DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) Current Human Exposures Under Control

Facility Name: Talon, Inc.

Facility Address: Route 322 South, West Mead, PA 16335

Facility EPA ID #: PAD 98 055 0149

1. Has **all** available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, groundwater, surface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been **considered** in this EI determination?

X	If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.
	If no - re-evaluate existing data, or
	If data are not available skip to #6 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code

BACKGROUND

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Page 2

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air **media** known or reasonably suspected to be "**contaminated**" above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	?	Rationale / Key Contaminants
Groundwater		_X		
Air (indoors) ²		_X		No indoor air pathway associated with SWMU.
Surface Soil (e.g	., <2 ft)	_X		
Surface Water		_X		
Sediment		_X		
Subsurf. Soil (e.g	g., >2 ft)	_X		
Air (outdoors)		X		
		vels," ar	d refere	s, and enter "YE," status code after providing or citing noing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating eded.
If yes (for any media) - continue after identifying key contaminants in each "contaminated" medium, citing appropriate "levels" (or provide an expland determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk), and refe supporting documentation.			appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the	
	If unknown (for	any me	edia) - sk	cip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): Three contiguous surface impoundments (disposal lagoons) were utilized by TALON. They contained industrial waste sludge classified as hazardous waste due to metal concentrations associated with F listed wastes (F001, F002, F003, F005, F006, F008, F009). In 1985 two of the lagoons were closed as landfills under PA Hazardous Waste Regs. 265.228(c) by placement of a synthetic cap. The third lagoon was not stable due to a high liquid component and could not achieve load bearing capacity for a cap until the fall of 1988. At that time a 50 mil PVC cap was installed over 16 oz. Geotextile and covered by an additional layer of geotextile. Soil was placed over the geotextile and graded to prevent infiltration and encourage runoff. Cover was established in the spring of 1989. A final inspection of the closure was conducted in November of 1989 and found that the closure was completed as per the approved closure plan and regulations. The site is inspected yearly by the PADEP and an inspection report submitted to Talon, Inc. and to the PADEP files.

Fencing of the site has been established and is maintained to prevent human entry.

A plat showing the location and dimensions of the hazardous waste disposal lagoons was submitted to West Mead Township in January 1987. The plat contained a note stating the owner's obligation to restrict disturbances of the site or the integrity of the final cover, the liner, the function of the monitoring system or any other component of the HW management facility.

Groundwater flow direction from the lagoon is west. The site is approximately 1500 feet from French Creek. Groundwater is unlikely or unable to impact homeowner wells upgradient or sidegradient of the closed facility.

Page 3

Assessment/Abatement plans were submitted and approved. A post-closure monitoring system is in place and quarterly monitoring is submitted by Talon, Inc. of Charlotte, NC, a division of Coats North American of Toccoa, GA. The latest tri-annual Comprehensive Monitoring Evaluations was performed by PADEP in 1995, and will be performed again this year.

Footnotes:

- ¹ "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).
- ² Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

Page 4

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

Potential **<u>Human Receptors</u>** (Under Current Conditions)

	Residents workers Day-Care Construction Trespassers Recreation Food
Groundwater	
Air (indoors)	
Soil (surface, e.	g., <2 ft)
Surface Water	
Sediment	
Soil (subsurface	e.g., >2 ft)
Air (outdoors)	
Instructions for S	Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table:
	
	e-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not ninated") as identified in #2 above.
	"yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media Human or combination (Pathway).
Media - Human	focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces (""). While these may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be ry.
	If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) - skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in-place, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet to analyze major pathways).
	If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation.
	If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code
Rationale and Reference(s):	
³ Indirect Pathwa	ay/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

Page 5

4.	Can the exposures from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be " significant " (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable "levels" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable "levels") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?				
		If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."			
		If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."			
		If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code			
	Rationale and Reference(s):				

⁴ If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

Page 6

5.	Can the "signific	the "significant" exposures (identified in #4) be shown to be within acceptable limits?					
		If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing <u>and</u> referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).					
		If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable")-continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.					
		If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter "IN" status code					
	Rationale and Reference(s):						

Page 7

6.	Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control El event code
	(CA725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination
	below (and attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility):

YE - Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Control" has been verified. Based on a

	review of the information contained in this EI Exposures" are expected to be "Under Control PAD 98 055 0149, located at Route 322 Sou and reasonably expected conditions. This determined a Route 322 Source Agency/State becomes aware of significant classifications.	ol" at the Talon, Inc. facility, EPA ID # ath, West Mead, PA 16335 under current termination will be re-evaluated when the				
	NO - "Current Human Exposures" are NOT "Under Control."					
	IN - More information is needed to make a determination.					
Completed by	(signature) /Hon Lee (print) Hon Lee (title) Remedial Project Manager	Date: <u>09-20-02</u>				
Supervisor	(signature) /Paul Gotthold (print) Paul Gotthold (title) PA Operations Branch Chief (EPA Region or State) EPA, Region 3	Date: <u>09-17-98</u>				

ORIGINAL SIGNED by Arthur F. Provost of PADEP.

 \mathbf{X}

Locations where References may be found:

PADEP Northwest Regional Office 230 Chestnut Street Meadville, PA 16335

Contact telephone and e-mail numbers:

(name) Sigma Toth (phone #) 814-332-6848 (e-mail) stoth@state.pa.us

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES EI IS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.