## **Draft Technical Support Document**

### Michigan Area Designations For the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> Primary National Ambient Air Quality Standard

#### **Summary**

Pursuant to section 107(d) of the Clean Air Act, EPA must initially designate areas as either "unclassifiable", "attainment", or "nonattainment" for the 2010 one-hour sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) primary national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS). The Clean Air Act defines a nonattainment area as one that does not meet the NAAQS or that contributes to a violation in a nearby area.

Michigan submitted recommendations on June 1, 2011. Table 1 below lists Michigan's recommendations and identifies the counties or portions of counties in Michigan that EPA intends to designate "nonattainment" based on monitored violations.

Area	Michigan's Recommended Designations of Areas/Counties	EPA's Intended Designation of Areas/Counties
Detroit, MI		
Wayne County (partial) -An area bounded on the east by the Michigan- Ontario border, on the south by the Wayne County- Monroe County border, on the west by Interstate 75 north to Southfield Road, Southfield Road to Interstate 94, and Interstate 94 north to Michigan Avenue, and on the north by Michigan Avenue to Woodward Avenue extended to the Michigan-	Nonattainment	Nonattainment
Michigan Avenue to Woodward Avenue and a line on Woodward Avenue extended to the Michigan- Ontario border		

#### Table 1. Nonattainment Area Designations for Michigan

#### **Background**

On June 3, 2010, EPA revised the primary SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS (75 FR 35520, published on June 22, 2010). EPA revised the primary SO<sub>2</sub> standard by establishing a new one-hour standard at a level of 75 parts per billion (ppb) which is attained when the three-year average of the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile of one-hour daily maximum concentrations does not exceed 75 ppb. EPA has determined that this is the level necessary to provide protection of public health with an adequate margin of safety, especially for children, the elderly and those with asthma. These groups are particularly susceptible to the health effects associated with breathing SO<sub>2</sub>. EPA is revoking the two prior primary standards of 140 ppb evaluated over 24 hours, and 30 ppb evaluated over an entire year because they will not add additional public health protection given a one-hour standard at 75 ppb. Accordingly, EPA is not designating areas in this process on the basis of either of these two primary standards. Similarly, the secondary standard for SO2 has not been revised, so EPA is not designating areas in this process on the secondary standard.

#### **EPA's SO<sub>2</sub> Designation Approach**

Section 107(d) of the Clean Air Act requires that not later than one year after promulgation of a new or revised NAAQS, state Governors must submit their recommendations for designations and boundaries to EPA by June 2011. Section 107(d) also requires EPA to provide notification to states no less than 120-days prior to promulgating an initial area designation that is a modification of a state's recommendation. EPA was to promulgate initial area designations within two years of promulgation of the revised primary standard, although EPA has extended this deadline for one additional year due to having insufficient information to promulgate the designations. If a state did not submit designation recommendations, EPA will promulgate the designations, they have an opportunity to demonstrate why any proposed modification is inappropriate.

Designations guidance was issued by EPA through a March 24, 2011, memorandum from Stephen D. Page, Director, U.S. EPA, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, to Air Division Directors, U.S. EPA Regions I-X. This memorandum identifies factors EPA intends to evaluate in determining boundaries for areas designated nonattainment. These five factors include: 1) air quality data; 2) emissions and emissions-related data (location of sources and potential contribution to ambient SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations); 3) meteorology (weather/transport patterns); 4) geography/topography (mountain ranges or other air basin boundaries); and 5) jurisdictional boundaries (e.g., counties, air districts, pre-existing nonattainment areas, reservations, metropolitan planning organization), among any other criteria deemed to be relevant to establishing appropriate area designations and boundaries for the one-hour SO2 NAAQS.

The March 24, 2011, memo recommended that area boundaries default to the county boundary unless information provided by the state or tribe justifies a larger or smaller boundary than that of the county. EPA believes it is appropriate to evaluate each potential area on a case-by-case basis, and to recognize that area-specific analyses conducted by states, tribes and/or EPA may support a differing boundary than that of a default county boundary.

In this technical support document, EPA discusses its review and technical analysis of the recommendations regarding areas with monitored violations submitted by Michigan for designations for the one-hour  $SO_2$  standard and any modifications from these recommendations.

### Definition of important terms used in this document:

1) **Designated nonattainment area** – an area which EPA has determined, based on a state recommendation and/or on the technical analysis included in this document, has violated the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS, based on the most recent three years of air quality monitoring data, or contributes to a violation in a nearby area.

2) **Recommended nonattainment area** – an area a state or tribe has recommended that EPA designate as nonattainment.

3) **Violating monitor** – an ambient air monitor meeting all methods, quality assurance and siting criteria and requirements whose valid design value exceeds 75 ppb, as described in Appendix T of 40 CFR part 50.

4) **2010** SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS – The NAAQS for SO<sub>2</sub> promulgated in 2010. This NAAQS is 75 ppb, based on the three year average of the 99th percentile of the annual distribution of daily maximum one-hour average concentrations. See 40 CFR Part 50.17.

5) **Design Value** - a statistic computed according to the data handling procedures of the NAAQS (in 40 CFR 50 Appendix T) that, by comparison to the level of the NAAQS, indicates whether the area is violating the NAAQS.

## **Technical analysis for the Detroit, MI Area**

## **Introduction**

This technical analysis for the Detroit, MI area identifies Wayne County with a monitor that violates the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS, and evaluates nearby counties for contributions to SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in the area. EPA has evaluated this county and nearby counties based on the evidence for the factors recommended in the March 24, 2011 EPA guidance.

Figure 1 is a map of the area showing the locations and design values of air quality monitors in the area, and the counties surrounding any violating air quality monitors. Notably, a monitor at Southwest High School in Detroit recorded a 2009 to 2011 design value of 90 ppb. One other monitor operates in the area, at Allen Park, also in Wayne County, about 12 kilometers to the southwest. The Allen Park monitor recorded a 2009 to 2011 design value of 49 ppb.

Figure 1. Map of sources and monitors in the Detroit, MI area and the intended Detroit nonattainment area



Michigan analyzed the sources that might be contributing to the violation at Southwest High School. This assessment identified a series of major sources located south of downtown Detroit and within a few kilometers of the Detroit River. Based on this assessment, Michigan's June 1, 2011 submittal recommended designating a nonattainment area bordered by the Michigan-Ontario border (in the Detroit River) on the east, the Wayne-Monroe county border on the south, Interstate 75, Southfield Road and Interstate 94 on the west, and Michigan Avenue on the north. Since Michigan Avenue does not extend fully to the Michigan-Ontario border, Michigan subsequently clarified that their recommended nonattainment area should be considered to be bounded by Michigan Avenue from Interstate 94 to Woodward Avenue and by Woodward Avenue and an extending line as if Woodward Avenue extended to the Michigan-Ontario border. Michigan's recommended nonattainment area averages approximately 6 kilometers east-west and about 40 kilometers north-south.

Based on EPA's technical analysis described below, EPA agrees with and intends to designate a Detroit, MI nonattainment area for the 2010  $SO_2$  NAAQS with the boundaries recommended by Michigan.

4

## **Detailed Assessment**

# Air Quality Data

This factor considers the  $SO_2$  air quality monitoring data, including the design values (in ppb) calculated for all air quality monitors in the Detroit area based on data for the 2008-2010 period.

The 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS design values for the Detroit, MI area in Michigan are shown in Table 2.

# Table 2. Air Quality Data in the Detroit Area

County	State Recommended	Monitor Air Quality	Monitor Location	SO <sub>2</sub> Design Value,	
	Nonattainment?	System ID		2008-2010 (ppb)	
Wayne	Yes	26-163-0015	42.3028, 83.1065	90	
	Included in area	26-163-0001	42.2286, 83.2082	49	

Monitor in Bold has the highest 2008-2010 design value in the county.

The Southwest High School monitor in Wayne County shows a violation of the 2010  $SO_2$  NAAQS.

# **Emissions and Emissions-Related Data**

Evidence of  $SO_2$  emissions sources in the vicinity of a violating monitor is an important factor for determining whether a nearby area is contributing to a monitored violation. For this factor, EPA evaluated county level emission data for  $SO_2$  and any growth in  $SO_2$  emitting activities since the date represented by those emissions data.

## **Emissions**

The most recent year for which national emissions information was compiled was 2008. Michigan provided emissions data for 2009 generally showing emissions similar to 2008 emissions. Therefore, EPA primarily relied on the 2008 National Emissions Inventory (NEI) emissions data (NEI08V2).

Table 3 shows total emissions of  $SO_2$  (given in tons per year) for major sources in Wayne County and for sources emitting over 1000 tons per year in adjoining Monroe and St. Clair Counties. Table 3 also shows pertinent information for sources in these counties emitting greater than 100 tons per year of  $SO_2$  according to the 2008 NEI.

County	Facility in	Facility	Emissions	Facility Location	Distance	Total County
-	State		NEI08V2	-	to SWHS	SO <sub>2</sub> Emissions
	Recommen		(tons per		monitor	(tons per year)
	ded N.A.		year)		(km)	
	Area?					
Wayne	Yes	DTE Trenton Channel	27,622	42.1237, 83.1812	21	55,791
	Yes	DTE River Rouge	14,491	42.2727, 83.1124	3	
	Yes	USS Great Lakes	6,755	42.2817, 83.1102	2	
	Yes	Wyandotte Muni	1,793	42.2082, 83.1456	11	
	No	GM Hamtramck	566	42.3820, 83.0449	10	
	Yes	Severstal	558	42.3032, 83.1655	5	
	Vas	Dearborn Industrial	401	42.3026, 83.154	4	
	168	Generation				
	Yes	Carmeuse Lime/River	395	42.2774, 83.128	3	
		Rough				
	No	Detroit WTP	225	42.2217, 83.3622	23	
	No	Detroit Resource	200	42.3686, 83.0517	7	
		Recovery				
Monroe	No	DTE Monroe	118,382	41.8917, 83.3461	54	135,800
	No	J.R. Whiting	9,260	41.7917, 83.4486	63	
	No	Holcim Cement	7265	41.9933, 83.6596	57	
St. Clair	No	Belle River power	62,114	42.7748, 82.4945	73	64,389
		plant				
	No	E.B. Eddy Paper	1,019	42.9865, 82.4415	93	

# Table 3. SO<sub>2</sub> Emissions in the Detroit Area (NEI08V2)

All of the largest sources in Wayne County are located within the recommended nonattainment area. While three sources in Wayne County emitting over 100 tons per year are located outside the recommended nonattainment area, these are relatively smaller sources located at sufficient distance from the violating monitor to be judged unlikely to have significant impacts at the monitor.

Monroe County has a power plant with by far the most emissions of any facility in the area. This facility is also located at a substantial distance from the violating monitor. Using emissions divided by distance as a rough indicator of impacts, this facility is likely to have less impact than DTE's River Rouge plant and USS' Great Lakes Works but more impact than other sources in the area. Thus, full consideration of the impact of this plant requires consideration of recent emission controls at this plant. The other plant in Monroe County and the plant in St. Clair County are at even greater distances and are unlikely to have a significant impact on concentrations at the violating monitor.

## **Emissions** Controls

The emissions data used by EPA in this technical analysis and provided in Table 3 represent emissions levels taking into account any control strategies implemented on stationary sources in this area up to and including 2008. Michigan did not provide any additional information on emissions reductions resulting from controls put into place after 2008. However, EPA examined emissions data submitted into EPA Clean Air Markets data base for the Monroe power plant. These data show that this facility installed wet limestone scrubbing systems on two of its four units in 2009, reducing  $SO_2$  emissions from the 2008 level of 118,382 tons to a 2010 level of 47,608 tons. Emissions in 2011 from this facility were similar to its emissions in 2010. Given this decline in emissions, the Monroe plant is likely to have significantly less impact than sources in Wayne County that are much closer to the violating monitor, so that the plant may reasonably be judged not to be a significant nearby contributor to the violations.

## Meteorology (weather/transport patterns)

When considering a one-hour standard, violations can occur at anytime, even when weather patterns are varied from the normal trends of the area. For this area, wind patterns can be from any direction. Therefore, for a one-hour standard, it is useful to consider all directions to have potential contribution. Nevertheless, winds in this area come from the southwest more frequently than from other quadrants, so sources to the south and west of the Southwest High School monitor are most likely to contribute to violations at this monitor.

### Geography/topography (mountain ranges or other air basin boundaries)

The Detroit area does not have any geographical or topographical barriers significantly limiting air pollution transport within its airshed. Therefore, this factor did not play a significant role in determining the nonattainment boundary.

## Jurisdictional boundaries

Michigan does not have any current  $SO_2$  nonattainment areas. Nonattainment areas in Michigan have often been defined on the basis of roadways, and roadways in Michigan have well established locations and are a suitable basis for defining nonattainment areas.

## **Other Relevant Information**

EPA did not receive additional information relevant to establishing a nonattainment area boundary for this area.

## **Conclusion**

The nonattainment area recommended by Michigan includes the sources that are likely to be contributing to the violation at the Southwest High School monitor. While a very large source is located in Monroe County south of the recommended nonattainment area, this source has recently installed significant emission controls, and the source is sufficient distance from the violation monitor to warrant its exclusion from the nonattainment area. Other sources outside Michigan's recommended nonattainment area are also likely not to be having a significant impact on  $SO_2$  concentrations at the violating monitor. Therefore, EPA intends to designate a Detroit, MI nonattainment area using the boundaries recommended by Michigan.