DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action

Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) Current Human Exposures Under Control

Bethlehem Steel Corporation - Steelton

Facility	Address:	215 S. Front Street, Steelton, PA. 17113					
Facility	EPA ID #:	PAD 00 302 6531					
1.	groundwater, su	e relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, rface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste aits (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this 19?					
	<u>X</u>	If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.					
		If no - re-evaluate existing data, or					
		If data are not available skip to #6 and enter"IN" (more information needed) status code.					

BACKGROUND

Facility Name:

<u>Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)</u>

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

<u>Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI</u>

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Page 2

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air **media** known or reasonably suspected to be "**contaminated**" above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

	Yes	<u>No</u>	?	Rationale / Key Contaminants
Groundwater	X			groundwater assessment.
Air (indoors) ²		X		No VOCs in groundwater.
Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft)		X		HWM-1, Area 1 and SWMU #24 closures.
Surface Water		X		groundwater assessment.
Sediment		X		groundwater assessment.
Subsurf. Soil (e.g., >2 ft)	X			HWM-1, Area 1 and SWMU #24 closures.
Air (outdoors)		X		dust migration assessment.

If no (for all media) - skip to #6, and enter "YE," status code after providing or citing appropriate "levels," and referencing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating that these "levels" are not exceeded.

If yes (for any media) - continue after identifying key contaminants in each "contaminated" medium, citing appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing supporting documentation.

If unknown (for any media) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): HWM-1 and Area 8 are two closed monofills of Electric Arc Furnace (EAF) dust, which contains the hazardous constituents of cadmium, chromium, and lead. In 1989-1990, PADEP approved the capping of both areas with geo-synthetic caps. The areas were closed according to an approved closure plan.

Groundwater has been shown to be contaminated from HWM-1 and Area 8. Groundwater monitoring has been in place since 1985 and 1988, respectively. Several hazardous constituents (selenium, lead and mercury) are above their MCLs (screening levels) on site. However, the wells at the property boundary and the wells at the groundwater/surface water interface show no groundwater contaminants above MCLs. Groundwater is monitored quarterly.

Corrective action activities were performed at an area of soil contamination (SWMU #24), subsequent to EPA's Consent Orders of 9/8/88 (Investigation) and 2/24/92 (perform corrective measures). EPA did a final inspection of the cap in April 1994. Fences were installed to prevent trespassers gaining access to the area. There is an agreement in place for BSC to notify future landowners of the site history and the controls and monitoring to be maintained.

Page 3

References: EPA 3008(h) Consent Order (9/8/88)

EPA 3008(h) Consent Order (2/24/92)

Dust Migration Assessment of EAF Dust Approval Letter (8/29/91) Report on the Groundwater Investigation in the HWM-1 Area (4/91)

RCRA Facility Investigation (9/30/91)

CME for Bethlehem Steel Corporation - Steelton (1999)

Footnotes:

¹ "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

²Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

Page 4

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

Potential **Human Receptors** (Under Current Conditions)

"Contaminated" Media Resi	idents	Workers	Day-Care	Construction	Trespassers	Recreation	Food
Groundwater	No	No		No			
Air (indoors)							
Soil (surface, e.g., <2 ft)							
Surface Water							
Sediment							
Soil (subsurface e.g., >2 ft)				No			
Air (outdoors)							

Instructions for **Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table**:

- 1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not "contaminated" as identified in #2 above.
- 2. enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media -- Human Receptor combination (Pathway).

Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces ("___"). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary.

<u>X</u>	If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) - skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) inplace, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional <u>Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet</u> to analyze major pathways).
	If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation.
	If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): The landfills and SWMU #24 have been appropriately closed, and therefore offer no pathway to workers or construction personnel. Maintenance of the caps doe not involve direct contact with the remaining wastes and contamination.

Page 5

Although the groundwater is contaminated, the plume is stable and is not migrating off site. Therefore, there is no exposure to anyone off the site. There are no potable wells on-site, and no construction in the area above the groundwater contamination, therefore no complete pathway to workers.

References: Report on the Groundwater Investigation in the HWM-1 Area (4/91)

RCRA Facility Investigation (9/30/91) Groundwater Reports (1990-present)

CME for Bethlehem Steel Corporation - Steelton (1999)

³ Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

Page 6

4.	Can the exposures from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable "levels" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable "levels") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?							
		If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (freach of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to "significant."						
		If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."						
		If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code						
	Rationale and Re	ference(s):						

⁴ If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

Page 7

5.	Can the "significant" exposures (identified in #4) be shown to be within acceptable limits?						
	If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing <u>and</u> referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).						
	If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable")- continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.						
	If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter "IN" statucode						
	Rationale and Reference(s):						

Page 8

6.	Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI event code							
		-	sor (or appropriate Manager) signature as orting documentation as well as a map of					
	_X	YE - Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI Determination, "Current Human Exposures" are expected to be "Under Control" at the Bethlehem Steel Corporation - Steelton facility, EPA ID # PAD 00 302 6531, located at 215 S. Front Street, Steelton, PA. 17113 under current and reasonably expected conditions. This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency/State becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.						
	NO - "Current Human Exposures" are NOT "Under Control."							
		IN - More	e information is needed to make a determ	ination.				
	Completed by	(signature	·)	Date	08/11/95			
		(print)	Linda A. Matyskiela					
		(title)	Remedial Project Manager (Senior)					
				Updated	09/23/02			
	Supervisor	(signature	·)	Date	08/1195			
		(print)	Paul Gotthold					
		(title)	PA Operations Branch Chief					

Locations where References may be found:

References may be found in the EPA Administrative Record <u>and</u> PADEP Southcentral Regional Office 909 Elmerton Ave Harrisburg, PA 17110

(EPA Region or State) EPA, Region 3

EPA 3008(h) Consent Order (9/8/88); EPA 3008(h) Consent Order (2/24/92); Dust Migration Assessment of EAF Dust Approval Letter (8/29/91); Report on the Groundwater Investigation in the HWM-1 Area (4/91); RCRA Facility Investigation (9/30/91); Groundwater Reports (1990-present); and CME for Bethlehem Steel Corporation - Steelton (1999)

Page 9

Contact telephone and e-mail numbers:

(name)	Linda Matyskiela				
(phone #)	215-814-3420				
(e-mail)	matyskiela.linda@epa.gov				
(name)	Linda Houseal, PADEP				
(phone #)	717-705-4919				
(e-mail)	lhouseal@state.pa.us				

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES ELIS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.