.

Location	Employees	EPA-Owned Facility? (Y/N)	Rent/ Lease Costs (Year) ^(a)	Security Costs (Year) ^(b)	Utility Costs (Year) ^(b)	Total	Reason Provided by Agency for Maintaining EPA Staff at Location
Michigan-Traverse City ²		N	\$0	\$0	\$2,220	\$2,220	Region 5 has established out-stationed offices to better serve and support local emergency responders in the event of a hazmat, oil, major terrorist attack, or other catastrophe. Out-stationed offices have increased service to the States, improved response readiness and coordination of multi-jurisdiction response efforts, and advanced the removal program through awareness, reduction in response times, and outreach with State and local responders. After September 11, 2001, it became apparent that having key personnel in more than one station would prevent shutdowns that would occur if everyone was stationed at the same location. Having personnel in various locations would ensure that there would always be one area that would be functional and accessible in any national disaster. Prior to 9/11, Region 5 was almost the only region with out- stationed offices; today most regions have them. Currently Region 5 Emergency Response Branches have out-stationed OSC positions in Cincinnati, Ohio; Traverse City, Michigan; Minneapolis/St. Paul, Minnesota; Marion, Illinois; and Green Bay, Wisconsin. The employee out-stationed in Traverse City works out of his home, although usually works at temporary duty stations on job sites. He is not compensated for his office space, but, is provided with Internet, mail drop, and a land- line phone.

Location	Employees	EPA-Owned Facility? (Y/N)	Rent/ Lease Costs (Year) ^(a)	Security Costs (Year) ^(b)	Utility Costs (Year) ^(b)	Total	Reason Provided by Agency for Maintaining EPA Staff at Location
Michigan-Traverse City	1	N	\$2,064	\$0	\$1,920	\$3,984	Located in Traverse City, Michigan, Cass Lake, Minnesota, and Keshena, Wisconsin (this employee now works from his home instead of the Stevens Point location), each of the Tribal Environmental Liaisons serve to facilitate the delivery of EPA programs and services to the federally recognized tribes within the State where they are located and provide first-line technical assistance with the development of tribal environmental management capacity. Additional costs include costs for building occupancy service fees such as cleaning, parking, maintenance, etc.; costs for land lines and Internet services; and cell phone services.
Minnesota-Cass Lake ²	1	Ν	\$4,764	\$0	\$3,696	\$8,460	See details for Michigan-Traverse City above.
Minnesota-St. Paul	1	Ν	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	See details for Michigan-Traverse City above. No charges were incurred per our memorandum of understanding with the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA). The employee works from a cubicle within the Emergency Response Group at the MPCA office in St. Paul. EPA and MPCA have a memorandum of understanding in place for use of MPCA office space. MPCA provides the work space at no cost to EPA.
Wisconsin-Green Bay	1	Ν	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	See details for Michigan-Traverse City above. No charges incurred per our agreement with Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR). WDNR is providing a cubicle, locked storage space in the lab and the cage, and unsecured storage in the garage. All utilities (two phone lines and electric) are paid by WDNR. Parking is unlimited and free. All security is automated (key cards, etc) and provided by WDNR.
Wisconsin-Keshena ²	1	Ν	\$0	\$0	\$2,436	\$2,436	See details for Michigan-Traverse City above.

Location	Employees	EPA-Owned Facility? (Y/N)	Rent/ Lease Costs (Year) ^(a)	Security Costs (Year) ^(b)	Utility Costs (Year) ^(b)	Total	Reason Provided by Agency for Maintaining EPA Staff at Location
Wisconsin-Madison	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Water Division has one employee that is out-stationed in Madison at the WDNR offices. He is located at the State office because he is our liaison for the Wisconsin ground water and drinking water programs. His being located at the State office facilitates better knowledge for EPA about the daily workings of the State program that we do not have working from Chicago. The State benefits from having easier access to an EPA staff person to ask program questions that also would be more difficult if that person were located in Chicago. Therefore, the State is providing him with office space at no cost to EPA.
Sub Total	8		\$9,228	\$0	\$12,372	\$21,600	
REGION 6							
Louisiana-New Orleans	1	Ν	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	We have one Senior Environmental Employment employee who works for the Superfund Division for the Brownfield's program.
Oklahoma-Tulsa ¹	2	Ν	\$6,120	\$1,609	\$0	\$7,729	This facility replaced the Pawhuska, Oklahoma, facility. The employees are responsible for the Underground Injection Control (UIC) Program and all aspects of oil and gas production that are not delegated. The work is predominately on tribal land.
Texas-Austin	1	Ν	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	We have an interagency agreement with the Department of Transportation for one employee to work with the Texas Highway Department to perform NEPA reviews of State Highways. The interagency agreement funds will be depleted by March 2009. The EPA employee is co-located with the Department of Transportation. EPA incurs no charges.

		EPA-Owned	Rent/ Lease	Security Costs	Utility Costs		Reason Provided by Agency for
Location	Employees	Facility? (Y/N)	Costs (Year) ^(a)	(Year) ^(b)	(Year) ^(b)	Total	Maintaining EPA Staff at Location
Texas-El Paso ¹	5	Ν	\$31,872	\$371	\$0	\$32,243	The El Paso Border Office staff provides the on-site daily collaboration and partnerships needed to ensure continued environmental progress toward the goals of the U.S Mexico Border 2012 program. The staff partners and collaborates to achieve environmental results through capacity building, partnerships, and projects along the length of the border with Mexico, New Mexico, and Texas. Partners included: State, local, and federal agencies on both sides of the border; non-profit organizations and non governmental organizations; industry and trade associations; academia; grass roots organizations; and other agencies, such as the International Boundary Water Commission and their Mexican counterparts, and the Border Environmental Cooperation Commission, Pan American Health Organizations, and others.
Subtotals	9		\$37,992	\$1,980	\$0	\$39,972	
REGION 7							
Iowa-Des Moines ¹	4	Ν	\$16,488	\$5,676	\$0	\$22,164	At this location there is: one Pesticide inspector who provides support and oversight to the lowa pesticides program; two staff doing direct implementation of the UIC Program because lowa does not have authorization to implement the UIC program; and one Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Inspector, since EPA also directly implements the RCRA program in Iowa.
Iowa-Iowa City	1	Ν	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Iowa requested EPA implement the RCRA program for them. This one EPA employee conducts the RCRA inspections and works out of his home at no cost to EPA.
Kansas-Galena	1	Ν	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	This one EPA employee works on the Tri- County Superfund site, and Kansas provides him space at no cost to EPA.

Location	Employees	EPA-Owned Facility? (Y/N)	Rent/ Lease Costs (Year) ^(a)	Security Costs (Year) ^(b)	Utility Costs (Year) ^(b)	Total	Reason Provided by Agency for Maintaining EPA Staff at Location
Missouri-Fenton ¹	2	N	\$91,656	\$131	\$0	\$91,787	The Fenton location is the site where Superfund stores equipment and vehicles for the many lead sites in eastern Missouri, the largest of which is Herculaneum.
Missouri-Jefferson City ¹	1	Ν	\$4,092	\$96	\$0	\$4,188	This is a Pesticide Field Office, housing a federally credentialed pesticide inspector who provides support and oversight to the Missouri pesticides program. As the primary and ongoing EPA presence in Missouri, this office serves a critical role in facilitating communication on all EPA programs with the general public and regulated community.
Missouri-Kansas City ¹	1	N	\$205,284	\$16,848	\$0	\$222,132	This location is an extension of the regional office facility in Kansas City. Most of the space serves as a warehouse/staging facility for the Region's emergency response group under the Superfund Division. The remaining space houses the Region's Continuity of Operations site, which is also used as a regional training facility, and fabrication/storage sections that support the Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, National Decontamination Team, Airborne Spectral Photometric Environmental Collection Technology (ASPECT) Program.
Nebraska-Lincoln ¹	1	N	\$7,860	\$684	\$0	\$8,544	This is a Pesticide Field Office, housing a federally credentialed pesticide inspector who provides support and oversight to the Nebraska pesticides program. EPA is responsible for direct implementation of pesticide programs in Indian County and this field office is responsible for coordinating and/or implementing pesticide inspection activities on tribal lands.
Subtotals	11		\$325,380	\$23,435	\$0	\$348,815	
REGION 8							
Montana-Butte	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	The EPA Butte Field Office is co-located with the Butte Silver Bow Courthouse. It is our understanding that EPA pays no rent, utilities, or security costs for this facility.

Location	Employees	EPA-Owned Facility? (Y/N)	Rent/ Lease Costs (Year) ^(a)	Security Costs (Year) ^(b)	Utility Costs (Year) ^(b)	Total	Reason Provided by Agency for Maintaining EPA Staff at Location
Montana-Libby	Employees 1	N	\$0	(Tear) \$0	\$0	\$0	
South Dakota-Pierre ¹	1	N	\$2,400	\$76	\$0	\$2,476	Tribal drinking water oversight, co-located with the Indian Health Service.
Subtotals	3		\$2,400	\$76	\$0	\$2,476	
REGION 9							
Arizona-Phoenix	1	Ζ	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Place-based employees. Region 9's place- basing policy provides for stationing experienced employees in priority areas where programmatic outcomes will be enhanced by frequent face-to-face interaction with the public and with other federal, State, and local agencies.
Arizona-Tucson	2	Ν	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Place-based employee. See above explanation.
California-Eureka	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Place-based employee. See above explanation.
California-Long Beach	2	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Place-based employees. See above explanation.
California-Sacramento	4	Ν	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Two place-based employees. Two Intergovernmental Personnel Act (IPAs) employees. See above explanation.
Guam-Agana	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Guam-Barrigada	1	Ν	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Hawaii-Honolulu	2	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	IPA employees. See above explanation.
Nevada-Carson City	3	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Place-based employees. See above explanation.
Nevada-Stateline	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Place-based employee. See above explanation.
New Mexico- Farmington	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	explanation.
Northern Mariana Islands-Saipan, Mariana Isle	3	Ν	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subtotals	22		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Location	Employees	EPA-Owned Facility? (Y/N)	Rent/ Lease Costs (Year) ^(a)	Security Costs (Year) ^(b)	Utility Costs (Year) ^(b)	Total	Reason Provided by Agency for Maintaining EPA Staff at Location
REGION 10							
Alaska-Juneau	3	Ν	\$51,060	\$7,714	\$0	\$58,774	Having staff in the State capital allows frequent personal communication at a senior level with State agencies and facilitates early resolution and prevention of problems before they become serious. The benefits associated with this close proximity to work are: (1) face-to-face real-time interactions between the field office and State improve communication, maximize efficiency, and facilitate effective program results; (2) emergencies and spills are responded to much more quickly, especially in Alaska and Idaho, where emergency response from Seattle is just not feasible; (3) understanding of developing State legislative issues and other State-specific knowledge improve the effectiveness of the Region; (4) partnerships with other federal agencies also located in nearby offices facilitate coordination on related issues; and (5) Performance Partnership Agreement negotiations are easier.
Alaska-Kenai	1	Ν	\$9,636	\$234	\$0	\$9,870	Focuses on Kenai as a priority watershed. Conducts enforcement inspections, outreach; work with Tribes, others. Reviews and comments on Corps of Engineers Clean Water Act Section 404 permits in Kenai. Conducts NEPA reviews on local projects. Serves as Project Officer for wetlands grants and line-item appropriations to Kenai River Watershed Forum. Encourages and assists Kenai communities in wetland/watershed conservation planning and restoration projects. Serves as EPA liaison to Kenai River Center. Assists local, State, and tribal governments in the development of information and strategies for wetland/watershed conservation plans.

		EPA-Owned	Rent/ Lease	Security Costs	Utility Costs		Reason Provided by Agency for
Location	Employees	Facility? (Y/N)	Costs (Year) ^(a)	(Year) ^(b)	(Year) ^(b)	Total	Maintaining EPA Staff at Location
Idaho-Coeur d'Alene	3	N	\$17,088	\$353	\$0	\$17,441	The Coeur d'Alene Basin Superfund clean- up project is a regional priority and, because most of the parties responsible for the contamination are bankrupt, EPA is in the lead for most of the work. The clean-up is expected to cost more than \$2 billion and take approximately 40 years to complete. Maintaining an on-the-ground presence with EPA staff stationed in Coeur d'Alene Basin allows EPA to better respond to community issues, coordinate with the stakeholders, and oversee clean-up work. EPA also has an emergency responder stationed in Coeur d'Alene who has proven to be invaluable in building a stronger relationship with Idaho, as well as local responders, and also providing faster response times to incidents in Eastern Washington and Northern Idaho. This area is rich in abandoned mines and, due to its remoteness and numerous roadways along river corridors, a significant number of transportation and other types of emergency responses. Finally, the Office of Water and Watersheds has one staff person stationed in the Coeur d'Alene field office and has seen real benefits because of the very intensive work they are responsible for in the Spokane/Coeur d'Alene area: development of the Lake Management Plan, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permitting for Spokane River dischargers, the Total Maximum Daily Load for the Spokane River; and more. These activities require intensive interaction with local and State officials in the Spokane- Coeur d'Alene area, and EPA's success is
							partly a function of that interaction.

Location	Employees	EPA-Owned Facility? (Y/N)	Rent/ Lease Costs (Year) ^(a)	Security Costs (Year) ^(b)	Utility Costs (Year) ^(b)	Total	Reason Provided by Agency for Maintaining EPA Staff at Location
Idaho-Pocatello	1	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Community Involvement Coordinator for the Eastern Michaud Flats and FMC site closure/redevelopment. Promotes EPA/Shoshone-Bannock Tribal trust relationship. Supports Portneuf watershed restoration by identifying projects, building local problem-solving capacity.
Oregon-Eugene	1	Ν	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Assists in the development, review, and implementation of basin and watershed protection and restoration strategies in southwest Oregon, the Willamette Valley, and the Mid Coast basin. Participates with State on local communities, workgroups, and interagency teams. Helps focus EPA resources on local issues, and provides policy input to regional and state program managers. Participates on Northwest Forest Plan Province Committees.
Oregon-La Grande	1	Ν	\$3,564	\$152	\$O	\$3,716	Provides services to under-serviced areas of eastern Oregon through technical and financial assistance and working with local entities through other opportunities under various EPA programs; develops interagency, tribal, and community networks; and serves as liaison between EPA and local entities.
Washington-Prosser	1	Ν	\$2,628	\$47	\$0	\$2,675	Delivers technical and policy information related to pesticides and Food Quality Protection Act directly to farmers, ranchers, local and State agencies, and interest groups. Provides technical assistance to growers transitioning from the most toxic pesticides and those being phased out by EPA. Serves as the liaison for EPA to area research programs and advocacy groups to evaluate, encourage, and promote the use of integrated pest management and reduce reliance on the most toxic pesticides.
TOTAL	11		\$83,976	\$8,500	\$0	\$92,476	

Location	Employees	EPA-Owned Facility? (Y/N)	Rent/ Lease Costs (Year) ^(a)	Security Costs (Year) ^(b)	Utility Costs (Year) ^(b)	Total	Reason Provided by Agency for Maintaining EPA Staff at Location
Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance (OECA) ^(c)							
Arizona-Phoenix ¹	0	Ν	\$63,012	\$3,159	\$0	\$66,171	OECA Criminal Investigation Division (CID) Resident Office - Reports to a CID Area Office (either directly or through a CID Field Office) and is responsible for the conduct of criminal investigations in their geographic area of responsibility.
California-Sacramento ¹	1	N	\$74,964	\$3,809	\$0	\$78,773	OECA CID Resident Office - see details for OECA Arizona-Phoenix above.
Connecticut-New Haven ¹	3	N	\$95,976	\$28,883	\$0	\$124,859	OECA CID Resident Office - see details for OECA Arizona-Phoenix above.
Florida-Jacksonville ¹	4	Ν	\$148,104	\$3,585	\$0	\$151,689	OECA CID National Computer Forensics Lab - a national response team providing computer evidence search and seizure assistance to CID offices nationwide by performing analysis of seized computer evidence, training, and conducting research and development.
Florida-Tampa ¹	1	N	\$60,348	\$1,109	\$0	\$61,457	OECA CID Resident Office - see details for OECA Arizona-Phoenix above.
Georgia-Brunswick ¹	2	N	\$102,804	\$1,569	\$0	\$104,373	OECA CID Secured Storage Facility - a warehouse space and storage facility used to house, receive, and distribute law enforcement communications, IT, personal property, equipment, and supplies (i.e., weapons and ammunition).
Georgia-Glynco	4	Ν	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	OECA CID - Glynco - Federal Law Enforcement Training Center. An interagency law enforcement training site within the Department of Homeland Security training facility.
Idaho-Boise	2	N	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	OECA CID Resident Office - see details for OECA Arizona-Phoenix above.
Indiana-Indianapolis ¹	2	N	\$65,527	\$1,432	\$0	\$66,959	OECA CID Resident Office - see details for OECA Arizona-Phoenix above.
Kentucky-Louisville ¹	2	N	\$42,876	\$8,368	\$0	\$51,244	OECA CID Resident Office - see details for OECA Arizona-Phoenix above.
Louisiana-Baton Rouge ¹	5	Ν	\$149,664	\$1,859	\$0	\$151,523	OECA CID Resident Office - see details for OECA Arizona-Phoenix above.

Location	Employees	EPA-Owned Facility? (Y/N)	Rent/ Lease Costs (Year) ^(a)	Security Costs (Year) ^(b)	Utility Costs (Year) ^(b)	Total	Reason Provided by Agency for Maintaining EPA Staff at Location	
Minnesota-Minneapolis ¹	2	N	\$40,476	\$3,267	\$0	\$43,743	OECA CID Resident Office - see details for OECA Arizona-Phoenix above.	
Mississippi-Jackson ¹	1	Ν	\$18,732	\$4,306	\$0	\$23,038	OECA CID Resident Office - see details for OECA Arizona-Phoenix above.	
Missouri-St Louis ¹	2	N	\$53,820	\$16,101	\$0	\$69,921	OECA CID Resident Office - see details for OECA Arizona-Phoenix above.	
Missouri-Springfield ¹	1	N	\$7,764	\$168	\$0	\$7,932	Lease expired 8/30/2008.	
New Jersey-Trenton ¹	4	Ν	\$84,840	\$4,948	\$0	\$89,788	OECA CID Resident Office - see details for OECA Arizona-Phoenix above.	
New York-Syracuse ¹	5	N	\$34,368	\$797	\$0	\$35,165	OECA CID Resident Office - see details for OECA Arizona-Phoenix above.	
North Carolina- Charlotte	1	N	\$21,192	\$381	\$0	\$21,573	OECA CID Resident Office - see details for OECA Arizona-Phoenix above.	
North Carolina-Raleigh	5	N	\$3,552	\$116	\$0	\$3,668	OECA office, storage, parking.	
Oregon-Portland ¹	4	N	\$142,404	\$1,846	\$0	\$144,250	OECA CID Resident Office - see details for OECA Arizona-Phoenix above.	
Tennessee-Knoxville ¹	3	Ν	\$45,960	\$476	\$0	\$46,436	OECA CID Resident Office - see details for OECA Arizona-Phoenix above.	
Tennessee-Nashville ¹	2	Ν	\$35,208	\$6,259	\$0	\$41,467	OECA CID Resident Office - see details for OECA Arizona-Phoenix above.	
Utah-Salt Lake City ¹	1	N	\$38,220	\$10,069	\$0	\$48,289	OECA CID Resident Office - see details for OECA Arizona-Phoenix above.	
West Virginia- Charleston	2	N	unknown	\$0	\$0	\$0	OECA CID Resident Office - see details for OECA Arizona-Phoenix above.	
Subtotals	59		\$1,329,811	\$102,509	\$0	\$1,432,320		
Office of Inspector General								
Tennessee-Winchester	1	Ν	\$6,878	\$0	\$2,028	\$8,906	An agent was assigned to Winchester to allow better coverage and save on travel costs to conduct investigations in Tennessee and Kentucky. These States have had a history of numerous investigations. Estimated annual cost savings in salary due to lower locality pay is estimated to be \$17,314	
Subtotals	1		\$6,878	\$0	\$2,028	\$8,906		

Location	Employees	EPA-Owned Facility? (Y/N)	Rent/ Lease Costs (Year) ^(a)	Security Costs (Year) ^(b)	Utility Costs (Year) ^(b)	Total	Reason Provided by Agency for Maintaining EPA Staff at Location
Office of Research and Development							
Virginia-Hampton	1	Ν	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	The efforts of this employee at NASA Langley Research Center in Hampton are focused on mutual interest between the EPA Office of Research and Development National Exposure Research Laboratory and the NASA Science Mission directorate Earth Science Division Applied Sciences Program in the area of air quality and public health. The National Exposure Research Laboratory conducts research and development on improved methods, measurements and models to assess and predict exposure of humans and ecosystems to harmful pollutants and other conditions in air, water, soil, and monitoring methods; computer modeling of pollutants transport and fate; monitoring network design; environmental indicators; and design of exposure assessment studies. NASA Earth Science Division supports research to answer fundamental sciences questions about the Earth system and produces many important results that are of near-term practical use to society. The Earth Sciences Division Applied Sciences Program focuses on extending the application of NASA Earth science research and technology to support partner organizations management and decision making. Two of the key applications areas that the Applied Sciences Program addresses are Air Quality and Public Health.
Subtotals	1		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Location Office of Air and Radiation	Employees	EPA-Owned Facility? (Y/N)	Rent/ Lease Costs (Year) ^(a)	Security Costs (Year) ^(b)	Utility Costs (Year) ^(b)	Total	Reason Provided by Agency for Maintaining EPA Staff at Location
Florida-Key West	1	Ν	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Office of Air and Radiation placed-based employee co-located with the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration at no charge
Subtotals	1		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

TOTALS	<u>152</u>	\$2,070,626	<u>\$137,405</u>	<u>\$38,159</u>	\$2,246,190
--------	------------	-------------	------------------	-----------------	-------------

<u>Notes</u>

(a) Rent/Lease Costs – FY 2008 projected Costs

(b) Security/Utilities - FY 2007 costs

(c) OECA CID offices typically include special use space including secure storage, conference rooms, and interview rooms

1 Full service leases - utilities are included in the base rent

2 Other costs such as LAN lines, cell phones are included under utilities

Appendix A

Distribution

Office of the Administrator Assistant Administrator, Office of Administration and Resources Management Regional Administrators, Regions 1-10 Office of General Counsel Agency Follow-up Official (the CFO) Agency Follow-up Coordinator Associate Administrator for Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations Associate Administrator for Public Affairs Deputy Inspector General