DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action

Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) Current Human Exposures Under Control

Fac	cility Name:	Bethlehem Apparatus Company, Inc.
Fac	cility Address:	935 Bethlehem Drive, Bethlehem, PA 18017
Fac	cility EPA ID#:	PA0000453084
1.	groundwater, su	le relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, arface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste nits (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this El
		X If yes – check here and continue with #2 below.
		If no – re-evaluate existing data, or
		If data are not available skip to #6 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code.

BACKGROUND

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility [i.e., site-wide]).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air **media** known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated" above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

	Yes	No_	?	Rationale/Key Contaminants
Groundwater		_ X		No known or reported releases.
Air (indoors) ²		X		Normal operations include air handling and filtration equipment to ensure safe mercury vapor levels while inspecting, sorting, and crushing or otherwise preparing materials for retort.
Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft)		X		No known or reported releases.
Surface Water		X		No surface water media on site.
Sediment		X		No sediment media on site.
Subsurf. Soil (e.g., >2 ft)		X		No known or reported releases.
Air (outdoors)		X		Facility does not operate under an air permit.
"levels," and not exceeded If yes (for an	referencing	g sufficient continue a vels" (or	t supporting after identify provide an o	TE," status code after providing or citing appropriate g documentation demonstrating that these "levels" are ying key contaminants in each "contaminated" medium, explanation for the determination that the medium could eptable risk), and referencing supporting documentation.
If unknown (for any med	lia) - skip	to #6 and e	enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s):

Bethlehem Apparatus Company, Inc (Bethlehem Apparatus, BAB or facility) operates two facilities in Eastern Pennsylvania which use various methods for the recovery and resale of mercury. The original Bethlehem Apparatus Company, Hellertown (BAH) facility is located at 890 Front Street, Hellertown, Pennsylvania (PAD002390961) and commenced operations in 1948. The newer sister facility is the Bethlehem Apparatus Company, Bethlehem (BAB) facility located at 935 Bethlehem Drive, Bethlehem, Northampton County, Pennsylvania (PA0000453084 – Bethlehem Lamp Recycling)

¹ "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

² Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

which opened in 1994 and is the subject of this report. The BAB facility recycles/reclaims mercury from mercury bearing hazardous waste and sends it to BAH for further refining by distillation for sale to commercial and industrial users.

The facility is an approximately 38,400-square foot facility on a 2.88 acre parcel, which includes an office area, a paved receiving lot, a material sorting and preparation area with various safety and handling equipment, an enclosed and covered container storage area, six high vacuum mercury retorts and associated equipment, a high vacuum auto-feed retort system, a calomel (mercurous chloride) process area and a research and development laboratory. All hazardous and non-hazardous waste, raw material, and product handling and storage activities are conducted within the enclosed building. The facility does not make use of hazardous waste disposal, waste piles, land treatment or application, or surface impoundments. A mercury amalgamation area (for mercury retirement) was under development as noted in the Hazardous Waste Recycling Permit Application (August 2007).

Site activities at the facility are conducted in a large, well-lit, warehouse-like structure constructed of cement block, a steel roof frame, and concrete floors that are bisected by a wall and swinging doors. The floor has been coated with epoxy and the floor is contoured to provide over 59,000 gallons of secondary containment capacity in the event of a release. No releases have been recorded. Concrete is impermeable to mercury and provides a safe containment surface for mercury recovery and recycling operations. There are isolated and covered sump pits in the floor that serve as release collection points that may be pumped out as necessary. There is a ceiling-mounted sprinkler system. The facility has fire extinguishers and fire alarms, no smoking signs, restricted entry signs and spill cleanup kits throughout the building. The receiving and storage activities occur primarily in the western side of the building. The processing activities occur primarily in the eastern side of the building. There is a laboratory attached to the rear of the office area where computers monitor the auto feed system on a real-time basis. Other activities conducted in the laboratory include the single batch retort preparation of most compounds and solutions and appropriate waste analysis.

The facility has twenty four hour electronic surveillance maintained by using entry sensors, motion detectors, and heat rise and smoke detectors. All equipment is designed to automatically shutdown if any operational parameter is exceeded.

Adjacent sites are commercial/light industrial properties. Beyond the adjacent commercial/light industrial properties are some scattered enclaves of residential houses.

The facility operated under permit-by-rule (PBR) until permitted. A Hazardous Waste Recycling Permit Application for hazardous waste treatment and storage was prepared and submitted on behalf of the facility by RT Environmental Services, Inc. (RT) on September 23, 2007 to Pennsylvania Department of Environmental protection (PADEP). On June 16, 2011, PADEP issued the facility Permit No. PA0000453084 for hazardous waste storage and treatment.

The facility is a mercury recycling facility, which accepts waste for retort and chemical processing for the purpose of recovery and resale of mercury. This facility receives hazardous waste material in steel 55 gallon drums or box quantities from waste generators representing a wide range of industries and agencies. Materials are delivered to the facility in company vehicles or by outside contractors and stored in containers until they are processed. The wastes managed are composed primarily of characteristic D009 (mercury) or U151 (mercury) waste. Some wastes also have secondary characteristic waste codes other than D009 and U151 because of the chemical composition of the material, typically D001 (ignitable), D002 (corrosive), D003 (reactive), D004 (arsenic), D005 (barium), D006 (cadmium), D007 (chromium), D008 (lead), D010 (selenium) and D011 (silver). Additional mercury bearing wastes include waste codes K071 (brine purification muds from mercury cells) and K106 (wastewater treatment sludge from mercury cells). Typical secondary characteristic codes are for other metals contained in the waste such as selenium, lead or cadmium. A D002 waste code is also accepted and found on many solutions and batteries because of their corrosivity. Typical materials accepted for processing include, but are not limited to: thermometers, switches (glass and steel), controls, relays, ignitron tubes, high pressure quartz lamps, mercury vapor lamps, mercury dental amalgams, mercury oil sludges, mercury spill kits, mercury compounds, mercury batteries, fluorescent lamps, mercury on carbon, cinnabar (mercuric sulfide) and mercury in soil

(Hazardous Waste Permit Application, 2007).

SWMUs identified in the June 2011 permit, and confirmed during the 2011 site visit are as follows:

Facility Operation Area – Physical Treatment	Hazardous Waste Code
Retorts 1 through 6	
Lamp Recycling Area	
Battery Crushers	
Drum Crushers	Primary codes: D009, K071, K106
Centrifugal Separation System	
Amalgamation Process	Secondary codes: U151, D001,
Facility Operation Area – Chemical	D002, D003, D004, D005, D006,
Treatment	D007, D008, D010, D011
Calomel Process	
Reaction Vessel for Reduction or	
Precipitation	

The aboveground storage tank (AST) information associated with the calomel process is summarized the following table.

			ASTs	
Tank No.	Installed	Size (gallons)	Contents	Status/Owner
001A	7/22/1997	1,500	sodium hydroxide	Basic Chemical
002A	removed	100	hydrochloric acid	removed
003A				replaced in 2010 with tank 004A
004A		540	hydrochloric acid	Basic Chemical
4A		2,550	hazardous wastewater from the calomel process (D009 mercury/D010 selenium)	emptied in 90 days (disposed by DuPont)/BAH
4B		2,550	hazardous wastewater from the calomel process (D009 mercury/D010 selenium)	emptied in 90 days (disposed by DuPont)/BAH
4C		2,550	hazardous wastewater from the calomel process (D009 mercury/D010 selenium)	emptied in 90 days (disposed by DuPont)/BAH
4D	_	2,550	hazardous wastewater from the calomel process (D009 mercury/D010 selenium)	removed – sent for disposal in February 2011

On February 3, 1999, the facility was notified that the permit expired on November 6, 1997. On March 17, 1999, the facility submitted the stormwater permit renewal by completing a Notice of Intent (NOI) Under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Permit for Discharges of Storm Water Associated with Industrial Activities form. Two outfalls were identified that discharge ultimately to the Lehigh River (Outfalls 01 and 02). Outfall 01 drains the paved parking area and roof drains; Outfall 02 drains an unimproved area. An outfall is located west of the building in the tree line. PADEP reissued NPDES Permit No. PAR232228 on April 15, 1999.

On March 29, 2004 and February 25, 2009 (renewal), PADEP issued a letter stating they had received the facility's No Exposure Certification for Discharges of Stormwater Associated with Industrial Activities form (NNOEX013-02) for the

facility. The form certifies that a condition of no exposure exists at the facility, and that the facility must maintain a condition of no exposure to remain eligible for permit exemption. This exemption will expire on March 28, 2014.

The facility receives its water supply from the City of Bethlehem. The City of Bethlehem's water comes entirely from surface water sources, namely the Wild Creek Reservoir, Towamensing Township, Carbon County, in a watershed that covers 22 square miles and the Penn Forest Reservoir, Penn Forest Township, Carbon County and Polk Township, Monroe County, in a watershed that covers 17 square miles. This primary water supply is located 22 miles north of the City. The Tunkhannock Creek, Tunkhannock Township, Monroe County provides a supplemental supply to the Penn Forest Reservoir. Dual transmission mains can carry up to 47 million gallons of water per day to the city's water filtration plant in Lehigh Township and from there to the distribution system (2009 Bethlehem Consumer Confidence Report).

Information obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of Conservation and Natural Resources (DCNR) Groundwater Information System (PaGWIS) accessed on March 31, 2011 provided information regarding six groundwater wells located within a 0.5 mile radius of the facility. The open holed wells were installed between 1936 and 1967 and ranged in depth of 335 to 433 feet below ground surface (bgs) based on available data. Five of the six wells were listed as withdraw wells for industrial use, located southwest of the facility, and one well was used for air conditioning, located to the north of the facility.

Groundwater: There have been no known or reported releases that occurred on the interior portion of the property that would indicate groundwater had been impacted; therefore, there have been no groundwater investigations conducted at the facility. The neighboring properties are connected to the public water supply.

Air (outdoors): The facility does not maintain any air permits. However, the facility uses several models of dust collection/mercury vapor filtration units at the facility. The units incorporate particle and carbon filtration to capture mercury material and vapor. The facility maintains air emission control devices including: cyclonic separator, bag house filters, high efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filters and charcoal filters. Air is circulated through the building. There have been no reported releases.

Within the facility, the sorting and preparation equipment area includes air handling and filtration equipment to ensure safe mercury vapor levels while inspecting, sorting, and crushing or otherwise preparing materials for retort. Crushing and processing equipment incorporate safety interlocks and filtration to provide safe operation and maintain a healthy work environment. The six batch retorts and the auto-feed retort operate within a narrow range of parameters and are designed to safely shutdown if any parameter is exceeded.

No information regarding investigations or remedial actions for environmental media was located during the regulatory file review for the facility. No incidents of any releases have been reported by the facility. Therefore, considering the available information about the facility, there are no chemicals documented in soils and/or groundwater at this facility that are identified as sufficiently volatile and toxic to warrant evaluation of potential subsurface vapor to indoor air.

Soil: There have been no reported releases or investigation of soil contamination at this facility.

Surface Water/Sediment: Not relevant as there is no surface water on or near the facility.

Air (indoors): Not relevant as there is no indication of groundwater or soil contamination at this facility.

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

				Potential Hur	nan Receptors (Under Current Co	onditions)
Contaminated Media	Residents	Workers	Day-Care	Construction	Trespassers	Recreation	Food ³
Groundwater Air (indoors) Soil (surface, e.g., <2 ft. Surface Water							
Sediment Soil (subsurface e.g., >2 f Air (outdoors)	t.						
Instructions for Sur	nmary Exposui	e Pathway E	valuation Tabl	<u>e</u> :			
	. Strike-out sp contaminated"			nan Receptors' sp	aces for Media v	which are not	
	. enter "yes" o Receptor combi	_		eteness" under ea	ch "Contaminate	d" Media Hum	an
Media - Hu	man Receptor ns may not be j	combinations	(Pathways) d	bable combination o not have check s they may be possi	spaces ("").	While these	
enter	er "YE" status n-made, prever	code, after exting a compl	kplaining and/o ete exposure p	raminated media-ror referencing con athway from each analyze major path	dition(s) in-place contaminated m	e, whether natural	
	res (pathways a atinue after pro			minated" Media - on.	Human Recepto	r combination) -	
	inknown (for ai	ny "Contamir	nated" Media -	Human Receptor	combination) - s	skip to #6 and ent	er
Rationale and Refe	rence(s):						

³ Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.

4.	Can the exposures from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be " significant " (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable "levels" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable "levels") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?
	If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
	If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
	If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code
Ration 5.	Can the "significant" exposures (identified in #4) be shown to be within acceptable limits?
	If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing <u>and</u> referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).
	If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable") - continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.
	If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter "IN" status code
Ration	nale and Reference(s):

⁴ If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

Inform	nation contained	in this EI De Bethleher	res Under Control" has been verific termination, "Current Human Expo m Apparatus Company, Inc. , located at 935 Bethlehem Dr	sures" are e	expected to be facility,
under	current and reason	onably expec	ted conditions. This determination nificant changes at the facility.		
NO - '	'Current Human	Exposures" a	are NOT "Under Control."		
IN -	More information	n is needed to	make a determination.		
Completed by	y (signature)	K	En ho as	Date _	10/25/1
	(print)	_KH	A. M DAO		
	(title)	EPA	ROJECT MANAGER		
Supervisor	(signature)	Tank	Souther	Date _	10-26-12
	(print)	Pau	1 Gotthold		
	(title)	Assoc	icti Drectir, LCD		
	(EPA Region or	State)	EPA R3		
Locations wh	ere References n	nay be found	:		
USEPA Region Waste and Ch 1650 Arch St Philadelphia,	nemical Mgmt. D reet	vivision	PADEP North East Regional Office 2 Public Square Wilkes-Barre, PA 18701		

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES EI IS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.

Facility Name: EPA ID#

Bethlehem Apparatus Company, Inc.

PA0000453084

City/State Bethlehem, PA 18017

CURRENT HUMAN EXPOSURES UNDER CONTROL (CA725)

