### DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION Interim Final 2/5/99 RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) Current Human Exposures Under Control

Facility Name:U.S. Army Garrison, Fort Belvoir – North AreaFacility Address:7789 Cissna Road, Fort Belvoir, VA 22060Facility EPA ID #:VA1210000906

- 1. Has **all** available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, groundwater, surface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been **considered** in this EI determination?
  - $\boxtimes$

If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.

- If no re-evaluate existing data, or
- If data are not available, skip to #6 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code.

# BACKGROUND

# Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

### **Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI**

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate riskbased levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

### **Relationship of EI to Final Remedies**

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

### **Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations**

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air **media** known or reasonably suspected to be "**contaminated**"<sup>1</sup> above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>?</u>	Rationale / Key Contaminants
Groundwater	X			TCE*, RDX*, 2,4/2,6-DNT*, 2-DNT, petroleum compounds, metals
Air (indoors) <sup>2</sup>		X		
Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft)		X		
Surface Water		X		
Sediment		X		
Subsurf. Soil (e.g., >2 ft)	X			Petroleum compounds, TCE, 2,4/2,6 DNT, metals
Air (outdoors)		Х		

- If no (for all media) skip to #6, and enter "YE," status code after providing or citing appropriate "levels," and referencing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating that these "levels" are not exceeded.
- If yes (for any media) continue after identifying key contaminants in each "contaminated" medium, citing appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing supporting documentation.

If unknown (for any media) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

**Rationale:** TCE\*- trichlorethylene; RDX\*- Royal Demolition Explosive; DNT\*-dinitrotoluene.

Contaminants in the media checked 'Yes' above were found at levels that were above EPA Region 3's 'Regional Screening Levels' (RSLs), which are risk based levels that indicate risks to human health over a life time of exposure. Any incidental exposure to contaminants at levels above the RSLs will not necessarily exceed EPA's risk goals of 10<sup>-4</sup> to 10<sup>-6</sup> for carcinogenic contaminants or the non-carcinogenic hazardous quotient of 1. Groundwater is the media most impacted by contaminants. Natural attenuation is the primary means of attaining groundwater clean-up.

**Reference:** RCRA Facility Investigation Reports for SWMUs submitted to US EPA, Region 3.

#### Footnotes:

<sup>1</sup> "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

 $^{2}$  Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

"Contaminated" Media	Residents	Workers	Day-Care	Construction	Trespassers	Recreation	Food <sup>3</sup>
Groundwater	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
Air (indoors)						6	
Soil (surface, e.g., <2 ft)							
Surface Water							
Sediment							
Soil (subsurface e.g., >2 ft)	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
Air (outdoors)							

# <u>Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table</u> Potential <u>Human Receptors</u> (Under Current Conditions)

Instructions for <u>Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table</u>:

1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not "contaminated" as identified in #2 above.

2. Enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media -- Human Receptor combination (Pathway).

Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces ("\_\_\_\_"). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary.

- If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in-place, whether natural or manmade, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet to analyze major pathways).
- If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media Human Receptor combination) continue after providing supporting explanation.
- If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media Human Receptor combination) skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

**Rationale:** Contaminated soil has been removed from a number of Areas of Concern (AOCs). Many AOCs are in areas not frequented by workers or residents. Locations of AOCs and other current or previously contaminated areas are recorded digitally in GIS data layers used by Facility departments with property management aspects. An excavation permit must be submitted for approval to FTBL's Directorate of Public Works prior to any soil disturbance activities. In this way, disturbances to contaminated areas are controlled. Some AOCs are fenced with controlled access. For AOCs with final remedy decisions, a Land Use Control (LUC) document is recorded in the Facility Master Plan, GIS layers and other operational documents. The Facility relies on public water and sewer and therefore does not use on-site groundwater for drinking water or any other purposes.

**Reference:** Remedial Investigation Reports for AOCs submitted to US EPA, Region 3, including human health risk assessments.

<sup>3</sup> Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

- 4. Can the **exposures** from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be "**significant**"<sup>4</sup> (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable "levels" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable "levels") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?
  - If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
  - If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
    - If unknown (for any complete pathway) skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code

**Rationale:** 

 $\square$ 

**Reference:** 

<sup>4</sup> If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

5.	Can the "significant" exposures (identified in #4) be shown to be within acceptable limits?
	If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing <u>and</u> referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).
	If no - (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable")- continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.
	If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter "IN" status code.
Rationale and R	eference(s):

- Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI (event code CA725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility).
  - $\boxtimes$ YE - Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI Determination, "Current Human Exposures" are expected to be "Under Control" at the (insert facility and EPA ID #), located at (insert address) under current and reasonably expected conditions. This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency/State becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.
    - NO "Current Human Exposures" are NOT "Under Control."
    - IN More information is needed to make a determination.

(title) Associate Director, Office of Remediation (3LC20)

US EPA, Region 3

Completed by

6.

**Barbara** Smith

Date: June 14, 2015

Supervisor

(signature) (print) (title) Project Manager (3LC20)

Date June 14, 2015

Locations where References may be found:

**US EPA Region III** Land and Chemicals Division 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

Virginia Department of Environmental Quality Office of Remediation Programs 629 East Main Street Richmond, VA 23219

(signature)

(print) Luis Pizarro

(EPA Region or State)

Contact telephone numbers and e-mail

(name)	Barbara Smith	
(phone #)	(215) 814-5786	
(e-mail)	smith.barbara@epa.gov	

(name)	
(phone #)	
(e-mail)	