Long Term Stewardship Report GE Lancaster, 1350 Pleasure Road, Lancaster, PA

November 18, 2014

EPA web fact sheet: http://www.epa.gov/reg3wcmd/ca/pa/webpages/pad003026903.html

Site visit: October 24, 2014

A. Pre-Inspection Items

Prior to the site visit, the following pertinent documents were reviewed to ensure remedial components are adequate and up to date:

- 1. 12/16/1988 3008(h) Order
- 2. Revised Statement of Basis for Proposed Corrective Measures
- 3. 9/30/1992 Final Decision and Reponse to Comments (FDRTC)
- 4. 2012 Comprehensive Monitoring Evaluation Inspection
- 5. 2010 O&M Plan
- 6. 2010 Sampling and Analysis Plan

In addition, financial assurance state of the facility was confirmed; EPA fact sheets, links and mapping documents were reviewed and updated; and a site visit was coordinated with the facility and PADEP. A meeting with the City of Lancaster officials will be scheduled at a future date. This meeting will inculde a briefing on RCRA Corrective Action sites within Lancaster.

B. Site visit

1. Attendees

Name	Affiliation	Phone
Linda Matyskiela	EPA	215.814.3420
Joel Hennessy	EPA	215.814.3390
Paul Gotthold	EPA	215.814.3410
Cat Blankenbiller	EPA	215.814.3464
Serena Oldhouser	PADEP	717.705.4912
Linda Houseal	PADEP	717.705.4919
Lance Hauer	GE	610.992.7972
Jason Daliessio	Tetra Tech	
J.B. Moore	Tetra Tech	

2. Introductions and purpose of visit

3. File review								
Does the facility have a copy of	f:							
a. 12/16/1988 3008(h) Order b. 9/30/1992 Final Decision and Reponse to Comments (FDRTC) c. Current NPDES permit d. 5/30/2008 PADEP Post Closure Order e. Inspections of Upper Quarry and Lower Lagoon Y_X N Y_X N Y_X N								
						f. 2010 O&M Plan	vois Dlam	Y_ <i>X</i> N Y <i>X</i> N
						g. 2010 Sampling and Analy	ysis Pian	1_X IV
						4. Site Walk		
						a. Assess locations and con	ditions of GWRTS comp	oonents:
1. Air stripper, piping, o	outfall, and other treatm	nent components:All components						
were visible and accessible								
2. Well Network								
Sampling Point	Location	Condition						
18 (pumping? y/n)								
GW-9001 (pumping? y/n)								
GW-9004								
GW-9006 (pumping? y/n)								
GW-9008								
AW-4								
Spring 1 (pumping? y/n)								
5								
10D								
14 (pumping? y/n)								
1 4	dition of the confidence							
b. Assess location and cond	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
2. Adequate vegetation								
		submitted to EPA						
c. Assess location and cond	dition of Lower Lagoon							
1. Cap integrity								
2. Adequate vegetation	n <i>YES</i>	<u></u>						
3. Location <i>As on</i>	maps in Annual Repor	ts submitted to EPA						

- 5. Questions/discussion:
- a. Is there any deed restriction language/covenant for the property? No.
- b. Is GE interested in disscussing a covenant for the property? Not at this time.
- c. Does the PADEP designation of non-use aquifer for Lancaster cover this property? **YES, as it is within** the City of Lancaster boundaries. However, EPA and PADEP Orders do not reference NUAD.
- d. Are there any other ordinances that put restrictions on land use or GW use? *Municipal GW restrictions*. *EPA Order has GW use and cap area-use restrictions*.
- e. Any modification to GWRTS or landfill caps needed? anticipated? No. No.
- f. Any GIS info/data still needed by EPA? PADEP? No.

Overarching LTS-goal questions to keep in mind during site visit:

- Have the ECs specified in the CA remedy been fully implemented and constructed in accordance with any applicable plans and schedule? **YES.**
- Are the ECs fully intact? Any damage visible? Have any repairs been necessary?
 Intact/Undamaged
- Do the ECs provide control for the entire extent of contamination (lateral and vertical)? YES
- Are the ECs effective at reducing contaminant migration? Is data available to provide supporting evidence? **YES.** Info available in Annual Reports.
- Are the ECs eliminating or mitigating exposures to all potential receptors? YES
- Are the ECs sufficiently meeting the risk goals and applicable standards specified in the CA remedy? YES
- Are the ECs effective and reliable for the activities (current and future) and climatic conditions at the property to which the controls are applied? YES
- Are the ECs reliable during the period/length of time which the controls are used to achieve and maintain applicable standards specified in the CA remedy? YES
- Are the ECs being monitored and maintained as required by the O&M plan or agreement developed in accordance with the CA remedy in order to ensure that the controls remain effective? YES
- Are additional ECs necessary to achieve the intended goals of the CA remedy? **NO**
- Are modifications to the ECs needed? NO

2. Well Network

Sampling Point	Location	April 2014 TCE
18 (pumping? y/n) YES	as on map	110
GW-9001 (pumping? y/n) YES	as on map	2200
GW-9004	as on map	83 (92)
GW-9006 (pumping? y/n) YES	as on map	590
GW-9008	as on map	16
AW-4	as on map	58
Spring 1 (pumping? y/n) YES	as on map	91
5	as on map	84
10D	as on map	1.7
14 (pumping? y/n) YES	as on map	1.6

Goals in Order		2	70 cis, 100 tran	ıs 5	5	*
Location	Sample Date	VC	1,2-DCE	TCE	PCE	TVOCs*
*GW-9001	Apr-14	<10	1200	2200	<10	3400.0
GW-9004	Apr-14	13 (10)	302.1 (312.8)	83 (92)	<0.5 (<2.5)	398.1 (414.8)
*GW-9006	Apr-14	<2.5	130	590	25	745.0
GW-9008	Apr-14	45	131.1	16	<0.5	192.1
5	Apr-14	1200	5508.4	84	<0.5	6792
10D	Apr-14	1.1	29	1.7	<0.5	31.8
*14	Apr-14	1	38	1.6	<0.5	40.6
*18	Apr-14	<0.5	24	110	13	147.0
*SPRING-1	Apr-14	<0.5	15	91 L	1.1	107.1
AW-4	Apr-14	4.8	43.2	58	<0.5	106.0

^{* =} pumping

ANNUAL MONITORING WELLS

Goals in Order		2	70 cis, 100 trans 5		5	
Location	Sample Date	vc	1,2-DCE	TCE	PCE	TVOCs*
GW-9007	Oct-13	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	ND
6	Oct-13	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	ND
7D	Oct-13	1.9	1.9	<0.5	<0.5	3.8
115	Oct-13	0.7	2.2	<0.5	<0.5	2.9
12D	Oct-13	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	ND
15	Oct-13	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	ND
AW-3	Oct-13	0.6	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0.6