Facility Name:	Unit:		Observer:	
Test No. / Description:	Run No.:		Date:	
Run Start Time:	_ Run Stop Tir	ne:		
		1		
Observation / Requirement	YES	NO	Comment	
Did the train components appear to be clean ar were all glassware openings covered with Tefl film, aluminum foil, or non-contaminating cap before the train was assembled?	on*			
Was the aluminum foil pre-rinsed with hexane	?			
Was the train assembled by personnel in a mar that minimized contamination potential?	nner			
Was the train constructed of the components a materials identified in Method 23 (See Figure nozzle, heated probe, particulate filter, one condenser and recirculating cooler water syste XAD-2 resin trap, five impingers, control consect.)?	23: em,			
Was the dry gas meter, thermocouples, nozzle critical orifice devices calibrated prior to the telestrated prior to the telestrated prior to the telestrate of the calibration date in the Comment column. If available, attach a copy of the calibration records.	est?		Dry gas meter Thermocouples Critical orifice Nozzle	

Facility Name:	Unit:		Observer:	
Test No. / Description:	Run No.:		Observer: Date:	
Run Start Time:	Run Stop Tir	ne:		
Observation / Requirement	YES	NO	Comment	
Were weather conditions adverse to sampling (rain, snow, etc.)? If so, describe the measures taken to protect the sampling equipment in the Comment column.				
Was the sampling area (i.e. platform) kept clea and orderly during the run?	n			
Were the traverse sample points determined in accordance with Method 1?				
Was a cyclonic flow check performed before the start of testing? If yes, record the date and time the check was completed in the Comment column.	ie			
Was stack gas oxygen, carbon dioxide, and car monoxide concentration measured by orsat, fy or CEMS?				
Was the manometer leveled and zeroed before start of sampling? Were periodic checks made the operator during the test run?				
Was the probe marked or alternative provision made to ensure nozzle placements at the traver				

Facility Name:	Unit:		Observer:		
Test No. / Description: R	tun No.:		Date:		
Run Start Time:	Run Stop Ti	me:			
		\top	Ī		
Observation / Requirement	YES	NO	Comme	ent	
point locations determined by Method 1?		 			
Was the XAD-2 resin prepared within the last for weeks? Indicate the preparation date in the Comment column.	our				
Was the resin trap covered with aluminum foil a the openings sealed with glass stoppers?	and				
			<u> </u>		
Was HPLC grade water used for in the impinger	rs?				
Was the filter tared and inspected before being placed in the filter holder? Was the filter made of glass fiber?					
Was the filter supported with a Teflon* frit or Teflon* coated wire?					
Was a leak check of the sample train performed before and after each port change?			Time Res Traverse # 1		
(Note: Allowable leak rate is 0.02 cfm or 4% of the average sampling rate, whichever is less, at inches Hg vacuum or lower if not exceeded duri	15		Traverse # 3 Traverse # 4		

Facility Name:	_ Unit:		Observer:	
Test No. / Description: I	Run No.:		Date:	
Run Start Time:	Run Stop Tin	me:		
	<u> </u>	1	T	
Observation / Requirement	YES	NO	Comment	
	I			
the run.)				
Were pretest and post test leak checks conducte on the Pitot tube?	ed			
Was silicone grease used on any connections of the sample train?				
Was the nozzle tip positioned at the proper traverse sample point throughout the test run?				
Did operators make timely adjustments to sampling rates to maintain iso-kinetic condition throughout the run?	ıs			
Was the annulus between the probe and the sampling port sealed during sampling?				
Was the sample gas temperature entering the re trap maintained at or below 68EF throughout th test run?				
Was the sample gas temperature exiting the last impinger maintained at or below 68EF through				

Facility Name:	Unit:		Observer:	
Test No. / Description:	Run No.:		Date:	_
Run Start Time:	Run Stop Tir	ne:		
Observation / Requirement	YES	NO	Comment	
the test run?				
Was the stack static pressure properly measure At what traverse point was this determined?	ed?			
Was the sampling time uniform at each travers sample point?	se			
Was the total sampling time at least 120 minut	es?			
Were at least 3 dry standard cubic meters of gasample collected during the run?	as			
Were the sample train and console adequately monitored by operators and did the operators properly log sampling data on field data sheets during the test run?	3			
Were dry gas meter readings recorded at each traverse sample point?				
Was the nozzle sealed with Teflon* film, aluminum foil, or a non-contaminating cap after being removed from the stack at the completion the run?				

Facility Name:	Unit:		Observer: Date:	
Test No. / Description:Run Start Time:	Run No.:	me:	Date:	
dui Statt Tille.	Kun Stop In	iic		
Observation / Requirement	YES	NO	Comment	
Was particulate matter carefully wiped from the external surfaces of the probe at the completion the run?				
Was the temperature of the filter box and samp probe maintained at 248± 25BF throughout the test run?				
Did protracted or frequent Aholds@ occur during the sampling run? If so, describe the apparent cause and duration in the Comment column	ng			
Inspect the field data sheets. Are they clear an completely filled out?	d			
ENERAL OBSERVATION AND COMMENTS				

Facility Name:				er:	
Run Start Time:	Run Stop Tir	ne:	Date.		
Observation / Requirement	YES	NO		Comment	
4		'-			