Glossary

Accuracy. The degree of agreement of a measured value with the true or expected value of the quantity of concern.

Analyte. The chemical for which a sample is analyzed.

<u>Analyte Speciation</u>. The ability of an analyte to exist in, or change between, chemically different forms (e.g., valence state, complexation state) depending on ambient conditions.

Anthropogenic Background Levels. Concentrations of chemicals that are present in the environment due to human-made, non-site sources (e.g., industry, automobiles).

<u>Audit Sample</u>. A sample of known composition provided by EPA for contractor analysis to evaluate contractor performance.

Average. The sum of a set of observations divided by the number of observations. Other measures of central tendency are median, mode, or geometric mean.

<u>Background Sample</u>. A sample taken from a location where chemicals present in the ambient medium are assumed due to natural sources.

<u>Bias</u>. A systematic error inherent in a method or caused by some artifact or idiosyncrasy of the measurement system.

<u>Biased Sampling</u>. A sampling plan in which the data obtained may be systematically different from the true mean. Biased sampling protocols are appropriate for certain objectives (e.g., clustering of samples to search for hot spots).

Biota. The plants and animals of the study area.

<u>Blank</u>. A clean sample that has not been exposed to the analyzed sample stream in order to monitor contamination during sampling, transport, storage, or analysis.

Broad Spectrum Analysis. An analytical procedure capable of providing identification and quantitation of a wide variety of chemicals.

<u>Calibration</u>. The comparison of a measurement standard or instrument with another standard or instrument to report or eliminate, by adjustment, any variation (deviation) in accuracy of the item being compared. The levels of calibration standards should bracket the range of levels for which actual measurements are to be made.

<u>Cancer Slope Factor</u>. A plausible, upper-bound estimate of the probability of cancer response in an exposed individual, per unit intake over a lifetime exposure period.

<u>Chain-of-Custody Records</u>. Records that contain information about the sample from sample collection to final analysis. Such documentation includes labeling to prevent mix-up, container seals to detect unauthorized tampering with contents and to secure custody, and the necessary records to support potential litigation.

<u>Chemical of Potential Concern.</u> A chemical initially identified or suspected to be present at a site that may be hazardous to human health.

Classical Model. A statistical description of experimental data that assumes normality and independence.

<u>Confidence</u>. Statistically, a measure of the probability of taking action when action is required or that an observed value is correct. A confidence limit is a value above or below a measured parameter that is likely to be observed at a specified level of confidence.

Contract Laboratory Program (CLP). Analytical program developed for analysis of Superfund site samples to provide analytical results of known quality, supported by a high level of quality assurance and documentation.

<u>Contract Required Quantitation Limit (CROL)</u>. The chemical-specific quantitation levels that the CLP requires to be routinely and reliably quantitated in specified sample matrices.

Data Assessment. The determination of the quantity and quality of data and their useability for risk assessment.

<u>Data Quality Indicator (DQI)</u>. A performance measure for sampling and analytical procedures.

<u>Data Quality Objectives (DQOs)</u>. Qualitative and quantitative statements that specify the quality of the data required to support decisions. DQOs are determined based on the end use of the data to be collected.

<u>Data Review</u>. The evaluation process that determines the quality of reported analytical results. It involves examination of raw data (e.g., instrument output) and quality control and method parameters by a professional with knowledge of the tests performed.

Data Useability. The ability or appropriateness of data to meet their intended use.

<u>Data Validation</u>. CLP-specific evaluation process that examines adherence to performance-based acceptance criteria as outlined in *National Functional Guidelines for Organic (or Inorganic) Data Review* (EPA 1991e, EPA 1988e).

<u>Detection Limit</u>. The minimum concentration or weight of an analyte that can be detected by a single measurement above instrumental background noise.

<u>Dilution</u>. Adding solvent to a sample, with an analyte concentration higher than the standard calibration curve, to bring the analyte concentration into a quantifiably measurable range.

Dissolved Metals. Metals present in solution rather than sorbed on suspended particles.

<u>Domain</u>. A mappable subset of the total area containing the populations, after which distinct statistical properties can be described.

<u>Dose-Response Evaluation</u>. The process of quantitatively evaluating toxicity information and characterizing the relationship between the dose of a contaminant administered or received and the incidence of adverse health effects in the exposed populations.

<u>Duplicate</u>. A second sample taken from the same source at the same time and analyzed under identical conditions to assist in the evaluation of sample variance.

Exposure Area. The area of a site over which a receptor is likely to contact a chemical of potential concern.

Exposure Assessment. The determination or estimation (qualitative or quantitative) of the magnitude, frequency, duration, and route of exposure.

Exposure Pathway. The course of a chemical or physical agent from a source to a receptor. Each exposure pathway includes a release from a source, an exposure point, and an exposure route.

Extraction. The process of releasing compounds from a sample matrix prior to analysis.

False Negative (type II or beta error). A statement that a condition does not exist when it actually does.

False Positive (type I or alpha error). A statement that a condition does exist when it actually does not.

<u>Field Analyses</u>. Analyses performed in the field using sophisticated portable instruments or instruments set up in a mobile laboratory on site. Results are available in real time or in several hours and may be quantitative or qualitative.

<u>Field Portable</u>. An instrument that is sufficiently rugged and not of excessive weight that can be carried and used by an individual in the field.

<u>Field Screening</u>. Analyses performed in the field using portable instruments. The results are available in real time but are often not compound-specific or quantitative.

Fixed Laboratory Analyses. Analyses performed in an off-site analytical laboratory.

<u>Frequency of Occurrence</u>. The ratio of occurrence of a chemical existing at a site compared to occurrence at all sites or compared to the frequency at which the chemical was tested for.

<u>Geographical Information System (GIS)</u>. A computerized database designed to overlay multiple information elements such as maps, annotations, drawings, digital photos, and estimated concentrations.

<u>Geostatistical Model</u>. A statistical or mathematical description of experimental data with special attention to spatial covariance or temporal variation.

<u>Geostatistics</u>. A theory of statistics that recognizes observed concentrations as dependent on one another and governed by physical processes. Geostatistical methods consider the location of data and the size of the site for calculations.

Heterogeneous Distribution. Sample property that is unevenly distributed in the population.

Historical Data. Data collected before the remedial investigation.

<u>Holding Time</u>. The length of time from the date of sampling to the date of analysis. CLP designates the holding time as the date from laboratory receipt of sample until date of analysis.

Homogeneous Distribution. A sample property that is evenly distributed over the population.

Hot Spot. Location of a substantially higher concentration of a chemical of concern than in surrounding areas of a site.

Hydrocarbon. An organic compound composed of carbon and hydrogen.

Identification. Confirmation of the presence of a specific compound or analyte in a sample.

<u>Instrument Detection Limit (IDL)</u>. The lowest amount of a substance that can be detected by an instrument without correction for the effects of sample matrix, handling and preparation.

<u>Intake</u>. A measure of exposure expressed as the mass of a substance in contact with the exchange boundary per unit body weight and unit time.

<u>Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS)</u>. An EPA database containing verified RfDs, RfCs, slope factors, up-to-date health risks and EPA regulatory information for numerous chemicals. IRIS is EPA's preferred source for toxicity information for Superfund.

<u>Internal Standard</u>. A compound added to organic samples and blanks at a known concentration prior to analysis. It is used as the basis for quantitation of target compounds.

<u>Judgmental/Purposive Sampling</u>. The process of locating sampling points based on the investigator's best judgment from historical data of where the sample should be taken.

<u>Kriging</u>. A procedure utilizing a spatial covariance function and known values at sampling locations to estimate unknown values at unsampled locations. For each estimate, an error of estimate is generated.

<u>Limit of Detection (LOD)</u>. The concentration of a chemical that has a 99% probability of producing an analytical result above background "noise" using a specific method.

<u>Limit of Quantitation (LOQ)</u>. The concentration of a chemical that has a 99% probability of producing an analytical result above the LOD. Results below LOQ are not quantitative.

<u>Linearity</u>. The agreement between an actual instrument reading and the reading predicted by a straight line drawn between calibration points that bracket the reading.

<u>Lowest-Observable-Adverse-Effect-Level (LOAEL)</u>. In dose experiments, the lowest exposure level at which there are statistically or biologically significant increases in frequency or severity of adverse effects between the exposed population and its apparent control group.

<u>Mass Spectrum</u>. A characteristic pattern of ion fragments of different masses resulting from analysis that can be compared with a mass spectral library for analyte identification.

Matrix/Medium. The predominant material comprising the sample to be analyzed (e.g., drinking water, sludge, air).

Measurement Error. The difference between the true sample value and the observed measured value.

Measurement Variability. The difference between an observed measurement and the unknown true value of the property being measured.

Media Variability. Variability attributed to matrix effects.

<u>Method Blank Performance</u>. A measure that defines the level of laboratory background and reagent contamination. It is determined by analyzing a method blank consisting of all reagents, internal standards, and surrogate standards that are carried through the entire analytical procedure.

Method Detection Limit (MDL). The detection limit that takes into account the reagents, sample matrix, and preparation steps applied to a sample in specific analytical methods.

Minimum Detectable Relative Difference. Percent difference between two concentration levels that can be detected in analyses.

Modeling. A mathematical description of an experimental data set.

<u>Natural Variation</u>. Variation in values or properties of a parameter that are primarily determined by natural forces or conditions (e.g., variation in background levels of a chemical of potential concern in soils at a site).

Normal Distribution. A probability density function that approximates the distribution of many random variables and has the form generally called the "bell-shaped curve."

Null Hypothesis. For risk assessment, statistical hypothesis that states on-site chemical concentrations are not higher than background.

Particulate. Solid material suspended in a fluid medium (air or water).

<u>Performance Evaluation Sample</u>. A sample of known composition provided for laboratory analysis to monitor laboratory and method performance.

<u>Performance Objectives</u>. Statements of the type and content of deliverables and results that are necessary to assess the useability of data for risk assessment. For example, documentation (chain-of-custody records) must be available to relate all sample results to geographic locations.

<u>Population Variability</u>. The variation in true pollution levels from one population unit to the next. Some factors that cause this variation are distance, direction, and elevation.

<u>Power</u>. A parameter used in statistics that measures the probability that the result from a specified sampling or analytical process correctly indicates that no further action is required.

<u>Practical Quantitation Limit (PQL)</u>. The lowest level that can be reliably achieved within specified limits of precision and accuracy during routine laboratory operating conditions.

<u>Precision</u>. A measure of the agreement among individual measurements of the same property, under prescribed similar conditions.

Preliminary Remediation Goals (PRGs). Initial clean-up goals that 1) are protective of human health and the environment and 2) comply with ARARs. They are developed early in the process based on readily available information and are modified to reflect results of the baseline risk assessment. They also are used during analysis of remedial alternatives in the remedial investigation/feasibility study (RI/FS)

Preservation. Treatment of a sample to maintain representative sample properties.

Qualifier. A code appended to an analytical result that indicates possible qualitative or quantitative uncertainty in the result.

Oualitative. An analysis that identifies an analyte in a sample without numerical certainty.

<u>Ouality Assurance Project Plan (OAPiP)</u>. An orderly assembly of detailed and specific procedures which delineates how data of known and accepted quality is produced for a specific project.

Quantitation Limit. The lowest experimentally measurable signal obtained for the actual analyte using a particular procedure.

Quantitative. An analysis that gives a numerical level of certainty to the concentration of an analyte in a sample.

Random Sampling. The process of locating sample points randomly within a sampling area.

Range of Linearity. The concentration range over which the analytical curve remains linear. The limit within which response is linearly related to concentration.

<u>Reasonable Maximum Exposure (RME)</u>. The maximum exposure that could reasonably be expected to occur for a given exposure pathway at a site. The RME is intended to account for both variability in exposure parameters and uncertainty in the chemical concentration.

Receptor. An individual organism or species, or a segment of the population of the organism or species, that is exposed to a chemical.

<u>Recovery</u>. A determination of the accuracy of the analytical procedure made by comparing measured values for a spiked sample against the known spike values.

Reference Concentration (RfC). An estimate, with uncertainty spanning an order of magnitude, of continuous exposure to the human population (including sensitive subgroups) through inhalation that is likely to be without appreciable risk of deleterious effect during a lifetime.

Reference Dose (RfD). An estimate (with uncertainty spanning an order of magnitude or more) of a daily exposure level for a human population, including sensitive subpopulations, that is likely to be without an appreciable risk of adverse health effects over the period of exposure.

Relative Percent Difference (RPD). A measure of precision which is based on the mean of two values from related analyses and is reported as an absolute value.

Relative Response Factor (RRF). A measure of the relative mass spectral response of an analyte compared to its internal standard. RRFs are determined by the analysis of standards and are used in the calculation of concentration of analytes in samples.

<u>Remedial Investigation (RI)</u>. A process for collecting data to characterize site and waste and for conducting treatability testing as necessary to evaluate the performance and cost of the treatment technologies and support the design of selected remedies.

Representativeness. The degree to which the data collected accurately reflect the actual concentration or distribution.

Retention Time. The length of time that a compound is retained on an analytical column (common in GC, HPLC, and IC).

Risk*Assistant A software developed for EPA which provides analytical tools and databases to assist exposure and risk assessments of chemically contaminated sites.

<u>Risk Characterization</u>. The process of integrating the results of the exposure and toxicity assessments (i.e., comparing estimates of intake with appropriate toxicological values to determine the likelihood of adverse effects in potentially exposed populations).

<u>Routine Method</u>. A method issued by an organization with appropriate responsibility. A routine method has been validated and published and contains information on minimum performance characteristics.

Sample Integrity. The maintenance of the sample in the same condition as when sampled.

<u>Sample Quantitation Limit (SQL)</u>. The detection limit that accounts for sample characteristics, sample preparation and analytical adjustments, such as dilution.

Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP). A document consisting of a quality assurance project plan, and the field sampling plan, which provides guidance for all field sampling and analytical activities that will be performed.

<u>Sampling Variability</u>. The variability attributed to various sampling schemes, such as judgmental sampling and systematic sampling.

<u>Sensitivity</u>. The capability of methodology or instrumentation to discriminate between measurement responses for quantitative differences in a parameter of interest.

<u>Simple Random Sampling</u>. A sampling scheme where positions, times, or intervals are based on a randomized selection.

<u>Slope Factor</u>. A plausible upper-bound estimate of the probability of a response per unit intake of a chemical over a lifetime. The slope factor is used to estimate an upper-bound probability of an individual developing cancer as a result of a lifetime exposure to a particular level of a potential carcinogen.

Solvent. A liquid used to dissolve and separate analytes from the matrix of origin.

<u>Spatial Variation</u>. The manner in which contaminants vary within a defined area. The magnitude of difference in contaminant concentrations in samples separated by a known distance is a measure of spatial variability.

<u>Spike</u>. A known amount of a chemical added to a sample for the purpose of determining efficiency of recovery; a type of quality control sample.

<u>Split</u>. A single sample divided for the same measurement by two processes for the purpose of monitoring precision, accuracy or comparability of two analyses.

<u>Standard Deviation</u>. The most common measure of the dispersion of observed values or results expressed as the magnitude of the square root of the variance.

<u>Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs)</u>. A written document which details an operation, analysis, or action whose mechanisms are thoroughly prescribed.

<u>Stratified Random Sampling</u>. A sampling scheme where the target population is divided into a certain number of non-overlapping parts for the purpose of achieving a better estimate of the population parameter.

<u>Stratified Systematic Sampling</u>. A sampling scheme where a consistent pattern is apportioned to various subareas or domains.

<u>Stratify</u>. To divide a physical volume or area into discrete units (strata) which are assumed to have different characteristics; a numeric procedure to subdivide a set or sets of data.

<u>Surrogate Standard</u>. A standard of known concentration added to environmental samples for quality control purposes. A surrogate standard is not likely to be found in an environmental sample, but has similar analytical properties to one or more analytes of interest.

<u>Surrogate Technique</u>. The use of surrogate analytes to assess the effectiveness of an analytical process (i.e., the ability to recover analytes from a complex environmental matrix).

Systematic Random (Grid) Sampling. A random sampling plan utilizing points predefined by a geometric pattern.

<u>Target Compound/Analyte</u>. The compound/analyte of interest in a specific method. The term also has been used in the Federal Register to denote compounds/analytes of regulatory significance.

Temporal Variation. Variation observed in chemical concentrations that is dependent on time.

<u>Tentatively Identified Compound (TIC)</u>. Organic compounds detected in a sample that are not target compounds, internal standards or surrogates.

<u>Toxicity Assessment</u>. The toxicity assessment considers the following: 1) the types of adverse health effects associated with chemical exposures; 2) The relationship between magnitude of exposure and adverse effects; and 3) related uncertainties such as the weight of evidence of a particular chemical's carcinogenicity in humans.

Toxicological Threshold. The concentration at which a compound exhibits toxic effects.

Turnaround Time. The time from laboratory receipt of samples to receipt of a data package by the client.

<u>Uncertainty</u>. The variability in a process that may consist of contributions from sampling, analysis, review, and random error.

<u>95% Upper Confidence Limit (UCL)</u>. A value that, when calculated repeatedly for different, randomly drawn subsets of site data, equals or exceeds the true mean 95% of the time.

<u>Useful Range</u>. That portion of the calibration curve that will produce the most accurate and precise results.

<u>Variance</u>. A measure of dispersion. It is the sum of the squares of the differences between the individual values and the arithmetic mean of the set, divided by one less than the number of values.

<u>Viscosity</u>. The physical property of a fluid that offers a continued resistance to flow.

<u>Volatile Organics</u>. The solid or liquid compounds that may undergo spontaneous phase change to a gaseous state at standard temperature and pressure.

Wavelength. The linear distance between successive maxima or minima of a wave form.

Weight-of-Evidence Classification. An EPA classification system for characterizing the extent to which available data indicate that an agent is a human carcinogen. Recently, EPA has developed weight-of-evidence systems for other kinds of toxic effects, such as developmental effects.

References

Aitchison, J. and Brown, J.A.C. 1957. The Lognormal Distribution with Special Reference to its Uses in Economics. Cambridge University Press.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 1979. Sampling and Analysis of Toxic Organics in the Atmosphere. ASTM Symposium. American Society for Testing and Materials. Philadelphia, PA.

Bando, R., Glesy, J., and Muntan, H., eds. 1990. Sediments: Chemistry and Toxicity of In-Place Pollutants. Lewis Publishers, Inc. Ann Arbor, MI.

Caulcutt, Roland. 1983. Statistics for Analytical Chemists. Chapman and Hall. New York.

Clesceri, et al., eds. 1989. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 17th Edition. American Public Health Association. Washington, DC.

Dragun, J. 1988. The Soil Chemistry of Hazardous Materials. Hazardous Materials Control Research Institute. Silver Spring, MD.

Eckel, William P., Fisk, Joan F., and Jacob, Thomas A. 1989. Use of a Retention Index System to Better Identify Non-Target Compounds. *Hazardous Materials Control Research Institute 1989, Proceedings of the 10th National Conference*. pp. 86-90.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1983. Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes (EPA 200 and 300 Methods). Environmental Monitoring Systems Laboratory. Las Vegas, NV. EPA/600/4-83/020.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1984. Methods for Organic Chemical Analysis of Municipal and Industrial Wastewater (EPA 600 Methods) as presented in 40 CFR Part 136, Guidelines Establishing Test Procedures for the Analysis of Pollutants under the Clean Water Act.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1985. Methodology for Characterization of Uncertainty in Exposure Assessment. Office of Research and Development. EPA/600/8-85/009.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1986a. Guidelines for Carcinogenic Risk Assessment. 51 Federal Register 33992 (September 24, 1986).

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1986b. Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste (SW846): Physical/Chemical Methods. Third Edition. Office of Solid Waste.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1987a. Data Quality Objectives for Remedial Response Activities: Development Process. EPA/540/G-87/003 (NTIS 9B88-131370).

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1987b. Field Screening Methods Catalog. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1987c. A Compendium of Superfund Field Operations Methods. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response. EPA /540/P-87/001. (OSWER Directive 9355.0-14).

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1988a. Review of Ecological Risk Assessment Methods. Office of Policy Analysis. EPA/230/10-88/041.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1988b. Superfund Exposure Assessment Manual. Office of Emergency Response. EPA/540/1-88/001. (OSWER Directive 9285.5-1).

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1988c. Geostatistical Environmental Assessment Software (GEOEAS) (database).

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1988d. Methods for the Determination of Organic Compounds in Drinking Water (EPA 500 Methods). Environmental Monitoring Systems Laboratory. Las Vegas, NV. EPA/600/4-88/039.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1988e. Laboratory Data Validation: Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Inorganics Analysis. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1989a. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund, Volume I: Human Health Evaluation Manual, Part A. Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response. EPA/540/1-89/002. (OSWER Directive 9285.7-01A).

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1989b. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund, Volume II: Environmental Evaluation Manual. Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response. EPA/540/1-89/001.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1989c. Ecological Assessment of Hazardous Waste Sites: A Field and Laboratory Reference. Environmental Research Laboratory. EPA/600/3-89/013.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1989d. Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) (data base). Office of Research and Development.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1989e. Methods for Evaluating the Attainment of Cleanup Standards, Volume 1: Soils and Solid Media. Office of Policy, Planning and Evaluation. EPA/230/2-89/042.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1989f. Soil Sampling Quality Assurance User's Guide. Environmental Monitoring Systems Laboratory. Las Vegas, NV. EPA/600/8-89/046.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1989g. Office of Water Regulations and Standards/Industrial Technology Division (ITD) Methods (EPA 1600 Methods). Office of Water.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1989h. Data Use Categories for the Field Analytical Support Project. In Draft. Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1989i. Guidance for Conducting Remedial Investigations and Feasibility Studies under CERCLA, Interim Final. Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response. EPA/540/G-89/004. (OSWER Directive 9355.3-01).

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1990a. *Health Effects Assessment Summary Tables*. First and Second Quarters FY 1990. Office of Research and Development. (OERR 9200.6-303).

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1990b. Geostatistics for Waste Management (GEOPACK) (database).

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1990c. A Rationale for the Assessment of Errors in the Sampling of Soils. Office of Research and Development. EPA/600/4-90/013.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1990d. Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Inorganic Analysis: Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration. Document No. ILM01.0. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1990e. Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Organic Analysis: Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration. Document No. OLM01.0. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1991a. *ECO Update*. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response. Publication No. 9345.0-051.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1991b. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund, Volume I: Human Health Evaluation Manual, Part B. Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response. EPA/540/1-89/002. (OSWER Directive 9285.7-01A).

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1991c. Role of Baseline Risk Assessment in Superfund Remedy Selection Decision. Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response. (OSWER Directive 9355.0-30).

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1991d. Human Health Evaluation Manual Supplemental Guidance: Standard Default Exposure Factors. Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response. (OSWER Directive 9285.6-03).

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1991e. National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response.

Finkel, A.M. 1990. Confronting Uncertainty in Risk Management: A Guide for Decision-Makers. Center for Risk Management. Washington, DC.

Gilbert, R.O. 1987. Statistical Methods for Environmental Pollution Monitoring. Van Nostrand. New York, NY.

Keith, L.H. 1987. Principles of Environmental Sampling. American Chemical Society. Washington, DC.

Keith, L.H. 1990a. Environmental Sampling and Analysis. In Print. American Chemical Society. Washington, DC.

Keith, L.H. 1990b. Environmental Sampling: A Summary. Environmental Science and Technology. 24:610-615.

Koch, George S. and Link, Richard F. 1971. Statistical Analysis of Geological Data. Dover Publications. 0-486-64040-X.

Krige, D.G. 1978. Lognormal de Wysian Geostatistics for Ore Evaluation. South Africa Institute of Mining and Metallurgy Monograph Series.

Manahan, S.E. 1975. Environmental Chemistry. Willard Grant Press. Boston, MA.

Neptune, D.E., Brantly, E.P., Messner, M., and Michael, D.I. 1990. Quantitative Decision Making in Superfund. *Hazardous Materials Control.* pp. 18-27.

National Research Council (NRC). 1983. Risk Assessment in the Federal Government: Managing the Process. National Academy Press. Washington, DC.

Seichel, H.S. 1956. The Estimation of Means and Associated Confidence Limits for Small Samples from Lognormal Populations. A Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Computer Applications in Ore Valuation. South Africa Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. pp. 106-122.

Taylor, J.H. 1987. Quality Assurance of Chemical Measurements. Lewis Publishers, Inc. Ann Arbor, MI.

Thistle Publishing 1991. Risk*Assistant (software). Hampshire Research Institute. Alexandria, VA.

Index

A	D
Accuracy See Data quality indicators (DQIs)	Data
Analytical	assessment 11, 21, 22, 95, 100-103, 105, 107,
base/neutral/acid (BNA) 39	109, 111, 113, 114, 116
iron 1, 52, 53	collection 1-4, 7, 11, 18, 20, 25, 29-31, 33, 34,
oil and hydrocarbons 45, 51, 52, 84, 106, 119	36, 37, 50, 51, 63, 81, 101, 106-112, 116
polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons 45, 119	qualifiers 4, 100, 113
phthalates and non-pesticide chlorinated com-	review 2, 3, 4, 20, 22, 23, 25, 29, 34, 35, 89, 99-
pounds 52	103, 105, 107, 117-119
volatile organics (VOAs) 55, 57, 78, 80, 113	sources 1, 2, 3, 26, 28, 29, 99, 101, 111
Analytical methods 13, 21, 22, 25, 26, 29, 30, 33,	Data quality indicators (DQIs) 3, 29, 31, 76, 103,
41, 45, 47, 57, 59, 63, 64, 78, 83, 89, 99, 100,	121
117, 118, 120	accuracy 25, 29, 31, 33, 34, 39, 49, 51, 55, 58,
atomic absorption (AA) 47, 55, 58	99, 101, 102, 105-107, 112, 113, 116-118
gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-	comparability 33, 57, 76, 78, 80, 99, 105, 107,
MS) 41, 45, 46, 52, 53	108, 112, 114, 116, 121
gel permeation chromatography (GPC) 39	completeness 76-78, 99, 100, 102, 105-107, 114,
inductively coupled plasma (ICP) 52, 53, 55, 58,	116-118, 120, 121
101	precision 29, 34, 49, 99-102, 105-107, 109, 111-
X-ray fluorescence (XRF) 57	113, 116-118
Analytical services 3, 21, 28, 29, 83	representativeness 76, 99, 105, 107-109, 114,
field analyses 2, 21, 28, 29, 57, 58, 84, 88, 89	116, 117, 121
fixed laboratory analyses 21, 29, 54, 57, 58, 84,	Data quality objectives (DQOs) 2, 11, 13, 31, 34,
89, 100	63, 100, 110, 111
quick turnaround method 28	Data useability criteria 3, 25, 26, 99, 117, 121
special analytical services (SAS) 29	Design decisions 81, 89
Automated data review 35	Detection limits 2, 25, 28, 30, 33, 37, 45-48, 54, 55,
В	77, 83, 84, 87, 89, 117-120
	contract required detection limit (CRDL) 113
Background sampling 29, 50, 75, 119	contract required quantitation limit (CRQL) 113
anthropogenic 2, 75, 119, 120	instrument detection limit (IDL) 47, 48
sampling 29, 50	limit of quantitation (LOQ) 49, 50
Baseline human health risk assessment 1, 3, 4, 7	method detection limit (MDL) 2, 47, 48, 49, 50,
Biota sampling 39, 83	102, 113
С	practical quantitation limit (PQL) 49
Chain-of-custody 29, 101	sample quantitation limit (SQL) 2, 22, 23, 48, 49,
Chemical intake 14, 15	50, 84
Chemicals of potential concern 1, 4, 25, 26, 29, 30,	E
35, 40, 41, 46, 47, 50, 52, 53, 55, 63-65, 72-74,	Exposure 95, 97, 101, 105, 107, 108, 112
77, 78, 80, 83, 84, 87, 88, 117-120	area 4, 11, 13, 18, 20, 25, 26, 33, 54, 55, 63, 65,
Comparability See Data quality indicators (DQIs)	72, 74, 77, 78, 80, 89, 120, 121
Completeness See Data quality indicators (DQIs)	assessment 4, 7, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 101, 102, 108
Concentration of concern 10, 33, 34, 47, 48, 83	pathway 11, 13-15, 17, 18, 33, 58, 63, 80, 89,
Conceptual model 11, 18, 22, 28	117, 120, 121
Contract Laboratory Program (CLP) 2, 29, 41, 49,	
58, 83, 84, 87, 100, 103, 105, 106, 113	F
Contract required detection limit (CRDL) 49	False negatives 11, 13, 18, 25, 35, 40, 41, 47, 48,
Contract required quantitation limit (CRQL) 49	50, 58, 64, 75, 76, 101, 105, 108, 113, 116-118
Corrective action 4, 22, 36, 88, 95, 97, 100, 101,	
106	

False positives 11, 13, 25, 30, 35, 41, 45, 47, 48, 88, 100-103, 105, 107, 108, 111, 113, 116, 118, 50, 53, 64, 76, 101, 105, 113, 117-119 119 Field analyses See Analytical Services R Field records 29 Reasonable maximum exposure (RME) 13, 14, 17, Fixed laboratory analyses See Analytical Services 55, 66, 105, 107, 109, 116 G Reference concentrations (RfCs) Geographical Information System (GIS) 18, 72 Reference doses (RfDs) 15, 17 Remedial investigation (RI) 1-4, 11, 18, 20, 21, 25, Н 26, 28, 29, 63, 65, 81, 95, 100, 105 Hazard Ranking System (HRS) 13, 26 Remedial project manager (RPM) 1, 4, 11, 18, 20-Health Effects Assessment Summary Tables 23, 25, 29, 30, 34-37, 39, 41, 45-47, 51, 53, 58, (HEAST) 15 59, 63-65, 72, 77, 78, 80, 81, 84, 85, 87-89, 95, Historical data 11, 18, 26, 28, 41, 45, 52, 73, 74, 78, 119 Representativeness See Data quality indicators Hot spots 13, 33, 51, 54, 57, 66, 73-76, 78, 89 (DOIs) Resource issues 88 Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) 15 (RAGS) 1-3, 7, 13-15, 17, 18, 102, 114, 119 Risk assessor 1-4, 7, 14, 15, 18, 20-23, 25, 50, 52-55, 58, 63-65, 77, 78, 80, 81, 84, 87-89, 95, 97, Laboratory performance 25, 33, 58, 59, 88, 107, 100-102, 106-108, 110, 111, 113, 114, 116 111 Land use alternatives 78 S Linearity Sample limit of linearity (LOL) 50 preparation 47, 49, 51, 54, 55, 77, 88, 108, 112 range of linearity 47 preservation 76, 108, 116 Sampling and analysis plan (SAP) 1, 20-22, 25, 63, M 74, 88, 97, 100, 107, 110, 112, 113 Measurement error 33, 37, 38, 50, 76, 109, 111 Sampling design methods Media variability 51, 74 classical model 65, 72, 78, 88 Ν geostatistical model 65, 66, 73-75, 78, 88 judgmental/purposive model 65, 73, 74 National Priorities List (NPL) 50 systematic grid sampling 65, 66, 72, 75, 78, 88 Natural variation 38 Sampling Design Selection Worksheet 63, 65, 72. Ρ 80, 83, 89 Performance evaluation 33, 39, 58, 87, 88, 116 Sampling variability 64, 65, 74, 77, 108, 109, 110, Performance measures 63, 76, 80, 88, 110 116 Performance objectives 4, 25, 29, 33, 50, 97, 105, Scheduling 21 Scoping 11, 25, 28, 29, 41, 88, 105 Precision See Data quality indicators (DQIs) Site Preliminary remediation goals (PRGs) 2, 48 concentrations 11, 13, 25, 63-66, 72-77, 80, 95, 101, 107-109, 116, 119, 120 Q inspections 3, 18, 26, 73 Qualified data 2, 23, 105, 106, 113 Soil 4, 37, 38, 41, 50, 51, 55, 119, 120 Qualitative/quantitative analysis 57 data collection 1, 4, 63, 77, 78, 80, 81, 117, 119, Quality assurance (QA) 2, 18, 20, 22, 29, 39, 58, 121 76, 100, 101 location of hot spots 66, 73-75, 78 Quality assurance project plan (QAPjP) 2, 20, 29, sampling depth 78, 80 characteristics 11,80 Quality control (QC) 2, 29, 33, 34, 37, 50, 58, 59, Soil Depth Sampling Worksheet 37, 63

Standard operating procedures (SOPs) 29, 31, 100, 101

T

Target compound list 4
Tentatively identified compounds (TICs) 41, 45, 52
Toxicity assessment 4, 7, 15, 17, 22
Turnaround time 2, 29, 54, 58, 83, 84, 87, 89

U

Uncertainty 1-4, 7, 10, 11, 14, 15, 17, 18, 25, 33, 37, 38, 50, 51, 55, 63, 76, 80, 81, 89, 95, 97, 102, 105, 107, 111, 114, 117, 121 analytical 7, 10, 14, 15, 17, 18, 80 sampling 63, 76, 77, 80, 81, 89, 118 total 76, 77