DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) Current Human Exposures Under Control

Fac	cility Name:	Safety-Kleen Systems, Inc.				
Facility Address:		Building PP 9 River Road, Tullytown, Pennsylvania 19007				
Fac	cility EPA ID #:	PAD 065716813				
groundwater, s		e relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, reface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Wastenits (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this EI				
		X If yes – check here and continue with #2 below.				
		If no – re-evaluate existing data, or				
		If data are not available skip to #6 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code.				

BACKGROUND

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility [i.e., site-wide]).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Page 2

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air **media** known or reasonably suspected to be "**contaminated**" above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

	1es No :	Kationale/Rey Contaminants			
Groundwater	X	Chlorobenzene			
Air (indoors) ²	X	No record of contamination.			
Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft)	X	Remediated.			
Surface Water	X	No record of contamination.			
Sediment	X	No record of contamination.			
Subsurf. Soil (e.g., >2 ft)	X	Remediated.			
Air (outdoors)	X	No record of contamination.			
X If no (for all media) - skip to #6, and enter "YE," status code after providing or citing appropriate "levels," and referencing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating that these "levels" are not exceeded. If yes (for any media) - continue after identifying key contaminants in each "contaminated" medium, citing appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the determination that the medium could					
pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing supporting documentation. If unknown (for any media) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.					

¹ "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

² Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

Page 3

Rationale and Reference(s):

Operations ceased in 1992, interim status was terminated and implementation of an approved Closure Plan began. Preclosure assessment soil borings were completed and analytical results indicate that Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon (TPH) was the only contamination above remediation standards. Low-levels of 1,2- 1,3- and 1,4-dichlorobenzene, chlorobenzene, methylene chloride, and tetrachloroethene were detected, but analytical results for all of these compounds were below EPA's current screening levels for residential soil.

To remediate the TPH, a soil vapor extraction (SVE) system was operated from 1995 to 1997. This was augmented by the addition of fertilizer/bionutrients being roto-tilled into the source area to further bioremediate any residual adsorbed impacts. PADEP approved cessation of the SVE system in 1997.

As part of the closure, five groundwater wells were installed to evaluate potential impacts from Facility operations. Quarterly groundwater monitoring began in 1997 until the approved Facility closure in 2006. Chlorobenzene continued to sporadically remain slightly above the MCL of 100 ug/L in one well, MW-5, at concentrations ranging between 60 and 120 ug/L for the sampling events in 2006. Sampling ceased in September, 2006. The Facility has been remediated and closed, is likely to remain as industrial use, and an alternative standard of 130 ug/L for Chlorobenzene was proposed, which is based on EPA's National Water Quality Criteria, as more appropriate given the nature of the site and proximity to surface waters. A December 22, 2006 letter from PADEP indicated concurrence with these findings and that there was no need to conduct any further closure/post closure activities at the Facility. EPA concurs and has posted a Statement of Basis that proposes Corrective Action is complete and no EPA enforceable land use controls are required (Corrective Action Complete without Controls) for the Facility. The public notice was posted in The Bucks County Courier Times newspaper on June 12, 2009 and will be followed by a 30-day comment period that will end on July 12, 2009.

Reference: Administrative Record to the Statement of Basis

Page 4

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

	Residents	Workers		Potential Human Receptors (Under Current Con			
Contaminated Media			Day-Care	Construction	Trespassers	Recreation	$\underline{\text{Food}}^{\underline{3}}$
Groundwater							
Air (indoors)							
Soil (surface, e.g., <2 ft.							
Surface Water							
Sediment							
Soil (subsurface e.g., >2 ft. Air (outdoors)	•						
Instructions for Sun	nmary Exposu	re Pathway E	valuation Tab	<u>le</u> :			
	. Strike-out sp contaminated"		_	nan Receptors' sp	aces for Media w	hich are not	
	. enter "yes" o			leteness" under ea	ch "Contaminate	d" Media Huma	an
- Human Re	eceptor combin	nations (Pathy	ways) do not h	bable combination ave check spaces ble in some setting	(""). While t	hese combination	is may
ente	er "YE" status n-made, preven	code, after enting a compl	xplaining and/ lete exposure p	taminated media-1 or referencing con pathway from each analyze major path	dition(s) in-place contaminated m	e, whether natural	
			for any "Conta rting explanati	aminated" Media - ion.	Human Recepto	r combination) -	
	nknown (for a us code.	ny "Contami	nated" Media	- Human Receptor	combination) - s	kip to #6 and ent	er "IN"
Rationale and Refe	rence(s):						
		<u>—</u>					

³ Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.

4.	Can the exposures from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be " significant " (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable "levels" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable "levels") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?
	If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
	If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
	If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code
5.	Can the "significant" exposures (identified in #4) be shown to be within acceptable limits?
	If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing <u>and</u> referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).
	If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable") - continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.
	If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter "IN" status code
Ration	nale and Reference(s):

⁴ If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

Page 6

Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI event code (CA725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination belo (and attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility): X YE – Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the Information contained in this EI Determination, "Current Human Exposures" are expected to be "Under Control" at the Safety-Kleen Systems, Inc. facility, EPA ID # PAD 065716813 , located at under current and reasonably expected conditions. This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency/State becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.							
							NO - "Current Human Exposures" are NOT "Under Control."
IN - More information is needed							
Completed by (signature)(print)(title)	/s/	_ Date	6/18/2009				
Supervisor (signature)(print)	/s/	Date	6/18/2009				
(title)		_					
(EPA Region or State)		<u> </u>					
Locations where References may be four							
USEPA Region III Waste and Chemical Mgmt. Division 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103	PADEP Northeast Regional Office 2 Public Square Wilkes-Barre, PA 18711						
Contact telephone and e-mail numbers (signature)(print)							

(title)

Page 7

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES EI IS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.