

Selection and Weighting Worksheet for Recovery Potential Indicators

Selection Instructions: You can treat this form not as rigid guidance but as a menu of candidate indicator concepts to stimulate workgroup thinking, discussion and indicator selection. 1) Select the indicators that are appropriate to the study area and the primary purpose of your recovery potential screening; see indicator summaries and indicator-specific fact sheets at <http://www.epa.gov/recoverypotential/> for more information about individual metrics. 2) Modify or write in additional indicators in the appropriate columns, as desired. 3) Weighting your indicators: To reflect your initial assumptions of each indicator's importance to recovery potential in your area, assign a weighting factor from 1 to 5 to each of the indicators (5 is highest) or leave all of them at equal weighting. 4) Merge the completed sheets from your workgroup to identify candidate indicators and weights, then use group results to work on consensus for indicator selection.

Name:	Date:
Project:	Location:

	ECOLOGICAL METRICS		STRESSOR METRICS		SOCIAL CONTEXT METRICS
	Watershed natural structure		Watershed-level disturbance		Leadership, organization and engagement
	watershed % natural cover		watershed % agriculture		watershed organizational leadership
	watershed % forest		watershed % steep slope agriculture		watershed collaboration
	watershed % wetlands		watershed # of CAFOs		corridor owner-occupied residential
	watershed woody vegetation		watershed # of septic systems		government agency involvement
	watershed topographic complexity		watershed % impervious cover		participation rate in land conservation programs
	watershed forest patch mean area		watershed % tile-drained cropland		large watershed management potential
	watershed soil resilience		watershed % U index (non-natural cover)		university proximity
	watershed % streamlength unimpaired		watershed % urban		political support
	watershed shape		watershed road density		Protective ownership or regulation
	watershed size		Corridor or near-shore disturbance		watershed % protected land
	Corridor and shorelands stability		corridor % impervious cover		applicable regulation
	bank stability/soils		corridor % tile-drained cropland		Level of information, certainty and planning
	bank stability/woody vegetation		corridor % U-index		certainty of causal linkages
	corridor % forest		corridor % urban		% identified stressor sources
	corridor % woody veg		corridor % agriculture		certainty of restoration practices
	corridor % wetlands		linear % of channel through agriculture		TMDL or other plan existence
	corridor slope		corridor road crossings		watershed education level
	corridor soil erosion potential		corridor road density		ratio #TMDLs/#impairments
	corridor soil type		Hydrologic alteration		% of stream miles assessed
	shoreline % forested		aquatic barriers		% of lake acres assessed
	shoreline % woody veg		channelization		Restoration cost, difficulty, or complexity
	Flow and channel dynamics		hydrologic alteration		estimated restoration cost
	natural channel form		relative net water demand		jurisdictional complexity
	corridor groundwater level		water use intensity		landownership complexity
	channel slope		Biotic or climatic risks		recovery time frame
	sinuosity		elevation		Socio-economic considerations
	confinement ratio		invasive species risk		Environmental Justice area of concern
	channel evolution status		Severity of pollutant loading		local socio-economic conditions
	fine sediment transport capacity		number of 303(d) listed causes		Human health, beneficial uses, recognition and incentives
	natural flow regime		number of permits		watershed population

