California's Peak Efficiency Efforts and Evaluation Approaches

State Clean Energy-Environment Technical Forum April 12, 2007

- Peter Lai California Public Utilities Commission
- David Hungerford, Ph.D. California Energy Commission

2006-2008 CA Energy Efficiency Programs

Impact of the \$2 billion funding to the four IOUs:

- Cut energy costs for homes & businesses by more than \$5 billion
- Avoid building 3 large (500 MW) power plants over the next three years
- Reduce global warming pollution by an estimated 3.4 million tons of carbon dioxide by 2008, which is equivalent to taking about **650,000 cars** off the road
- Increase funding for the Governor's Green Building Initiative (Executive Order S-20-04) to \$230 million/year, which is a 36 increase in annual funding for climate change efforts
- Provide **net resource benefits** (value of savings benefits minus program and customer outof-pocket costs) of estimated \$2.7 billion, representing a benefit cost ratio (using Total Resource Costs or **TRC** test) of **2 to 1** return on the efficiency investment

Cost Effectiveness Calculation

- CPUC adopted Standard Practice Manual
- Total Resource Cost (TRC) test:
 - Measures the net costs of EE program as a resource option based on the total costs of the program, including both the participants' and the utility's costs.
- TRC ratio = TRC Benefits/TRC Costs, where
 - TRC Benefits = costs of supply-side resources **avoided** or deferred
 - TRC Costs = costs of the measures/equipments installed and costs incurred by program administrator
- For the 2006-2008 Energy Efficiency programs for all 4 utilities:
 - TRC Benefits = \$5.4 billion
 - TRC Costs = \$2.7 billion
 - TRC ratio = 2

E3 Avoided Cost Calculator

- Feed avoided costs into the cost-effectiveness calculations
- Provide objectively derived estimates of avoided costs that are suitable for evaluating PUC funded programs
- Provide Transparent and defensible avoided cost methodology
- Provide software to update estimates of avoided costs
- Requires 8760 load shape data

Framework of the E3 Avoided Cost Methodology

Electric Avoided Costs / Benefits

TotalBenefit_{a,h,t} =
$$GenMC_{a,t,y}$$
 + $Externality_{a,t,y}$ + $TransMC_{a,t,y}$ + $DistMC_{a,t,y}$ + $Points of the substitute of the s$

Gas Avoided Costs / Benefits

```
TotalBenefit<sub>a,t,y</sub> = Commodity<sub>a,t,y</sub> + Transportation<sub>a,t,y</sub> + Externality<sub>a,t,y</sub>
+ DistMC<sub>a,t,y</sub> + DemandReductionBenefit<sub>a,t,y</sub> (if available)
```

Where a = area, t = time dimension, y = year.

E3 Cost Effectiveness Calculator

Input:

- Avoided Cost from E3 Avoided Cost Calculator
- Utility Administrative costs
- Program measure data

Output:

- Costs and net benefits numbers
- TRC
- PAC

Monitoring and Indicators of Success for 2006-2008 Programs

Monitor program results through:

- Tracking database reports on (a) program expenditures, installations & activities, and (b) program evaluation activities and results
- Independent verification of measure installations and costs

Indicators of EE Success

- Performance of each utility administrator evaluated at the <u>portfolio level</u>
 - Based on net resource benefits (value of energy savings minus program and customer out of pocket costs over the life of the measures)
 - Includes minimum performance threshold tied to achievement of energy and peak savings goals