

Enclosures

Transportation Conformity Adequacy Review

Control Strategy SIP under Review: Clark County Serious Area CO Attainment		Date of SIP Revision Receipt by EPA: 7/24/01	
Reviewers: Karina O Connor, Karen Irwin, Scott Bohning, Larry Biland, David Albright & Jeff Wehling		Date: 10/31	
Transportation Review Criteria		Is Criterion Satisfied? Y/N	Reference in SIP Document / Comments
Sec. 93.118(e)(4)(i)	The plan was endorsed by the Governor (or designee) and was subject to a public hearing.	Y	The July 23, 2001 SIP submittal transmittal letter from NDEP to Laura Yoshii indicates endorsement from NDEP (which is the agency designated by the Governor to adopt and submit plans). Section 1.2.3 of the plan also discusses the legal authority. Appendix P and Q contains documentation of public hearings on the plan. The last public hearing was held on the final version of the plan on June 19, 2001.

Sec. 93.118(e)(4)(ii)	The plan was developed through consultation with federal, state and local agencies; full implementation plan documentation was provided and EPA's stated concerns, if any, were addressed.	Y	We understand that consultation with federal, state and local agencies and the public was undertaken; this consultation is described in Appendices F and O of the plan. Also, the plan does contain all of the actual public comments received on the plan and the responses to those comments in Appendices P and Q.

Control Strategy SIP under Review: Clark County Serious Area CO Attainment		Date of SIP Revision Receipt by EPA: 7/24/01	
Reviewer: Karina O Connor, Karen Irwin, Scott Bohning, Larry Biland, David Albright & Jeff Wehling	Date: 10/31		
Transportation Review Criteria	Is Criterion Satisfied? Y/N	Reference in SIP Document / Comments	
Sec. 93.118(e)(4)(iii)	The motor vehicle emission budget(s) is clearly identified and precisely quantified.	Y	The motor vehicle budget is clearly identified and precisely quantified on pages 5-33 through 5-35 and in Appendix N of the plan.

Sec. 93.118(e)(4)(iv)	The motor vehicle emissions budget(s), when considered together with all other emission sources, is consistent with applicable requirements for reasonable further progress, attainment, or maintenance (whichever is relevant to the given plan).	Y	The plan adequately provides for all the control measures and emission reductions needed for attainment. With the required mobile source control reductions, the area should be able to reach attainment of the annual standard in 2001 and the 24-hour standard in 2006.
Sec. 93.118(e)(4)(v)	The plan shows a clear relationship between the emissions budget(s), control measures and the total emissions inventory.	Y	The emission inventory for all point, area and motor vehicle, and their relation to control measures, is described in Chapter 3, PM10 Emissions Inventories; Chapter 4, Overview of PM10 Control Measures; and Chapter 5, Demonstration of Attainment of PM10 NAAQS. Further details are included in Appendices B, C, D and E.

Control Strategy SIP under Review: Clark County Serious Area CO Attainment		Date of SIP Revision Receipt by EPA: 7/24/01
Reviewer: Karina O Connor, Karen Irwin, Scott Bohning, Larry Biland, David Albright & Jeff Wehling	Date: 10/31	

Transportation Review Criteria		Is Criterion Satisfied? Y/N	Reference in SIP Document / Comments
Sec. 93.118(e)(4)(vi)	Revisions to previously submitted control strategy or maintenance plans explain and document any changes to any previous submitted budgets and control measures; impacts on point and area source emissions; any changes to established safety margins (see 93.101 for definition), and reasons for the changes (including the basis for any changes to emission factors or estimates of vehicle miles traveled).	N/A	There is no previous PM10 SIP with conformity emission budgets for the Clark County nonattainment area. Previously submitted plans (which were withdrawn) did not contain identified budgets.