1	EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 <u>EPA PUBLIC MEETING</u>
2	OCEAN DISCHARGE CRITERIA
3	<u>September 12, 2000</u>
4	<u>1:00 p.m.</u>
5	
б	MR. BOB HOWARD: Hello everybody. I want
7	to welcome everybody to the public hearing on cruise ship discharge. My
8	name is Bob Howard, and I am acting today as Beverly Banister who is
9	our division director for our Water Division out of EPA Region Four in
10	Atlanta.
11	She was delayed this morning. She will be here very shortly
12	and she will join the panel when she gets here. I'm going to give
13	introductory remarks for her.
14	This session is to receive comments on an assessment that
15	EPA will be preparing regarding cruise ship discharges. I'm very
16	pleased that you're here and look forward to hearing your comments on
17	this subject.
18	Before going any further I want to introduce the panel that
19	will be here and receiving the comments. First, we have Craig Vogt.
20	He is the Deputy Director of the Oceans and Coastal Protection Division
21	with our EPA Headquarters in Washington DC.
22	Next to him is Captain Brian Basel. He is Chief of the
23	Marine Safety Division with the U.S. Coast Guard and their
24	Headquarters.
25	And to his left is Satish Kastury. He's the Administrator of
26	Hazardous Waste Program for the Florida Department of Environmental
27	Protection.
28	I just want to reflect on the regional significance to Region
29	Four, to Florida, and to South Florida of the cruise ship industry, and to
30	put into perspective for here. As you may have heard, there's been two
31	public hearings, one in Los Angeles, and one in Juneau.
32	This is a particularly important issue in the Southeast. The
33	cruise ship industry is very important to the economy and to the
34	environment in this area. Over four million passengers embark on cruise
35	ships per year in the South Florida area out of the Port of Miami, Port
36	Everglades, Port at West Palm, Port at Canaveral, and the Port at Tampa.
37	

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 In addition to these four million passengers who are 1 2 currently enjoying the cruise ship industry there are proposals for expansion of the industry. There are a number of new ships that will be 3 4 coming online with increased number of passengers in the very near 5 future. 6 The industry is also counting on that increase continuing due 7 to retiring Baby Boomers and a continuing positive economy that we're experiencing in this country. 8 9 These increased ships and these increased numbers of people bring certain effects. One is an increase to the economy. It will help 10 11 the Southeast Region to bring in the additional economic benefits. But as well, there are increased waste management concerns: 12 13 There are increased sewage, gray water, solid waste, hazardous waste, and oily bilge water that emanates from these cruise ships. 14 We're pleased to be part of the effort to take a look and 15 16 perform an assessment of these discharges from this industry, to look at the current statutes, to look at the regulations and practices, to work 17 with the cruise industry, and to work with other stakeholders to assure 18 that the issues are well defined and appropriate actions would be taken. 19 20 The goal: To assure the protection of public health and the environment through a reasonable and efficient process. With that, 21 22 again, I wanted to thank you and welcome you to the Region Four 23 Southeast part of the county for those of you who are from elsewhere. 24 I will now turn over the meeting to Craig Vogt who will 25 preside over the presentations and the commenting. MR. CRAIG VOGT: Good afternoon. It is my 26 27 pleasure to be here. I am very pleased that Beverly Banister has joined us on my left, Water Division Director in our Region Four Office, just 28 29 in time to hear Bob wrap up her remarks. That's perfect for you. We're first going to do a couple opening remarks, 30 presentations actually. First one by the Coast Guard and one by the 31 State of Florida, and then I'll do a little show and tell in terms of what 32 EPA is doing in terms of response to the Blue Water Network Petition 33 and sort of status of where things are. 34 35 I do want to introduce two folks that I have with me today down here. Almost at the head table Tom Charlton. Stand up and show 36 37 yourself. He's from our office of Waste Water Management, the NPDES

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 Permit Program EPA Headquarters. And Dorn Carlson, he's with the 1 2 Ocean Protection Division, my division from EPA, of course. Those guys are going to tell me what we heard today. 3 4 So with that, Bob Kirk from the U.S. Coast Guard is going 5 to give us a little presentation, thank you. б MR. BOB KIRK: Good afternoon. I'm just going to give a short presentation on generally what the Coast Guard 7 looks at when we go on board cruise ships. The Passenger Vessel 8 9 Control Verification Program was established in 1968. And under this program basically under the authority of 46 10 USC 3505 and 3303 it states that all foreign passenger vessels that 11 12 embark passengers from a U.S. port must be examined by the Coast 13 Guard. We do this four times a year. 14 We break it down to what we call three quarterly exams, and one annual exam. The quarterly examination typically lasts three to four 15 16 hours, and its primary focus is on crew performance. We're there to see if the crew can adequately handle 17 18 emergency situations. So we go on board and we do fire drills, abandon ship drills, where we lower and release the lifeboats. We do damage 19 20 control drills where we simulate groundings and collisions where the 21 ship is taking on water, and they have to handle these kind of 22 emergencies. 23 That's the primary focus of a quarterly examination. Along 24 with that we also spot check the vessel. We may go around and check 25 smoke detectors, fire screen door, and walk through the ship looking for fire and safety hazards and any modification in general. 26 27 That's about the scope of your normal quarterly examination. 28 On an annual examination, which is typically six to eight hours, again, 29 we do drills, but then we get much more focused on equipment and systems. We take a detailed look at fire detection/fire protection 30 systems. We check smoke detectors throughout the ship. We test water 31 32 tight doors down below. We test fire pumps. We look much more 33 heavily at the equipment and system side during an annual. 34 Far as pollution prevention the Coast Guard focuses on three 35 areas: Oil, oily bilge water, sewage, black water, and garbage. Those are the primary three things that the Coast Guard focuses on. 36 We do this under various U.S. and International laws and 37

treaties. Under oil one of the first things we look at is the International
 Oil Pollution Prevention Certificate or the IOPP. This certificate attests
 to the fact that the vessel is in full compliance with Annex One to
 prevent pollution from oil.

5 So we look at the certificate that states that the vessel has 6 been surveyed, that the equipment has been inspected and tested, and 7 that everything is satisfactory. This is where did you can go on board 8 and look and find out what type of equipment: Oily water separator is 9 on board. You can tell where the tanks are located, which tanks are 10 being used to hold the waste.

11 Next we look at the oil record book. The oil record book is 12 a record of all types of transfers and operations involving the taking on 13 of bunkers or fuel in port, the discharging of waste oil ashore, discharge 14 of oily bilge water at sea through properly operating oily water 15 separator.

16 This book keeps a record or tract of all these operations. 17 They're required to put the date, the place, the time, the location, the 18 amount, and the person who is actually doing the operation is required to 19 sign it. Then when the page is completed the Master upon his review is 20 also required to sign it.

Then we actually go down and test the oil water separator. We make sure that the 15 parts per million monitor and alarm are functioning properly, the overboard discharge value closes when it's supposed to. So these are some of the things we look at in more detail on an annual examination. We look at all transfer procedures, we visit the bunker stations and things like that.

Secondly we focus on garbage. July of '98 passenger vessels
are required to come certified under the International Safe Management
Code ISM Code. Part of that requirement was the development of the
Safety Management Systems.

In those systems they had to basically layout all the procedures and policies that a ship has to do on a daily basis. Some of that was environmental policies and environmental procedures. So you go on board get a look at how they're supposed to handle their garbage. A lot of the cruise ships have waste or garbage plans.

36 So you take a look at that plan and that plan will tell you 37 how they're supposed to handle garbage an a day to day basis. They're

supposed to separate the plastic, separate food waste, things like this.
 The garbage log is just like the oil record book. It's a log
 that keeps track of when they land the garbage ashore or anytime they
 discharge the garbage at sea this is all supposed to be recorded.

5 During the inspection we go down to the places where they 6 generate a lot of the garbage or the waste. The reefer spaces, the dry 7 storage places, the galley, the crew spaces. This is where all the work 8 of the ship is actually going on. We go down we and look at those to 9 see if they're actually following the procedure that they're supposed to.

We visit the garbage handling room. We go and look at the
incinerator to make sure it's operating, and make sure that they're
separating plastics and things like that.

13 Sewage, black water: We go down and examine the marine 14 sanitation devise. We make sure it's visibly and structurally sound, it's 15 not wasted. We make sure they have the proper supplies required by the 16 manufacturer for the plant that's properly operating.

Then we've got a couple of other things as far as the Coast Guard what its current activities are in relation to waste streams. We continue to work with the cruise industry, with our partnership at the headquarters level. We're working with the State of Alaska and the cruise industry in the monitoring and sampling of the waste streams many of you have probably heard about.

We're also working with the EPA in these listening sessions around the country. And we're also working with the State of Florida, and the Florida Caribbean Cruise Association and its MOU on waste streams as well.

Future activities: We're going to continue to work with the state and federal agencies along with the industry. The Coast Guard is looking at possibly expanding the scope of our examination program to maybe oversee some of these waste streams. We're looking at developing check lists in cooperation with the states and other federal agencies to help our inspectors as we take on these additional waste streams. That's it.

34 35 **MR. CRAIG VOGT:** All right. If there's any comments of a clarifying nature that will be fine at this point, if not, Satish Kastury who is the Administrator of the Florida Department of the

36 Satish Kastury who is the Administrator of the Florida Department of th

37 Environmental Protection Hazardous Waste Program.

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 MR. SATISH KASTURY: Good afternoon. My 1 2 name is Satish Kastury. As Craig pointed out, I'm the Administrator for 3 the Hazardous Waste Program State of Florida. I have some other staff 4 persons also here I'd like to introduce. I will start with Mike. He 5 works for me. He's a Compliance Section administrator. б Then we have from our West Palm District Office Rick. 7 Rick is the program administrator in our West Palm District Office. Then we have Jeff Smith with the Compliance Enforcement 8 9 Environmental Special Manager there. Then Tiffany, she also works with Jeff and Rick. We're all from the Waste Division. 10 Then we have Richard Drew I think he stepped out and I see 11 12 his cell phone ringing. He's the Chief of the Water Program out of Tallahassee. Then we have Guy from Orlando District Office in the 13 Water Program. 14 15 What I would like to do is just briefly give you an idea of 16 what we have been doing and what we have in mind to interact with the cruise line industry with respect to the waste management issues as well 17 as the water program. 18 19 It all started more than a year ago the various aspects regarding cruise line industry. How the ships -- come on board and how 20 they operate worldwide. Primary out of Florida our information shows: 21 22 Miami, Port of Miami, Port of Everglades, Port Canaveral, Port Tampa 23 are so-called areas where some of the cruise lines ships come on for 24 picking up the, you know, the passengers to go on cruise etcetera and 25 also regarding the waste management issues. 26 How the waste is being managed. At this point, and also as 27 you know one company may own more than one cruise line. And most of these cruise lines -- also the vessels, based on age of the vessel, have 28 29 different technology on board. 30 I don't want to go into those details the Modified International Convention modified in 1978 and the various regulations 31 associated with that. Also you know about the international waters. The 32 three miles from land. What are the port conditions, and then the oil 33 pollution Act of 1990 within three miles of land and 12 mile area. This 34 35 is just background. I am not going to go into that. Waste streams: Typical waste streams could be anything 36 37 related to gray water, black water, or food waste, or any ash. Then

comes in some of the things potential for any waste of any dry-cleaning
 operation, any paint related or any outdated expired pharmaceutical
 products, and waste, and the bilge water.

Then additionally sometimes you may have any used oil associated with sludge or sometimes you may have on board any photographic waste. If you have any -- most of the people try to develop film, take pictures, and some of them potential would be in the aerosol cans and any of the solid waste, typical solid waste.

Disposal: Various regulatory programs how the 12 mile
works and the three mile is at sea or is it on board or on land. All
these things are the typical background information.

When you bring cruise ships or ship comes ashore then the waste that comes out of the ship. Some of these wastes we talked about, could be possible some of this waste could be coming on board being generated once it comes offloaded at any of the ports, any of the sludge or flourescent lamps or batteries.

How do you manage these waste streams once they are at the
port? What are the different types of wastes that would be associated
here?

Again, the disposal option you could maybe staged at the terminal until the cruise line has a vendor pick up. We are seeing, most of our observation is, cruise line doesn't want to store at the terminals. I think couple of hours maybe, so that immediately the waste would be picked up by the vendors or the contractor for proper management practices.

What we heard from cruise line also is that for various reasons they also don't want to keep the waste at the terminal. Less than a few hours. The waste would be picked up. Actually, the vendors can go on board, bring the waste, and make sure disposed of properly, and then it's managed. That's the practice.

Some of other issues coming -- we started encountering, and we started working with the cruise lines is when you look at some of the ships, these vessels, these are like floating cities. You're talking about 2000, 2500 people on board who wants to go on a cruise.

This is not like a small sailboat type of activity. And the question is where would be the point of generation of the waste. Would it be by ship or would it be by cruise lines, by a corporation. One of

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 the issues that we initiated with the industry, with the cruise line, then 1 2 they were really very cooperative and try to work with the department in 3 trying to address that issue. 4 Then how do you count the waste, management of the waste, where it's going. As you know under the Hazardous Waste Regulations 5 б Act once you've generated the waste the vendor is responsible to make 7 sure whether this waste is being properly managed. 8 Cruise lines are coming forward and stuff, you know, 9 discharging at sea maybe bringing on board and have a proper management on land and so that it could be properly managed. 10 Then obviously the record regulation require that you do 11 12 need to have record retention, providing documentation of how you are 13 managing the waste. As you know this is not an everyday concept. It's a 14 seasonal conditional waste. It's industry seasonal. Usually November 15 16 through April in Florida, and another time in the rest of the world. It's a moving city. Moving type of operation. 17 18 Now, do we issue more than one EPA ID number at the terminal or if we are do we issue one EPA ID number for the cruise line 19 or by ship. These are the type of issues we entered into discussions. 20 21 Then we looked at it, this is not like a typical industry. It 22 is not like a typical manufacturing industry where you have a stationary 23 source, a process where you manufacture a product; you're buying certain 24 chemical or products and manufacturing and generating waste. This is a 25 moving city. It's a different type of situation here in this industry. So it 26 27 all started with taking the initiative on this. Tallahassee, EPP District Office, and the cruise line industry. Both the FCCA of Florida and 28 29 Caribbean Cruise Line, and then the ICCL. We all started in a pro-active way of trying to see how to 30 31 address these and as part of that we entered into an MOU as a pro-active member of understanding. If you all want a copy of the MOU, it's on 32 FDEP web site. We can easily give you that. If you give a business 33 cards, we can wire you a copy of MOU. We can easily email that. 34 35 36 The MOU is signed March 14th, 2000 as a pro-active between the District Office, Tallahassee FDEP, and the cruise line. The 37

concept here is the policy of MOU policy goals is cruise line agreeing to
 comply with all applicable laws and regulations of the state and federal,
 and maintain a cooperative relationship.

4 One of the big things cruise line has come forward or this is 5 to change some of the existing systems to promote pollution prevention 6 and waste minimization.

For example, one of the example you would look at is dry
cleaning. Typically you use solvents. You know, the so-called
chemicals, solvents using non-solvent based dry cleaning operation like
carbon dioxide Co2 type of system installed on the ships and so that you
can do a waste minimization and reduce pollution.

12 Re-use and recycling, and training and education of the 13 crew, as well as the vendors, and come up with some sort of a process 14 whereby to hold waste water generated when it comes on board at the 15 terminal it is properly accounted. How the waste will be managed. 16 These are all the type of things discussed as part of the MOU.

As I say, one of the exhibits is unfortunately not a good copy there, but this is diagram as what I was aiming at with the account of waste when it comes on the terminal.

Cruise lines committing to have a process in place when the waste comes -- and the ships comes to the terminal the contractor or vendor or whoever, goes there and picks up the waste, brings it to the terminal, accountable to make sure it is properly managed, keeps all the documents at the proper place with the operation of the ship.

That's the agreed upon process because we want to make sure not only environmentally taken care of but it's properly managed, the waste.

I think that's all our presentation. I just want to mention a couple of things. As part of this outreach and pro-active we're also working with Coast Guard and EPA on the point of generation aspect and the inspection of the ships.

The Department comes to some sort of understanding that if Coast Guard is already inspecting the ships on a quarterly and annual basis. The Department, the EPA, thinks it makes sense to let Coast Guard do the inspections if any inspections are needed with respect to the waste management practices.

37

And keep tract with EPA and Coast Guard and cruise line

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 and involving any training, educational training, interaction between the 1 2 EP and the Coast Guard and EPA and the cruise line. So then look and let's see what happens; how it all work and let's see how this works out 3 4 and see how it comes together. 5 With that I will let Craig take over. б MR. CRAIG VOGT: All right. With a little 7 entertainment we try to run public hearings as best we can. Public hearings don't necessarily have the best format necessary to transfer 8 9 communicative information, but it is a useful one, and I hope we can do 10 that today. 11 I will speak for probably 10 minutes or so and just give you an overview of EPA, what we're doing, and where do we think we're 12 13 going. You can see here this is the third public hearing, public information hearing in a week. This is the final of the blitz. We are 14 15 learning things, and I'm pretty pleased so far with how these hearings 16 have gone. 17 Los Angeles was a much smaller crowd than this. Juneau was 100 people who seemed to care much about Alaska from various 18 viewpoints, and here, of course, you're here because you care. 19 20 Just for my information, if you don't mind, how many of you have been on a cruise ship? How many haven't? All right, I know for a 21 fact you were on one last Friday. We had the opportunity to tour one of 22 23 Princess's ships, the Dawn, on Friday and it was quite educational, for 24 me anyway. 25 I have been on ships before. I was Merchant Marines for four years back in the 60s, I guess it was. I operated an EPA vessel a 26 27 165 research monitoring vessel. And yesterday I asked specifically what's in our gray water? What's in our MSD? How well is it working? 28 29 Those questions are being asked. I bet the Coast Guard has vessel they will asking those questions about too. 30 31 Which is the point of why we're looking at cruise ships today, but some of our option deals with all vessels. So this issue that 32 33 we're dealing with here: Water and waste water management from vessels is all vessels. 34 35 That point being made I will launch into my prepared remarks. As you can see, I am not reading. Just to give you the 36 37 concept of what we're facing in terms of Environmental Protection

Agency and all of its estate holders in this, these are some of the
 threats.

All right, some of the threats facing our oceans today: 3 4 Certainly point and non-point sources putting pollutants and contaminates into our waterways. Marine debris. Physical alterations of the 5 6 ecosystems, certainly we know about that here in Florida. Storm water 7 runoff. Coastal development is certainly one element of some of our degraded waters. Introduction of non-native species, invader species, 8 exotic species; and lots more different names, but a real serious 9 10 environmental problem.

11 One we are really not addressing in this effort, but one that 12 has a lot of difficult problems facing it and no simple solutions; the 13 damage certainly caused by commercial and recreational use; not a 14 comprehensive list.

More in context in terms of what we're facing and that's what this is and can see the problems, trends that we are dealing with. I'm not saying that all waters have nasty environmental problems, but some do. We have some trends that are not necessarily what we like.

I am also not saying that these are related to cruise ships,
but this is our context setting of a lot of stresses on our marine
ecosystems. We have beach closures, the human health aspect.

Hypoxia, the Gulf of Mexico each summer has the dead zones some 7,000 square miles large, and that's coming the heartland of our county we think.

Too much nutrient, too much fertilizers, too much organics coming down the Mississippi River and coming into the Gulf of Mexico which has a very nice breeding ground for alae. They grow, they die, they take oxygen out of the water and pushes life aside.

Lot of beaches having closed, and I think the trend is up.
That doesn't necessarily mean our water is getting worse maybe our
monitoring and reporting systems are getting better.

Coral reefs, we have an executive order on coral reefs. A federal task force has been set up studying coral reefs looking to prevent further decline as well as enhancement.

And then we have lots of water with fish advisories, meaning don't eat the fish or don't eat it too often. It's not all fish, but certain species.

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 I don't really have to much to say about this, I think we 1 2 pretty much have covered the basics on what we're looking at. We are looking at waste water, and waste, hazardous materials, solid wastes 3 4 from cruise ships, and air mission is on a separate tract. 5 Now, the MOU in Florida was signed on March 14th. We б received the petition from Bluewater Network on March 17th, or at least 7 it was signed that date. 8 The folks up in Alaska are also very involved in terms of 9 working out agreements with the cruise industry. So the Florida network has brought this to our attention in Washington D.C. at Headquarters and 10 11 essentially said, "Hey this looks to be a national thing, let's look at the 12 national picture." 13 The petition: And you'll hear from one of the prime authors of that petition in testimony today, so I won't go too deep into it, but it 14 15 does ask us to take regulatory action for cruise lines in terms of those wastes that you saw. 16 17 There are two petitions. One on waste water and the other is on air emissions. They're separate. Air emissions came second, and 18 it's being dealt with next. It asked us essentially to characterize 19 20 particular waste water on a cruise ships in terms of volume and what is 21 contained in those waste streams. Look at the potential and real, if 22 there are, environmental impacts. 23 What are the existing regulations? What policies, what law and regulations are on the books now and how well are they working? 24 25 Then certainly options for monitoring record keeping and reporting, and then to take action. 26 27 And specifically a couple key areas here is consider repealing one of our regulations which exempted incidental discharges 28 29 from vessels from the NPDES permit program. And then more strictly defining and regulating gray water. And then strengthening the 30 31 regulations governing hazardous waste on cruise ships. A couple of related actions in my office: To make sure 32 33 they're all being done in my division or jointly with others, we have another petition on ballast water. That's not just on cruise ships. It is 34 35 to regulate ballast water under the NPDES Program. That is being worked on. We received a petition, I believe, a year and a half ago, we 36 37 do not have the answer to that yet. We hope to have it out next month.

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 1 That's a hope. 2 We also hoped to have it out a year ago at this time, so I have much higher hopes for next month than I did last year. 3 4 We are developing under the Clean Water Act, there's an 5 amendment of the Clean Water Act, section 312(n), that would require б Navy and EP to jointly work together to develop uniform national 7 discharge standards for vessels of the Armed Forces. Now, that's a very similar kind of activity to what has been 8 9 suggested in the petition. The EPA and the Navy have identified what discharges might have some potential impact on the environment. And 10 the next steps are to set standards for those. We are three or four years 11 12 away from completing that activity. Less related to those two: Executive Order 13158 President 13 Clinton issue an Executive Order in June of this year to do a couple of 14 things. One, marine protected areas, he wanted to enhance the system of 15 marine protected areas that we have. 16 He gave the job to EPA to specifically look at our ocean 17 18 discharge criteria, which is under the Clean Water Act, which applied pipes, point sources; such as municipal treatment plants or industries 19 20 discharging from shore into the ocean, only vessels does that apply to 21 closing fish take zones. 22 But with that, setting more restrictive guidelines as well as 23 designating areas of the ocean real estate as special ocean sites that 24 would require more stringent requirements for what is discharged into 25 those areas. Now, at this point it doesn't apply to vessels or fish take 26 27 zones or anything like that. I don't think it will, but I just want to alert you to the fact that that is going on, and we will be proposing a revised 28 29 reg there. Not a revised reg, but a proposal in December January time 30 frame. 31 All right, a few words about existing regulations, EPA 32 regulations. This is one of the key elements of this discussion that we are having. This is the exemption, the exclusion, for discharges from 33 34 vessels, operational discharges. It reads: "The following discharges do not require NPDES 35 36 permits: Any discharge assumed from vessels from properly functioning marine engines, laundry, shower, galley, and sink waste or any other 37

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 discharge incidental to the normal operation of a vessel. The exclusion 1 does not apply to rubbish, trash, garbage, or other such materials." 2 3 This means vessels sewage discharges, gray water discharges 4 are not regulated at our point source discharge program. We made this decision by regulation back in about 1973. At the time we were faced 5 б with many, many other much higher priority situations such as raw 7 sewage being discharged, municipal plants having to be built, very large 8 paper mills or steel mills and the like discharging. 9 At the time we thought that was not an important area to deal with. Cruise ships were not important. Vessels were not important 10 to the overall scheme of things at that time. We're not saying that right 11 now that it's any different, but it's a fact that this is being examined. 12 Now, if we remove that exemption it doesn't just mean 13 cruise ships coming under the NPDES Permit Program it means vessels. 14 That's all the way down to if you've got a pleasure boat with an 15 installed potty that would do it, that would be covered. 16 Now, another part of the reasoning for that exemption is the 17 fact that 312 of the Clean Water Act does regulate sewage. It does it 18 with the joint partnership with EPA and the Coast Guard. EPA sets the 19 standards for marine sanitation devises and the Coast Guard has the 20 21 responsibility for overseeing the rules for design, construction, installation, operation, certification, and inspection of those MSDs. 22 23 There's three types of MSDs. The next page will cover it better than this. These are the standards. Three types. Type three is 24 25 pretty simple it's a holding tank. Type one and two have different standards applied to them. Type one is 1,000 fecal chloroforms per 100 26 milliliters, and no floating visible solids. Type two is effluent fecal 27 chloroforms cannot be more than 200 per 100 ml and 150 milligrams per 28 29 liter. 30 Those standards were developed about 20 years ago and that is another piece that we were thinking does it need to be examined and 31 32 revised. 33 Section 312 also provides for no discharge zones. And the folks in Alaska all make this comment that they would very much like to 34 have no discharge zones in Alaska. However, the Clean Water Act 35 provides for that. There's some specific criteria, and one is it's got to 36

be ecologically important. And two, you've got to have sufficient pump

1 out facilities on shore. 2 Now, you have the state law that's different than just the Clean Water Act that authorizes that. Certainly that's possible, but the 3 4 key thing here is pump out stations on shore. Historically to my 5 knowledge, is that there's not sufficient facilities on shore to take the 6 sewage from cruise ships everywhere, but there may be in some places. 7 Section 312 certainly does apply out to three miles and Coast Guard has the primary enforcement responsibility. 8 Now, other key statutes that apply and I'm not going to talk 9 about these much. Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuary Act which 10 11 is the Ocean Dumping Act that requires a permit if you're going to 12 transport waste out to sea and dump it. So that's the U.S. Dumping Act. 13 The Shore Protection Act sets up a permit program for 14 vessels that are hauling waste from Point A to Point B. Not to take it 15 out and dump it, but just hauling waste. 16 The Anti-Pollution Act from ships is Coast Guard their primary statute. I don't know if I said that quite right, but for those 17 18 type wastes. 19 Now, EPA. It's a regulatory agency. We've been coming 20 under control for a lot of years and it's worked very well. About 10 21 years ago or so we softened a little bit some of our approaches 22 recognizing that one size does not fit all. 23 And we have done successfully a number of non-regulatory 24 programs in partnership with various different kinds of industries, and 25 set up specific programs that look at a situation and try to apply our regulations in a more flexible manner. 26 27 I don't want to try to explain any of these or all of these 28 anyway. Green Ports is one that we worked with. American Association 29 of Port Authorities we worked with them. They created a manual, an 30 environmental handbook, for situations on port grounds that provides 31 management practices for bulk cargo storage, for storm water runoff, for 32 some of their sandblasting type operation if that was on port grounds. That's worked very well, and it's continuing to work through the 33 34 industry. 35 Same thing with golf and environment. We've worked with the golf industry when they're going to build a new golf course or 36 37 enhance their current one to do it in an environmentally friendly manner.

And one that they won't let me on is the Save our Slopes, because
 they'll never see me. This is working with the ski industry to build ski
 areas that are also more environmentally friendly.

Now, in response to the Bluewater Network Petition. We
have a broad array of potential solutions, options. I am not sure if
solutions is the right word because there are no simple magic bullet, is
what I've learned so far.

8 I do want to say one thing. We are in the information 9 gathering stage in these three hearings. That's what this is called --Public Information Hearing. Is that right, information, collection --10 well, excuse me if I don't know the term here. But we are collecting 11 12 information. Decisions haven't been made yet. If I make any remarks 13 here that you think that I am going one direction or another, I'm not. We truly are wide open for discussion, information, data, and 14 the like. These are: Obviously regulating on the NPDES Program, 15 16 repealing the exemption, revision on 312 regulations, looking at the International Safety Management Codes, and Environmental Management 17 18 Systems which sounds voluntary, but it also gets into more mandatory activities as the Coast Guard takes on the management plans for each to 19

the cruise ships, and makes inspections for those, and certainly other option as well.

Now, where are we going? Our response to the Bluewater Network said we would have a response back by September 30th or so. We're not going to make that date, but we're going to try real hard to make October.

The report, the assessment as I see it, will not be comprehensive. It will be what we know at this point in time. We will then initiate some sort of public dialogue with the report. There's a lot of information coming from Alaska.

There's an Alaska initiative up there that has been taking samples from cruise ships and that information will be available in mid-October, I believe, in terms of the characterization. There's a lot of things we can do without getting specifically to that information as we are learning, but we will have a report, an assessment, we think in October.

We will then work with the Coast Guard on therecommendations through a public dialogue. From there we'll take

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 action. It's your government at work. We are going to take action. So 1 2 that's the end of what I have to say. I can take questions if you have them or we have 12 folks or 3 4 so that have asked to speak, and that's what we can launch into now. 5 We will take a break at some point in time. Probably after a couple of б speakers. 7 Ellen Prager is first, and following Ellen will be Ted 8 Thompson. 9 MS. ELLEN PRAGER: Thank you, Craig. I'm the Assistant Dean at the University of Miami, and I'm sort of going to 10 give you a little reprieve from the issue of regulations and discharge to 11 12 talk about a very positive partnership that the University of Miami, NOAA, and the National Science Foundation have formed with Royal 13 14 Caribbean by being positive in a sense for the environment. 15 In fact, you guys are sort of getting a quick preview because 16 we're having a press event on Thursday and we've got a lot of response 17 from the press so I'll expect you'll be hearing a lot more about this in the near future. 18 19 Well, what's it about? As I said, it's between University of 20 Miami, the National Science Foundation, and the National Oceanic and 21 Atmospheric Administration, and Royal Caribbean. As we speak Royal 22 Caribbean is building their new ship the Explorer of the Seas in Finland. 23 24 This has never been tried before, but we are actually 25 building a State-of-the-Art atmospheric lab and oceanographic lab on 26 board the ship, and an Educational Exploration Center and developing an 27 educational program. 28 And I just want to tell you a sort of analogy and this will 29 bring home why this is such an innovative and good idea. If you're a researcher and want to work on the oceans or atmosphere you basically 30 31 apply for a governmental grant. And typically, research vessels costs 32 maybe 7,000 to \$10,000 a day to go out and do research. Well, on board the Explorer of the Sea we're going to have 33 State-of-the-Art equipment and we're going to have berths available for 34 scientists to go out for a week at a time either for free or if our berths 35 are filled, and you have to get more maybe the standard market rate, I 36 don't know, \$2000 a week for a whole week of ship time. 37

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 So you can see this is a very good way of doing science 1 2 effective and cheap. So let me tell you a little bit more about the 3 project. The objectives are really three-fold. That is, the objectives are 4 to improve our understanding of the ocean and atmosphere through a new 5 platform for research. To address long-term spacial and temporal studies б at variability in a geographically important region. 7 Then finally, to provide a new and exciting venue for 8 passengers for education about the environment, and science: The 9 oceans and atmosphere. 10 A critically important area because the cruise track goes 11 across the golf stream every week into the trade wind region, and across 12 three important passages between the Caribbean and Atlantic sea. 13 Now, in science these are critical areas for understanding the transport and balance of heat on our planet. Very important for the 14 15 trade winds for atmospheric transport and dust. I have an interesting 16 picture from one of our scientists on this issue of dust. Dust, taking 17 pollution -- a very recent report suggests that there may be connections between dust transport and the health of humans, and the oceans. 18 You can see here, that it comes off of Africa in the trade 19 winds is a critical region for dust. This is a seasonal distribution of 20 dust coming off of Africa, and again, the ship cruise traffic goes through 21 this every week. And so we've never before been able to have a long 22 23 term high resolution sampling scheme in this area. 24 So, Explorer of the Sea -- most of you know how big these 25 cruise ships are, this is my first time visiting the Voyager which is the sister ship and I was pretty astounded, but as a research scientist it's 26 27 essentially you're taking you lab to sea. It's a stable platform that we really don't usually have. And 28 29 again, there will be weekly cruises so that means repetitive cruise traffic every week. And again, it's a very important region. 30 31 So what are we doing to the ship? This has been an extensive project, and it's been financed, as I said through these four 32 33 partners. What we're actually going to have is an ocean lab that will be set up so that scientists can go in and work in the lab. 34 35 We will have an atmospheric lab. All the red circles show you where there's instrumentation actually being put on the ship. We'll 36 37 have on the mast both before and aft meteorological equipment. On a

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 1 mast in front to the helipad there's going to be an atmospheric chemistry 2 suite so we can look at particulate matter and aerosols on the bow of the 3 ship.

On the bow we're going to have a flow through sea water
system. We have sensors to look at oxygen, salinity, temperature,
potential pollutant carbon dioxide, heavy metals. As time goes on we
plan to put more and more instruments in that area.

8 At mid-ship under the hull, and this tells you the 9 commitment of Royal Caribbean, they have actually drilled large holes in 10 the hull to mount two acoustic doppler profilers to be able to use sound 11 to monitor ocean currents and biologic population.

12 That to me is a real commitment. That's essentially what 13 we're doing. As I said, the research programs have to do with both 14 atmosphere and the ocean. And again, this repetitive cruise track with 15 instruments on board the ship is going to give us a high-resolution long 16 term sampling scheme that we've never had.

The kind of things that scientists, NOAA scientists -- and
eventually this will be open to any scientist that want to come on board
through a peer review proposal process -- will get physical, chemical,
biological properties of the ocean. Particularly those critical regions
we're going to be going through.

Aerosol concentrations, compositions, and the anthropogenic input into the atmosphere, trade winds, algae layers.

And again, what we can do with this data is we can look at some of the premier computer modeling efforts that are going on in the ocean atmosphere. We can use the data that we collect to actually ground truth images coming off of satellites. The new technology for looking at the ocean atmosphere through a satellite is great. We will have the ability to ground truth those so we know what they mean.

30 So again, this is going to provide us a new platform for 31 research. And what about education? That's the other thing I'll be 32 talking about. Well, we have what they originally called Kiosks, we've 33 decided that sounds kind of boring so they're going to be called 34 exploration centers.

And in these exploration centers they're kind of like mini-museums, and they're going to have interactive displays to teach some of the basic principles about the ocean and atmosphere. As well,

we have four large screens that have programs running on them. We
 have two, actually three, absolutely fabulous public service
 announcements done by Earth Communication Office.

Some of you may have seen them before, but they're just spectacular talking about basically keeping the Earth clean and safe for future generations. We also have on the touch screen, this wonderful program called sea profile. It will have more than anybody is going to want to know about the oceans. They can just touch through these screens and learn everything they want. It's really excellent.

Plus, we're developing programming for the passengers.
That is, they will be able to take a tour of the lab. They will have
special presentations by the scientists who are visiting. Not only will
they hear about kinds of work we are doing, but they'll actually be able
to ask questions about the oceans and atmosphere.

We are developing a feature film series that will be centered about the oceans probably from Discovery. They are going to provide those films. We're really excited. We think that this is also an opportunity where people can come and learn about the oceans and atmosphere as they come on board the ship for vacation.

Who knows, people who want to bring their kids aboard the ship not just a vacation, but a learning vacation this will be an excellent opportunity.

I just want to wrap up, again, with two other pictures. One is of the ocean lab because as a scientist this is not at all what our ocean lab typically looks like. It's more like a Captain Nemo version. But this is what the ocean lab is going to look like, and then one of the educational kiosks.

I think one of the very innovative displays is going to be an infra-red camera so a person can come and stand in front of it and it will be displayed on a monitor so they can understand how we use infra-red technology to study the ocean atmosphere. That will be very informative. So that's it.

I just wanted to give you a brief introduction for this exciting project, and unfortunately, I have to take off, but if any of you have questions about this project, as I said, there's a press event coming up this week with Nancy Wheatley from Royal Caribbean. I'm sure she'll be happy to answer questions or give you my number so you can

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 contact me. Thank you. 1 2 MR. VOGT: We have a small change in the lineup. There's some requests for early departures. Mike Crane is going 3 4 to be next, and following Mike will be Jim Merely. 5 MR. MIKE CRANE: Mike Crane from NOAA. 6 I'm with NOAA's National Oceanographic Data Center and I've recently 7 been assigned to its newly established Coastal Data Development 8 established at the Space Center in Mississippi. But in anticipation of 9 that particular activity NOAA has been redirecting its energy to define the members on the coastal community. 10 11 We've had a lot deep ocean research and operations from 12 space craft and ocean platforms. We started to direct our attention from 13 the beach outward standing on the beach and seeing over the horizon, you know, who is the community that's in that domain, both estuarine 14 and marine. 15 16 We have developed a workshop process under a project whose acronym is ACCESS. Accelerated Coastal Community 17 18 Environmental Science Service in which we are inviting members of the community at the local level, state agencies from the regulation side, the 19 20 academic community, and the commercial interests to come to 21 workshops, to identify what they would find useful in these coastal 22 regions. 23 If the weather service is measuring atmosphere every hour 24 on the hour, and the marine environment is very important, what would 25 they find useful in those kinds of domains. So in those six workshops that we've held to date we've had 26 27 some material that I can deliver to the committee that will summarize 28 that. We have asked them to identify their needs and in summary 29 they've given us a set of input by parameters whether it's currents, storm surges, temperatures, wave energy, atmospheric conditions, and so on. 30 We've also asked them if it's so important that they measure 31 32 it themselves and many community leaders said that they would or they have. If they have measured it, would they make it available to that 33 community that was in the room and workshops and they offered to do 34 35 that. But they also said that their County Commission or Board of 36 Governors didn't fund them to meet exchange requirements or any federal 37

#12227 EPA Public Meeting

9/12/00 agency management requirement. So the challenge came out as how do 1 2 we meet that? I'm happy to report that participating in our workshops was 3 4 Carnival Cruise Lines, and they accepted the challenge and realized that 5 getting that information available and working with the local community 6 members to get that information in the public domain was an 7 opportunity. 8 They have done a program of hiring student interns in which 9 their mission is to work with our NOAA data centers to organization these identified data sources of opportunity to make them available to 10 11 the general public. 12 There's a pilot program starting with South Florida with the focus on the two south counties of Broward and Dade County. With the 13 academic institutions here we'd invite them to participate in the student 14 program. To have students hired to work, and process, and publish. To 15 16 work with their academic advisors on research programs, and combine those into a new academic experience to the benefit of all the 17 communities from that. 18 19 And the sources of data are not limited to particular academic sources those could be working with county groups that have 20 monitoring programs. So the counties, states, regionals, water 21 management districts, associations could be the direct beneficiaries of 22 23 this interactive type program. 24 To expand it, they've also extended offers to faculty 25 members to participate and also use the vessels as platforms of opportunity for research and complement those activities. 26 27 NOAA, as part of its mission, has provided a web site FTP site and server to help facilitate this information and exchange. We've 28 29 done on the east coast of Florida in workshops from Miami to the Georgia border two series. 30 31 We're starting our next series October 17th in St. Petersburg, Florida for a workshop to cover the counties of Collier County to Citrus 32 33 County inviting local member on the southwest coast of Florida to identify their needs of estuary and marine observations and develop a 34 community and network in that basis with our partners: University of 35

South Florida and U.S. Geological Survey Coast Geology Lab that's 36

based there. 37

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 1 I have three documents to add to the record. 2 MR. CRAIG VOGT: Thank you very much. 3 Jim Merley, and he will be followed by Tim Protheroe. 4 MR. JIM MERLEY: Good afternoon. My 5 name is Jim Merley and I work with the State University System, 6 specifically I direct a center for environmental urban problems which is 7 jointly sponsored by Florida Atlantic University and Florida International 8 University. 9 Our major focus is on the issues of the growing region and how growth effects our community, our land water resources, and what 10 policies of studies might be appropriate to address those issues. 11 I guess in today's context, and in terms of your gathering of 12 information, I'd like to provide you information on two public prior 13 harbor ships which I believe will provide a concept for the specific 14 15 issues that you're talking about that deal with the cruise industry, their 16 ships, and their possible impact on Florida's environment. First, by way of background, in my personal experience I 17 served seven years in NOAA with their Office of Coastal Management, 18 and four years as the Secretary of Department of Community Affairs in 19 Florida where we had our state/federally approved coastal management 20 21 program. 22 In that capacity and with the assistance of a broad array of 23 public and private volunteers, late, former Governor Chiles appointed a 24 ocean study committee. Their report which has been complete now for 25 over a year I will submit to you as part of your record. 26 But it is one example at the State level fine-tuned, I think, 27 with some of the regional issues around our state where industry folks, 28 the environmental organizations, the state agencies, our Environmental Protection, and our Federal government looked at the broad set of issues 29 30 facing our state and how we actually depend on the ocean for vital 31 resources for economic benefits, and of course, signify environmental 32 issues. In a more specific context, and relative to the immediate 33 southeast area, in 1999 the Florida Legislature authorized a specific 34 Biscayne Bay study, which our center is implementing. We are in 35 36 process, and our report is due back to the Florida Legislature in January of next year. 37

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 Our study assessment of the Biscayne Bay which has been 1 2 undertaken by a group called Biscayne Bay Partnership Initiative and it includes over 200 volunteers from business, government, state, regional, 3 4 federal agencies, the Coast Guard, EPA, the Corp, Port of Miami, the 5 cruise lines, and container ship lines that serve that port, the marine 6 industry, and the recreational marine activities. 7 So it's a broad based attempt to take a picture of what is the 8 status of our Biscayne Bay. Which is a fantastic body of water which 9 includes a national park and many other marine protected areas, but also the focus of a significant amount of maritime commercial, recreational, 10 and economic activity. In a water shed that expects another two million 11 plus visitors in the next 20 years. 12 13 So that's our challenge: To take a shot, or a picture if you will, of what is the status. We are doing that through four 14 public/private committees made up of the typical players that I just 15 16 mentioned. We're looking at regulation, management, science, and social economic activities. 17 18 And that range, believe me, covers elicits issues, and points of view, and opinions on a great variety of issues and we are trying to 19 sort that out. The four reports will be available this fall. 20 21 The overall report of the study which will direct by policy 22 committee chaired by the Clerk of the Courts, Harvey Ruvin, here in 23 Dade County will be submitted back to the legislature with 24 recommendations. 25 So I believe that is an important context that you may have found in other parts of the country. There are certain federal programs 26 27 like National Eserine Program and other programs which provide the same kind of frame work. We have four such NEPs in our state that do 28 excellent work. 29 30 The Biscayne Bay Program right now is State driven, but seeks the input of all the active players. We don't have a written report 31 to give you because we're trying, like everyone else, to be a little less 32 reliant on paper, but I would refer you to www.bbpi.org. Biscayne Bay 33 Park Initiative. 34 35 You'll find there an extensive catalogue of our research information, the monthly reports for survey teams, a mapping project, 36 citizen input. It's the kind of interactive web site that I'm sure are 37

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 1 being developed around the country, but we think, again, is important to 2 this kind of a study. I would emphasize that our commercial marine activities are 3 4 signify involved in this project. We have representatives on all of our 5 four survey teams, and I appreciate the opportunity to comment. б MR. CRAIG VOGT: All right. Thank you very much. Tim. After Tim we will take a ten minute break. 7 **MR. TIM PROTHEROE:** This is interesting 8 and will be off the top of my head because I only found out five 9 minutes ago that I was actually going to be standing up and saying 10 anything at this meeting. So I thank my friends at Carnival for that. 11 12 We've worked together for a long time and, you know, I can appreciate them wanting me to ask to speak. So I work for Lloyd's 13 Register. What is Lloyd's Register? 14 15 Well, Lloyd's Register is a ship classification society. Our 16 main role in life is to verify compliance of all international regulations 17 on a Flag of Administration through a process of inspections on board 18 ships, and audits, management system audits, which are the operational procedures and practices, and safety and environmental protection are 19 being carried out as they should be. 20 21 So how does this work? Well, the International Maritime Organization which is the international governing body for the maritime 22 23 industry is responsible or comprises of approximately 168 nations from 24 around the world who operate vessels. 25 And as you well know the vessels, the cruise vessels, coming into your ports flying flags such as Liberia, Panama, and the Bahamas. 26 27 Now, each of these countries are signatories to the relevant rules and 28 regulations that are made on an international basis. 29 So there's no point in having regulations if there isn't 30 somebody in the system who's going to go on board these ships and 31 make sure that these regulations are being followed. 32 So that is one of the main roles of ship classification societies. What we do is, we have a worldwide network of surveyors, 33 and audits who go on board vessels regularly to ensure that these 34 35 regulations are being followed. Now, somebody mentioned the ISM Code earlier. The ISM 36 Code acts as a management system standard. And what it does is act to 37

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 incorporate all of the marine legislation under one management system 1 2 umbrella. And what that means is that companies, and I'm talking all 3 companies now, it's not just a U.S. problem, this is an international 4 requirement, this is not just the U.S. 5 In the Mediterranean and in the Far East where cruise б vessels operate they will be up against exactly the same issues. This is 7 not just a U.S. problem. 8 But what the ISM Code requires companies to have is a 9 management system in place. Procedures, instructions, that relate to the policies that are laid down by the companies. 10 11 So what you've been hearing about are the programs that the 12 companies are getting up to: Environmental programs, and the different 13 aspects of waste management, waste water management, sewage treatment, and garbage management. This is all incorporated, these 14 15 policies are incorporated into their management systems. 16 Now you say, well, that's all very well and good, but are the people actually following it? Well, to ensure that they are following 17 those procedures and policies it's incumbent on us as a external third 18 party, IE non-interested third party, to come in and verify that those 19 20 procedures and practices are being followed. 21 Now, within these so-called management systems is the 22 requirement to report when there are problems. Because in the best of 23 laid down systems, we know for a fact in every walk of life, it's not a perfect world and things tend to go wrong from time to time. People 24 25 either make mistakes or circumstances join together and you have accidents, whatever. That happens everywhere not just in the marine 26 27 industry. 28 When this happens though, there is an online system that 29 ensures that appropriate action is taken and this is in two parts: What's known as short-term corrective actions, IE action to put whatever has 30 31 taken place put it right immediately, but also a longer term view. So 32 you have a pro-active approach.

What that means is, you analyze what has taken place and you find the root causes and you look at the lessons to be learned from the things that have gone wrong and then you implement corrective action in such a way that these things won't go wrong in the future. So it's a self-perpetuating system. It's a dynamic living

breathing system that continually improves over a period of time. And
this is a fundamental requirement of a system. When we come in and do
our external inspections, our external audits, if this is not happening,
then we have a process of reporting it; feeding it back to the companies
and making sure that those systems work.

6 If there are complete failures, then we have the authority, 7 based on the flag state approval, to suspend certification and without 8 this statutory certification these ships cannot operate, would not be able 9 to leave port.

10 The classification society works very closely with the U.S. 11 Coast Guard and port authorities where the Coast Guard finds specific 12 problems. Or where in between our inspection the Coast Guard goes on 13 board and they find something wrong and we work directly with them 14 also to put things right.

15 If the Coast Guard finds anything particularly wrong they 16 have the power to detain the vessel and make sure it goes nowhere until 17 these things are put right.

18 It's a much more detailed subject than that, but in summary 19 there are systems required to be in place. And all these things that the 20 cruise lines say that they're doing, once they put it into their policies 21 and they put it into their procedures, it is verifiable by an external 22 agency, such as ourselves or one of the other classification societies.

If it isn't being done, then the consequences are, in worst case scenarios, that the vessel is not able to operate at all, okay. And this is an international regulation not just the U.S. This is happening all over the world. The ISM Code has been in existence on a basis for the last two years now. All cruise ships everywhere are complying with this requirement. Thank you.

29 MR. CRAIG VOGT: Okay, we're going to take 30 a ten minute break. Following the break and before you get up and 31 leave, a slight juggling of our schedule. Elizabeth Freese, John Jones, 32 Nancy Lee, and Robert Winebread. That's the order that will speak. We will try to keep our remarks to ten minutes or less, if possible. We 33 have probably have another -- at least 10 speakers, I have not counted 34 35 yet -- so ten minutes. (WHEREUPON, a break was taken.) 36

37

MR. CRAIG VOGT: Elizabeth Freese.

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 **MS. ELIZABETH FREESE:** I should like to 1 2 start with three disclaimers. I am not part of the Federal government, 3 although, I've had the distinct privilege of being with the Navy for a 4 number of years. I am also not part or associates in any way with any cruise 5 б line so my remarks are not directed at or toward any practices except 7 vast management practices generically already existing in the cruise line. 8 9 I'm also not a part of EPA's Green Port although I am president and CEO of a consulting company called Green Port. 10 At the moment we are working internationally with tankers 11 12 and European ports. I am also working with Brown Field Pier in Miami, and working with some environmental justice issues. So it is from that 13 perspective as a private citizen and not with any affiliations that I 14 present these remarks. 15 16 I think it's very important that I take the time to very clearly thank the Bluewater Network. Everyone said, "Have you read 17 their petition? Have you read it?" 18 And I finally printed it and I've read it a number of times, 19 20 and I think it's superb. I think a lot of sincerity went into it. A lot of effort went into it, and I am very appreciative of the kind of document 21 22 that can initiate meaningful dialogue on issues of substances and that's 23 clearly one. 24 I would also like to thank the FCCA and FPEP for their 25 innovation and excellence in producing their Memorandum of Understanding. I think it shows understanding for many things. One 26 27 being, a vessel is not a building with water under it. There's a theory that that's so and it's simply not true. 28 29 Vessels have stability issues. Vessels have other issues. And it's very important when dealing with that to talk about the 30 integration of risk management. How do we know when too much is too 31 much? How long can a vessel retain something and not have stability 32 issues? When is it appropriate to discharge? Those issues need to be 33 factored into any discussion relevant to protection of the environment. 34 35 Safety of life at sea is important. In fact, I don't think there's anyone in this room who would deny that it is of primary 36 importance. And I would like to thank the Coast Guard having worked 37

with them for a number of years for their diligent oversight of those
 issues.
 I also think it's very very important to realize that while we
 hear about logos and we hear about brand names, and we hear about

initiatives, and we hear about big ships and more ships, the one thing I
don't hear very much about are people.

And it's interesting to note that cruise ships are not manned
by robots. They're manned by people. Sometimes people from 70
different countries with 70 different understandings, and 70 different
backgrounds, and 70 different cultures. All working together as a team.

11 Think about that. The diversity in the United States is a 12 country famous for celebrating diversity. You can't want much more 13 diversity than you find on a cruise line.

14 So maybe in addition to thanking everyone else we need to 15 thank the people who sail on the cruise ships, who have chosen that as a 16 career, sometimes for generations and are very proud of what they do, 17 and how they do it, and work long hours to be sure that they do it right.

18

But I think more importantly for sometime despite what you may have heard and read the cruise lines have been doing a fantastic job beginning with shared values. What are the basic fundamentals of environmental regulations and environmental management? Shared values.

I don't think there's anyone who doesn't want to protect the environment we all live in it. So once you begin with shared values then you begin to find that the cruise lines have spent an enormous amount of time and are very dedicated to begin pollution prevention as opposed to waste minimization.

I cannot tell you how many hours they spend looking for quality vendors, the right package, less hazardous chemical, better processes, how do we perform a task differently. Why is that so? A couple of reasons. It makes good business sense. It protects their people, and it improves their operational efficiency.

I think the cruise lines and their quality of vendors have a great deal to be proud of. Discharges that are mostly constituted by the least hazardous chemical that you can find to do the job while they still need to be reviewed are not nearly as threatening as the methyl ethal

1 death in waste water.

So I think we need to look at the fact that the cruise lines have done a tremendous job in that arena. Human factors: We all hear no matter how good a ship, no matter how good the design is, no matter how good the itinerary is; if the people on board aren't trained to understand the operations, and the equipment, and the processes, and the procedures, then it doesn't matter. You're not sailing straight.

8 So the cruise lines have put an inordinate amount of time, 9 and money, and effort into high quality training for their people at all 10 levels. They have done it voluntarily. They've done it sincerely and it 11 makes a difference in their discharges.

12 And it make a difference in the fact that they don't pollute 13 as much because the people understand, care, and are well trained to 14 perform their duties. The human factor is a very important part of it.

15 Then at the end of all that you get to technology, and 16 technology demonstrations. The cruise lines have spent a great deal of 17 money, and a great deal of time, once again, searching for the best 18 technologies they can find. The best available technologies. It's 19 important.

They're not looking for junk because it's a business investment for them. Those pieces of equipment are on board those vessels and people are being trained to use it appropriately programed maintenance systems are ensuring and tracking that maintenance is performed.

The Coast Guard is not the only thing that monitors maintenance and ensures the systems are functioning. So I think despite everything you've heard, I think there's a lot of good being done. I'm also very concerned when people start saying look at standards and look at ISO.

I will tell you very honestly that I don't think at this point in time ISO 14,000 is appropriate, and I feel that way very strongly because ISO is based on the premise that you have in place an operational and auditable for some time system.

You don't build systems and audits simultaneously. If you do, the validity is none. But I think there are alternatives including some of the work done by the Council of Great Lakes Industries, the Total Quality Environmental Management Matrix, some of the things I

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 worked with at EPA headquarters on. 1 2 Those were all very important initiatives. And I think some of the people and the people from NOAA, from's Eastman Kodak and I 3 4 know Dr. Grace Weaver was a part of that. She also been working with 5 some of the cruise lines on Green Hotel Initiatives and doing an 6 absolutely incredible job. 7 So I think there are persons and programs that are probably more applicable and directly relevant than ISO 14,000 at this time. That 8 basically sums up what I had to say. I felt a need to say it. I think it's 9 important, and because I am not a part of any side, it was nice to finally 10 be able to do it. Thank you very much. 11 12 MR. CRAIG VOGT: All right, thank you. David White and followed by Nancy Lee. 13 14 MR. DAVID WHITE: Good afternoon, and I 15 thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments. My name is 16 David White. I'm the Regional Director for the Center of Marine Conservation in St. Petersburg, Florida. 17 18 The center for Marine Conservation is one of the largest private not for profit conservation education organization in the country. 19 20 We are dedicated to protecting marine ecosystems. We are one of the 21 petitioner's on the Bluewater Petition. 22 The Center for Marine Conservation represents the interest 23 of over 100,000 citizen nationwide. Our mission is to protect the oceans 24 ecosystems and to conserve the abundance and diversity of marine 25 wildlife. We do this through science based advocacy, research and public education. 26 27 We have a long history of involvement with the issues 28 related to the cruise line industry. We've worked for the ratification of Annex five in 1987. A number of other federal international treaties. 29 30 We initiated a cruise watch program in 1991 to enlist passengers in 31 assessing the impacts of cruise ships. 32 We've organized waste management seminars with the cruise industry in the early '90s. We're a member of the Ad Hoc Committee 33 for the Marine Board that led to a 1994 report: Clean Slips, Clean 34 Ports, and Clean Oceans. And a number of other initiatives that effect 35 the cruise line industry. 36 37 As you talked about earlier the cruise line industry is a

rapidly growing segment of the tourist and travel industry. There are
225 ships that carry more than nine million passengers in 1998, and the
capacity is expect to grow by 35 percent by 2003 according to a recent
report by the General Accounting Office.

Now, admittedly regulation of this industry is an admittedly complex undertaking especially given the large number of cruise ship corporations, the age, and various conditions of the vessels, the attitude and the training of the operators some of whom, as you've heard, come from over 70 countries.

10 The mobile nature of the discharges, the variation in 11 receiving water quality through all the ports of call and the beneficial 12 uses of those waters some of which are not very good water quality and 13 some of which provide drinking water for some people.

Regardless, given the importance of preventing further degradation of our marine resources, state and federal agencies should promptly move towards the adoption of clear and precise rules to protect the public health and welfare, and to ensure the long-term health and vitality, and productivity of our state and national waters.

19 The current sewage and gray water policies that we are now 20 talking about enforcing which the cruise industry is voluntarily 21 complying with were developed years ago when the number of vessels 22 and the number of passengers were significantly smaller. We are all 23 aware of the concept of the cumulative impact of all the federal laws 24 and from the Clean Water Act and the Endangered Species Act require 25 consideration of the cumulative impacts.

We heard from EPA earlier about all the other sources of the pollution, and all of the other sources of degradation to our marine ecosystems, and we need to be looking at all of these things and the impact of cruise ships is clearly a significant impact.

Recent reports indicate that the gray water may have as much impact as sewage. We have heard reports about fecal chloroforms showing up in gray water which we have always been told is not harmful. These rules just need to be revisited. They were done a long time ago when the situation was different.

Illegal discharges undermine public confidence and create a
 need for better monitoring and enforcement. Cruise ship waste streams,
 physical and secondary impacts may have significant local and regional

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 impacts on coral reefs, fisheries, air and water quality, and highly 1 2 sensitive and unique marine systems that are frequented by these cruise ships that are attracted to these areas because people want to go there 3 4 and want to see them. 5 We've come full circle now just like our national parks 6 where we're destroying the places that we love. We need more 7 information and in the interim a precautionary approach to be adopted to protect critical marine resources. 8 9 Industry has made many technological and policy improvements in waste reduction, in increased recycling and in advanced 10 11 treatment systems. 12 But as noted by the recent report by the General Accounting 13 Office much more progress needs to be made to improve government oversight, to establish better standards for monitoring of sewage and 14 gray water discharges; and to improve monitoring enforcement of 15 existing laws, and to follow up on foreign flag ship violations which 16 enforcement has virtually stopped since 1995. 17 18 We have some various recommendations that are listed inside the petition. Basically we would like to see the waste streams for oil, 19 20 solid waste, sewage, gray water, hazardous waste, and an end of invasive 21 species qualified. 22 We need to know what's going into the water. It's great to 23 say that we're doing the best we can to establish new technology, but 24 that does not offset the need to know what is going into the nation's 25 waters. We need to rethink the sewage, gray water, and ballast water 26 27 exceptions or exemptions under existing federal regulations. Voluntarily 28 self-monitoring is not an acceptable alternative to mandatory record 29 keeping, reporting, and other verifiable compliance mechanisms that 30 worked successfully for almost 30 years under the Clean Water Act. We regulate small cities, and they're required to disclose 31 32 what they're discharging into our nation's waters. And here we have these floating cities that are basically, according to EPA regulations, 33 34 exempt from a number of different requirements. 35 We also need to focus on protecting special areas, ecologically sensitive and marine areas such as coral reefs, marine 36 protected area, the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary which cruise 37

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 ships are attracted through no discharge and restrictive access zones. 1 2 We need to look hard at restricted access to these areas, and no discharge areas. 3 4 Finally, we believe that more government resources are 5 needed to improve standards and monitoring of waste discharges, conduct б water volume sampling programs, inspect sewage treatment systems, and 7 to conduct surveillance and enforcement efforts, and to refer and follow-up on foreign flag ship violations. 8 9 Again, we thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments and look forward to seeing your work product on this. Thank 10 11 you. MR. CRAIG VOGT: Nancy Lee then Ted 12 13 Thompson and then Michelle Paige. MS. NANCY LEE: Hi, my name is Nancy Lee 14 15 and I'm on the Board of the Sierra Club, Miami Group. I am not talking 16 from the standpoint of the Sierra Club this afternoon. I am not prepared to comment because we didn't even know about this hearing. We heard 17 18 about it through the Surfers, and we didn't know about it. No one knew 19 about it. 20 Also Jerry Cohen said, "No environmental organization was contacted by the Department of Environmental Protection or the Florida 21 22 Caribbean Cruise Association to comment on the MOU. Nor was there 23 any environmental organization asked to participate in the creation of the Memorandum. If Florida's environmental community had been involved 24 25 in this process no credible environmental organization would have endorsed this Memorandum." 26 27 So I just want to say I knew nothing about this Memorandum. And as a member of the environmental community. 28 29 When I heard about this hearing, I did a public information request of the Department of Justice, the EPA, DEP, and DERM and I am still 30 waiting on a lot of these, and also the Coast Guard. And the Coast 31 Guard has just gotten back to me, but they said they're getting back to 32 33 me, but they haven't been able to get back to me yet. So in any event I am going to read one thing. This is one of the problems. None 34 35 of these groups know what the other group is doing. This letter went to the DEP and I did a freedom of information request from DEP and they 36 sent me this. They knew about it. So I really think that's a big 37

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 problem. No one, the left hand doesn't know what the right hand is 1 2 doing. This is an inter-office memorandum from DERM. I hate 3 4 reading it, but I am going to have to. This is a Port of Miami, Slender 5 of the Seas discharge of anti-fouling paint into tidal waters of б Miami-Dade County. 7 "While conducting routine inspections in Biscayne Bay on April 21, 2000, Cynthia Guerra and I received a request to respond to a 8 complaint at the Port of Miami. This complaint involved Royal 9 Caribbean Cruise Line conducting bow scraping activities on a vessel 10 11 that was moored at the port. 12 "This activity was reportedly causing a water quality problem as a result of the anti-fouling paint being discharged into tidal 13 14 waters. 15 "We arrived at the site at approximately 11:25 a.m., and 16 noted that there was an orange-red film on the north side of the vessel. 17 The tide was coming in and therefore the ploom was drifting to the west 18 along the side of the ship. "Although, there was a reddish frothy substance on the 19 20 surface of the ploom the majority of the ploom appeared to be 21 subsurface. One sample was taken with an available jar. 22 "Upon contacting Joanne Clingerman via radio we waited 23 until she had notified the contact on the ship. Joanne had informed him 24 that the work that they were conducting could not be conducted in the 25 tidal waters of the county. "As a result of this conversation a verbal cease and desist 26 was issued to Royal Caribbean Cruise Lines. While on site we noted 27 28 that there was a Miami divers truck located on the upper and adjacent 29 vessel. We witnessed two divers exit the water using a ladder, which 30 was then removed from the water. "A short time later the divers went back into the water. 31 32 Although, I did not witness the divers get back in. While on site I 33 spoke with the contract person from Royal Caribbean Cruise Line and 34 reiterated that the work that was being done can't be done in tidal 35 waters. "He stated that this was standard procedure for the cruise 36 line, and that they had never been stopped from doing this type of 37

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 activity before. I assured him that had DERM known that this was being 1 2 done they would have been stopped before. 3 "He informed me that he had the material safety brochures 4 for the paint and that he was going to fax it to Joanne. He stated 5 further that the anti-fouling paint that they use is non-toxic. б "Since we did not have any samples on the boat at the time 7 we contacted the compliance section in order for samples to be taken. Eric Street sent a team to collect a sample. As we were waiting on site 8 9 Jose Diaz arrived via boat and agreed to stay on the site until Eric 10 Street came to do the sample. 11 "Photographs were taken of the site. Please also find the tag 12 for laboratory analysis sheet of the sample that was collected. The copper level was 132 micrograms per liter. The state standard for 13 copper is 2.9 micrograms per liter." 14 Okay, and then a letter went out to DEP and DERM and 15 whatever this went out to the State, and to the person at Royal 16 Caribbean. And in it there's one line that I want to read. "We believe 17 18 the Memorandum of Understanding between the Florida Department DEP 19 and the cruise line industry as you suggested which reveal that the MOU 20 does not address this type of activity." 21 So I personally am not here as a Sierra Club board member. 22 And again, self-regulation and MOU has to be revisited for the Florida 23 Department of Protection. 24 MR. CRAIG VOGT: Thank you very much. I 25 believe Ted Thompson is next. MR. TED THOMPSON: Thank you. On behalf 26 27 of all the members of the International Council and Cruise Lines, I'd like to thank the Environmental Protection Agency for the opportunity to 28 29 make a statement at this public meeting regarding waste management 30 practices on large cruise ships. 31 My name is Ted Thompson. I'm the Executive Vice-President of the International Council of Cruise Lines which is an 32 industry trade association based in Arlington, Virginia. We represent 16 33 companies that carry approximately 85 percent of the North American 34 35 Overnight International Pleasure Voyage Traffic. Several of our members are dominant companies in the 36 37 Alaskan market. Several operate ships in California and almost all
operate vessels in the Caribbean market from ports in the southeastern 1 2 United States. Additionally, vessels operated by members of the 3 4 International Council of Cruise Lines call at over 300 ports worldwide. Ours is truly a global industry. ICCO members vessels are not U.S. 5 6 flagged. Tim Protheroe mentioned several of the flags. We also flag 7 our vessels in the United Kingdom, in Norway, and in the Netherlands to name a few. 8 However, while operating in United States waters all of our 9 vessels must comply with the United States environmental laws. 10 Additionally, all of our members must meet all of the international 11 regulations for both the environment and for the safety of life at sea. 12 To those of you who are familiar with SOLAS, MARPOL, 13 14 and ISM the International Safety Management Code that has been discussed here, and STCW, the International Convention on the standards 15 16 of training, safety standards of certification and watch keeping for seafarers you know that these protocols set out benchmarks for 17 18 environmental and safety standards throughout the world. In fact, these international conventions to which the United 19 20 States is signatory have been adopted into the fabric of U.S. Maritime 21 Regulatory System. 22 As a business that is dependent on carrying passengers to 23 beautiful locations where these same passengers can experience nature's 24 bounty our membership recognizes that even the perception that the 25 industry is not meeting U.S. or International standards is damaging to our image and therefore our business prospects. 26 27 It's been stated that our vessels are cities on the sea or 28 seagoing cities. I'm not sure that I would go that far that they are 29 floating cities, but I would more liken them to floating resorts as 30 opposed to cities. 31 My point is however, that we do have the opportunity to 32 control our waste streams probably in a better than most small towns and 33 cities do. And we do maintain that control. So with these two realities in mind that we can control our 34 35 waste streams, and that our passengers want to go to protected and clean areas with these realities in mind the cruise industry has pro-actively 36 established guidelines regarding the environmental practices, crime 37

reporting, gaming, safety and labor practices, medical treatment, and
 each of the lines have agreed to adhere to these.

3 Our voluntariness meets or exceeds all the requirements of 4 the law of the United States. Worthy of note here is our environmental 5 waste management practices that our members agreed to last year.

6 Our members have endorsed policy goals based on several 7 fundamental principles. And that is: Full compliance with all applicable 8 laws and regulations, maintaining a cooperative relationships with 9 regulatory communities, designing our ships to be environmental

friendly, embracing new technologies and managing water discharges toname a few.

This cruise industry waste management practices and
procedures forms the basis for the Memorandum of Understanding
between the State of Florida, our sister association the Florida-Caribbean
Cruise Association, and has been utilized in discussions with federal
agencies: The Coast Guard, the EPA, Alaska DEC, the legislators in
Washington, Alaska and California.

As technology develops we will adopt additional self-imposed environmental standards that will be incorporated into this document. I think it's important to realize or for you to know that in adopting these voluntary guidelines they become a part of the International Safety and Management Code SMS that Tim Protheroe was talking about.

Therefore, although we say they're voluntary once they ever been adopted into our system they are essentially mandatory. As you heard Tim say those systems are audited internally and externally and are subject to oversight by the flag states and the port states, such as the United States Coast Guard, and each of the equivalent type port states in the 300 ports that we visit.

If we are not complying with our voluntary guidelines that have been adopted into our ship management systems, safety management systems, then we are not in compliance with our ISM documents and those documents are subject to being withdrawn, and if we don't have an ISM document we don't operate.

So while we say they're voluntary guidelines they in effect
become mandatory. These industry guidelines, the ones I'm talking about
here are other the ones we've adopted. They can be found at our

internet address www.iccl.org. We also have, if we haven't got it up
 already a copy of this statement that I am presenting today that would be
 at that web site.

An overview of the cruise waste management practices and procedures is found at the end of this paper and I've put 20 extra copies on the back if anybody is interested.

Now, keeping in mind our commitment to seek and
incorporate new technologies several ICCO members have committed an
excess of a million dollars a piece to field test gray water treatment
systems. These test systems were fully developed and proven and are
expected to remove sediments that appear in gray water streams to the
point that the output is essentially clean water.

Other research that our members are undertaking include plasma in incinerators, and printing, photo processing, and dry cleaning without hazardous waste residues. Despite what we just heard our members are now starting to use and apply non-TBT based paints even though the phaseout for those paints is 2003.

In response to the question of what impact gray water and treated black water have on the environment ICCO undertook a study with Ann Rosenblatt and Sons to evaluate the dispersion of waste water and suspended solids and invading substances as it's discharged in the sea. The complete analysis should be up on our web site probably by tomorrow, hopefully this afternoon, but probably by tomorrow.

These extensive pollution calculations show the discharges are diluted by a factor of approximately 44,500 if a vessel is traveling at four knots. And the dilution factor improves to about 111,000 when a vessel is traveling at 11 knots.

This is based strictly on initial mixing concepts and do not take into consideration additional description effects afforded by a vessel's wake, tidal, and current actions and the additional dispersion is expected to be as much as three to five orders of magnitude. That is another 1,000 to 100,000 times more.

Now, a few days ago in Alaska one of the gentlemen stood up and said we're involved in a bait and switch. First we say we're going to develop these systems that clean up the gray water and next we turn around and say we're relying on dispersion.

37

This is not either/or the industry is going ahead with gray

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 water treatment systems that they expect to be able to install on these ships once the technology has been proven, but in meantime, we were asked to demonstrate what type of impact the discharge of gray water may have in certain environments.

5 Based on these dispersion calculations which, are admittedly 6 are not rocket science at this point, we believe that with the confines of 7 the available data and the assumptions made by the study that the 8 analysis demonstrates that gray water dispersed in constituent 9 concentrations are very low.

10 It's a strong indication that the concentration of the diluted 11 constituents will be well below specified water criteria. We did not 12 include cumulative effect analysis in this study. That wasn't part of it. 13 However, we believe that that would be shown to be very low also.

14 If you look at the point source discharges in Alaska from the 15 various cities and towns, as well as from the cities and towns in the 16 United States, we feel that the point source cumulative effect to be 17 estimated from these point source discharges.

And in general I haven't heard any great discussion that it's
a large concern. Although, I do read that Boston just moved their
discharge pipe out to 10 or 13 miles.

What else are we doing? Mr. Vogt said that he talked with Mr. Anderson. The industry, and the EPA, and the Coast Guard are working together to come up with a water sampling protocol for both Alaska and the Caribbean for this winter and next season to be able to go out and actually sample water after a cruise ship has passed.

With a known overboard discharge we will take samples on board the ship while it's being discharged, and the research vessel will take samples of the water after it's passed.

We expect that this program will yield results in the use of evaluating actual effects of cruise ship waste water discharges.

Last December the cruise industry agreed to support legislation that would single out cruise ships for very significant operating restrictions and penalties. We did this for a reason.

Number one, because we welcome the opportunity to demonstrate that we are adhering to the practices that we say we're

adhering to, and we're a responsible industry that cares about the

37 environment, but number two, even though this law is a new law, it's

going to codify what we're already doing. 1 2 We're already agreeing not to discharge unless our vessels are underway. We're already agreeing to discharge while vessel are 3 4 underway at six knots. This codifies practices that we are already 5 implementing not only here in the United States, but around the world. б We don't know of any other segment of the maritime 7 industry that would be willing or able to meet these types of standards. We've talked about the petition from Bluewater Network here. 8 9 Recently, we've had several meetings with the Environmental Protection Agency both in Washington, and we had a joint industry 10 government agency meeting in Yorktown, Virginia with the United States 11 12 Coast Guard. We have offered to the EPA the opportunity to review our safety management system booklets that will be made available to them 13 and we are getting those in Washington for your review. 14 15 In December of '99 the Commissioner of Alaska Department 16 of Environmental Conservation started a forum in Alaska to thoroughly review cruise industry waste management disposal practices and publicly 17 18 discuss what is currently being done and what should be done to improve the situation. There are a lot of benefits from this. 19 20 But one of the things came out of it is a waste sampling and 21 laboratory testing. And the whole process is going to look at quantity of 22 discharges, what these discharge waste waters are constituted of, what 23 materials are in them. 24 And as I said, we've already done some waste dispersion 25 analysis. We've already some had significant unexpected results indicating that marine sanitation devises may need a lot more attention 26 27 than people previously recognized. 28 When I was a Coast Guard inspector we used to go down 29 and look at the tags and say, yes it's the marine sanitation devise type two. Let me see if the pumps work, let me see if the bells and whistles 30 31 work and if they do assume it was all right. Indications are that it takes 32 a little bit more attention than that to make these things work properly and I think the Coast Guard may even be going back and looking at the 33 certification process. 34 35 From the outset it appears that it might be an industry wide issue with the MSD so not just the pollution issue. Let me say that the 36 International Council of Cruise Lines together with our sister agency, as 37

dedicated to responsible environmental management and protection of our resources. We are committed to working in partnership with the Environmental Protection Agency, the United States Coast Guard, other federal agency, state agencies, and public environmental advocacy groups such as the Center for Marine Conservation, and the Bluewater Network to find productive solutions to the very real issues that confront us all on a daily basis. Let's not kid ourselves, there are some issues out here that need to be dealt with. Some of them may be more significant than others. But there are issues that we have to deal with, and we have to do it on a partnership type basis, and I welcome the opportunity to do that and I thank you for this opportunity to talk with you today. MR. CRAIG VOGT: Thank you. Michelle. MS. MICHELLE PAIGE: My name is Michelle Paige and I have the pleasure of being the president of the Florida-Caribbean Cruise Association. We're a trade association that represents 14 cruise lines in the State of Florida. We work with the government and private sectors of Mexico, Central America, Latin America, South America and of course the Caribbean. The FCCA was created in 1972 to balance an understanding of the cruise industry and work with our partners in the area of, but not limited to port development, tourism development, infrastructure development, safety, security, and legislation. The cruise industry has worked with some partners in this room. Center of Marine Conservation we've teamed on several projects. Hopefully we'll be able to work on many many things in the future. The EPA and I'd like to highlight the very pro-active relationship that we have with the Department of Environmental Protection, and of course, the MOI. It was a pro-active activity to be able to showcase what the cruise industry is doing and where we should be going. It is to underlie the need and to be able to in a pro-active sense showcase what we're doing and what we need to do. And I think that Satish underlined it the best. This is a work in progress. This is not going to end with the signing in March. There's several copies that

43

I mentioned, FCCA as well as the Northwest Cruise Ship Association are

#12227

9/12/00

EPA Public Meeting

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28 29

30 31

32

33

34 35

36

Jim was kind enough to make for us in case you want to take one home
 with you.

I'd like to also highlight the good works of our sister
organization ICCL International Council of Cruise Lines who do all the
technical work. They have the expertise. We team up whenever
possible. We work whenever we can with anyone. Any organization.

We have worked in the past with the Navy. The Navy has very unique problems from the cruise lines because they can't incinerate on board. So they have to come up with more pro-active ingredients to be able to recycle, minimize, and whenever we can we adapt.

In addition, we participate as a member of the Marine Protection Association in Greece. We do beach cleanups in the Caribbean. Why? Not because you our crew likes to get off the ship and clean beaches it's because it's good practice. It involves us in the community, and it showcases that the cruise industry is committed to the environment.

And I would like to submit for the record, anybody who would like a copy, showcases what's on board the ships. If you haven't been on board a ship and you have derogatory comments about what goes on, I'd like you to look at this. Because it showcase that the cruise industry spends millions of dollars. Millions of dollars in equipment. Millions of dollars in training. Why?

I'd like to read to you our sister organization in the
Caribbean, the Caribbean Tourist Organization did a study of impact of
tourism on the marine environment of the Caribbean with special
reference to cruise and other types of marine based tourism. This was
paid for by the European Union.

In the executive summary it states: "This study has found little evidence to indicate that illegal dumping or ship generated waste is a common practice. In fact, what this study has found that the cruise, tourism industry and individual cruise lines are very conscious of the negative image that has followed them because of the illegal disposal of ship generated waste in the marine environment.

34 "Apologizing for such earlier practices they're making a
35 concerted effort to manage their waste in conformity with international
36 standards. Many cruise ships are being built with state-of-the-art
37 processing facilities for treating their waste.

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 "These include incinerators, compactors, bailers, and glass 1 2 crushers. Older vessels are being retrofitted with similar equipment to treat their refuge. In addition, cruise ships have embarked on extensive 3 4 training programs for staff, processing, and handling of waste. 5 "They have also developed and circulated information б packages to notify both passengers and crew of the need to minimize waste and refrain from illegal disposal of waste overboard." 7 Because it's good business. It's because it's where the cruise 8 9 industry does its business. I can't say it better that what is said here. 10 11 "Cruise tourism like its land based counterpart is essentially dependent on environmental resources of a region for its continued 12 success. These include: Clean oceans, beautiful beaches, warm sunny 13 climate, beautiful landscapes, culture diversified, and unspoiled natural 14 environment." 15 16 I thank you very much for this opportunity. MR. CRAIG VOGT: Dan Siren, then Randy 17 Cordry will be next. 18 **MR. DAN SIREN:** Who has a boat here? 19 Small boat? Okay. Well, my name is Dan Siren and I'm with the 20 International Paint Company. Of course, if you have a boat, you keep 21 22 the boat in the water you know you have to keep the marine growth off 23 the bottom. Is that right? 24 So that's our business to keep marine growth off the bottom. 25 International Paint we're a part of the AKZO Nobel Group 26 27 which is the largest marine manufacturer in the world. We are involved in bottom compositions, topside compositions, which include water based 28 29 coatings. We supply pain for gray water tanks, ballast tanks. We supply paint and instruction in all the major shipyards of the world. We 30 31 are factories throughout the world including China, a lot of the other countries in the Far East. 32 33 Most of the cruise companies today because we're talking mostly about the cruise companies, most of the cruise companies today 34 35 are going to continue tin-free type anti-fouling paints. Whereas, 25 years ago most of the industry was using TBT 36 type paints. And the reason why TBT type paint was popular because it 37

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 -- not just to the cruise companies, but any major ship owner could 1 2 operate and use less fuel because it kept off the marine growth. But of course, we found and we are one of the first 3 4 companies to do a lot of studying on it, we found that if you have a 5 large number of boats that are sitting in a marina there's a possibility б that you would have effect on the marine life. 7 So with that in mind, about 10 or 15 years ago we started developing non-TBT type anti-fouling paints. And as I have said, most 8 of the cruise industry is now on their way of using those types of paint. 9 In fact, the cargo ships that are coming out of our new building will be 10 11 using our non-TBT type paint. 12 The Millennium ships in France, in Germany will be using 13 our powerplasty which in the process of trying to register right now with EPA and with the VOC. These are all non-TBT type paints. 14 Of course, the greatest thing that we now have is, I shouldn't 15 16 really bring this up, we have a lot of information on it and I'm sorry on 17 short notice, but biocide free-type coat which is called Intersleak. 18 I should mention that the next NCL ship which is being built 19 will coat the bottom with Intersleak. We put a panel on Voyager of the 20 Seas, I believe the Anderson is coated with paint. I have some 21 documentation which you can pick up later. The bottom after 31 months 22 just show slime on the bottom. No barnacles. No grass. 23 The Nautilus was in done in 1996 and she redrafted in April 24 of 2000 and all that was on the bottom of the vessel was slime. Also 25 would like to mention at this time probably Carnival Cruise Line will 26 put this type of coating on the bottom of his boat. 27 So in closing, we very a lot of information and unless you 28 think it's interesting, in closing I'd like to thank you all for allowing us 29 to come here. Cruise company friends, and we will be striving to go 30 with all the environmental type coatings as Ted indicated. Thank you 31 very. 32 MR. RANDY CORDRY: Good afternoon. I'm Randy Cordry with Brown and Farris Industries. BFI provides removal 33 solid wastes, USDA recycling medical waste removal for several cruise 34 35 lines. One of our most profitable is Carnival Cruise Lines that 36 we're been providing services in the Miami area since 1995. We 37

currently service locations in Port Everglades, Port Canaveral, Port of
 Tampa, San Juan, New York, Boston, West Coast, LA, San Diego, and
 Vancouver.

BFI has a very detailed waste and recycling policies and procedures that is outlined and all the due diligent procedures that we have with certain cruise lines, and at all BFI facilities in county or city run facilities where the waste is handled throughout the county.

8 These waste streams BFI handles has specific a handling 9 container and transported by permitted and licensed vehicles to specific 10 waste facilities. Each waste stream has a documented trail or manifest 11 that is kept for specific record keeping.

12 BFI full compliance agreements are in all facilities throughout the country. We have trained the personnel to transport and 13 handle this specific waste stream. BFI currently provides waste 14 recycling services for Holland America, Cunard, RCCL, U.S. Naval 15 16 ships, U.S. Coast Guard, Celebrity, and Costa Cruise Lines. Thank you. MR. CRAIG VOGT: Thank you. All right, 17 I've got a request that two speaker in this series Kevin Gilbert, are you 18 here. And then Tracy DePaul, if you could come up, please. 19 20 MR. KEVIN GILBERT: Thank you. Again, late notice. We weren't quite certain of the forum, but I felt it 21 22 beneficial to perhaps give the audience a touch of what my company 23 does.

Again, my name is Kevin Gilbert. I work for the Group Marine Division of Ashland Specialty Chemical. We are the people at the head of the waste stream. And our chemicals are used not only in the cruise industry, but in shipping worldwide.

We're located, as I believe Dan Siren indicated with his company, in a worldwide effort to provide environmentally friendly, and more safe products throughout the industry, and to continue to improve the process so that we can chemical products that are definitely required on board ships go in their processes with an improved efficacy and environmental safety record.

We are probably one of the leading suppliers in the industry, and we're working in concert with our partnership alliance companies like Royal Caribbean, like Carnival, like Renaissance. Basically all of the cruise line sector to work in a closer alliance to improve the process

of chemical use on board ships. 2 Some examples of this in the waste treatment streams specifically historically hazardous and harmful acids were used to 3 4 maintain these systems in a scale free and odor free situation. We're 5 going to biological treatments which have much less impact on the б environment and much greater efficacy as well.

1

7 In conjunction with this Ashland Specialty Chemical is one of the largest worldwide suppliers with specialty chemicals in the 8 industry. And part of that we get piggyback on board of them, they 9 have a 92 member environmental health and safety department that works 10 with the CMA, the Chemical Manufactures Association, and we are a 11 12 charter member of that organization.

13 It is our goal through a process that we call responsible care, and I believe one of the other speakers it might have been Beth 14 Freese, had indicated that there are other avenues out there than 14,001. 15

16 We, in particular, use responsible care in this initiative. It's a six part process whereby we continually look to improve environmental 17 18 impact and long term waste stream effects of the products that are used in the industry. 19

20 One other important factor that Ashland Specialty Chemical 21 provides through the Marine Division is on board and shoreside training. Again, as a few of the speakers mentioned, it's not just the products, it's 22 23 not just the technology, but it's the people using them.

24 We find that through ongoing training and proper use of 25 chemical, and many of the things we supply are dangerous and hazardous products by necessity, but that in effect the overall is an improvement 26 27 upon the environment that a system operated without chemicals is. That 28 we can in effect take these nationalities from all over the world and 29 simplify the processes so that the end product is an overall improved 30 waste stream.

31 And again, we do this through ship board and shoreside 32 ongoing training, and continual product improvement, and we look forward to working with the cruise industry for as many years as 33 34 chemicals are necessary in this world.

35 I believe we just wanted to take a moment to especially to introduce ourselves to the persons, perhaps not the cruise side, because 36 most of them know us, but to perhaps some of the environmental people 37

out there that all the chemical people aren't bad people. 1 2 We're out here to make our environment better through the 3 effective and efficient use of chemistry. And we have taken large steps 4 in inventory reduction systems, waste stream management programs. 5 Just a few years ago, and it was mostly due to ignorance, we б didn't realize, we as a society did not realize, the environmental impact 7 of few ships and there's about 3,000 ships out there that impact the 8 world seas of any significant size. 9 We didn't realize the impact on the environment. Since that, we've come about and we've got better data Ashland has taken a bold 10 11 step to reducing some of the common products used, solvents are almost nonexistent in our industry today due to work especially with cruise 12 13 lines. 14 The cruise lines tends to be the pentacle of the industry. 15 They're the trend setters, and we use them often as a launching ground 16 or platform for our new and innovative products. Just recently we develop our 2000 series, recently, five years 17 ago, removing all hazardous CFCs and solvents from these new 18 compounds. What that means to the environment is that the products in 19 use still do the cleaning, they can prevent raw oily and greases from 20 21 entering the waste stream. Doing it in a biodegradable and ecological 22 fashion. 23 We are also working closely with some the equipment manufacturers realizing that there are new opportunities and that perhaps 24 25 old chemical solutions can be automated mechanically or physically with new chemistry. 26 27 As an example, and I believe it was mentioned here the gray water systems using different types of equipments such as flocculents, 28 29 filtration, reverse osmosis unit as well as. It was mentioned specifically about oily water waste removal 30 and using a mechanical chemical process where the older filtration 31 coalescing membranes systems used to get discharged in the area of five 32 to ten parts per million. The new mechanical chemical systems, these 33 flocculent systems are down to zero ppm discharge. 34 35 Again, I just thought it would necessary to indicate to some 36 of the folks that aren't familiar with our industry that we are out improving our environment. We live here too. Thank you for your time. 37

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 1 MR. CRAIG VOGT: Thank you. Next Tracy 2 DePaul. Then we have four more speakers after that have signed up. So then we will ask if anyone else wants to make a follow up statements, 3 4 but don't leave because we're all here. MS. TRACY DEPAUL: My name is Tracy 5 б DePaul. I am here on behalf Carnival Cruise Lines as a third party 7 vendor. Again, this is also last minute, so there's no speech here. I had a chance to review the Bluewater Network Petition, 8 and I'm glad to say that Carnival Cruise Lines has hazardous waste 9 recycling of the florescent lamp and the batteries and also monitoring 10 pertaining to that. They have been recycling their lamps and batteries 11 12 with us since March of 1999. 13 Susan is their fleet operations person that she has audited all the facilities throughout the United States that we have, which are in 14 California also in Florida here, and also in Pennsylvania, and we have 15 16 been working with Carnival Cruise Lines all their ships and they have 17 been recycling their materials. 18 The process of what they go through when the ships do dock is we have routine pick ups with them. And when they dock we come 19 20 out there and we pick up their waste streams and we do the full 21 recycling of them. 22 I do have with me process descriptions on how we do 23 recycling, what we do with all the waste streams. I leave that with you. 24 I am not going to go into detail with it. We also have say web site if 25 you like you can write it down see what our company does. It's www.aerc-mti.com. 26 27 So you can look at it on the web site and see what we do 28 with the materials. That's basically all I have to say. MR. CRAIG VOGT: Thank you. Larry Doral 29 30 and Elaine Heldewier, and Nancy Wheatley, and Kira Schmidt. 31 **MR. LARRY DORAL:** My name is Larry 32 Doral. I'm the Executive Vice-President of Cliff Barry an environmental services company here in the South Florida area. By way of history I 33 used to be Captain of the Port here in Miami and I've been in the Coast 34 Guard 23, 24 years before I retired and took this job with Cliff Barry. 35 Cliff Barry Incorporated, for those of you that don't know 36 37 from asking, it's the largest environmental services company in the

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 south. We have a fully permitted industrial waste water pretreatment plant here in Miami. We service all of the cruise lines either directly which is our personnel and our people or I indirectly through a third party.

5 By that I mean, we service Carnival, Holland American Line, 6 Norwegian, the other major cruise lines personally. And we also service 7 RCCL, Princess, Celebrity through the other vendors that collect their 8 waste waters and their bilge waters.

9 We have that treatment system in Miami is the only 10 treatment system that absolutely certified to operate in Miami as we do. 11 We processed over 25 million gallons of oil and oily waste water last 12 year. The majority of that came from the cruise industry. A lot of it 13 came from used motor oil.

I can give you an example, last week, last weekend we took off over 300,000 gallons of oily water from one vessel. And that's same vessel discharged an additional approximately 116,000 of gray water. That is in one port of call. Now, to be sure and I don't want everybody to gasp out there, we don't do that every weekend. But it speaks to the issue of the quantities of water that come in periodically.

I can speak with respect to the processes that we do. Each time we go down to a vessel and take any oily water off the vessel that's considered a marine cargo transfer and it's regulated by the Coast Guard.

The mobile transfer of the facility that goes down has to be looked at by the Coast Guard. We have a declaration and inspection which is a Coast Guard form which is filled out for each transfer.

And in addition to that, our company's policy is to have an internal check list which in turn identifies each vessel that we have service, the various ports of call that they're in, and the berth that they're in to ensure that all the safety and the preventative measures for that particular vessel at that berth are addressed in the declaration of inspection.

This would include our trucks on the docks. We have a load on top policy and we've developed, for purposes of the court reporter here, the technical term in the adapter/kanapter. It allows us to hook our vessels, the vessel's oily water and oily discharge into the trucks in a fixed connection to the camplock fitting.

With respect to policies that are known to me, I know that

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 we service RCCL and their gray water. I can tell you that they take a 1 2 very strong interest in their gray water. We recently had a situation where we were down there 3 4 taking the gray water off their vessel and, as you've talking about gray 5 water all day today, you know what it is, there was a drip from one of 6 the valves in the belly on one of the trucks, and the next day the 7 president of the company and myself were down in front of an inquisition, no pun intended, and got berated because we had a dropped 8 of gray water that was coming out. It was in containment. 9 The hard thing I had to do that day was get my folks, the 10 people that service these vessels, to realize the intense interest that these 11 12 cruise lines have in gray water and the management of it, even though 13 it's not regulation. That's just a good example. All of our sites have been surveyed by all the cruise lines 14 15 that deal with us. They've done their due diligence and that includes 16 our facilities in addition to the facilities that we use in the downstream 17 waste. 18 I have some numbers here. On average, I think that's important to know, in this year, let me give you an example here. Up 19 20 until July of this year, that includes January through July, we have taken 21 3,661,000 of oily waste water off of Carnival ships alone. That includes the Destiny, Ectasy, Fantasy, Imagination, 22 23 Jubilee, Paradise, Sensation, and Tropical. In addition to that, industrial 24 waste water. We ever taken off almost three quarters of a million 25 gallons of gray water in the first six months of the year alone. That's just for one company. 26 So that's the thrust of the comments that I wanted to make. 27 28 Since we are the people to see all the oily water, and the gray water 29 from the majority of these vessels I thought it is appropriate to give you 30 the volumes and the tremendous care that goes on in handling the oil and the water that comes off the vessels. 31 32 MR. CRAIG VOGT: All right, thank you. 33 Elaine Heldewier. 34 MS. ELAINE HELDEWIER: Thank you. 35 Elaine Heldewier from the cruise line for the environmental program. And thank you for the opportunity to talk about our environmental 36 37 program and in a collaborative effort that we're doing in regard to the

environmental program. 1 2 You have heard about our vendors for the cruise lines how they handle our waste streams. We have garbage management programs 3 4 on board. That's our waste management programs. That why we call it 5 garbage management plan. All the cruise lines have a garbage 6 management plan system on board. It's part of our SMS system. It's 7 internal regulations. That's the bible in terms of how we handle our 8 waste.

9 You have been provided by cruise lines some examples of 10 waste management plan and I have examples as well for Carnival 11 garbage management plan. Within that plan it defines all the waste 12 streams and how they're handled.

In addition, to that it has an extensive record keeping process, all the logs in terms of each specific waste and when they're discharged and the quantities that's all reported in the garbage plan record which is on board the ship, and when the U.S. Coast Guard is going to inspect that's for the record.

18 That's the types of the waste streams are going to be, and 19 that's how all the records are kept for the officers or anyone who wants 20 to know how much the waste is produced and generated in this ship.

As I said, earlier you have heard from different vendors for that come on board our ships and take our waste. All the cruise lines go through a rigorous due diligence where we audit vendors. We need to know full circle, cradle to grave where the waste is generated, and how it's treated. We treat some of the waste on board and some of the waste is treated shoreside.

For the waste that is treated shoreside we do diligence we go all over the U.S. depending on where the ships are located, the companies that will take the waste. The facilities we get the records and we want to make sure that the same process that we have on board to handle our waste are carried through to shoreside facilities that we chose to handle our waste.

So it's a complete circle. So whether it's from on board or
shore side the same system needs to be taken in place and that's all
defined in the garbage management system for us and all cruise lines.
I also want to talk about collaborative efforts that the cruise
line is doing in terms of environmental protection. We have

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 environmental round tables and this is an opportunity where we discuss 1 2 environmental issues on a one-on-one basis is a win/win opportunity for all of us. It's not competitive. 3 4 We look at environmental issues as a global issue and then 5 where we input from each cruise line is beneficial for all. Not only for б the cruise line, but for the environment itself. What we can develop 7 within the cruise line can also be applied to other marine type industries. 8 9 So that's overall of what we're doing. Within the environmental round tables we have had round tables for the past two 10 years. They've been held in Carnival's Cruise line office and also Royal 11 12 Caribbean's Office and I have copies for you for some of the environmental round tables that we have done. 13 14 We as I said earlier, we discuss different environmental topics. We have had for the last two of the environmental round tables 15 16 meeting with vendors to push them to develop better technologies for treating our effluent, for gray water, for black water, and getting up to a 17 18 higher level way beyond what regulation is in right now. We want to go way and above into standards that will allow 19 20 us to use our water internally for the ship and we want to recycle that 21 water so it's a comprehensive environmental program that looks at the 22 different aspects of the ship and its application. 23 In addition to the round table we also have programs for 24 community participation. You've heard earlier during the meeting 25 representative from NOAA, representative from University of Miami. These are the types of research programs that are going on that cruise 26 27 lines are sponsoring. 28 We also have programs with academic association where they 29 do research using the ships as the sampling platforms where data can be collected from the ship, in terms of water quality. 30 A lot is going to be driven from those studies. We also 31 32 have specific community participation within the port where we are. All communities, all the ports that we go to. For instance, you heard from 33 the representative for Biscayne Bay Partnership what the cruise line is 34 35 doing to assist in that project. With DERM specifically we have worked with a local 36 program every year. The community goes out and goes to the mangroves 37

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 and starts cleaning up the Bay. So those are types of examples of 1 2 programs that we're doing. 3 It looks at the whole impact of environmental programs. So 4 I am going to leave with you some of the information that I have in the 5 back, and you also have received some of this information from the other 6 two representatives as well. Thank you. 7 MR. CRAIG VOGT: All right, thank you. 8 MS. NANCY WHEATLEY: Good afternoon. I 9 will start as everyone has started and say I am pleased to be here today and have an opportunity to talk. I'm going to talk a little bit about 10 11 Royal Caribbean which I feel some necessity to do based upon some of the previous hearings and then I'm going to go to area where I'm more 12 13 comfortable which is talking as to regulatory issue about dirty water, which I've done for quite a long time. 14 15 Let's start by talking about Royal Caribbean. Royal 16 Caribbean has not generally spoken up at hearings such as this and in other forums because, in fact, the company was guilty of significant 17 violations of both U.S. and international law for activities that took 18 place in the mid '90s. 19 20 Those activities were before I joined the company and partly responsible for the creation of the position that I now hold. At the time, 21 22 the company pled guilty, the president of the company Jack Williams 23 said that the actions that took place were wrong, they were inexcusable and they should never have had happened. Those statements were true 24 25 when Royal Caribbean first pled guilty and those statements are true 26 today. 27 So I not want to be seen as an apologist for the past actions of the company. And I don't think anyone in the company wants to try 28 29 and suggest that these actions were anything other than what they were which was wrong. 30 31 The company has paid a significant fine and is subject to continuing supervision by the court based upon those actions. But it is 32 33 important, I think, in this context -- let me just make one other point, which is, as a result of these actions, and the fact that the Coast Guard 34 35 discovered them and brought them to the attention of the U.S. Attorney Offices which led to the criminal prosecution, I think that that whole 36 37 process has provided a significant wake up call to the cruise industry.

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 1 Michelle Paige noted earlier that in the early '90s or 2 mid-90s, 1994 there was a report done on garbage dumping and solid 3 waste management essentially in the Caribbean. And there have been 4 issues that have been raised through the cruise industry done and that's 5 brought back about some change in practices.

6 I think the recent event, the events of the late-90s have 7 really alerted the industry to the fact that a clean environment is in fact 8 a part of our future. If the oceans are not a beautiful place to go we 9 don't have a business. We need to dedicate ourselves every day to being 10 sure that that happens.

We have changed, Royal Caribbean has done significant changes in our operation. One of us was just talking about that we have a significant waste management plan in place. It is also part of an environmental compliance plan which is audited on a regular basis by an external environmental auditor, and they report to a supervising company and to the government.

17 I will also leave you with some literature. I think you've18 probably already read it. You know Craig will share it.

We have a summary of our waste management plan and also a 1999 environmental report which I will put in the record. I did want to comment briefly on a couple of statements that were made. I understand, although, I was not there in the Alaska and Los Angeles hearings and then also comment on a house cleaning issue which came up before.

I think it's important not to -- it's impossible to discuss and to really understand what's going on with a particular incident and in a public hearing forum like this. But I think it's also important to remember that just because someone says something in a press release or in public doesn't mean that you have all of the facts or that you understand all that's going on.

I understand that there were some allegations about an
illegal discharge in San Francisco Harbor which was made Bluewater
Network. When we heard about that allegation, the company, which

34 takes all such comments very seriously, immediately began an

35 investigation of what possibly could have happened on the date in

36 question in San Francisco.

37

As a result of our investigation and a recreation of the event

we are convinced that what occurred on that day was that deck washing
 was going on and that there was some soapy water that went into San
 Francisco Harbor.

And I will tell you this, the soap used to wash the decks is in fact biodegradable. I have seen pictures from the alleged incident in San Francisco side by side with the recreation and I am reasonably convinced that it was in fact soapy water which would not have been illegal.

9 There's also been statements about a discharge in Haines. I
10 have asked to get information about that, in particular to get pictures,
11 those have not been provided to the company as yet.

As far as we can tell there was no discharge at all, never mind an illegal discharge. There has been a problem with foaming in the area of Haines and I think perhaps other parts of Alaska this summer. It maybe winter and there may be some bacteria in the water.

There's no evidence, there's no conclusion of fact that there was an illegal discharge. With respect the hull cleaning I think there's a couple points that can be illustrated here.

First of all, the hull cleaning of vessels that are docked at the Port of Miami is specifically allowed by the tariff for the Port of Miami. And so this is an activity that was -- I don't know if anyone is still doing it, but it has been regularly conducted at the Port of Miami and Miami divers and they will tell you in the document that was read, Miami Divers does conduct this activity regularly.

If the Splendor of the Seas was having her hull cleaned and you might say why do you clean hulls when your ship's in the water. Well, as a representative from the paint company noted if you have grass or barnacles on the bottom of your ship or your boat it doesn't perform as well. It doesn't move as fast. And the Splendor was about to go from the U.S. over to Europe for her summer stay and so the hull was being cleaned.

When the authorities came by and said that there might be a problem with the activity, the activity was in fact stopped and Royal Caribbean has told them that we will not be doing any hull cleaning in the Port of Miami.

As a result of that there was some confusion about whether this might be covered by the MOU State of Florida. I don't think it's

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 1 covered by the MOU State of Florida and never did. And as a result of 2 the question about what happens with water quality it has gone to the 3 DEP and we're discussing with DEP how to do this.

I personally think there's a couple of lessons here. One is that I think that as a representative of CMEC commented that regulations need to be clear and the law needs to be clear and I think that's one of the things we can get out of this proceeding is some clarity, because there are conflicts between different agencies and just in terms of how to interpret specific regulations.

10 So with respect to the Port of Miami, this was an allowed 11 activity. The second thing is -- let me go on and talk a little bit more 12 about some of the things that Royal Caribbean has done. I haven't seen 13 Ellen Prager talk before, but she's obviously very excited about the 14 ocean lab.

15 Royal Caribbean has an ocean fund which has provided 16 funding for a number of maritime related projects. We've provided over 17 \$3 million of funding for marine related projects. That doesn't include 18 the cost of the ocean lab and as Ellen said the cost of that facility and 19 the cost of this operation is being shared by the University of Miami, 20 NOAA, and I think EPA has some funding in there and also Royal 21 Caribbean.

We are very excited about that because it does provide an opportunity to do scientific research which really doesn't exist anywhere in the world at this point because of the regular track around the Caribbean.

Other projects that we funded include an annual beach cleanup which is coming up this Saturday. I am sure you can get information about where to show up if you want to clean up one of the greater Miami beaches.

We have also funded the Conservation Model Community Program which does clean up and education in a number Caribbean communities. Because trash in the ocean is a really important issue to address and CMC has some very good programs to do that.

We've funded teacher training in Florida, the Nature Conservancy does a lot of work in the Florida Everglades. We have provided funding there. The Marine Stewardship Council which is an

1 certification program.

2 So if you buy Alaska salmon now and you see a little 3 friendly fish symbol on the Alaska salmon where it is being sold you 4 know you're purchasing from a fishery that has been certified as 5 sustainable.

6 We have funded the Audubon's Living Oceans campaign. We 7 have funded coral reef work for the World Wildlife Fund, and a number 8 of other organizations. We have funded small projects in the \$15,000 to 9 \$100,000 range that supports sea turtle research; eco-camps opportunities 10 for kids in the Caribbean; mangrove restoration; grouper and the failure 11 of grouper, and all that.

12 The company does that Royal Caribbean and Carnival and 13 other cruise lines do as citizens both in Miami, Florida, and the world, I 14 should say all of those above and this is part of the way that we spend 15 our charitable dollars for as a corporation in supporting marine related 16 work.

17 Some of the other things that we've done on our operational 18 side we really have been looking for ways to be above and beyond 19 compliance and to push the industry forward, to push ourselves forward, 20 and of course, the industry as well.

I think Ellen said we're not competitive on a environmental front, but we are somewhat because we are looking for ways to move forward.

Let me talk first about dry clean. Not all of the Royal Caribbean and Celebrity ships we have installed closed loop systems which means the PERCs, the solids that are used in dry cleaning, is recirculated, so there's no evaporation.

We were hoping that this was going to reduce the usage of PERC, although, I'm not sure it's going to do that, but at a minimum it's reducing the evaporation of PERC, and therefore at least making the operation safer.

We have also installed on the Celebrity vessels a filtration system with a medium that absorbs the PERC and so when you do dry cleaning you get condensate on the dry cleaning equipment and also on the stream presses where you press the garments after they've been dry cleaned.

37 This	filtration	system	removes	the	PERC	so	that	the
---------	------------	--------	---------	-----	------	----	------	-----

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 condensate that you have remaining which is the waste water that gets limited has almost literally almost no PERC in it at all. There's maybe billions of parts -- that would be parts per billion of residual PERC, but it's really very low. That allows you to land the filter medium as a hazardous

waste because most of what you have left is clean water. We have a
new ship that was launched in June called the Millennium. It's a
Celebrity vessel. It's the first cruise ship that has been powered with
gas-turbines.

10 Gas-turbines reduce visible emissions, they reduce smoke and 11 nitrogen oxide and the nitric oxide and sulfur oxides that are associated 12 with focil fuel engines.

Some of the other cruise lines as well have added gas-turbines for some of their operations. For example, when they're docking in a port or for when they're tied up, and are hoteling as we call it, when we're just in port.

17 Royal Caribbean as a result of the problems with the oily
18 waste water in the mid-90s, the company partnered with a venture called
19 Neurine Flock to develop a new bilge water treatment system.

Our systems treat -- we say that the systems treat bilge water to less than five parts per million. The international standard is parts per million. The standard for the U.S. is 15 parts per million near shore, and it's higher off shore.

But these system we say clean the water to less than five parts per million. We do not discharge treated bilge water unless it's five parts per million. In general the systems work in the zero to two parts per million range. Which is very very clean water.

We talked earlier about paints. The paint on the Splendor by the way was a non-TBT paint. It was a copper based paint. All off the Celebrity ships are painted with non-TBT based paints. The Royal Caribbean ships are being painted as they go into dry dock, and the TBT paints are being replaced.

Again, this is well in advance of the dates that were set for replacement of TBT paints under the international regulations. Starting in 1995 Royal Caribbean was one of the first to invest in large cold storage areas on the ship.

37

The reason for this interestingly enough, is so that we can

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 crush and hold glass and can and land them in large parts for recycling 1 2 rather than to have to either discharge at sea or land them in smaller 3 ports in the Caribbean. You cannot hold waste that has had foot in 4 contact on a ship for very long if you don't have refrigeration facilities. 5 So all of the Royal Caribbean ships, all of the Celebrity б ships, all of Carnival, all of the volume cruise lines now have 7 refrigerator rooms in order to hold the glass and hold the cans, and hold the other types of trash so that it can managed at a large port rather 8 9 than looking for other opportunities which would include, and in some instances, could include the ocean. 10 11 Last summer there's been a couple of mentions of this, this 12 summer the two Celebrity ships that were operating in Alaska had full 13 scale test systems, test reverse osmosis systems to treat gray water. The reverse osmosis system was followed by an ultraviolet 14 15 disinfection system so that what was being discharges was in fact to 16 what you find in bottled water. We actually had an engineer and a 17 mayor who drank it. 18 Although, I would have to say I would want a few more barriers there for my personal protection, but it is very clean water. 19 We're very happy with the success of that project and hope that we're 20 21 going to be able to really move the state of waste water treatment forward with that kind of work. 22 23 Let me comment a little bit on environmental management. 24 As you folks are aware, because you've heard this before, what Royal 25 Caribbean and Celebrity have ISO 14,000 certification for their environmental management system. Both Royal Caribbean and Celebrity 26 27 also have received certification from their classification societies that their environmental practices are beyond what's required by the ISM 28 29 Code. 30 The DNB which is Royal Caribbean's classification society has a program called SEP on the Lloyd's side it's called Environmental 31 Protection. Now one of the major questions is how do we assure that on 32

going forward basis a voluntary program has enough teeth in it to be
 sure that it's not just something you put on the shelf and don't follow.
 As a couple of people have mentioned once you have put

these standards and these are in fact performance standards into your operating practices your classification society audits against those

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 operating practices, and if you don't follow those, and you have non-conformance from your classification society, which are reported to the Coast Guard, and so you ultimately can't operate that way. We have talked quite a bit about the Memorandum of Understanding with the Florida Department of Environmental Protection, and let me do that as a bridge to being a regular geek, as Craig points to his watch. It's a good thing because I can talk about regulatory issues for a long time. I have managed two industrial treatment programs which are the major programs having the Clean Water Act to regulate the industry around the U.S. in Boston and in Southern California. And one there are permits which are issued to industry under those programs. Those are

the permits that people usually refer to when they're talking about
industry being permitted under the Clean Water Act.

There are some discharge permits, but mostly we're talking about that program. If you want to move forward quickly, and if you want to move any industry to be innovative one of the best things you can do is work with industry to find ways, practices that are going to work, and to move forward on that basis.

If you do traditional command and control, and Craig, you've had through this. You had said earlier that EPA has done command and control for a long time. You don't necessarily get the innovation and the speed of change.

Having said that, let me say that the Clean Water Act and the NPDES program has in fact worked very well. One of the things that it does bring with it is relative certainty about what the rules are which makes it much easier to understand how to operate.

So I am not and don't take my comments as saying that I don't like the NPDES Permit Program. It has brought enormous benefits to the United States. But it's also true that it takes a long time to change regulations. It takes a very long time to change regulations.

And if we as an industry want to make progress with the regulatory world and with the environmental community in the short term, in the very short term meaning in the next few years, I think that our greater opportunities are going to come from looking at voluntary programs.

37

1 2

3 4

5

б

7

8 9

10

11 12

I will tell you that if I were a government agency trying to

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 put reverse osmosis systems in some of my facilities and I wanted to do 1 2 that in less than nine months, it wouldn't happen. So that's something that with a private company by taking initiatives that are above and 3 4 beyond what the law is, we have many more flexibility and more ability to move more quickly. 5 б I think that's important, and I think it's important because what I really care about, and I've said this to the lawyers, what I really 7 care about is the Miranda of Improvement. 8 9 Many of the things that we have done that, and the things I've listed are real and will bring real environmental improvements in the 10 ocean environment. Because it's not just Royal Caribbean, Celebrity it 11 is the industry which is going towards these much higher standards. 12 13 And having said that I will thank you. MR. CRAIG VOGT: Kira Schmidt, please. 14 Then the final speaker will be Ed DeManico. 15 MS. KIRA SCHMIDT: I'm Kira Schmidt with 16 Bluewater Network. I'm a campaign director there. Bluewater Network 17 is an nation environmental organization protecting public waters, lands, 18 and ecosystems, fighting damage caused recreation, shipping industry 19 20 practices, and other types of marine pollution and we're based in San 21 Francisco. 22 The cruise ship campaign launched late last year in response 23 to the media attention and public concern generated by the Royal Caribbean case, which I will described briefly in a moment, some of the 24 25 major concerns that Bluewater Network has regarding cruise ships are the following: 26 27 First, the series of pollution incidents by cruise ships, the severity and intentionality of some these incidents. Large finding of 28 29 waste that the cruise ship generate on and discharge into the seas. The rapid growth and number and size of cruise ships, and inadequate 30 31 oversight and regulation of cruise ship waste management and therefore 32 inadequate enforcement and deterrents programs in the U.S. by cruise 33 ships. 34 I'm going to go into a little bit more detail on each of these 35 concerns that they are what prompts us to author the petition to the EPA. 36 Regarding the series of pollution incidents according to a 37

report recently released by the General Accounting Office.
 From 1993 to 1998 alone cruise ships were involved in 104
 confirmed cases of illegal discharges of oil, garbage, and hazardous
 wastes; 87 of these were into U.S. waters; 31 of these took place in
 Florida State waters; 7 took place in Porta Rico; 5 in the Virgin Islands;
 and 6 more outside of the three miles, but in this area. That is almost
 50 percent of the cases.

8 To illustrate the severity and intentionality of some of these 9 incidents I will briefly talk about the Royal Caribbean cases that Nancy 10 referred to.

In 1993 and 1994 two Royal Caribbean ships caught discharging oil one off the coast of Miami and one off the coast Porta Rico which led to federal investigations that revealed the routine of on board discharge of oil contaminated waste.

Deliberate presentation of false oil record books to the Coast Guard and the use of secret bypass pipes that enable the discharge of oil contaminated bilge waste without using required pollution prevention equipment.

19 This case prosecuted by the Department of Justice led to a 20 plea agreement in 1998 and \$9 million in fines. Then continuing 21 investigations revealed fleet wide practices by Royal Caribbean ships of 22 routinely discharging oil contaminated bilge waste and toxic pollutants 23 from shipboard dry cleaning and photo processing operations through 24 their gray water systems, and lying to the Coast Guard to cover it up.

Royal Caribbean plead guilty in six U.S. jurisdictions to 21 felonies in 1999 and paid \$18 dollars in fines. We are also concerned about the large volumes, extremely large volumes of waste that cruise ships generate while out on the water. A typical cruise ship on a one week voyage generates more than 50 tons of garbage; 1.5 million gallons of gray; 210 gallons of sewage; 35,000 of oil contaminated water, and unknown amounts of hazardous waste.

The average volume of waste being generated at sea by cruise ships is increasing due to the rapid growth of the industry, which is averaging about eight percent per year.

Four of the five, top five ports most used by the cruise ships are in Florida or Porta Rico. Number one is Miami, two, Port

37 Canaveral, three San Juan, and four Port Everglades.

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 In 1998 these ports embarked more than 3.5 million cruise 1 2 passengers and I am sure that number has increased significantly since 3 the number passenger embarkations grew 48 percent from 1990 to 1998. 4 The cruise industry plans to introduce 57 new ships to the North 5 American fleet by 2004. 6 Not only will the number of ships be increasing but also 7 their size. The largest ship recently brought on line carries more than 5,000 passengers and crew. The trend is toward these larger ships. 8 9 This rapid growth is troubling because it appears that the existing oversight of cruise ship waste management is inadequate. The 10 GAO report has that the Coast Guard's ability and detect and resolve 11 marine pollution violations is constrained by the narrow scope of its 12 13 inspections. A significant reduction in aircraft surveillance for marine 14 pollution purposes and a breakdown of the process for identifying and 15 16 resolving alleged violations compared to flag states. The Coast Guard's passenger vessel construction program, 17 which we heard about earlier, focuses primarily on safety, pollution 18 prevention issues are addressed to a much more limited degree. 19 20 Inspectors focus on safety, with the large size of the cruise ship the limited time for inspection and the limited staff resources make 21 it very difficult to perform detailed examination of environmental 22 23 functions according to the Coast Guard inspectors themselves. 24 We have also highlighted in our petition that the existing 25 regulatory exemption that should go into waste management contained significant gaps, which Craig mentioned earlier, including for example 26 27 the exemption from NPDES permit requirement for gray water discharges. 28 29 We've heard a lot about voluntary programs that the cruise companies employ to certify that they're in compliance with 30 environmental laws, including classification society certification, and 31 audits required by the ISM Code certification or various plea agreements 32 for past violations. 33 You will pardon me, but I am going to read from the report 34 for a second here: 35 JR Report notes that: "Like the Coast Guard's ship 36 inspections the new ISM related audits are scheduled in advance and the 37

company's and ship crew know when the auditors are on board and
 generally what they will be reviewing.

"Also, a sizable portion of these audits focus on the review
paperwork and processes. A representative from the major classification
societies have made close examinations of hardware, such as oily water
separators and cannot be accomplish in the time allotted for these
audits."

8 Also looking at the findings of audits being conducted of 9 Royal Caribbean ship's and made public as part of their plea agreement 10 with the Department of Justice of 10 ships audited conducted between 11 December of 1999 and June of 2000 each and every one had multiple 12 problems with errors in the log book recording the oil, garbage, 13 hazardous waste, gray water, and/or black water discharges.

14 One has to speculate that the relatively simple task of filling 15 out log books is not being done properly on all ships. Whether other 16 more serious problems of actual handling of waste water while at sea are 17 concerning.

In addition, quarterly environmental compliance reports are also required under the plea agreements. The compliance report for the three month period ending January 31, 2000, for example, revealed that seven environmental incidents of spills and malfunctions occurred. Four of those were in Florida, two in Porta Rico, and one in the Virgin Islands.

There have been a couple voluntary government cruise ship industry partnerships entered into recently which we've heard about today, and have been cited as good models: Memorandum of Understanding between the Florida Environmental Protection and the cruise industry.

And regarding that, I simply want to note that there was zero public input or involvement in this negotiations, and has no means of enforcement, and problems in lack of clarity regarding the same waste management regulations that prompted it in the first place have persisted since its signature.

There's also an Alaska Cruise Ship Initiative that was launched by the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation due to concerns regarding illegal discharges from cruise ships in Alaskan waters.

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 Regarding this initiative I just want to mention that the 1 results of waste water sampling that have been done recently have shown 2 3 evidence of extraordinarily high levels of bacteria across the board. Of 4 36 discharges of black water recently sampled only nine had less than 5 200 fecal chloroform 100 is the federal standard. Five had less than 500 б milligrams per liter of total suspended solids, none met the fecal 7 chloroform suspended solids design criteria.

8 The MSDs are clearly not producing the quality of effluent 9 they were designed to produce. In gray water samples also conducted in 10 Alaska recently three had greater than 10 million fecal chloroform counts 11 per 200; four were between one and 10 million; seven between 100,000 12 and one million.

And violations of air emission standards are continuing in Alaska. Recent monitoring has resulted in the issuance of 15 notices of violation in the past two months alone. Clearly, compliance within the laws by cruise ship are continuing. Problems of compliance within environmental laws are continuing under the existing regime with the existing voluntary initiatives.

19 This is not to suggest that we do not recognize the cruise 20 industry for their efforts to improve environmental performance and to 21 engage in dialogue with regulators. We believe that these are significant 22 and they are steps in the right direction. However, problem and 23 violations of various regulations by cruise ships are ongoing despite 24 these.

They lack mechanisms for enforcement, oversight, and thus deterrents. And in the case of Florida for any manner of involvement by concerned citizens and organizations.

Neither the existing regulator regime nor voluntary programs such as those described seem to be adequate to abate pollution from cruise ships. The evidence to support this is mounting in the GAO report in the ongoing problems and in the results of the first monitoring and sampling that's going on up in Alaska.

These programs must be complimented by new and improved regulatory measures and empowered by environmental agencies to

- 35 monitor and enforce standards for cruise ship waste management.
- 36 Bluewater Network and 50 petitioners that signed our
- 37 petition, we submit that petition to the Environmental Protection Agency

#12227 9/12/00 EPA Public Meeting 1 because it's mission is to protect human health, and to safeguard our 2 environment. We continue to believe that the EPA has a very important 3 4 role to play along with concerned citizens and the industry in helping 5 ensure that the cruise industry activities do not negatively impact the б environment. 7 I'd like to take this opportunity to thank EPA for the initiative that they've launched in response to our petition, and all the 8 good work that it's done so far on the issue, and for holding these 9 10 hearings. 11 We look forward to continuing to contribute to this process in cooperation with all the other stakeholders to craft better solutions for 12 13 the environment. Thank you very much. 14 MR. CRAIG VOGT: Edward DeManico. That is our last requested speaker. Then we will have time for and we'll see 15 16 if our court reporter can last a few more minutes. MR. EDWARD DEMANICO: Thank you ladies 17 18 and gentlemen, and the industry. My name is Edward DeManico. I'm the president of the Hazardous Materials Specialist. We've been 19 20 providing services to the cruise industry for the last five years. We act 21 as a consultant and we act as a vendor. We do hazardous materials 22 training. 23 I myself have trained over 40,000 people. 40,000 crew 24 members in the cruise industry. I have taken over 300 cruises on most 25 of the cruises the cruise vessels that the companies represent from the audience. 26 27 In addition, to that we've developed special products that 28 help the companies manage their environmental systems on board ship. 29 So I can only say that from what I have seen over the last five years it 30 is a very very big commitment, the understanding and learning, and 31 trying to do the right thing. 32 Again, all the cruise lines -- while the people in this room 33 are interested in management, so are the crew members. They are the people that are actually out on the ships every day, seven days a week, 34 35 364 days a year. They have to have an understanding, and the training 36 programs that we put in place, the funding of the environmental 37

#12227 EPA Public Meeting 9/12/00 awareness programs. It has to begin at a grassroots level. They have 1 2 done that. 3 It's a tremendous commitment for the cruise industry to do 4 the right thing, and to learn. The cruise lines are striving to all get to 5 the same level. It's a huge job, an ongoing job. Every one, I believe, is б trying to do the best that he can. 7 Think about a cruise line that has 24 mega vessels that are 60,000 tons. You try to do that for 10, 15 cruise line. That's just mind 8 boggling. The job that they have to do. Inventory, audit, and monitor, 9 keep track of all these things is just unbelievable. 10 11 So I think with all these comments we have to keep in mind, we have to understand what you're really dealing with here. This has 12 13 gone on for years and years trying to do the right thing, the right way, with the difficulties in the technology of all these ships. 14 The size, the construction, consider to best way to do things, 15 the right thing to do. Keep that in mind. Stand back and look at it, but 16 everybody is trying to go in the right direction in the industry. Thank 17 18 you. MR. CRAIG VOGT: First of all, is there 19 anyone else that wants to make a statement. All right, sir, would you 20 21 come up and identify yourself. 22 **MR. JERRY CATZ:** I'm the assistant port 23 director for the Port of Miami. There was a statement -- Nancy made a statement about a tariff allowing for hull cleaning. I think, for the 24 25 record, that's inaccurate. The tariff is solid on that issue, but it does 26 address discharges of discoloration to water and other type of discharges. 27 28 We don't want people bring in their ships to into Port of 29 Miami thinking they can do hull cleaning so. That's all I have. Thank 30 you. 31 MR. JOHN SCHNOOR: Good afternoon. I'm Lt. Commander John Schnoor of the Vessel Sanitation Program. We are 32 part of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the National 33 Center for Environmental Health. I have been asked to give a 34 35 presentation of what our role is with the cruise industry. As you've heard from most people presenting, it's dealing 36 37 with the environment outside of the ship. The Vessel Sanitation Program

deals with the environment inside the ship. I have a pamphlet that I
will leave with the panel, but I will just read some excerpts of that:
"Every vessel that has a foreign itinerary and that carries 13
or more passengers is subject to twice yearly inspections and when
necessary to reinspections by the VSP staff."
How the program works to ensure the level of sanitation on

passenger vessels that lowers the risk for outbreak of gastrointestinal
diseases, such as diarrhea, the Vessel Sanitation Program staff carefully
examines these areas of concerns.

First, the ship's water supply to determine how water is stored, distributed, protected, and disinfected. The ship's food to determine how the food is protected during storage, preparation, and service.

14 The potential for contamination of food and water to 15 determine what interventions were needed for protection. The practices 16 and personal hygiene of employees to ensure the cleanliness and the use 17 of appropriate hygienic practices.

18 The general cleanliness an physical conditions of the ship to 19 ensure cleanliness in the absence of inspects and rodents. The ship's 20 training programs in general environmental and public health practices to 21 determine the scope and effectiveness of such training.

The inspection criteria, to ensure the clean and healthful environment cruise ships must meet the criteria established by the VSP. The scores a ship receives after inspections are published every two weeks in the summary of sanitation inspections of International Cruise Ships commonly referred to as the Green Sheet.

This sheet is distributed to more than 6,000 travel related services around the world. Twice a year the VSP staff conducts unannounced inspections of all ships in the VSP and reinspections of those ships when necessary. A ship's level of sanitation is acceptable if it's scores on the inspection is at least an 86 percent or higher.

The number of periodic inspection has increased from 89 in 1988 to more than 200 in 1998. The percentage of inspected ships with an acceptable level of sanitation has also increased from 53.8 percent in 1988 to 79.5 percent in 1998. In general, the lower the score the lower the level of sanitation.

37

However, a low score does not necessarily imply an

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 immanent health risk for gastrointestinal disease. Since the program 1 2 began the number of disease outbreaks on ships has declined despite 3 significant growth in the number of ships sailing and the number of 4 passengers carried. 5 Additionally, under the authority of the Public Health б Services Act the VSP continually collects and monitors reports of 7 diarrhea illness on board passenger ships. 8 This surveillance system is designed to estimate the 9 magnitude of gastrointestinal illness among passengers and crew members; detect outbreaks of gastrointestinal illness; help assess changes 10 in sanitation practices; and in patterns of infectious agents and disease; 11 12 evaluate the effectiveness and control of prevention strategies. The VSP also offers consultative services including 13 reviewing plans for renovations and new construction of ships. At the 14 request of the ship's owners the VSP conducts construction inspections 15 16 when the ship is near completion and again when it first enters U.S. 17 ports. Ship builders or owners pay an inspection fee as well as 18 costs and expenses of VSP staff members traveling to foreign ports to 19 conduct these on-sight inspections. Thank you. 20 21 MR. CRAIG VOGT: All right, thank you. 22 **MR. JOHN JONES:** My name is John Jones. I 23 worked with the Department of Environmental Protection when we started doing these inspections. Jeff Smith and I originally it was Mike 24 25 Williams I think with Royal Caribbean. I have seen this whole process evolve and I'd just like to say 26 that I also see the effort by the cruise lines. I want to commend the 27 Department for carrying on with things that have been initiated and this 28 29 dialogue and the fact that you're able to get this information on a number of environmental incidents and that kind of thing. 30 I think it's a credit to cruise lines for being able to start 31 doing their voluntary programs. You know the environmental 32 33 management systems that are in place at RCCL and what motivation might not have been the best at the very first I think those programs are 34 35 very good. And Joe Austin at Carnival we started offer with a fairly 36 rocky relationship because we started looking at the way the cruise line 37

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 was handling their waste from a conventional standpoint and we realized 1 2 right away that rather than just keep butting heads that something other than that needed to be done. 3 4 I'd like to say that the fact that they're moving, the cruise 5 lines are moving towards auditing themselves and making that data 6 available I think is to be commended. Thank you. 7 MR. CRAIG VOGT: Kira? **MS. KIRA SCHMIDT:** Just to respond quickly 8 to that. Actually, that information is extremely difficult to come by. I 9 filed a require to get the information and the GAO report has been very 10 helpful in providing a lot of the information. And the 87 cases that I 11 12 referred to in the GAO report are actually only those that were used in 13 the report, there are others. And there are also many that go undetected 14 I'm sure. 15 I had a question regarding how many of the, I think it's 16 about 150 ships that operate in North American waters, how many of those actually have the TBT or biocide free paint and/or these gray 17 18 water treatment systems that we're heard about? MR. CRAIG VOGT: That was a general 19 20 question I certainly don't know. If anyone has that information --21 MR. DAN SIREN: All of these cruise companies are now using TBT free type paint. More and more of them 22 23 are considering the biocide free paint. You have to remember a lot of 24 these vessel were built many years ago and some like tankers or 25 container vessel, you know, do have TBT paint, but they're all switching 26 over to TBT free type materials. 27 Of course, our ultimate goal is to convince people like Ms. 28 Wheatley over here and Jim Walsh and everybody else in the industry to 29 use biocide free materials. Norwegian Caribbean Line has done it. I 30 think they're quite pleased with it. 31 So that's really the way to go. I mean in the long haul 32 because of the environmental issues. And more and more we've done over a couple hundred vessels. The U.S. Coast Guard has used a lot of 33 34 that material. 35 So I am just shouting it out loud, because I think we're at a standpoint it's really a key issue. Okay, then you would have no 36 problems. You'd have no problems cleaning. Yes, ma'am. 37

#12227 9/12/00 EPA Public Meeting MS. KIRA SCHMIDT: I was just wondering if 1 2 you could give us a number on how many cruise ships that have that 3 paint on it? 4 **MR. DAN SIREN:** I can, you know, try to get 5 that for you. But as they go to dry dock they get away from TBT. 6 **MR. CRAIG VOGT:** Okay, thank you very 7 much. We are going to do this again at 5:00 o'clock, in theory and we can take a last couple of comments we'll do that and then we can 8 9 conclude. Nancy, then Ted. **MS. NANCY WHEATLEY:** Just a couple quick 10 follow-ups. I'm Nancy Wheatley Senior Vice-President for Royal 11 12 Caribbean Cruises. First, on the comment from the Port of Miami there is a section -- the gentleman has now left, but we are going to get 13 together. 14 There is a section in tariff on allowed maintenance activities 15 16 in the port. I believe that includes the hull cleaning, but at least the version I am looking at includes it. So we will follow up on that. 17 18 I also want to make a comment about all the reports in quarterly reports. Kira made a comment about the Royal Caribbean 19 reports and I thing I'd like to follow up mostly on her last statement, 20 21 which is if there are small errors which the auditors are finding there 22 must be something bigger. 23 I think the fact is, there isn't anything bigger. The audit, 24 the external auditors that do these audits often do environmental 25 compliance audits for a number of companies around the country including Fortune 100 companies. 26 27 In general Royal Caribbean's compliance with very detailed procedures is either very good or excellent on all of the ships. And the 28 29 number of findings that appear in these audits is really quite low for an operation of this type. These auditors are on board for between four and 30 seven days on all of the ships. 31 The fact is they aren't missing big things. They're very 32 33 finding very small things and they're not finding big things. The incidents that were reported in the quarterly reports are generally of the 34 nature of we had a stern two seal that was leaking a few drops of oil, 35 and they changed the oil and did various things to clean it up. 36 37 The incident of the gray water spilling on the dock that went
EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 1 into the water that's the kind of incident that you will find in that 2 report. MR. CRAIG VOGT: Thank you. I don't want 3 4 to start a list of debates. You have two more. 5 **MS. NANCY LEE**: I was just want to clarify 6 because it says exactly here where I was talking about the sea port 7 which they say is legal it says here sea port pollution of surface water at the sea ports are prohibited by the tariff. That is the Sea Port Terminal 8 Tariff Number 10 document. 9 MR. CRAIG VOGT: Okay, and you're going to 10 11 leave that with us? 12 MS. NANCY LEE: Yes. 13 MR. CRAIG VOGT: Thank you. 14 MR. TED THOMPSON: I'd just like to make a couple of comments with regards to the GAO Report and the implication 15 16 that it shows that there are mounting problems with cruise ships. 17 I think if you take a look at the cases in the GAO Report and look at the dates and look at the quantities of the spills and look at 18 the number of ships increasing through those dates you will actually find 19 20 that's there's an improving record of environmental compliance within the 21 cruise industry and not a disintegrating record of compliance. 22 Secondly, when Kira Schmidt talks about the illegal 23 discharges, any discharge is obviously illegal and she focused on a 24 couple. If you look at the majority of those cases you see cases like 25 two teaspoons full of paint; or a quarter of a cup full of paint that were spilled during painting. 26 27 While this maybe technically illegal, it's also a spill and it 28 is certainly not an intentional circumventing of regulations and law. A statement was made that there are unknown amounts of 29 30 hazardous waste. I think those amounts would be fairly easily teased out of the reports as to hazardous waste. I've read it, and I believe that the 31 32 report that you got from Carnival you can probably tease out some of 33 that information also. 34 Finally, talking about flag state referral and it's been mentioned at a number the these, in fact, all three of these public 35 information hearings that referrals to flag states are ignored et cetera. 36 In fact, if you will look it at the GAO Report there's are a 37

number of referrals to the Department of Justice and the Department of
 Justice refused to prosecute those. In my previous life I was a
 Commissioned Officer of the United States Coast Guard. I retired as a
 Captain and part of my duties I was a chief of the port safety and
 security division at Coast Guard Headquarters.

б That was the division that at the time back in '89 took the 7 apps and put them into regulations and also it had to do with referring these cases to the foreign flags. We found that the foreign flags did not 8 9 pursue these cases for a number of reasons. Among them were the same reasons that the Department of Justice apparently did not pursue some of 10 these cases and that is that either a) not enough evidence; or b) they felt 11 that the cruise line or the company involved there were more than just 12 cruise lines involved, and referring these violation reports felt that the 13 United States Coast Guard had already taken it upon itself to put some 14 15 sort of a penalty so they did not that follow up.

Now, there may have been other reasons also, but I will tell that those after two of the reasons that those things were not followed up and those same reasons were apparently used by our own Department of Justice. Thank you.

MR. CRAIG VOGT: Okay, thank you. With that I am going to have closing remarks of my own. And very briefly because, as I said earlier, we're in the information gathering stage and I make no buts about it, the fact that we haven't made up any minds yet.

We have an assessment report to put forward, and that will start further dialogues on what actually direction we're going in.

I do want toy mention the fact that Ted Thompson mentioned the collaborative study the EPA is going to use our vessel and go behind and before and after a cruise ship goes by somewhere in the Caribbean this winter. We're going to do an assessment of discharges from cruise ships.

This is an open process of protocol that we develop will be vetted widely, and we want all stake holders to have some buy-in into the study and design. So that it's good science, and it makes good sense.

5:00 o'clock we are scheduled to do this again. It's a repeat
session, however, it does not mean that those of you that spoke have to
speak again. In fact, you are not entitled to speak again. I am enjoying

these remarks, and we are leaning something, but if I hear it twice I might remember part of it, but too bad. I don't want to hear it again unless we have to. I will say, I said the same thing in LA, just a couple people came up in the evening session, and they did not want to speak, but they did want to listen. So I invited a couple of different speakers that sort of summarized the industry and the environmental interests positions summarize those for the speakers.

8 So I don't know what will happened this late afternoon 9 session at 5:00 o'clock, but we may do something like that, but we will 10 have to see.

I do appreciate everyone being here and hanging with us this full afternoon of testimony. We as a panel would just love to interacted back and forth, but the format just doesn't allow, the time doesn't allow us to do that. We will have the comments.

I do want to say that if you've got written that you'd like to provide please do so in a very expeditious manner and no later than September 22nd. We are trying finish this assessment in October so we need your assistance in that regard as quick as possible.

I did hear, in general, a very serious willingness on all parts
to move forward on this issue of cruise ships and waste water
management. Obviously, we have got some difference of opinions on
voluntarily verses regulatory. And various blends that can move all of
us forward, but I do think that we can do that.

We will continue the dialogue starting at 5:00. You're all welcome come to back. We'll probably start a few minutes late. Not a lot late, maybe ten after five. We do have to give our court reporter a break because I promised her one 40 minutes ago. Thank you very much.

29 (WHEREUPON, the Meeting was concluded.)

CAPTION

The Meeting in the matter, on the date, and at the time and place set out on the title page hereof.

35

30 31

32

36 It was requested that the Meeting be taken by the reporter and that same37 be reduced to typewritten form.

	EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	·
8	·
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	EPA PUBLIC MEETING
18	OCEAN DISCHARGE CRITERIA
19	<u>September 12, 2000</u>
20	<u>5:00 p.m.</u>
21	MR. CRAIG VOGT: Okay, this is public
22	hearing number six on cruise ships, part two of today. The question I
23	have is, I've studied the faces in the crowd, it seems like there's a
24	couple new faces here. There's a whole lot of people here who were
25	here this afternoon.
26	We took just overview presentations by myself, the State, the
27	Coast Guard. We took testimony. Just should concluded that, and we
28	will repeat the program except the testimony that's already been given
29	we won't hear again.
30	Are there any new people that weren't here this afternoon
31	that are the audience? Two, three, four, five, okay. Good. Are you
32	interested in this issue? Good.
33	All right, we will proceed through, do any of you want to
34	speak? Okay. All right, in that regard this afternoon we've heard a lot
35	of different speakers and if I could characterize this as cruise ship
36	industry and environmental interest, sort of on I don't want to say
37	different sides of this field, but two different view points on how we

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 should move forward. 1 2 I could ask said Ted Thompson to give us a summary of his 3 presentation. A summary, Ted, you don't have to do the whole thing 4 again, as well as Kira Schmidt from Bluewater Network that provided 5 testimony, a summary of hers as well. б Before we do that, that would give the new people in the 7 audience a little flavor of this afternoons presentations. So with that, let us begin. Bob Kirk, do you want to begin. 8 9 Just as a matter of procedure, I hope that everyone has signed in and if you haven't, please do so out front. 10 MR. BOB KIRK: I'll just go ahead. I'm 11 briefly going to go over exactly what the Coast Guard does as far as 12 examination on cruise ships. 13 My name is Lt. Bob Kirk, I'm with the Seventh Coast Guard 14 District here in Miami, Florida. I'm the Chief of the Compliance Branch 15 for the Seventh District. 16 17 The passenger vessel examination program was establish in 1968. Basically, it's states that foreign passenger vessels must be 18 examined prior to embarking passengers from a U.S. port. 19 We examine these cruise ships four times a year. We do it 20 on a quarterly basis. The three quarterlies, their primary function is to 21 look at the crew's performance. How the crew handles emergency 22 23 situations, fire drills, abandon ship drills, we do damage control drills, 24 looking at how you would control flooding due to groundings or 25 collisions, things like that. We also do a general walk through of the vessel. The 26 27 primary purpose of that is to look for fire safety hazards, any modifications to the vessel that may effect structural fire, integrity, and 28 29 we also spot check some of the equipment and the systems. This may include looking at some smoke detectors, fire 30 31 detectors, fire screen doors, things like this as we walk through the 32 vessel. 33 On the annual examination which typically takes six to eight hours where a quarterly examination would take around three to four 34 35 hours to do. On the annual, again, we focus on crew performance, but with the annual we focus more strongly on equipment and systems of a 36 vessel. 37

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 1 We spend much more time looking at the fire detection/fire 2 protection systems. We do a much more thorough walk through of the vessel. We check fire scene doors and detail water tight doors, fire 3 4 pumps, things like this, bilge pumps, much more detailed inspection. 5 And during this annual examination we also go down and 6 take a look at the oily water separators, garbage systems, and the marine 7 sanitation systems. 8 The Coast Guard focuses primarily on those three areas: 9 Oil, sewage, and garbage. When we look at the oil systems on board we look at -- one of the primary things we look at is the International 10 Pollution Certificate or the IOPP. This certificate attests to the fact that 11 12 the vessel is in compliance with international regulations on the prevention from pollution from oil from ships. 13 14 It tells you that the ship has been surveyed. That the equipment on board is operating correctly, and this is one of the primary 15 16 certificates that we look at. Along with that we take a look at the oil record book. 17 18 The oil record book is a record of all the transactions or all the operations of the vessel concerning taking on fuel or bunkers while 19 20 they're in port, discharging waste oil ashore, discharging oily bilge water 21 at sea through an oily water separator. All these procedures are 22 recorded in this record book. 23 It's to be signed by the person doing the actual operation, 24 and then as each page is completed it is then to be signed by the Master 25 upon his review whenever each page is completed. Then we actually go down and take a look at the oil water 26 27 separator. We go down and physically examine it. We test it to make sure the bilge monitor works. The 15 parts per million alarm works. 28 29 The overboard discharge value closes, and the recirculating valve 30 operates when it detects any discharge above 15 parts per million. 31 32 We look at all transfer procedures. We go and take a look at the bunker stations. These are a lot of the things we take a look at 33 34 during an annual examination. 35 We also take a look at the garbage and how the ship handles the garbage. Most cruise ships have waste management plans or garbage 36 37 plans on board. This lays out what the ship's crew should follow or how

they handle the garbage, on how to separate the plastic, how to separate
 the food waste.

So we take a look at those procedures then when we do a walk through of the vessel. We actually look in certain areas to see if they're actually doing what they're supposed to be doing.

6 We take a look down in the galley. And the crew space is 7 where a lot of waste is generated. We go to dry storage, reefer spaces 8 where a lot of this waste is stored or where the eventual waste from the 9 ship stores will be generated. We go to the incinerator room to see if 10 the incinerator is operating correctly. We take a walk through of the 11 garbage handling space as well.

We also take a look at the sewage system or the black water system. We examine the marine sanitation devise. We make sure that the proper supplies and chemicals are on board that are required by the manufacturer for the proper operation of the system. We make sure it's physically sound, there's no wastage.

17 Some of the other current activities that the Coast Guard is 18 doing: We are currently working with the ICCO through our partnership 19 up at Headquarters. We're currently working with the State of Alaska 20 and the cruise industry on the monitoring and sampling of waste streams 21 up in Alaska. We're working with the EPA here in its listening 22 sections around the country.

We are also working with the State of Florida and the
Florida-Caribbean Cruise Association on its MOU on waste streams.
Future activities for the Coast Guard: We're going to

Future activities for the Coast Guard: We're going to continue to work with the state and federal agencies, along with the industry. We're looking at revising or expanding the scope of our examinations to maybe addressing some of these additional waste streams if that's determined to be the outcome.

We're also looking to develop a check list to help our
inspectors when they're on board to oversee some of these waste streams.
Thank you.

- 33 MR. CRAIG VOGT: One thing we failed to
 34 do when we began this part two of today was to introduce myself as
 35 well as the panel.
- 36 My name is Craig Vogt. I'm with the EPA. I'm Deputy
 37 Director of the Oceans and Coastal Protection Division in Washington

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 D.C. With me I have from my office Dorn Carlson and Tom Charlton 1 2 from OWM, Office of Waste Water Management. Dorn is in my division 3 and Tom is the important person that has to do with NPDES Permits. 4 On my left we'll introduce ourselves on the panel. 5 **MS. BEVERLY BANISTER:** My name is Beverly Banister. I'm the Acting Director of the Water Advancement б 7 Division in Atlanta Region Four. 8 MR. BOB HOWARD: I'm Bob Howard. I am 9 also in the Region Four regional office, and I'm the Program Manager for cruise ship discharges, and I'm on a national work group for this 10 11 issue. 12 CAPT. BRIAN BASEL: I'm Captain Brian Basal, Chief of the Office of Compliance for Marine Safety and 13 14 Environmental Protection, Coast Guard Headquarters in Washington. 15 **MR. SATISH KASTURY:** I'm Satish Kastury 16 Administrator for the Hazardous Waste Program out of Tallahassee, 17 Florida DEP. 18 Briefly, I don't want to take too much time. I just want to give you some idea of what initiated all this and what we are doing 19 20 currently with the cruise lines in Florida. 21 This is the overall background where the ships that come to Florida, the different port locations, primarily Miami, Port of Everglades, 22 23 Port Canaveral, and Tampa. All the ships have different waste -- depending on the age of 24 25 the ship, you have different technology on board with respect to the 26 overall cruise industry process. 27 As you know there was MARPOL in 1973 and 1978. Then 28 the concepts about discharges into the international waters within three 29 miles of land. Oil Pollution Act of 1990 and then also you have the 12 30 miles. 31 Then if you look at the waste streams, potential waste streams: Gray water, black water, any of the food waste, dry cleaning 32 33 waste, bilge water and any of the used oil or any photographic chemical they use for developing the film on the ship, and flourescent lamps 34 35 etcetera. All this may fall into the definition of hazardous waste on the Resource Recovery Act under both state and federal law. 36 37 The disposal either at sea or on board, at the shore when

they come to the terminal or to the port. How they're going to manage 1 2 it is what we are looking at with the cruise line. 3 Again, these are all different types of wastes. Then the 4 waste maybe staged at the terminal until the contractor picks it up. 5 Usually cruise line doesn't want to keep the waste for long period at the б terminal, maybe just a couple of hours. They want to make sure it's 7 properly been picked up and managed, and transported. 8 Other issues that came up with the Department and cruise 9 lines and EPA is under the generation where do you draw the line? Generation is at the terminal or is it at the ship? The discussions went 10 on on this, and discussion still keeps going on all the time. I'll briefly 11 12 talk to you about what's in the pipeline and what progress that has been accomplished so far. 13 14 So the EPA ID number, as you know, cradle to grave, 15 generate the waste, transport it, you manage it, you transport it to a proper disposal site. 16 17 We know by the cruise line or by the ship and all these issues were discussed. Documentation is another one discussed. 18 Retaining the documents regarding the management or shipping of the 19 20 waste. 21 As you know, the cruise line industry is highly seasonal from November through April. It's not like an every day every typical 22 23 industrial process. 24 When we issue ID numbers at the terminal for the ship, and 25 then cruise line also feels maybe each ship is a separate operation therefore, ID numbers would be given. Then the question is, okay, if 26 27 that's the case who would be inspect the ships? That discussions came. So what we have done is we entered into an outreach 28 activity here where we entered into an MOU with the cruise line, 29 specially with the Florida-Caribbean Cruise Line Association, and the 30 Department on March 14th. 31 Some important factors on this MOU is the goals: 32 33 Complying with all the laws and the regulations; management of the waste streams; waste minimization; pollution prevention is another 34 35 significant component we wanted cruise line to incorporate, and also very pro-active and progressive on that. 36 37 Then, of course, the training of the vessel crew etcetera.

And the question came about what is the progress? What's going on at 1 2 this time since March 14th? I want to emphasize that MOU is a working document. I want to clarify that it's not like something is done and 3 4 that's the end of it. It's a working document. We work together on a pro-active basis between the DEP, EPA, and cruise line industry. 5 б As part of the MOU cruise line made a very significant 7 attempt, an approach, regarding the management of the waste and they have developed a program, as you can see, on the management of the 8 waste. Once the ship comes to a terminal, once the vendor or a 9 contractor goes on board, accepts the waste, brings it, then you manage 10 11 it according to the regulation requirements.

So they developed this process and we reviewed that and
provided some input and that's what the document is, as an exhibit to the
MOU.

Now, in addition to that because the question came if you
give ID number by ship, who is going to inspect the ships? We were
told, and I think it's true, Coast Guard they do quarterly inspections
under the Clean Water Act.

19 So one of the concepts we evaluated and the Department felt 20 makes sense rather than sending a bunch inspectors all the time maybe 21 go to the cruise line the Coast Guard do the inspections as part of that.

We developed a check list and we work with them, and cruise line, and EPA. We did develop a check list and we sent copies of that cruise line. We sent copies of that to Coast Guard and we are trying to work with EPA also to come up with and finalize the check list and sit down with Coast Guard, cruise line, and EPA.

The check list has been finalized. Actually the Department right now we are in a position of looking at, okay, if we go with EPA ID number by ship let's see how that process works. With that contingent upon Coast Guard doing the inspections and cruise line following the process once the waste comes off the ship at the terminal. So we are currently -- that's the progress we've made and that ID number thing. And that's so the Department is right now

34 currently thinking if we go with this approach and put this thing in the

35 process and let's see how it works. So we need to make further

36 discussions with Coast Guard and cruise line, and EPA on the

37 inspections.

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 Obviously, Coast Guard may need some assistance regarding 1 2 training, regarding, you know, they may need some clarification of what to handle this waste. 3 4 Similarly, cruise and EPA all need to work on that. That's 5 what is happening on that. Just an interesting thing we need to address б with respect to gray water, black water on the MOU is cruise line also have agreed to work with us regarding the discharges of the gray water, 7 8 black water in Florida water. 9 In fact, after the MOU signed or during the MOU process and after MOU is signed one of the cruise line industry have already 10 committed that they will have no discharges of gray water and black 11 water of their fleet within the 12 mile of Florida waters. 12 13 Just wanted to give you progress. As we speak after just now I got a signed letter from Carnival Cruise Lines identifying three 14 ports in Florida where there would be no discharges of gray water, black 15 16 water within with the 12 miles. 17 Those are the Cape Canaveral, Miami Port and Tampa Port. So this document we need to give it to our water program for their 18 input. But that I would like to share on this. I think I'll stop at that. 19 MR. CRAIG VOGT: So based on the letter 20 you just got we'll just have a few more hearings if a few more letters 21 keep coming. We're making progress. 22 23 **UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:** Just for clarification Satish received the letter from us back in March when the 24 25 MOU was signed. 26 MR. CRAIG VOGT: Okay, thank you. 27 All right, I will do this relatively rapidly and I won't try to be too entertaining as I go. If I see your heads dropping, too bad. 28 29 This is the third public hearing that we've had in a series. It's actually the fifth session and I think we've drawn a different crowd 30 at each one. I think we've learned a lot to date. We are in the 31 information collection stage in our movement toward responding to the 32 Bluewater Network petition. 33 This hearing will help along with the information that has 34 35 been submitted, and hopefully we will receive additional facts and figures. 36 37 Context setting for what we're doing: In terms of our

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 oceans. The threats to our oceans and what we're dealing with in my 1 2 work and the Environmental Protection Agency's work. Oceans are under some stress. Some factors include 3 4 discharging in point and non-point source discharges. Marine debris, 5 physical alteration of the ecosystem such as, storm water runoff, coastal 6 development certainly has an impact upon our coastal waters. 7 Introduction of non-native species that's related to cruise ships. Others: Global warming and damage caused by commercial and 8 recreational use. 9 In trends we see: In terms of our marine waters. And I 10 don't want to leave the impression that all of our waters are ill, sick, or 11 12 bad. They're not. Many of our waters are quite healthy and some great strides over many years. Some of the programs that been put in place 13 14 have made progress. 15 However, we're still seeing some trends. Alagablooms are 16 on the rise. We've had many beach closures due to those, and some of 17 these have human health effects associated with them. 18 Hypoxia, the Gulf of Mexico has a dead zone some 7,000 square miles as a result of the drainage from the Heartland of our 19 20 country. Both point sources and non-point sources. Nutrients and 21 organic materials growing algae. Algae grow, they die, they take oxygen out of the water and create dead zones. Not a simple matter to deal 22 23 with. 24 We've had an increased number of beach closures over the 25 years due to pathogens and leaving trash on the beach. You remember 26 the incidents back in the '80s late '80s medical debris on the New Jersey 27 shores. 28 We're not sure if the increased numbers of beach closures is 29 due to falling or just better beach monitoring and reporting. In fact, we have numbers of beach closures. 30 Coral reefs: We have an executive order on coral reefs. A 31 32 task force is working on protection of U.S. coral reefs and working 33 towards an international agenda as well. 34 Then we certainly have numbers of waters with fish advisories due to toxics in the tissue of those fish. Not saying that all 35 fish are bad. That's not the point. The point is, there are a number of 36 toxics that have been absorbed by fish in their tissues and you should 37

pay attention to the warnings of the fish advisory that says you can eat
 this fish once in a while or not at all.

You've seen cruise vessels in previous presentations and some of these waste waters, waste that are on cruise ships, and I will not dwell on that slide. Why are we here? Well, there's been a number of activities, certainly here in Florida, as well as in Alaska, in California as well, on cruise ships.

8 Bluewater Network brought this to our attention at the 9 headquarters level, not that we weren't aware of it, but we were not 10 doing anything about it in terms of actions on cruise ships. The 11 Bluewater Network petition was received in March of this year, and it 12 essentially said, it asked us to take regulatory action of cruise ships 13 waste and waste water discharges.

In the petition, and that's what we're doing now, it asks us to characterize what waste and waste waters we have coming off of cruise ships; characterize those in terms of quantity and quality; look at the impacts, environmental impacts, of those discharges; as well as look at the adequacy of our existing regulatory regime; our policies and what legal structure we have; and how well those policies, procedures, and regulations are working.

The key here in the petition is looking at the waste water discharges from cruise ships as point sources. We do not do that at this point because we have an exemption which I'll come to later. Bluewater has asked to us reappeal that exemption.

Another part of the Bluewater Network petition consider more strictly defining and regulating gray water as well as strengthening and clarifying some of the hazardous waste regulations for cruise ships.

Other actions within my office that are related to the Bluewater Network, and cruise ships, we also have a petition from a number of environmental interest groups on regulating ballast water as a point source.

We received that about a year and a half ago. We have been busily collecting information. There's certainly no easy answer to this question on regulating ballast water to control invasive species. We hope to have a response to the petitioners next month.

Other activity here the Uniform National Discharge Standards
for the Armed Forces vessels. This was a modification of the Clean

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 Water Act Section 3129(n) which was, I guess, put in place a couple 1 2 years back, maybe three years ago. 1996. This told EPA and the Navy to get together to develop 3 4 regulations for vessels of the Armed Forces for discharges that 5 potentially cause environmental harm, to set standards that would lie б across the nation. 7 We have identified specific discharges from Armed Forces vessels that have the potential to cause harm to the environment. We 8 are now working with Navy to identify what appropriate standards would 9 be. We are looking three or four years for that effort. 10 Related, but not specifically to cruise ships is Executive 11 12 Order 13158 which was issued in June by President Clinton on enhancing 13 our current system of Marine Protected Areas. 14 EPA has a specific task in that executive order to propose and strength our Clean Water Act Section 403 regulation which is ocean 15 16 discharge criteria for point sources into the ocean waters, such as municipalities or oil platforms that would be discharging into ocean 17 waters. We are doing that. We will also be setting specific sites in the 18 oceans, special ocean sites, for special protections, more stringent 19 20 protections. 21 I am just alerting you to that activity. We will be proposing regulations in December or January, and that's very soon. The cruise 22 23 ships right now, and I don't expect any changes in this, are not involved 24 in that activity, is not regulating cruise ship discharges into those 25 special ocean sites or under the Clean Water Act, the 403. 26 I just had the opportunity to tell you what's going on, and I 27 did. All right, a couple of our specific regulatory authorities that are 28 under evaluation in this assessment that we are conducting, this is the exception. This is why we do not regulate cruise ship discharges that 29 30 are under the NPDES permit. It explicitly says and this was by regulation in 1973: "The 31 32 following discharges do not require NPDES permits: Any discharge of sewage from vessels effluent from properly functioning marine engines, 33 laundry, shower, and galley sink waste or any other discharge incidental 34 to the normal operation of a vessel. The exclusion does not apply to 35 36 rubbish, trash, garbage or other such materials." This is the exemption created in 1973. We did this at a 37

time when we were under great stress from a lot of other pollution
 sources, such as, municipalities were discharging raw sewage, industries
 were discharging without treatment through pipes.

We made a decision at that time. The cruise ship industry was not a high priority, and would have caused us much more difficulty administration than it was worth in terms of pollution protection.

Now, one thing I will say is if this is repealed, and I am not
saying -- do not take what I say here, there are no decisions made or
even inklings towards what we're doing here because this exemption
applies not just to cruise ships, but to all vessels. All vessels, right
down to your fishing vessels and your recreational vessels. So if we
remove this then that means the NPDES permits would be required for
all vessels.

Now, one of the reasons that the exception was put in place
originally because we had the Clean Water Act Section 312 which
regulated sewage in a partnership between EPA and the Coast Guard.
And it requires that all vessels have properly operating marine sanitation
devises to treat sewage. EPA sets the standards. Coast Guard sets the
rules for design, construction, installation, operation, and certifies and
inspects those MSD.

The standards: There's three types of MSDs. Type three is the simplest. It's a holding tank. Type one and two, you've got different effluent standards. Type one is 1,000 fecal chloroforms per 100 ml., and no visible or floating solids; and type two is 200 fecal chloroforms per 100 ml., and suspended solids not greater than 150 milligrams per liter.

One point is that there's one thing we are considering looking at those standards are 20 years old. No discharge zones. Clean Water Act does allow setting no discharge zones. But you it also establishes the criteria.

One criteria is it needs to be a sensitive ecologically important area that you have a no discharge zone set for. The other key element is you must have sufficient pump out facilities available for the sewage, and that's easier said than done, as we know.

Section 312 only applies out to three miles and the Coast Guard is our primary enforcer of those regulations. Other statutes that do apply that they have regulation associated with them you've heard

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 about already. The Marine Protection Research and Sanctuaries Act; the 1 Ocean Dumping Act. If you are transporting waste for the purpose of 2 dumping you need a permit under the MPRSA. 3 4 Short Retention Act sets up a permit system for vessels that 5 are transporting waste from Point A to Point B, not necessarily to dump 6 it, but transportation of waste, such as, a hauler from an offshore 7 platform to shore would have to have a permit. The Act to Prevent Pollution from Ships is the Coast Guard's primary goal on their 8 9 environmental management systems. EPA has regulatory programs. We've been known for years 10 as the command and control agency. It has worked very well for us for 11 12 many years. In early '90s we started looking toward broadening our 13 viewpoints, and incorporating non-regulatory programs into our activities because command and control says one size fits all, and we know that 14 hasn't worked in all cases. 15 16 It is not the most efficient system, but it does make progress. So our non-regulatory programs are listed here, and these are 17 18 voluntary type partnerships, federal private partnerships. Green Ports, I mentioned. We partnered with the American 19 Association of Port Authorities. They developed an environmental 20 21 handbook that lays out the best management practices for activities in ports, such as, storage, storm water runoff, and spill control and the like. 22 23 24 Golf and the environment we partnered with the golf 25 industry so that when they are building new golf courses or changing the golf courses, they do it in an environmentally friendly manner. 26 27 Similarly for sustainable slopes is the ski industry building 28 new ski areas in an environmentally friendly manner. 29 The point of that slide is there are regulatory and 30 non-regulatory options. We heard today earlier in the testimony that is where the debate is in this discussion. I think we are evaluating options 31 32 under the NPDES permit program, looking at Section 312, looking at using the International Safety Management Code, Environmental 33 Management Systems are other potential options. 34 35 And finally our next steps in our assessment in response to 36 the Bluewater Network we do not have the answers yet. We are collecting the information. We are characterizing the discharges. The 37

#12227 EPA Public Meeting 9/12/00 potential impact on the environment. Our regulatory authorities, how 1 2 well they're working. 3 We will prepare an assessment, a report back to Bluewater 4 Network. It has been promised several times, publicly by me, for 5 October. We hope very much to achieve that deadline, but it's also not б going to have all the answers. 7 It will initiate and continue this dialogue that we're going through at these public hearings in terms of what it is we should be 8 doing. We will collect what information we have available and start that 9 dialogue. We will work with the Coast Guard in formulating 10 recommendations, and go public certainly with those recommendations in 11 12 some sort of a process. 13 And, of course, the last bullet there says we will initiate actions. So we are not just planning, we will do. That is the end of my 14 remarks. What I'd like do now -- let me offer this, for the new folks 15 16 that have come this evening that weren't here today would any of you like to make a statement or presentation? You don't have to, but you're 17 certainly welcome to, okay. 18 19 Please come on up and identify yourself. 20 MR. DAVID ASHTON: Yes, good evening. I am David Ashton, environmental attorney with Morgan, Lewis and 21 Bockius. I am here personally. And what I'd like for you to consider 22 23 are some of these non-regulatory approaches to enhancing environmental protection in relation to the cruise lines. 24 25 Approaches like the Memorandum of Understanding between the DEP and the Florida-Caribbean Cruise Line Association which is a 26 27 commendable effort. Historically, as has been mentioned that we have had a lot 28 29 of command and control regulation. Essentially the approach of beating the polluter over the head until they're compliant. And as you pointed 30 out we have been moving away from those kind of approaches. 31 Today we have many alternative regulatory, economic, and 32 physical approaches to incentivize, and enhance environmental 33 compliance. David Struze is the Secretary of the DEP emphasizes that 34 environmental protection is a little bit topsy-turvy, but it involves 35 permitting pollution and taxing profits as opposed to the other way 36 around, taxing pollution and permitting profit. 37

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 And of course, he emphasizes this whole approach within the 1 2 State of Florida of more protection and less process which the Memorandum of Understanding is certainly a good example of. 3 4 There are also other examples within the State of Florida of 5 non-regulatory approaches or alternative approaches to command and б control regulations such as the statutory permit which was just recently 7 enacted for the citrus industry that handles peal and omissions from the 8 citrus, oranges. 9 Other approaches, alternative approaches, include the cleanup of brown peals using tax incentives, tax credits against cleanup costs and 10 a break on the regulatory process if you agree to abide by certain default 11 12 cleanup standards and certain basic simplified performance standards. 13 In the context of brown fields the basic approach is you don't have to be beat people over the head in order to get them to clean 14 15 up the environment. You can use incentives, physical incentives to do this. 16 17 So what I would like you to contemplate is instead of 18 removing these various regulatory exemptions that you went through and the previous presentations went through, look to incentivize enhanced 19 20 environmental performance through various non-regulatory mechanisms 21 whether federal and/or state. 22 The cruise line industry globally and in Florida was recently 23 in an attempt to tax them in order to fund a local baseball stadium, and 24 I am glad that that did not pass. 25 How about the opposite approach such as creating a break against state taxes that these cruise lines pay in relation to the cost that 26 27 they incur for an enhanced environmental management system or a 28 commitment under such an agreement as the Memorandum of 29 Understanding to implement and find an ISO 14,000 program in relation to their cruise ships. 30 31 To actually reward them by giving tax credits for the 32 expenditures that they will incur in order to meet an enhanced 33 environmental performance standards under such environmental 34 management systems. 35 So I would urge you to contemplate some of these 36 non-regulatory approaches. Thank you. MR. CRAIG VOGT: Thank you. Next 37

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 speaker, please. 1 2 MR. TOM WATTS-FITZGERALD: Good 3 evening. I'm Assistant U.S. Attorney Tom Watts-Fitzgerald and I am 4 the chief of the Environmental Enforcement Section here in the Southern 5 District of Florida based out of Miami. 6 I found the last presentation very interesting particularly in 7 light of some of the earlier ones which counsel wasn't here to enjoy. There's a very simple formula that I think you can construct that 8 springboarding off the comments of many of the people here I think 9 everyone would agree is probably how you get to what we'd all like to 10 see which is arguably compliance or performance. 11 12 Simply put, it's technology, plus training, plus self-audit, plus independent verification, equals desirable result. Unfortunately, and 13 as the Coast Guard is fond of putting it, there is always five percent that 14 doesn't get the word. 15 16 I think what the group needs to bear in mind is that no one has the intent to try and sanction or take inappropriate activity against 17 those that are trying in good faith to comply as much as possible. 18 But, we always have to have some methodology, some 19 mechanism in every office in the government that's now called 20 compliance and enforcement, because we have moved away from a strict 21 22 enforcement mode, to identify and cope with those who simply, for one 23 reason or another, will not comply with the standards that are those shared values one of the speakers mentioned earlier today. 24 25 Unfortunately she's gone now because I though that was an interesting presentation. 26 27 We need to learn the lessons of history so that we are not condemned to repeat it. Without beating up on anybody, specifically or 28 any one company, we do have a track record in this field. And it's one 29 that can't be ignored when we want to determine what is an appropriate 30 direction to take in reconsidering the entire panorama of the regulatory 31 and statutory structures applicable to the cruise ships. 32 Because while as was just pointed out some vessels will be 33 effected potentially by any regulatory modification to something like the 34 exception for point discharges or lack of NPDES under the exceptions. 35 That can be handled. There are other vessels out there as everyone 36 associated with the maritime industry knows subject to peculiar 37

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 regulations or requirements.

1

I think the tanker industry alone there are numerous regulations and controls on how they conduct their cargo operations, how they clean their tanks, what they do with it that have developed over the last 30 years that are unique to that segment of the marine industry.

6 Cruise ships, as they exist and operate today, are
7 fundamentally different. I was on my first cruise ship when I was four
8 years old, and I can tell you how many years ago that was. But back
9 then cruise ships were designed and they were liners. They got you
10 from A to B. They were transportation predominantly.

11 That is not the case anymore. It's not the case from the 12 clients of ICCL. It is not the case in the alliance of the Caribbean 13 Association either. These are fundamentally different operations never 14 falling within the contemplation of the '73 to '75 regulatory process, and 15 that has to be accounted for.

16 They may not be buildings on their sides that float in water, 17 but I'll tell you they're bigger than most of the towns in Alaska, and that 18 has to be accounted for in some way because there are areas of special 19 environmental concern.

A little example for those of you that are local: Biscayne Bay under Dade County ordinance is a marine preserve. It butts right up against the seaport. So when we talk about what may or may not be purely legal under state law or federal law we maybe missing the point.

If you look at things like RCCL's environmental compliance plan which the Coast Guard has kindly put on line you will see from their quarterly reports that many of the things that they are doing exceed the required standards of federal or international regulation, and that's great.

But during the RCCL litigation one of the efforts they made was to fight the exercise of the United States jurisdiction in the ports over their activities. Two cruise lines, now, two major cruise lines, are telling us or have told us they have no discharge policy within 12 miles or three miles or certainly in the internal waters of the State of Florida. Great. Even were it otherwise legal, that's a tremendous step to take.

But they're adjacent to very sensitive areas and that should be factored in. You can't ignore those things. I think a little run down to some of the facts are useful because a lot of people who should know

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 facts of the cruise prosecutions, as they have been collectively referred 1 2 to, don't really know them. 3 Just to prove to you I am not making them up, I'm going to 4 tell you where to find them. Not in my version, but in the version of 5 the federal court signed by Royal Caribbean Cruise Line. б The Government and RCCL agreed in joint statements of 7 facts filed with the court what really happened and when it happened. There are two sets of those because there were successive prosecutions. 8 9 As early of October 1994 Sovereign of the Seas was detected issuing oily bilge waste entering the Port of San Juan in broad daylight, 10 highnoon, jets overhead, Coast Guard small boats in her wake sampling, 11 lying by the crew, subornation of perjury by vessel officers, all proven, 12 all admitted. 13 Not a very laudable incident in the company's history. Did 14 the CEO and the present condone that? Of course not. But that's not 15 16 the problem. The problem is there's a disconnect in the human element. The human factor that was discussed, and no amount of training changed 17 18 that. Because you all know, because of statements here and the history that the regulations they were supposed to comply with were not new. 19 20 These went back to the '70s. Yet, in 1994 they were violated, ignored and undermined by 21 22 false records being kept and presented to the Coast Guard and the 23 Classification Societies. That was going on for at least five years before 24 Sovereign of the Seas was detected dumping the oil. 25 Now, you'd say that's a wake up call because there are experienced people on every cruise line. Every cruise line based on my 26 27 experience operates roughly the same way. They've all got something equivalent of a marine operations department with experienced personnel 28 29 who oversee the operations of the vessels. Maybe one or two vessels a 30 piece. 31 They all came off ships by and large or been in the industry for a long time, many of them are licensed chief engineers or better; 32 very experienced. 33 They knew what was going on because they knew the oil 34 35 water separators weren't working. Many of them during their ship board experience had engaged in the same pattern of fraud. 36 37 Why was it going on, not only in the early '90s, but past the

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 1 early '90s even after individuals throughout the industry knew that one 2 company had been detected, that there were investigations? Everything was overt. It was in the press. You could read 3 4 it. It was on the news. There was no secret. There are dozen of round 5 table organization we've heard about here and in other public meetings б where consultation goes on amongst the industry members through their 7 organizations and others. Why didn't it stop? Because it didn't. You heard mentioned 8 9 from one of the earlier speaker that it was not occurring at a certain point. That was incorrect. The activity went past the first plea by 10 Royal Caribbean to the illegal conduct. They pled in June of 1998. 11 12 July of 1998 they were still doing it in Port Everglades. People aboard vessels, licensed officers aboard foreign flag 13 14 vessels in the internal waters of the United States, were still doing it. 15 Falsifying records, lying to the Coast Guard, and illegally 16 discharging. 17 What went wrong? Was it their training? No, because they 18 all knew they weren't supposed to do that. They just did it anyway. There's always that five percent that the Coast Guard likes to point to 19 who don't get the word and there has to be a methodology for dealing 20 21 with them. 22 The exception to the NPDES permit has been so grossly 23 abused by the industry that it needs to be seriously re-evaluated. And I 24 say that based on the statements of facts that are in the public record. 25 It was abused because there is a definition and it says what constitutes gray water. When you take something that is not in that 26 27 definition and you knowingly insert it into that waste stream, you have 28 tainted the waste stream in view of the Depart of Justice so that it is no 29 longer subject to that recommendation. 30 That being the case, it shouldn't have happened. But nobody identified that conduct for a lengthy period of time. That particular 31 32 conduct, in fact, became a basis by and large for the second set of indictments against Royal Caribbean in the six different districts. Again, 33 look at the statement of facts. It's a matter of public record. 34 By approximately September of '99 the RCCL case had really 35 lapsed except for the court ordered environmental compliance plan. As I 36 said before the company is doing things that are beyond that plan. 37

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 Why? Well, you'll have to ask them, and I think they probably 1 2 presented that in another forum. 3 How, is the more interesting question, how are they beating 4 the standards that seemed so tough in 1973? Research, technology, 5 training, independent audits, self-audits, that's how it's being done. But none of that's rocket science. That is so self-evident we all should have 7 tripped over it a lot sooner. 8 Here, I don't spare my former agency the Coast Guard and I 9 don't spare the class societies. For a lot of very practical reasons neither of those two enforcement methodologies detected what was going on and stopped it. In the Coast Guard's defense they were affirmatively being lied to, and they see those ships as you heard from Commander Kirk's presentation about four hours every three months. Maybe six hours if they're lucky once a year. It is virtually impossible to detect that kind of pervasive fraud. But we need a system, whatever is done with the regulations that can do that. Because if we can't, we fall into the trap of the Cold War. We don't have trust with verification. There has to be verification because there will always be the rogue employee or there will always be the economic motivation for anybody who's, perhaps not as successful as someone else to cut corners and violate the rules. That's human nature. And we have to plan against that small group. So self-auditing, self-adopted voluntary standards that even become a part of the environmental management system of a vessel that is enforceable through the IMO process are not sufficient. One of the legal experts that was presented in this case was a former Attorney General of the United States. And one of his favorite expressions was, "That conscience is the small voice that says someone might be watching." And this is an area where everyone needs to have a conscience. And so the companies set it up and they do their internal audits, their external audits, there's the Coast Guard, but you need that because we know that the classifications societies they have, even Lloyd's failed. The record in these cases failed to detect the illegal activity.

б

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 1 Activity, according to the lawyers presentation I heard earlier should 2 have led to the suspension of the certificate. The IOPPC. Without that 3 the Coast Guard would not permit that vessel to carry passengers in and 4 out of the United States.

5 In fact, their flag state should take effective enforcement 6 action. And everybody here being a pretty knowledgeable group has 7 seen the GAO Report. A matter of public record. They tracked the 8 enforcement of the flag states. Is it efficacious? I think a pretty fair 9 argument can be make that it's a paper tiger. It is not efficacious. 10 Hasn't been since the mid-90s.

So that can't be relied on, although, it's often tossed out as a
methodology. Let me ask a question, how many times has a cruise ship
certificate been lifted? I can't find an instance of it. Somebody out
there will probably enlighten me and say, "Boy are you off the hall,
there's dozens of them." I don't know of any.

I also don't know of any instances during the time frame that we were looking at where the Coast Guard would hold port clearance of a foreign flag cruise ship in the United States, but one. I know of at least one, and I think it was up in Philadelphia.

Extreme case had nothing to do with minor pollution matters. They were SOLAS matters. Safety of life at sea matters. That's when the Coast Guard sees something. You don't have your life rafts, they will stop you. But in this area historically the expertise has been unfortunately a little bit less.

The time isn't there and the Coast Guard traditionally is a safety, life at sea organization. They look at the boats, and the radio and the bridge, and if the propeller keeps turning and there's not water gushing in through visible holes they're probably not going to hold you up on your pollution stuff as long as there isn't a big black hose trailing all over the side.

That's just the reality given the constraints on that agency.
Self-audit it a wonderful thing, but those occurred and they were
ignored. There actually was an audit that advised one of the companies

that they had been putting into their gray water substances that took itout of the definition.

An auditor presented that. Nothing was done about it.
Seven months later when the auditor came back found exactly the same

23 EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 thing. That can't be allowed to happen. Effective responsive action has 1 2 to be taken. 3 Why didn't the classification side pick up on that? All they 4 had to do was ask for the report. All they had to do was say you have a 5 minor or major corrective action. Take it. It didn't happen. 6 Credible enforcement is not an effective way to secure compliance. I would be the first to admit it despite the fact that that's 7 how I make my living. I mean I put people in jail. That's what I do. 8 There are plenty of other people, there's plenty of other 9 organizations and the cruise industry shouldn't be constantly popping up 10 on our radar screen as one of the problem sources. Because the 11 12 technology is now there, and hopefully the conscience is there. And if we have to be part of the conscience, if one of the 13 reasons some company has never been charged has somebody doing a 14 hazardous analysis of their vessels, has oilers doing waste streams 15 16 analysis are figuring out that they think they need to modify their IOPP certificate and add ballast on to it to now become sewage holding tanks 17 18 or great water holding tanks, that's great. 19 You know, the guy in the white hat and mask used to say: My work here is done. Let's get out of town, because we'll never see 20 21 another cruise name or company's name appearing in the charging 22 instrument in federal court. 23 If cruise ships don't exist today as they did when the rules 24 were made, then it is time for a serious look, and I think Bluewater has 25 probably done us all a favor by putting a point on that. I really have nothing further to say unless anybody has any 26 27 questions. These are just some observations. Except for one thing, never believe a lawyer when he says he only has one question left. 28 29 Other countries seem to deal with this in some pretty interesting ways. Bermuda has some of the most restrictive regulations 30 31 for discharge in their coastal waters and I think it might be very 32 informant to look at what some of the other countries are doing. 33 We all know about the non-discharge zones, the special areas under IMO, but it also seems to make for reviewing a lot of records and 34 doing a lot of nosing around some of the northern European countries, 35

who in fact, are the flag states for many of the vessels we've been 36

37 dealing with have a system that doesn't permit very much discharge to

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 1 the ocean. 2 We've heard that in fact there is a capability, at least in Miami, for taking substantial quantities of liquid waste or waste streams 3 4 off cruise vessels in Miami. 5 Maybe we need a role implementation. Maybe as the last б speaker said, there needs to be some economic incentives or tools built 7 in to encourage that. But in a capitalistic system if there's an incentive there and somebody can make a profit off it, it will happen. 8 And I think we're too willing and have been to willing to 9 simply say, "We all know they're not there, and they won't be there." 10 Because if we have the will we can ensure to a variety things as they 11 12 become available. And even if they're not in all ports immediately, it's 13 the direction you may think most appropriate to go in after looking at 14 the experience of others. Thank you. 15 MR. JIM WALSH: Tom, Jim Walsh, Carnival Cruise, I've got a question for you. It is a question. So from your 16 17 experience over the last five, eight years in looking at this clearly you 18 must have gone to the root cause of how Coast Guard inspection process with oil water separators and indicators could have missed this? 19 20 MR. TOM WATTS-FITZGERALD: I'll give 21 you a perfect example of how they missed it. There's an oil separator 22 aboard the vessel, and that's going to make an eight or ten hour turn 23 around in Miami and the Coast Guard's got three or four hours on board. 24 They've got to get the incoming passengers off, most of the crew is 25 going to be tied up fueling and preparing for the next voyage. 26 There's going to be crew turnover, and you've got to get 27 another whole load passenger on board and in the meantime you're going 28 to do a fire drill, you're going to launch half of that vessel's boats, 29 you're going to inspect documents on the bridge, you're going to inspect 30 some bridge equipment, and then you're going to go around and question individual crew members as well. 31 32 You're going to do a walk through of the vessel two times the size of a football field. That's only part of the problem. 33 34 MR. JIM WALSH: Right, but my point is now, 35 you realize that the Coast Guard does operate the oily water separator 36 indicator in the port? MR. TOM WATTS-FITZGERALD: We have 37

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 direct testimony in the case from crew members that they specifically 1 2 did not use the oily water separator so that when the Coast Guard came 3 on and said fire it up, it would work. That's your answer. 4 MR. JIM WALSH: But what I'm looking for, is again, I'm trying to be pro-active here. So from your vast experience 5 б how do we set up the inspection process so you feel comfortable so that 7 when the Coast Guard says run it, it's been working the way it's supposed to be working and it gives you assurance that that equipment 8 works? 9 **MR. TOM WATTS-FITZGERALD:** There are 10 two elements to your question. One is, does the equipment run and is it 11 used; and two, does it perform to its design standard? The second one 12 is the more difficult question. The first one is not so difficult because 13 everybody out there building new vessels are building essentially a glass 14 engine room. 15 16 Everything is done back in the control room. There's a computer monitoring all of the equipment. There's alarm systems. You 17 know when it's on and when it's off. In a perfect world if I were a 18 Coast Guard inspector or OCMI in a particular district and I had to deal 19 20 with a cruise ship the first thing I'd say is print me out your engine logs for all of your oil water separator alarms for the last 90 days. 21 I would tell the Captain, or the Master rather, the moment I 22 23 walked on board and I'd take it back to the office with we. Next week

while the vessel is down there feeding the tourists little drinks with umbrellas, I'd have one of my MK3's or 2's or 1's or even maybe an officer going through that saying, "Okay, what are they doing?"

You build an experience level so that some day hopefully, and you train the people obviously to this, you can tell from that report whether it even make sense. Because we know that the vessels in these cases were gun decking entries. They were putting entries specifically to fool the inspectors who looked at the log.

I mean the Coast Guard is not stupid. When they would
 come down and look at a log they say, "Have they been using the oil
 water separator? Are there any entries at all?" Because every ship
 generates one of the bilge pumps. It's pretty much a given.
 MR. JIM WALSH: But my question from your
 vast experience --

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 1 MR. TOM WATTS-FITZGERALD: You keep 2 saying vast. **MR. JIM WALSH:** I don't know how much 3 4 experience -- you were part of the Coast Guard at one time. 5 **MR. TOM WATTS-FITZGERALD:** They're not 6 happy when I say that anymore. They'd probably prefer you didn't 7 either. I don't want to be another ex-Coast Guard Officer living off of 8 my --9 **MR. JIM WALSH:** I can understand why they 10 say that about you. MR. CRAIG VOGT: Excuse me, can we bring 11 12 this to a close. It's a serious question and responses and we've got a 13 court reporter that has to listen and try to hear you, so please one at a 14 time. MR. JIM WALSH: The serious question is if 15 you have a solution we'd love to hear it. We understand that the 16 Partnership Action Team of the Coast Guard has taken this up, and they 17 18 took it up last year and they have decided to come up with a testing methodology nationally to determine that. But if there's something that 19 20 we could get and gain from what you've learned, it would be great. 21 MR. TOM WATTS-FITZGERALD: On the actual performance that it's meeting of 15 part or 10 part or a 5 part I 22 23 really have nothing to contribute to that because I'm not a design 24 engineer on that. But what I can tell you is, you need to make sure 25 people aren't running fresh water past the sensors on the piece of 26 equipment that can get you down below 15. That's what was happening. 27 I deal with fraud and crime. The engineering solutions there 28 are people that can do that and I have no doubt that the Coast Guard can 29 come up with a methodology to ensure that the equipment performs as 30 designed. 31 MR. CRAIG VOGT: Okay, thank you. Ted. MR. TED THOMPSON: Just like to make a 32 couple of comments with regards to the last presentation. I've heard a 33 lot said that the Coast Guard doesn't have time to look at the 34 35 environmental systems when the Coast Guard is on board our ships on regular occasion. And it may use its time however it chooses to its 36 time. Whether it chooses to use its time to look at the environmental 37

systems or safety systems or do paperwork review that has been
 previously looked at.

The ships are in port on a regular basis and they can come down again. With regards to detentions, the question was has the Coast Guard ever detained a vessel and why didn't Class pull its certificate on those vessels.

7 The Coast Guard has detained cruise ships and other ships 8 and they do it for a reason. And Class pulls certificates on vessels and 9 they do that for a reason. However, they do not do it for a punitive 10 reason. They do it for an environmental reason or a safety reason.

11 Once that reason has been corrected then that class 12 certificate is retained or returned or the detention is lifted and the vessel 13 is allowed to move on. They do not use it as a punitive action without 14 any type of judicial due process.

Finally, we have heard a lot talk about voluntary standards versus mandatory oversight. I think it would be inappropriate for anybody, I hope the EPA would not take away from these meetings that the cruise industry or any of our members or myself are suggesting that there should be no regulation or no oversight or no jurisdiction of the Coast Guard or anybody else and that it should be an entirely voluntary system.

Certainly when we talk about implementing procedures or whatever that are above and beyond the regulations, how can they be anything but voluntarily. Because if the regulations don't require it and you're doing it, it's voluntary.

But that doesn't mean there shouldn't be oversight. I don't think that there was anybody here that is advocating that we go to a totally voluntary system. I would not like the previous comments or any other comments to be taken in that manner. Thank you.

30 MR. CRAIG VOGT: All right, thank you.
31 I did ask Ted Thompson and Kira Schmidt if they would
32 summarize their statements of earlier today, however, I am not sure we
33 need to do that. I think we've gotten a flavor of some of the discussion.
34 You have the opportunity if you wish, but do I see anybody else who
35 would like to make a statement?

And do I have an overwhelming response on requesting ornot requesting that those two statements to be summarized this evening?

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 1 Any strong feelings from the crowd, happy hour is not very far away. 2 MS. KIRA SCHMIDT: I would like to make a couple of comments, but I don't need to summarize. 3 4 MR. CRAIG VOGT: All right. What she said 5 was she would like to make a couple comments but not summarize her earlier statement. That would be fine. 6 7 MS. KIRA SCHMIDT: Hi, I'm Kira Schmidt, Bluewater Network. I was summarizing some of the findings of the 8 GAO Report earlier of cruise ship pollution incidents and it was noted 9 by a later speaker that the number of the detected incidents over the six 10 year period that the GAO looked at went down during that time. 11 12 I just wanted to reiterate what the GAO Report said which 13 was that there are some serious issues with the Coast Guard's ability to detect and resolve marine pollution incidents -- violations, I'm sorry. 14 And those include the narrow scope to its routine 15 16 inspections, significant reduction in aircrafts surveillance for marine pollution purposes during that period, and a significant breakdown in the 17 18 process for identifying and resolving alleged violations to flag states. I was talking earlier about the environmental compliance 19 reports and ship audits and some additional violations that those have 20 21 revealed in the interim, the last couple of years, and Nancy Lee 22 commented that for the three month period ending January 31st, 2000, 23 for example, I noted that seven environmental incidents of spills and 24 malfunctions occurred. 25 Nancy said that most of these incidents are minor, and frankly, that doesn't make them okay. If seven environmental incidents 26 27 are occurring in three months by one cruise company, the cumulative 28 impacts of these, if you're looking at it throughout the whole industry, are not minor and not insignificant. 29 30 On a closing note, it was mentioned earlier that rule making takes time. That doesn't mean it shouldn't be done. The sooner we 31 32 start, the better it will be. 33 The MSD standards which allowed permit exemptions were formulated 20 years ago, as we've heard, and it's time to update them 34 35 and in light of the changes in the cruise industry and findings of what went on up in Alaska. 36 We don't think regulatory measures are mutually exclusive 37

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 from voluntary industry initiatives. We hope that the cruise industry 1 2 won't discontinue its voluntarily environmental initiatives if EPA calls for regulatory measures. That's all I have. 3 4 MR. CRAIG VOGT: Okay, thank you very much. And one more statement to the microphone. 5 б MR. JIM WALSH: Jim Walsh, Carnival Cruise 7 Lines. One thing that we haven't gone over or it hasn't come up yet and 8 the attorney from the Department of Justice brought it up, but no one 9 has kind of centered on it, and I would hope that the EPA would look at it. In the State of Florida where our cruise ships call on there's not one 10 11 lift station to take our gray and black water, not one. 12 In the State of California, there's not one lift station 13 provided to us at port to take our gray and black water. In the State of Alaska there's not one lift station provided by the ports to take our gray 14 and black water. 15 16 The amount of gray and black water that has been off loaded in these ports is a significant investment by these companies, a 17 committed environmental investment, but the ports have not been an 18 active partner. 19 20 Now, the EPA taking a look at that and combining it with the attorney and also the attorney from the Department of Justice here's 21 22 an incentive. You talk to the ports, work with the ports, the EPA, you 23 put those lift stations in and then even the companies that can't invest that capital expense in older tonnage and retrofitting it can hook up to 24 those lift stations. 25 Satish didn't bring this up and I'd hoped you would in your 26 27 talk about the MOU and the discussion with the Memorandum of Understanding the ports of Florida were brought into it. And the ports 28 29 have stated unequivocally, they don't want any part of being a Haz-Mat holder, satellite facility or anything else. 30 31 Their preference is ship in the generator, the vendor comes on board, the vendor takes it out of their port because they don't want 32 33 any responsibility for it, they don't want any spills, et cetera. And I believe that's what the Department of Environmental 34 35 Protection and the FCCA has agreed on and that's what we fully support. Thank you very much, Craig. 36 37 **MR. CRAIG VOGT:** Okay, thank you.

EPA Public Meeting #12227 9/12/00 Winding down. I think I would like to adjourn. I want to 1 2 say thank you very much for those of you who have been with us for all three locations, and for those of you who have been at just two 3 4 locations. 5 It's been very instructive to me, and I am sure to my friends, 6 all of us. We have an overwhelming amount of information, ideas, 7 thoughts, and also a whole lot of holes in information and data. It's incumbent upon all of us to come to the correction 8 9 conclusions. I think we're going to have a further public dialogue on this in terms of the national picture. I don't know when that's going to 10 be yet. I know we're going to have something soon for all of us to sort 11 12 of start digesting and working on in terms of the report back to 13 Bluewater Network. 14 But again, we're only a month away and I should have lots 15 of answers, but I don't. We have a lot of work to do in the next month, but we will endeavor to do that. We will certainly work with our 16 partners the Coast Guard. I am real serious when I say there's going to 17 18 be a public dialogue. It won't be in closed-in smoke-filled rooms. We have an open process, and I hear a lot of -- in all three 19 20 hearings I've heard a very serious willingness to move forward on better 21 environmental control. I think that's something very unique. 22 I have worked with industries, environmental industries for 23 many years, and this particular industry I'm hearing a willingness to 24 move forward in the best way forward, and the best manner possible 25 within reason. I have heard stories from different industries. So there are honest differences of opinion on how fast it can move, what can be 26 27 done, but we will all work that out together. 28 I want to thank the panel. Thank you very much, gentlemen. 29 Certainly thank Bob Howard for arranging this gathering and providing us with a close by happy hour. With that, thank you very much. 30 (WHEREUPON, the Meeting was adjourned.) 31 32 **CAPTION** 33 The Meeting in the matter, on the date, and at the time and place set out 34 35 on the title page hereof. 36 37 It was requested that the Meeting be taken by the reporter and that same

- 5.