### **Fact Sheet**

8/14/98

### FINAL AIR REGULATION FOR ARCHITECTURAL COATINGS

TODAYS ACTION...

. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is today issuing a final regulation to

control volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from architectural coatings.

 $\ensuremath{\text{VOCs}}$  contribute significantly to the formation of ground-level ozone, the primary

constituent of smog.

. Architectural coatings are commonly applied by consumers and contractors, and

include products such as exterior and interior paints, industrial maintenance

coatings, wood and roof coatings, primers, and traffic paints.

### WHY IS EPA REGULATING ARCHITECTURAL COATINGS?

. In the past, the Clean Air Act has focused on reducing VOC  ${\tt emissions}$  from

mobile sources (cars and trucks) and stationary sources, such as power plants and

factories. Requiring additional controls on these sources may be very costly for  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) +\left$ 

the emissions reductions achieved. Regulating consumer and  $\operatorname{commercial}$ 

products (which include architectural coatings) may prove to be a more cost-effective way of substantially reducing VOC emissions nationwide.

. Under the Clean Air Act, EPA was required to 1) study emissions of  $\ensuremath{\text{VOCs}}$  from

consumer and commercial products; 2) list those categories of products that

account for at least 80 percent of the total VOC emissions on a reactivity-adjusted

basis in areas of the country that fail to meet the national air quality standards set

for ground-level ozone; and 3) divide the list into four groups, and regulate one

group every two years using best available controls, as defined by the Clean  $\operatorname{Air}$ 

Act.

. The EPA issued a study and report to Congress in March of 1995, Study of

Volatile Organic Compound Emissions from Consumer and Commercial

Products, which evaluated the contribution of VOC emissions from  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{consumer}}$  and

commercial products on ground-level ozone levels, and established criteria for  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right)$ 

prioritizing and a schedule for regulating these products under the Clean Air Act.

. One volume of the study contains a broad inventory of VOC emissions from  $\,$ 

consumer and commercial products, including architectural coatings. The study

found that consumer and commercial products, such as architectural and other

surface coatings, personal care products, and household cleaning products,

contribute about 3.3 million tons (approximately 28 percent) annually of VOC

emissions in areas that do not meet air quality standards for ground-level ozone.

. Based on this emissions inventory, and on a large body of existing scientific  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) +\left($ 

knowledge on the role of VOC in ozone formation,  $\ensuremath{\mathtt{EPA}}$  found that  $\ensuremath{\mathtt{VOC}}$ 

emissions from consumer and commercial products --including paints --do have

the potential to contribute to ozone levels that exceed air quality standards.

. EPA determined that architectural coatings are a significant source of largely

unregulated VOC emissions. Based on this and other criteria,  $\mbox{architectural}$ 

coatings were placed in the first grouping of products to be regulated no later than  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right$ 

March of 1997. Because of this missed deadline, EPA entered into a consent

decree with the Sierra Club and committed to finalize the rule by August 15,  $\,$ 

1998.

### WHAT ARE THE HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFITS?

.  ${\tt EPA's}$  final regulation is expected to reduce emissions of VOCs by 113,500 tons

per year, representing a 20 percent reduction from 1990 levels.

main component in forming ground-level ozone. Exposure to ground-level ozone

can damage lung tissue and cause serious respiratory illness.

method.

WHAT DOES THE REGULATION REQUIRE?

.  ${\tt EPA's}$  rule establishes a VOC content limit for each of the 61 categories of

architectural coatings.

. Requirements are based on demonstrated technologies; many coatings on the

market already meet the limits in the rule.

. In cases where a coating meets more than one category definition, generally the  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$ 

lowest applicable VOC content limit would apply. However, there are exceptions

to this requirement which EPA notes in an "exceptions paragraph" in the rule.

. Manufacturers and importers are required to comply with requirements one year  ${}^{\prime}$ 

after publication of the final rule in the Federal Register.

## CAN PRODUCTS MANUFACTURED PRIOR TO THE COMPLIANCE DATE CONTINUE TO BE SOLD?

. Products manufactured prior to the compliance date can continue to be sold (and  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) +$ 

applied) until the manufacturer or importer's stock is depleted.

# WHAT ARE THE RECORDKEEPING, REPORTING, AND LABELING REQUIREMENTS?

. The rule requires manufacturers and importers of architectural coatings to submit

a one-time initial  $\mbox{ notification report one year after the rule is published in the }$ 

Federal Register. This report must include: 1) the company name and street

addresses for the company's facilities that are producing, packaging, or  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) +\left$ 

repackaging architectural coatings, 2) the list of categories of architectural

coatings (from the 61 categories identified in the rule) that the manufacturer or

importer plans to continue to manufacture or import after the rule takes effect, and

3) an explanation for each architectural coating product date code (i.e., how the  $\,$ 

code translates into the date of manufacture of the product).

. The rule requires manufacturers and importers to label each coating container with

the date of manufacture (or a date code representing the date), a statement of the  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) \left($ 

manufacturer's recommendation regarding thinning, and either the VOC content

of the coating or the VOC limit for the product that is specified in the rule (as long

as this limit is not exceeded). Manufacturers and importers are required to label

industrial maintenance coatings with at least one of the several labeling choices

listed in the rule (e.g., "for industrial use only" or "not for residential use").

. The rule requires additional recordkeeping, reporting and labeling requirements if

a manufacturer or importer chooses to use any of the optional compliance

provisions, such as the recycled coating provision, the tonnage exemption, and the

exceedance fee provision.  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{EPA}}$  will use this information to monitor compliance

with the standards.

HOW WOULD THE PROPOSED RULE PROVIDE FLEXIBILITY TO COMPANIES?

 ${\tt EPA}$  provides companies with several optional compliance mechanisms for meeting

regulatory requirements which include:

A market-based option which enables a company to continue manufacturing

architectural coatings with VOC contents higher than the limits included in the  $\,$ 

final rule through payment of a per gallon "exceedance fee." The total

exceedance fee payment for a company would be based on 1) the number of

gallons of product which exceed the limit, and 2) the amount (weight) of VOC in

the product which is in excess of the VOC content limit.

A provision which enables each manufacturer to exempt products which result in  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) +\left($ 

a specified combined total tonnage of VOC per year. This allows a manufacturer  $\,$ 

the option to exempt a number of products as long as the total amount of  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{VOC}}$ 

contained in the products does not exceed the exemption level. The tonnage

exemption level decreases over the first two years of implementation. In  $2001\,$ 

and beyond, the tonnage exemption is set at 10 tons per year. This provision is

expected to allow some specialty, niche products to be exempted from  ${\tt VOC}$ 

requirements.

A compliance option which allows manufacturers of architectural coatings to

calculate a higher allowable VOC content to account for the amount of "post-consumer coating" incorporated into their recycled paint products.

### WHO IS AFFECTED BY THE RULE?

.  ${\tt EPA's}$  rule applies to approximately 500 architectural coating manufacturers and

importers who sell or distribute architectural coatings in the United States.

.  $\mbox{EPA's rule would not affect the following: architectural coatings}$  that are

manufactured exclusively for sale or distribution outside the United States;

architectural coatings manufactured prior to the compliance date (one year after  $\$ 

publication of the final rule in the Federal Register);
architectural coatings that are

sold in nonrefillable aerosol containers; architectural coatings that are collected

and redistributed at paint exchanges; and architectural coatings sold in containers

with a volume of one liter or less.

### HOW MUCH WILL EPA'S RULE COST?

. The total annualized cost of today's rule for the entire industry is estimated to be

roughly \$32 million (in 1996 dollars). The estimated cost effectiveness of the rule

is \$270 per megagram (\$250 per ton) of VOC emission reduction. The rule is

expected to have very slight impact on the cost of architectural coatings (less than  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right$ 

one-tenth of one percent of baseline costs).

HOW WILL THE NATIONAL RULE PROMOTE CONSISTENCY IN ARCHITECTURAL COATING RULE REQUIREMENTS ACROSS THE COUNTRY?

. Although several States have their own regulations limiting VOC  $\operatorname{\mathsf{emissions}}$  from

architectural coatings, at least 14 States are relying on the anticipated reductions

from EPA's architectural coatings rule to contribute toward VOC reductions goals

established under the Clean Air Act.

. Without the  $\mbox{EPA's national rule,} \ \mbox{many States would need to make}$  up the

shortfall in planned emission reductions by either adopting their own  $\mbox{\it State}$ 

expensive reductions from local industries and businesses.

. The National Governors' Association and Environmental Council of States, and

the associations representing state and local air program administrators, have

called upon  ${\tt EPA}$  to expedite adoption of national rules for architectural coatings

and other consumer and commercial products.

WHAT OUTREACH IS EPA PLANNING TO HELP EDUCATE SMALL BUSINESSES ABOUT THE RULE REOUIREMENTS?

year that will present rule concepts using examples to illustrate the specific

requirements in the rule. EPA will coordinate preparation of this guidance

document with small business representatives.

.  $\;\;$  EPA plans to participate in two seminars being held by the National Paint and

Coatings Association (NPCA) which are expected to be scheduled in late October  $\,$ 

and early November of this year at a location on the east coast and in the midwest.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION...

. Interested parties can obtain the final rule from  ${\tt EPA's}$  website on the Internet

under "recent actions" at the following address:
(http://www.epa.gov/ttn/oarpg).

The notice and background documentation is also available through EPA's Air

and Radiation Docket and Information Center (Docket Number A-92-18) by

calling (202) 260-7548 or FAX (202) 260-4000 (a reasonable fee may be charged

for copying). For further information about the rule, contact Ellen Ducey at  $\mbox{EPA's}$ 

Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards at (919) 541-5408 or by electronic

mail at: ducey.ellen@epa.gov.

The EPA's Office of Air and Radiation's home page on the Internet contains a

wide range of information on air pollution programs and issues. The Office of Air

and Radiation's home page address is: (http://www.epa.gov/oar/).