#### DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

#### **RCRA Corrective Action**

# **Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750) Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control**

| Facility Name:<br>Facility Address: |                           | Merck-Cherokee Plant  |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|
|                                     |                           | Danville, PA 17821  |
| Facilit                             | ty EPA ID#:               | PAD 00 304 3353   |
| 1.                                  | groundwater me            | e relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to the dia, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units lated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been <b>considered</b> in this EI |
|                                     | X                         | If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.   |
|                                     |                           | If no - re-evaluate existing data, or   |
|                                     |                           | If data are not available skip to #6 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code.  |
| -                                   | GROUND<br>ion of Environm | ental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)   |

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond

programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

#### **Definition of "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI**

A positive "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater has stabilized, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the original "area of contaminated groundwater" (for all groundwater "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

#### **Relationship of EI to Final Remedies**

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI pertains ONLY to the physical migration (i.e., further spread) of contaminated ground water and contaminants within groundwater (e.g., non-aqueous phase liquids or NAPLs). Achieving this EI does not substitute for achieving other stabilization or final remedy requirements and expectations associated with sources of contamination and the need to restore, wherever practicable, contaminated groundwater to be suitable for its designated current and future uses.

#### **Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations**

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Page 2

Is **groundwater** known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated" above appropriately protective

|   | pplicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, iteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action, anywhere at, or from, the           |
|---|---|
| X | If yes - continue after identifying key contaminants, citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation.  |
|   | If no - skip to #8 and enter "YE" status code, after citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation to demonstrate that groundwater is not "contaminated." |
|   | If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.   |

Rationale and Reference(s): The following is based on reports in the PADEP files in Williamsport, PA and reports sent to EPA such as the RCRA Facility Investigation. The groundwater at Merck has been contaminated primarily with VOCs such as chloroform. These substances are from an old underground tank farm that was removed by Merck in the 1980s and the old solvent recovery system. In the early 1980s, the groundwater plume was found in groundwater drinking wells to the east of the plant. PADEP issued a Consent Order to Merck which required Merck to supply the community with clean water and control the plume.

#### Footnotes:

2.

<sup>1</sup>"Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriate "levels" (appropriate for the protection of the groundwater resource and its beneficial uses).

Page 3

| 3. | Has the <b>migration</b> of contaminated groundwater <b>stabilized</b> (such that contaminated groundwater is |
|----|---|
|    | expected to remain within "existing area of contaminated groundwater" as defined by the monitoring            |
|    | locations designated at the time of this determination)?  |

| X | If yes - continue, after presenting or referencing the physical evidence (e.g., groundwater sampling/measurement/migration barrier data) and rationale why contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within the (horizontal or vertical) dimensions of the "existing area of groundwater contamination" <sup>2</sup> ). |
|---|--|
|   | If no (contaminated groundwater is observed or expected to migrate beyond the designated locations defining the "existing area of groundwater contamination" <sup>2</sup> ) - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after providing an explanation.   |
|   | If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.  |

Rationale and Reference(s): Merck has stabilized the groundwater plume through actions it has taken under the PADEP Consent Order. These efforts are documented in the EPA RCRA Facility Investigation, in the documentation specific to the Order and the Semi-Annual reports submitted to PADEP. EPA Region 3 has copies of all these reports in the RCRA file room.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "existing area of contaminated groundwater" is an area (with horizontal and vertical dimensions) that has been verifiably demonstrated to contain all relevant groundwater contamination for this determination, and is defined by designated (monitoring) locations proximate to the outer perimeter of "contamination" that can and will be sampled/tested in the future to physically verify that all "contaminated" groundwater remains within this area, and that the further migration of "contaminated" groundwater is not occurring. Reasonable allowances in the proximity of the monitoring locations are permissible to incorporate formal remedy decisions (i.e., including public participation) allowing a limited area for natural attenuation.

| 4. | Does "contamin  | ated" groundwater <b>discharge</b> into <b>surface water</b> bodies?  |
|----|-----------------|---|
|    |                 | If yes - continue after identifying potentially affected surface water bodies.  |
|    | X               | If no - skip to #7 (and enter a "YE" status code in #8, if #7 = yes) after providing an explanation and/or referencing documentation supporting that groundwater "contamination" does not enter surface water bodies. |
|    |                 | If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.   |
|    | Rationale and R | eference(s):  |

|    |   | 1 180 0   |
|----|---|---|
| 5. | maximum conce<br>appropriate grou<br>discharging cont | of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water likely to be " <b>insignificant</b> " (i.e., the ntration³ of each contaminant discharging into surface water is less than 10 times their ndwater "level," and there are no other conditions (e.g., the nature, and number, of aminants, or environmental setting), which significantly increase the potential for pacts to surface water, sediments, or eco-systems at these concentrations)?   |
|    |   | If yes - skip to #7 (and enter "YE" status code in #8 if #7 = yes), after documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration <sup>3</sup> of <u>key</u> contaminants discharged above their groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) provide a statement of professional judgement/explanation (or reference documentation) supporting that the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is not anticipated to have unacceptable impacts to the receiving surface water, sediments, or eco-system.  |
|    |   | If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water is potentially significant) - continue after documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration of each contaminant discharged above its groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) for any contaminants discharging into surface water in concentrations a greater than 100 times their appropriate groundwater "levels," the estimated total amount (mass in kg/yr) of each of these contaminants that are being discharged (loaded) into the surface water body (at the time of the determination), and identify if there is evidence that the amount of discharging contaminants is increasing. |
|    |   | If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.  |
|    | Rationale and Re                                      | eference(s):  |
|    |   |   |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> As measured in groundwater prior to entry to the groundwater-surface water/sediment interaction (e.g., hyporheic) zone.

| dwater; OR oviding or referencing an interim-assessment, <sup>5</sup> appropriate to the potential for t, that shows the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is copinion of a trained specialists, including ecologist) adequately protective of ing surface water, sediments, and eco-systems, until such time when a full ment and final remedy decision can be made. Factors which should be considered interim-assessment (where appropriate to help identify the impact associated with rging groundwater) include: surface water body size, flow, assification/habitats and contaminant loading limits, other sources of surface |
|---|
| sediment contamination, surface water and sediment sample results and arisons to available and appropriate surface water and sediment "levels," as well as her factors, such as effects on ecological receptors (e.g., via bio-assays/benthic   |
| ys or site-specific ecological Risk Assessments), that the overseeing regulatory would deem appropriate for making the EI determination.  |
| (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater can not be shown to be " <b>currently table</b> ") - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after documenting the currently eptable impacts to the surface water body, sediments, and/or eco-systems.  |
| 1   |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note, because areas of inflowing groundwater can be critical habitats (e.g., nurseries or thermal refugia) for many species, appropriate specialist (e.g., ecologist) should be included in management decisions that could eliminate these areas by significantly altering or reversing groundwater flow pathways near surface water bodies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The understanding of the impacts of contaminated groundwater discharges into surface water bodies is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration to be reasonably certain that discharges are not causing currently unacceptable impacts to the surface waters, sediments or eco-systems.

| 7. | necessary) be co | r monitoring / measurement data (and surface water/sediment/ecological data, as llected in the future to verify that contaminated groundwater has remained within the rtical, as necessary) dimensions of the "existing area of contaminated groundwater?"  |
|----|------------------|---|
|    | <u>X</u>         | If yes - continue after providing or citing documentation for planned activities or future sampling/measurement events. Specifically identify the well/measurement locations which will be tested in the future to verify the expectation (identified in #3) that groundwater contamination will not be migrating horizontally (or vertically, as necessary) beyond the "existing area of groundwater contamination." |
|    |                  | If no - enter "NO" status code in #8.   |
|    |                  | If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.  |
|    | Rationale and I  | Reference(s): Groundwater continues under the Final Remedy.   |

Page 8

| 8. | Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under            |
|----|---|
|    | Control EI (event code CA750), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the |
|    | EI determination below (attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility).  |
|    |   |

PA Operations Branch Chief

| X            | YE - Yes, "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI determination, it has been determined that the "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater" is "Under Control" at the Merck-Cherokee Plant facility, EPA ID # PAD 00 304 3353, located at Danville, PA 17821. Specifically, this determination indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater is under control, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the "existing area of contaminated groundwater" This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency becomes aware of significant changes at the facility. |               |  |
|--------------|---|---------------|--|
|              | NO - Unacceptable migration of contaminated groundwater is observed or expected.  |               |  |
|              | IN - More information is needed to make a determin  | nation.       |  |
| Completed by | (signature)       (print)     Renee Gelblat       (title)     Remedial Project Manager  | Date 04-19-96 |  |
| Supervisor   | (signature) (print) Paul Gotthold   | Date 04-19-96 |  |

# Locations where References may be found:

(title)

EPA Region III Office 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029

# **Contact telephone and e-mail numbers:**

| (name)    | Renee Gelblat         |
|-----------|-----------------------|
| (phone #) | 215-814-3421          |
| (e-mail)  | gelblat.renee@epa.gov |

(EPA Region or State) EPA, Region 3