

Research Laboratory

Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

#### FOREWORD

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency is charged by Congress with protecting the Nation's land, air, and water resources. Under a mandate of national environmental laws, the Agency strives to formulate and implement actions leading to a compatible balance between human activities and the ability of natural systems to support and nurture life. To meet this mandate, EPA's research program is providing data and technical support for solving environmental problems today and building a science knowledge base necessary to manage our ecological resources wisely, understand how pollutants affect our health, and prevent or reduce environmental risks in the future.

The National Risk Management Research Laboratory is the Agency's center for investigation of technological and management approaches for reducing risks from threats to human health and the environment. The focus of the Laboratory's research program is on methods for the prevention and control of pollution to air, land, water, and subsurface resources; protection of water quality in public water systems; remediation of contaminated sites and groundwater; and prevention and control of indoor air pollution. The goal of this research effort is to catalyze development and implementation of innovative, cost-effective environmental technologies; develop scientific and engineering information needed by EPA to support regulatory and policy decisions; and provide technical support and information transfer to ensure effective implementation of environmental regulations and strategies.

This publication has been produced as part of the Laboratory's strategic longterm research plan. It is published and made available by EPA's Office of Research and Development to assist the user community and to link researchers with their clients.

> E. Timothy Oppelt, Director National Risk Management Research Laboratory

#### EPA REVIEW NOTICE

This report has been peer and administratively reviewed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and approved for publication. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

This document is available to the public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161.

GRI-94/0257.33

EPA-600/R-96-0800 June 1996

## METHANE EMISSIONS FROM THE NATURAL GAS INDUSTRY, VOLUME 15: GAS-ASSISTED GLYCOL PUMPS

#### FINAL REPORT

Prepared by:

Duane B. Myers Matthew R. Harrison

Radian International LLC 8501 N. Mopac Blvd. P.O. Box 201088 Austin, TX 78720-1088

DCN: 95-263-081-11

For

GRI Project Manager: Robert A. Lott GAS RESEARCH INSTITUTE Contract No. 5091-251-2171 8600 West Bryn Mawr Ave. Chicago, IL 60631

and

EPA Project Manager: David A. Kirchgessner U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Contract No. 68-D1-0031 National Risk Management Research Laboratory Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

## DISCLAIMER

**LEGAL NOTICE:** This report was prepared by Radian International LLC as an account of work sponsored by Gas Research Institute (GRI) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Neither EPA, GRI, members of GRI, nor any person acting on behalf of either:

- a. Makes any warranty or representation, express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- Assumes any liability with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of, any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

**NOTE:** EPA's Office of Research and Development quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) requirements are applicable to some of the count data generated by this project. Emission data and additional count data are from industry or literature sources, and are not subject to EPA/ORD's QA/QC policies. In all cases, data and results were reviewed by the panel of experts listed in Appendix D of Volume 2.

ii

## **RESEARCH SUMMARY**

Title Methane Emissions from the Natural Gas Industry, Volume 15: Gas-Assisted Glycol Pumps Final Report Contractor Radian International LLC GRI Contract Number 5091-251-2171 EPA Contract Number 68-D1-0031 Principal Duane B. Myers Matthew R. Harrison Investigators Report Period March 1991 - June 1996 Final Report Objective This report describes a study to quantify the annual methane emissions from gas-assisted glycol pumps, which are significant sources of methane emissions within the gas industry. Technical The increased use of natural gas has been suggested as a strategy for Perspective reducing the potential for global warming. During combustion, natural gas generates less carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) per unit of energy produced than either coal or oil. On the basis of the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> emitted, the potential for global warming could be reduced by substituting natural gas for coal or oil. However, since natural gas is primarily methane, a potent greenhouse gas, losses of natural gas during production, processing, transmission, and distribution could reduce the inherent advantage of its lower CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. To investigate this, Gas Research Institute (GRI) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Office of Research and Development (EPA/ORD) cofunded a major study to quantify methane emissions from U.S. natural gas operations for the 1992 base year. The results of this study can be used to construct global methane budgets and to determine the relative impact on global warming of natural gas versus coal and oil. The annual emissions rates for gas-assisted glycol pumps are production, Results  $11.0 \pm 110\%$  Bscf and gas processing,  $0.17 \pm 228\%$  Bscf. Based on data from the entire program, methane emissions from natural gas operations are estimated to be  $314 \pm 105$  Bscf for the 1992 base year. This is about  $1.4 \pm 0.5\%$  of gross natural gas production. The

·

.

overall project also showed that the percentage of methane emitted for an incremental increase in natural gas sales would be significantly lower than the baseline case.

The program reached its accuracy goal and provides an accurate estimate of methane emissions that can be used to construct U.S. methane inventories and analyze fuel switching strategies.

Technical Approach Glycol dehydrators are used to remove water from natural gas streams. A lean (low water content) glycol stream is contacted with the wet natural gas and the glycol absorbs most of the water. At locations without electricity, pumps that circulate the glycol may recover energy from the high-pressure gas/glycol mixture to provide motive force for the lean glycol. Additional gas is entrained with the glycol to supply the necessary energy. The entrained gas is then either removed from the glycol in a flash tank or in the reboiler when the glycol is regenerated. Gas removed in the flash tank is typically burned as fuel, but gas removed in the regenerator is often emitted to the atmosphere.

The techniques used to determine methane emissions were developed to be representative of annual emissions from the natural gas industry. However, it is impractical to measure every source continuously for a year. Therefore, emission rates for gas-assisted glycol pumps were determined by developing annual emission factors for typical units in each industry segment and extrapolating these data based on activity factors to develop a national estimate, where the national emission rate is the product of the emission factor and activity factor.

Emission factors were developed by using estimates of pump gas usage from manufacturer's data. Information from site visits and other research programs was used to develop the characteristics of representative glycol dehydrators. An emission factor was developed for gas-assisted glycol pumps that reported the amount of methane emitted per unit of natural gas throughput.

The development of activity factors for each industry segment are presented in a separate report. In general, the gas throughput for each industry segment was determined from surveys conducted across the entire industry. No active gas-assisted pumps were found during the site visits to transmission and storage stations, so the activity factors for these industry segments are zero.

ProjectFor the 1992 base year the annual methane emissions estimate for theImplicationsU.S. natural gas industry is 314 Bscf  $\pm$  105 Bscf ( $\pm$  33%). This is<br/>equivalent to 1.4%  $\pm$  0.5% of gross natural gas production. Results from

this program were used to compare greenhouse gas emissions from the fuel cycle for natural gas, oil, and coal using the global warming potentials (GWPs) recently published by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). The analysis showed that natural gas contributes less to potential global warming than coal or oil, which supports the fuel switching strategy suggested by IPCC and others.

In addition, results from this study are being used by the natural gas industry to reduce operating costs while reducing emissions. Some companies are also participating in the Natural Gas-Star program, a voluntary program sponsored by EPA's Office of Air and Radiation in cooperation with the American Gas Association to implement costeffective emission reductions and to report reductions to the EPA. Since this program was begun after the 1992 baseline year, any reductions in methane emissions from this program are not reflected in this study's total emissions.

Robert A. Lott Senior Project Manager, Environment and Safety

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

,

		Page
1.0	SUMMARY	1
2.0	INTRODUCTION	2
3.0	GAS-ASSISTED PUMP APPLICATIONS	3
4.0	DATA SOURCES	6
5.0	ACTIVITY FACTOR	7
6.0	EMISSION FACTOR	. 10
	<ul> <li>6.1 Emission-Affecting Characteristics</li></ul>	. 10 . 10
7.0	ANNUAL METHANE EMISSIONS	. 14
8.0	REFERENCES	. 15
	APPENDIX A - Source Sheets	A-1

## LIST OF FIGURES

3-1	Isometric Flow Diagram of a Glycol Dehydrator Unit	4
3-2	Block Process Flow Diagram of Glycol Pumping System	5

## LIST OF TABLES

## Page

5-1	U.S. Gas Industry Characteristics for Calculation of Activity Factors for Gas-Assisted Glycol Pumps	8
5-2	U.S. Gas Industry Characteristics for Calculation of Emission Factors for Gas-Assisted Glycol Pumps	9

•

,

.

. .

·

. . .

. .

#### 1.0 SUMMARY

This report is one of several volumes that provide background information supporting the Gas Research Institute and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Research and Development (GRI-EPA/ORD) methane emissions project. The objective of this comprehensive program is to quantify the methane emissions from the gas industry for the 1992 base year to within  $\pm 0.5\%$  of natural gas production starting at the wellhead and ending immediately downstream of the customer's meter.

This report documents the basis for calculating the emissions from gasassisted glycol pumps used in the natural gas industry. Gas-assisted glycol pumps are one of the most common types of glycol recirculation pumps. Gas pressure drives the pump. High-pressure glycol and gas are let down across the driver side of the pump, and the energy recovered is used to recirculate the glycol. Most of these pumps emit the spent gas to the atmosphere through the glycol reboiler. Emissions attributable to these pumps are a small but measurable source of methane.

The annual emissions for gas-assisted glycol pumps are production,  $10.96 \pm 110\%$  Bscf and gas processing,  $0.17 \pm 228\%$  Bscf.

.

. .

.

## 2.0 INTRODUCTION

This report describes gas-assisted pumps, identifies their characteristics that affect methane emissions, and provides annual U.S. emissions for the 1992 base year. Background information on gas-assisted pumps is given in Section 3, and sources of data are listed in Section 4. Activity factors, which are the volume of gas processed in each industry segment by dehydrators with gas-assisted pumps, are explained in Section 5. Emission factors, which are the methane emissions per volume of gas processed, are explained in Section 6. Annual methane emissions for pumps in each industry segment are given in Section 7.

For background information on general dehydrator characteristics and for details on other methane emissions attributed to dehydrators, refer to Volume 14 on glycol dehydrators.<sup>1</sup>

.

.

## 3.0 GAS-ASSISTED PUMP APPLICATIONS

All industrial pumps have two major components: a driver side and a motive side. The driver provides the energy for pumping, and the motive side delivers the energy to the fluid being moved. In a typical centrifugal pump, the driver is an electric motor and the motive side is an impeller within a pump casing. This report discusses positive-displacement, gas-assisted glycol pumps (also called gas-driven pumps) that discharge natural gas. Other positive-displacement pumps that discharge gas are discussed in a separate report, Volume 13 on chemical injection pumps.<sup>2</sup>

In many glycol dehydrators in the gas industry, small gas-assisted pumps are used to circulate the glycol. These pumps recover energy from the high-pressure rich glycol/gas mixture leaving the absorber and use that energy to pump the low-pressure lean glycol back into the absorber. Figure 3-1 shows an isometric flow diagram of a typical field glycol dehydrator unit with a gas-assisted glycol pump.

Normal electrical pump configurations would have level controllers in the absorber tower to prevent gas from leaving the absorber bottom with the rich glycol. The gas-assisted pumps used in glycol circulation have a specialized design and construction. The gas-assisted glycol pump configuration, by design, has no level control; natural gas is intentionally entrained with the rich glycol feeding the pump. The natural gas mixed with the rich glycol is a source of pressure energy. The gas is not burned, as in an engine driver, but is discharged from the pump at a lower pressure. The spent gas is not emitted directly from the pump, but is exhausted into the pumped glycol stream that flows to the regenerator.

If the glycol unit has a flash tank, most of the pump exhaust gas can be recovered and used as fuel or stripping gas. If the flash gas is used as stripping gas, or if there is no flash tank, all of the pump exhaust gas will be vented through the regenerator's atmospheric vent stack. Figure 3-2 shows a block flow diagram of the pump configuration. The internal operation of the pumps is complex. For a detailed description, refer to the manufacturer's catalogue.<sup>3</sup>

·

.

.

.

.

·



Figure 3-1. Isometric Flow Diagram of a Glycol Dehydrator Unit

.

. .



•

Figure 3-2. Block Process Flow Diagram of Glycol Pumping System

·

## 4.0 DATA SOURCES

The manufacturer's data for a pump operating at typical design conditions were used to calculate emissions. No direct measurements of pump gas usage were used in the calculations.

Kimray, the leading manufacturer of gas-assisted glycol pumps, provided technical data. The most fundamental characteristic of these pumps is the gas usage per unit of glycol pumped, usually expressed as actual cubic feet<sup>a</sup> (acf) per gallon of glycol. Therefore, the usage rate and inherent emissions per pump depend on the size of the unit. Kimray reports that gas usage ranges from 0.081 acf/gallon for high-pressure pumps (>400 psig) to 0.130 acf/gallon for low-pressure pumps (<400 psig).<sup>3</sup> These data underwent QA analysis by other pump vendors and industry reviewers and were revised by Kimray as a result of that analysis. As a result, the manufacturer's data are believed to be accurate and representative.

To estimate the glycol circulation rate for a typical dehydrator, and consequently, the amount of gas used to drive the pump, it was necessary to choose values for wet gas water content and glycol circulated per pound of water. It was assumed that a typical high-pressure dehydrator would remove 53 pounds of water per MMscf of gas and a typical low-pressure dehydrator would remove 127 pounds of water per MMscf of gas. The design glycol-to-gas ratio is calculated using 3 gallons of glycol per pound of water removed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Actual cubic feet of gas are used in the calculations because the pump cylinders fill with the same ratio of gas and glycol regardless of the pressure.<sup>3</sup>

## 5.0 ACTIVITY FACTOR

The activity factor development described here for glycol circulation pumps is also reported in detail in Volume 5 on activity factors.<sup>5</sup> The activity factor for gas-driven glycol circulation pumps is calculated on the same basis as the dehydrator vented emissions in Volume 14 (i.e., Tscf/year gas throughput for each industry segments).<sup>1</sup> The total gas throughputs listed in Table 5-1 were multiplied by the fraction of dehydrators using gasdriven pumps to determine the gas-driven pump activity factor. This value is based on the average from Radian site surveys of the number of pumps per dehydrator. The resulting gas throughputs for glycol dehydrators using gas-assisted pumps are as follows:

٠	Production:	11.1 Tscf/year $\pm$ 62.0%;
•	Processing:	0.96 Tscf/year $\pm$ 192%;
•	Transmission:	0 Tscf/year; and
•	Storage:	0 Tscf/year.

These figures are based on total dehydrator gas throughput for each industry segment and the estimates of gas-assisted pump usage based on site visit data. No active gas-assisted pumps were found at transmission or storage facilities during the site visits, so no throughput is reported for those two segments. Although there may be a small number of gas-assisted pumps in transmission or storage service, the resulting methane emissions will be insignificant in comparison to the production and processing emissions.

Table 5-1 lists the characteristics of glycol dehydrators used to develop the activity factors for gas-assisted pumps. Table 5-2 lists some other characteristics of glycol dehydrators that affect emissions from gas-assisted pumps but are not used in the activity factor calculations. These characteristics are accounted for in the emission factor calculations described in Section 5. These characteristics were developed from the site visit data discussed in the Activity Factor Report.<sup>1</sup>

.

. .

Segment	Industry Segment Gas Throughput	Fraction of Dehydrators with Active Gas Pumps	Throughput for Dehydrators with Gas-Assisted Pumps
Production	12.4 Tscf/yr ± 61.9%	0.891 ± 2.79%	11.1 Tscf/yr ± 62.0%
Gas Processing Plants	8.63 Tscf/yr ± 22.4%	0.111 ± 186%	0.958 Tscf/yr ± 192%
Transmission	1.09 Tscf/yr ± 144%	0	
Storage	2.00 Tscf/yr ± 25%	0	
Total Gas Industry	24.1 Tscf/yr ± 33.5%		12.1 Tscf/yr ± 58.6%

.

# TABLE 5-1. U.S. GAS INDUSTRY CHARACTERISTICS FOR CALCULATION OF ACTIVITYFACTORS FOR GAS-ASSISTED GLYCOL PUMPS

Segment	Fraction of Dehydrators with Flash Drums	Fraction of Dehydrators with Vapor Recovery that Consumes Methane
Production	$0.265 \pm 8.35\%$	0.0118 ± 73.1%
Gas Processing Plants	$0.667 \pm 10.1\%$	$0.100 \pm 90.0\%$
Transmission	$0.669 \pm 9.70\%$	0.148 ± 80.3%
Storage	$0.520 \pm 33.6\%$	$0.160 \pm 80.0\%$

.

.

.

## TABLE 5-2. U.S. GAS INDUSTRY CHARACTERISTICS FOR CALCULATION OF EMISSION FACTORS FOR GAS-ASSISTED GLYCOL PUMPS

## 6.0 EMISSION FACTOR

In general, the emission factor for a Kimray pump was determined to be a function of the pump gas usage and the vent controls on the dehydrator. The use of a flash tank virtually eliminates methane emissions associated with pump gas because the flash gas is typically burned as fuel.

## 6.1 Emission-Affecting Characteristics

The characteristics that affect methane emissions from the gas-assisted pumps in glycol circulation service are:

- Frequency of operation (pumping rate);
- Size of the unit (volume displacement of the motive chamber);
- Supply gas pressure;
- Inlet methane composition; and
- Use of a flash tank;
  - -- Percentage of units that have a tank
  - -- Disposition of the flash gas.

It has been assumed that the operation of the glycol reboiler has no effect on methane emissions to the atmospheric vent arising from the gas-driven pump. If the methane reaches the regenerator/reboiler, all of the methane from the pump exhaust is assumed to vent to the atmosphere.

## 6.2 <u>Emission Factor Calculations</u>

The following equation was used to determine the emission factor for the average pump in each industry segment:

. . . .

$$EF_{\text{pump}} = PGU \times CR \times WR \times OC \times F_{\text{ND}} \times F_{\text{NVC}}$$
(1)

where:

PGU = pump gas usage (scf CH4)/(gallon glycol)CR = circulation ratio (gallons glycol)/(pound water removed from gas)WR = water removed from gas (pounds H2O/MMscf gas)OC = overcirculation ratio $F_{ND}$  = fraction of dehydrators without flash tanks $F_{NVC}$  = fraction of the dehydrators without combustion vent controls

CR, WR,  $F_{ND}$ , and  $F_{NVC}$  were discussed in Sections 4 and 5. The overcirculation factor (OC) was determined from data collected from ten glycol dehydrators.<sup>6</sup> The PGU rate depends on the glycol circulation rate, the absorber operating pressure and temperature, and the pump model. PGU was determined from values reported by the manufacturer. High pressure pumps use on average 0.081 acf gas per gallon of glycol, which corresponds to 4.49 scf/gallon for gas at 800 psig. Low pressure pumps use on average 0.130 acf gas per gallon of glycol, which is equivalent to 2.78 scf/gallon for 300 psig gas. Multiplying by 83 mole% methane in the pump gas results in a methane usage of 3.73 scf/gallon for high pressure and 2.31 scf/gallon for low pressure. The estimated split for high and low pressure in production is 80% high pressure and 20% low pressure.<sup>7</sup> All processing pumps were assumed to be high pressure.

The final emission factor  $(EF_{pump})$  for methane from an average gas-assisted glycol pump was determined for the production and processing industry segments using Equation 1. The transmission and storage segments do not use gas-assisted pumps. The results are as follows:

Production - High Pressure:

Production - Low Pressure:

Production - Combined:

Fraction High pressure =  $0.80 \pm 12.5\%$ Fraction Low Pressure =  $0.20 \pm 50\%$ EF (High Pressure) =  $904.45 \text{ scf/MMscf} \pm 95.0\%$ EF (Low pressure) =  $1342.18 \text{ scf/MMscf} \pm 95.0\%$ EF<sub>pump</sub> = (0.80) (904.45) + (0.20) (1342.18) =  $992.00 \text{ scf/MMscf} \pm 77.29\%$ 

.

.

.

. .

. . Processing:

## 7.0 ANNUAL METHANE EMISSIONS

Annual methane emissions from gas-assisted glycol pumps were calculated to be 11.1 Bscf. This was calculated by multiplying the activity factor (number of pumps) by the emission factor (scf/MMscf) for each industry segment and then summing the values. The results are as follows:

- Production: (992.0 scf/MMscf)  $\times$  11.05 Tscf= 10.96 Bscf
- Gas Processing: (177.745 scf/MMscf) × 0.9579 Tscf = 0.170 Bscf
- Transmission: no emissions
- Storage: no emissions

. . . . . . .

#### 8.0 REFERENCES

- 1. Myers, D.B. Methane Emissions from the Natural Gas Industry, Volume 14: Glycol Dehydrators. Final Report, GRI-94/0257.31 and EPA-600/R-96-080n. Gas Research Institute and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, June 1996.
- Shires, T.M. Methane Emissions from the Natural Gas Industry, Volume 13: Chemical Injection Pumps. Final Report, GRI-94/0257.30 and EPA-600/R-96-080m. Gas Research Institute and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, June 1996.
- 3. Kimray, Inc. Glycol Pumps and Accessories, Tulsa, OK, undated.
- 4. Sivalls, Inc. Glycol Dehydration Design Manual. Odessa, TX, 1982.
- 5. Stapper, B.E. Methane Emissions from the Natural Gas Industry, Volume 5: Activity Factors. Final Report, GRI-94/0257.22 and EPA-600/R-96-080e. Gas Research Institute and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, June 1996.
- 6. Rueter, C.O., et al. Glycol Dehydrator Emissions: Sampling and Analytical Methods and Estimation Techniques. GRI-94/0324. Gas Research Institute, Chicago, IL. March 1995.
- 7. Memorandum from Richard Garrett (Roger-Tech, Inc., Houston, TX) to Rhone Resch (U.S. EPA OAR), March 4, 1996.
- 8. Texas Mid-Continent Oil and Gas Association Glycol Dehydrator Survey. Personal communication with L. Litzen (Shell Oil Western Exploration and Production) and C.O. Rueter (Radian Corporation). June 17, 1991.

· · ·

.

.

. .

. .

## APPENDIX A

Source Sheets

.

#### P-7 PRODUCTION SOURCE SHEET

SOURCES: COMPONENTS: OPERATING MODE: EMISSION TYPE: ANNUAL EMISSIONS: Dehydrators Gas Driven Kimray Pumps Normal Operation Unsteady, Vented 10.96 Bscf ± 110.0%

#### BACKGROUND:

Gas driven Kimray glycol circulation pumps use a mixed phase of wet glycol liquid and absorber gas to drive pistons that pump dry (lean) glycol circulation. Unlike chemical injection pumps which vent the driving gas directly to the atmosphere, Kimray pumps pass the driving gas along with the wet glycol to the reboiler. In the reboiler the methane is driven off into the vent line. Depending on dehydrator vent gas dispositions, the methane may be vented to the atmosphere or controlled and burned.

#### EMISSION FACTOR: (992.0 scf CH\_/MMscf gas processed)

The average glycol pump gas emission factor was determined by an equation describing the gas generation and disposition of gas from the pump. The disposition of gas generated by the pump depends upon the existence of a flash tank and vent controls. Measured and estimated parameters were input into the equation,

In general, the emission factor for a gas-assisted pump was determined by the following equation:

 $EF_{nump} = PGU \times CR \times WR \times OC \times F_{NT} \times F_{NVC}$ 

#### EF DATA SOURCES:

- 1. Equation 1, i.e. the effects of operating variables on emissions, was defined by the report on Methane Emissions from the Natural Gas Industry, Volume 15, Gas-Assisted Glycol Pumps (1).
- 2. CR = glycol circulation ratio = 3.0 gal glycol/lb water  $\pm 33.3\%$ .
- WR = water removed from gas
   = 53 lb/MMscf ± 20% for high pressure
   = 127 lb/MMscf ± 20% for low pressure
- 4. OC = factor to account for overcirculation of glycol =  $2.1 \pm 71.4\%$ .
- 5.  $F_{NT}$  = fraction of dehydrators without flash tanks = 0.735 ± 2.99%.
- 6.  $F_{NVC}$  = fraction of the dehydrators without combustion vent controls = 0.9882 ± 0.87%.
- PGU = pump gas usage (assume 83% methane)
   = 3.73 scf CH<sub>4</sub>/gal glycol ± 30% for high pressure

.

•

.

= 2.31 scf CH<sub>4</sub>/gal glycol  $\pm$  30% for low pressure

#### CALCULATION METHOD:

It is estimated that 80% of the production dehydrators would be high pressure (R. Garrett memo) (4). The overall production emission factor is then calculated as a weighted average of the high and low pressure emission factors.

EF (high pressure) =  $(3.73 \text{ scf/gal}) \times (3.0 \text{ gal/lb } \text{H}_2\text{O}) \times (53 \text{ lb } \text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{MMscf})$   $\times (2.1) \times (0.735) \times (0.9882)$   $= 904.45 \text{ scf/MMscf} \pm 95.04\%$ EF (low pressure) =  $(2.31 \text{ scf/gal}) \times (3.0 \text{ gal/lb } \text{H}_2\text{O}) \times (127 \text{ lb } \text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{MMscf})$   $\times (2.1) \times (0.735) \times (0.9882)$   $= 1342.18 \text{ scf/MMscf} \pm 95.04\%$ EF (Production) =  $(0.80 \pm 12.5\%) (904.45 \text{ scf/MMscf} \pm 95.04\%) + (0.20 \pm 50\%) (1342.18 \text{ scf/MMscf} \pm 95.04\%)$  $992.00 \text{ scf CH}_4/\text{MMscf} \pm 77.29\%$ 

#### EF ACCURACY: (± 77.29%)

Basis:

I. Assumption: The manufacturer's data and ranges are relatively accurate (±30%).

2. Dehydrator characteristics based on site visit observations and TMOGA survey.

#### ACTIVITY FACTOR: (11.05 Tscf/year in the production segment with gas-assisted pumps)

The volume of gas processed through dehydrators using gas-assisted pumps was calculated from the total throughput for production dehydrators and the fraction of dehydrators using gas-assisted pumps determined from site visits. The activity factor is then:

AF = (fraction of dehydrators with gas-assisted pumps) × (throughput for production dehydrators)

 $= (0.8913 \pm 2.79\%) \times (12.4 \text{ Tscf/year} \pm 48.21\%)$ 

= 11.05 Tscf/year ± 61.96%

#### AF DATA SOURCES:

- 1. See Methane Emissions from the Natural Gas Industry, Volume 14: Glycol Dehydrators (2) for an explanation of production dehydrator throughput. See the Methane Emissions from the Natural Gas Industry, Volume 5: Activity Factors (3) for more details.
- 2. Fraction of dehydrators using gas-assisted pumps came from data from site visits.

## AF ACCURACY: (± 61.96%)

Basis:

Calculated from confidence limits of gas throughput and fraction of dehydrators by standard error propagation analysis.

.

. . .

#### ANNUAL METHANE EMISSIONS: (10.962 Bscf ± 110.03%)

The annual methane emissions were determined by multiplying an emission factor (scf  $CH_4/MMscf$ ) by the total throughput for production dehydrators using gas-assisted pumps.

 $(992.00 \text{ scf/MMscf}) \times (11.05 \text{ Tscf}) = 10.962 \text{ Bscf} (\pm 110.03\%)$ 

#### REFERENCES

- Myers, D.B. and M.R. Harrison. Methane Emissions from the Natural Gas Industry, Volume 15: Gas-Assisted Glycol Pumps. Final Report, GRI-94/0257.33 and EPA-600/R-96-0800. Gas Research Institute and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, June 1996.
- Myers, D.B. Methane Emissions from the Natural Gas Industry, Volume 14: Glycol Dehydrators. Final Report, GRI-94/0257.31 and EPA-600/R-96-080n. Gas Research Institute and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, June 1996.
- Stapper, B.E. Methane Emissions from the Natural Gas Industry, Volume 5: Activity Factors. Final Report, GRI-94/0257.22 and EPA-600/R-96-080e. Gas Research Institute and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, June 1996.
- 4. Memorandum from Richard Garrett (Roger-Tech, Inc., Houston, TX) to Rhone Resch (U.S. EPA OAR), March 4, 1996.

#### GP-5 PROCESSING SOURCE SHEET

SOURCES: COMPONENTS: OPERATING MODE: EMISSION TYPE: ANNUAL EMISSIONS: Glycol Dehydrators Gas Assisted Kimray Pumps Normal Operation Unsteady, Vented 0.170 scf ± 228%

#### BACKGROUND:

Most glycol circulation pumps in gas plants are electric. However, some gas driven pumps do exist. Gasassisted Kimray glycol circulation pumps use a mixed phase of wet glycol liquid and absorber gas to drive pistons that pump dry (lean) glycol circulation. Unlike chemical injection pumps which vent the driving gas directly to the atmosphere, Kimray pumps pass the driving gas along with the wet glycol to the reboiler. In the reboiler the methane is driven off into the vent line. Depending on dehydrator vent gas dispositions, the methane may be vented to the atmosphere or controlled and burned.

#### EMISSION FACTOR: (177.75 scf CH\_/MMscf gas processed)

The average glycol pump gas emission factor was determined by an equation describing the gas generation and disposition of gas from the pump. The disposition of gas generated by the pump depends upon the existence of a flash tank and vent controls. Measured and estimated parameters were input into the equation.

In general, the emission factor for a gas-assisted pump was determined by the following equation:

 $EF_{pump} = PGU \times CR \times WR \times OC \times F_{NT} \times F_{NVC}$   $= (3.73 \text{ scf/gal}) \times (3.0 \text{ gal/lb } \text{H}_2\text{O}) \times (53 \text{ lb } \text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{MMscf}) \times (1.0) \times (0.333) \times (0.900)$   $= 177.75 \text{ scf } CH_4/\text{MMscf } \text{gas} \pm 56.85\%$ 

#### **EF DATA SOURCES:**

- 1. Equation 1, i.e. the effects of operating variables on emissions, was defined in Methane Emissions from the Natural Gas Industry, Volume 15: Gas-Assisted Glycol Pumps (1).
- 2. CR = glycol circulation ratio = 3.0 gal glycol/lb water ± 33.3%.
- WR = water removed from wet gas = 53 lb water/MMscf gas ± 20%. For inlet gas stream of 95°F and 800 psig dried to 7 lb water/MMscf gas.
- 4. OC = factor to account for overcirculation of glycol =  $1.0 \pm 0\%$ .
- 5.  $F_{NT}$  = fraction of dehydrators without flash tanks = 0.333 ± 20.12%.
- 6.  $F_{NVC}$  = fraction of the dehydrators without combustion vent controls = 0.900 ± 10%.
- 7. PGU = pump gas usage = 3.73 scf CH<sub>4</sub>/gal glycol  $\pm$  30%. Determined by multiplying the volume of gas used by high-pressure pump models by a typical fraction of methane in the natural gas (83 mole%).

PGU = 4.49 scf/gallon 
$$\times$$
 83%  
= 3.73 scf/gallon  $\pm$  30%

**EF ACCURACY:** (± 56.85%)

Basis:

- 1. Assumption: The manufacturer's data and ranges are relatively accurate (±30%).
- 2. Dehydrator characteristics based on site visit observations and TMOGA survey.

#### ACTIVITY FACTOR: (0.9579 Tscf/year in the processing segment with gas-assisted pumps)

The volume of gas processed through dehydrators using gas-assisted pumps was calculated from the total throughput for gas processing dehydrators and the fraction of dehydrators using gas-assisted pumps determined from site visits. The activity factor is then:

- AF = (fraction of dehydrators with gas-assisted pumps) × (throughput for gas processing dehydrators)
  - =  $(0.111 \pm 186\%) \times (8.63 \text{ Tscf/year} \pm 22.4\%)$
  - = 0.9579 Tscf/year ± 191.95%

#### AF DATA SOURCES:

- 1. See Methane Emissions from the Natural Gas Industry, Volume 14: Glycol Dehydrators (2) for an explanation of processing dehydrator throughput (8.63 Tscf/year). See the Methane Emissions from the Natural Gas Industry, Volume 5: Activity Factors (3) for more details.
- 2. Fraction of dehydrators using gas-assisted pumps came from data from site visits.

#### AF ACCURACY: $(\pm 192\%)$

Basis:

Calculated from confidence limits of gas throughput and fraction of dehydrators by standard error propagation analysis.

#### ANNUAL METHANE EMISSIONS: (0.1703 Bscf ± 228%)

The annual methane emissions were determined by multiplying an emission factor (scf  $CH_4/MMscf$ ) by the total throughput for processing dehydrators using gas-assisted pumps.

 $(177.75 \text{ scf/MMscf}) \times (0.9579 \text{ Tscf}) = 0.1703 \text{ Bscf} (\pm 228.00\%).$ 

#### REFERENCES

- Myers, D.B. and M.R. Harrison. Methane Emissions from the Natural Gas Industry, Volume 15: Gas-Assisted Glycol Pumps. Final Report, GRI-94/0257.33 and EPA-600/R-96-0800. Gas Research Institute and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, June 1996.
- Myers, D.B. Methane Emissions from the Natural Gas Industry, Volume 14: Glycol Dehydrators. Final Report, GRI-94/0257.31 and EPA-600/R-96-080n. Gas Research Institute and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, June 1996.

.

 Stapper, B.E. Methane Emissions from the Natural Gas Industry, Volume 5: Activity Factors. Final Report, GRI-94/0257.22 and EPA-600/R-96-080e. Gas Research Institute and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, June 1996.

I. RECORTING.       I. RECIPIENT'S ACCESSION NO.         PAPA-600/R-96-0800       I. RECIPIENT'S ACCESSION NO.         ATTICAND SUBTILE       June 1996         Yolumes 1-15 (Volume 15: Gas-Assisted Glycol       I. RECIPIENT'S ACCESSION NO.         Pumps)       ACTIONES L. Campbell, M. Campbell, M. Cowgill, D. Ep- person, M. Hall, M. Harrison, K. Hummel, D. Myers, T. Shires, B. Stapper, C. Stapper, J. Wessels, and *       REPROMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO.         Refarming organization nearboard and accession of the second o	TECHNICAL REPORT DATA (Please read Instructions on the reverse before completing)				
4 THLE AND GUERTLE       S. REFORT DATE         4 THLE AND GUERTLE       S. REFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE         Volumes 1-15 (Volume 15: Gas-Assisted Glycol       J. REFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE         7. AUTHORIS L. Campbell, M. Campbell, M. Cowgill, D. Epperson, M. Hail, M. Harrison, K. Hummel, D. Myers, T. Shires, B. Stapper, C. Stapper, J. Wessels, and *       S. FERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO.         Radian International LLC       P. O. Box 201088       N. FROGRAM ELEMENT NO.         Austin, Texas 78720-1088       T. CONTRACTORANT NO.         Substance       S. FREFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO.         Research Triangle Park, NC 27711       Fragment No.         To Support Concerning CHAP enders       EPA/ Office of Research and Development         Air Pollution Prevention and Control Division       EPA/600/13         Research Triangle Park, NC 27711       EPA/600/13         Cosponsor GRI project officer is R. A. Lott, Gas Research Institute, 8600 West Eryn         Mawr Ave., Chicago, H. 60631. (*)H. Williamson (Block 7).         Research The I5-volume report summarizes the results of a comprehensive program         to upatify methane (CH4) emissions for the U. S. natural gas industry was 314         +/- 105 Bscf (6.04 +/- 2.01 Tg). This is equivalent to 1.4 +/- 0.5% of gross natural gas production, and reflects neither emissions reductions (per the voluntary Ameri-Gas Association/EPA Star Program) for incremental increases (due to increased gas usage) since 1992. Results from this p	1. REPORT NO. EPA-600/R-96-0800	3. RECIPIENT'S A	CCESSION NO.		
Multice Sinds of Milling Sinds Sind	4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Methane Emissions from the Natural Gas Ir	5. REPORT DATE			
Pumps)       ************************************	Volumes 1-15 (Volume 15: Gas-Assisted G	lycol 6 PERFORMING	OBGANIZATION CODE		
7. AUTHORIGI L., Campbell, M. Cawgill, D. Epperson, M., Hall, M. Harrison, K. Hummel, D. Myers,       b. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO.         8. FERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS       b. DCN 96-263-081-17         8. FERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS       b. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS         Radian International LLC       t. CONTRACT/GRANTNO.         P. O. Box 201088       t. CONTRACT/GRANTNO.         Austin, Texas 78720-1088       t. CONTRACT/GRANTNO.         12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS       t. CONTRACT/GRANTNO.         Research Triangle Park, NC 27711       t. TOP of FUPORT AND FERIOD COVERED         15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES EPA project officer is D. A. Kirchgessner, MD-63, 919/541-4021.       COSponsor GRI project officer is D. A. Kirchgessner, MD-63, 919/541-4021.         Cosponsor GRI project officer is R. A. Lott, Gas Research Institute, 8600 West Eryn       Mawr Ave., Chicago, IL. 60631. (*)H. Williamson (Block 7).         16. ASSTRACT The 15-volume report summarizes the results of a comprehensive program to quantify methane (CH4) emissions from the U.S. natural gas industry for the base year. The objective was to determine CH4 emissions from the wellhead and ending downstream at the customer's meter. The accuracy goal was to determine these emissions within +/-0.5% of natural gas production for a 90% confidence interval. For the 1992 base year, total CH4 emissions for the U.S. natural gas industry was 314         1/ 105 BSet (6. C4 +/ - 2.01 Tg). This is equivalent to 1.4 +/- 0.5% of gross natural gas emissions from the fuel cycle for natural gas, oil, and c	Pumps)				
person, M. Hall, M. Harrison, K. Hummel, D. Myers,       DCN 96-263-081-17         T. Shires, B. Stapper, C. Stapper, J. Wessels, and *       DCN 96-263-081-17         SPERFORMING GRANNIZATION HAME AND ADDRESS       10. FROGRAM ELEMENT NO.         Radian International LLC       10. FROGRAM ELEMENT NO.         P.O. Box 201088       11. CONTRACT/GRANT NO.         Austin, Texas 78720-1088       10. FROGRAM ELEMENT NO.         12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS       11. CONTRACT/GRANT NO.         EPA, Office of Research and Development       11. STOPS of REPORT AND FERIOD COVERED         Air Pollution Prevention and Control Division       14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE         Research Triangle Park, NC 27711       12. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE         Nawr Ave, C. Chicago, IL 60631. (*)H. Williamson (Block 7).       14. ASSTRACT The 15-volume report summarizes the results of a comprehensive program to quantify methane (CH4) emissions from the U.S. natural gas industry for the base year. The objective was to determine CH4 emissions for the U.S. natural gas industry for the base production for a 90% confidence interval. For the 1992 base year, total CH4 emissions for the U.S. natural gas industry was 314         +/- 105 Bscf (6.04 +/- 2.01 Tg). This is equivalent to 1.4 +/- 0.5% of gross natural gas production, and reflects neither emissions reductions (per the voluntary Ameri-Gas Association/EPA Star Program) nor incremental increases (due to increased gas usage) since 1992. Results from this program were used to compare greenhouse gas emissions from the fuel cycle for natural gas contributes less to potentia	7. AUTHORISI L. Campbell, M. Campbell, M. Cowe	ill. D. ED- 8. PERFORMING	ORGANIZATION REPORT NO.		
a reference and a dome and a domess Refain international LLC P. C. Box 201088 Austin, Texas 78720-1088 EPA, Office of Research and Development Air Pollution Prevention and Control Division Research Triangle Park, NC 27711 Is SUPPLEMENTARY MOTES EPA project officer is D. A. Kirchgessner, MD-63, 919/541-4021. Cosponsor GRI project officer is R. A. Lott, Gas Research Institute, 8800 West Eryn Mawr Ave., Chicago, IL 60631. (*)H. Williamson (Block 7). The ASSTRACT The 15-volume report summarizes the results of a comprehensive program to quantify methane (CH4) emissions from the U. S. natural gas industry for the base emissions within +/-0.5% of natural gas production for a 90% confidence interval. For the 1992 base year, total CH4 emissions for the U. S. natural gas industry was 314 +/- 105 Bscf (6.04 +/- 2.01 Tg). This is equivalent to 1.4 +/- 0.5% of gross natural gas production, and reflects neither emissions reductions (per the voluntary Ameri- Gas Association/EPA Star Program) nor incremental increases (due to increased gas usage) since 1992. Results from this program were used to compare greenhouse gas emissions from the fuel cycle for natural gas, oil, and coal using the global war- ming potentials (GWPs) recently published by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). The analysis showed that natural gas contributes less to potential global warming than coal or oil, which supports the fuel switching strategy suggested by the IPCC and others. In addition, study results are being used by the natural gas industry to reduce operating costs while reducing emissions.	person, M. Hall, M. Harrison, K. Hummel, D. T. Shires, B. Stapper, C. Stapper, J. Wessels,	Myers, DCN 96-26	33-081-17		
P. C. Box 201088 Austin, Texas 78720-1088 Austin, Texas 78720-1088 TI. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS EPA, Office of Research and Development Air Pollution Prevention and Control Division Research Triangle Park, NC 27711 TA SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES EPA project officer is D. A. Kirchgessner, MD-63, 919/541-4021. Cosponsor GRI project officer is R. A. Lott, Gas Research Institute, 8600 West Bryn Mawr Ave., Chicago, IL 60631. (*)H. Williamson (Block 7). TA ASSTRACT The 15-volume report summarizes the results of a comprehensive program to quantify methane (CH4) emissions from the U. S. natural gas industry for the base per The objective was to determine CH4 emissions from the wellhead and ending downstream at the customer's meter. The accuracy goal was to determine these emissions within +/-0.5% of natural gas production for a 90% confidence interval. For the 1992 base year, total CH4 emissions for the U. S. natural gas industry was 314 +/- 105 Bsef (6.04 +/- 2.01 Tg). This is equivalent to 1.4 +/- 0.5% of gross natural gas production, and reflects neither emissions reductions (per the voluntary Ameri- Gas Association/EPA Star Program) nor incremental increases (due to increased gas usage) since 1992. Results from this program were used to compare greenhouse gas emissions from the fuel cycle for natural gas, oil, and coal using the global war- ming potentials (GWPs) recently published by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). The analysis showed that natural gas contributes less to potential global warming than coal or oil, which supports the fuel switching strategy suggested by the IPCC and others. In addition, study results are being used by the natural gas industry to reduce operating costs while reducing emissions. T. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS A. DESCRIPTORS Natural Gas Greenhouse Effect Methane Methane Methane Methane Methane Methane Methane Methane Methane Methane Methane Methane Methane Methane Methane Methane Methane Methane Methane Methan	9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Radian International LLC	10. PROGRAM E	EMENT NO.		
Austin, Texas 78720-1088       0507237211 (GH)         12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS       13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED Final; 731-4/95         EPA, Office of Research and Development Air Pollution Prevention and Control Division Research Triangle Park, NC 27711       13. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE         Tis. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES EPA project officer is D. A. Kirchgessner, MD-63, 919/541-4021. Cosponsor GRI project officer is R. A. Lott, Gas Research Institute, 8600 West Bryn Mawr Ave., Chicago, IL. 60631. (*)H. Williamson (Block 7).         Tis. Austral for the tissions from the U. S. natural gas industry for the base year. The objective was to determine CH4 emissions from the wellhead and ending downstream at the customer's meter. The accuracy goal was to determine these emissions within +/-0.5% of natural gas production for a 90% confidence interval. For the 1962 base year, total CH4 emissions for the U. S. natural gas industry was 314 +/- 105 Bscf (6.04 +/- 2.01 Tg). This is equivalent to 1.4 +/- 0.5% of gross natural gas production, and reflects neither emissions reductions (per the voluntary Ameri- Gas Association/EPA Star Program) nor incremental increases (due to increased gas usage) since 1992. Results from this program were used to compare greenhouse gas emissions from the fuel cycle for natural gas contributes less to potential global warming than coal or oil, which supports the fuel switching strategy suggested by the IPCC and others. In addition, study results are being used by the natural gas industry to reduce operating costs while reducing emissions.         11.       KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS         12.       KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS         13.       DESCRIFTORS         14.	P.O. Box 201088	11. CONTRACT/	RANT NO.		
12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS       13. YOU OF TREPORT AND FENIOD COVERED Final: 3/91-4/96         EPA, Office of Research and Development Air Pollution Prevention and Control Division Research Triangle Park, NC 27711       13. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE         Tis. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES EPA project officer is D. A. Kirchgessner, MD-63, 919/541-4021. Cosponsor GRI project officer is R. A. Lott, Gas Research Institute, 8600 West Bryn Mawr Ave., Chicago, IL 60631. (*)H. Williamson (Block 7).       EPA/600/13         16. ASSTRACTTLe 15-volume report summarizes the results of a comprehensive program to quantify methane (CH4) emissions from the U.S. natural gas industry for the base year. The objective was to determine CH4 emissions from the wellhead and ending downstream at the customer's meter. The accuracy goal was to determine these emissions within +/-0. 5% of natural gas production for a 90% confidence interval. For the 1992 base year, total CH4 emissions for the U.S. natural gas industry was 314 +/- 105 Bscf (6.04 +/- 2.01 Tg). This is equivalent to 1.4 +/- 0.5% of gross natural gas production, and reflects neither emissions reductions (due to increased gas usage) since 1992. Results from this program were used to compare greenhouse gas emissions from the fuel cycle for natural gas, oil, and coal using the global war- ming potentials (GWPs) recently published by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). The analysis showed that natural gas contributes less to potential global warming than coal or oil, which supports the fuel switching strategy suggested by the IPCC and others. In addition, study results are being used by the natural gas industry to reduce operating costs while reducing emissions.         17.       KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS         18.       DESCRIPTORS       L	Austin, Texas 78720-1088	68-D1-003	l (EPA)		
EPA, Office of Research and Development       14.57015/11/11         Air Pollution Prevention and Control Division       14.5700504006 AGENCY CODE         Research Triangle Park, NC 27711       EPA/600/13         Its.SUPFLEMENTARY NOTES EPA project officer is D. A. Kirchgessner, MD-63, 919/541-4021.       EPA/600/13         Cosponsor GRI project officer is R. A. Lott, Gas Research Institute, 8600 West Eryn       Mawr Ave., Chicago, IL 60631. (*)H. Williamson (Block 7).         Its.Astractive CH4 emissions from the U.S. natural gas industry for the base       year. The objective was to determine CH4 emissions from the wellhead and ending         downstream at the customer's meter. The accuracy goal was to determine these       emissions within +/-0.5% of natural gas production for a 90% confidence interval. For         the 1992 base year, total CH4 emissions for the U.S. natural gas industry was 314       +/- 105 Bscf (6.04 +/- 2.01 Tg). This is equivalent to 1.4 +/- 0.5% of gross natural         gas usage) since 1992. Results from this program were used to compare greenhouse       gas emissions from the fuel cycle for natural gas, oil, and coal using the global warming potentials (GWPs) recently published by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate         Change (IPCC). The analysis showed that natural gas contributes less to potential         global warming than coal or oil, which supports the fuel switching strategy suggested         by the IPCC and others. In addition, study results are being used by the natural gas         Industry to reduce operating costs while reducing emissions.	12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS	13. TYPE OF REI	13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED		
Air Pollution Prevention and Control Division       EPA/600/13         Research Triangle Park, NC 27711       EPA/600/13         Its.SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES EPA project officer is D. A. Kirchgessner, MD-63, 919/541-4021.       Cosponsor GRI project officer is D. A. Kirchgessner, MD-63, 919/541-4021.         Cosponsor GRI project officer is D. A. Kirchgessner, MD-63, 919/541-4021.       Supplementation of the State of th	EPA, Office of Research and Development	14 SPONSOBING	AGENCY CODE		
Research Triangle Park, NC 27711       EPA/600/13         Is.SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES EPA project officer is D. A. Kirchgessner, MD-63, 919/541-4021.       Cosponsor GRI project officer is R. A. Lott, Gas Research Institute, 8600 West Eryn         Mawr Ave., Chicago, 1L 60631. (*)H. Williamson (Block 7).       Is.Supplementation of the comparison of the comparison of the second program to quantify methane (CH4) emissions from the U.S. natural gas industry for the base year. The objective was to determine CH4 emissions from the wellhead and ending downstream at the customer's meter. The accuracy goal was to determine these emissions within +/-0.5% of natural gas production for a 90% confidence interval. For the 1992 base year, total CH4 emissions for the U.S. natural gas industry was 314 +/-105 Bscf (6.04 +/- 2.01 Tg). This is equivalent to 1.4 +/- 0.5% of gross natural gas production, and reflects neither emissions reductions (per the voluntary Amerigas usage) since 1992. Results from this program were used to compare greenhouse gas emissions from the fuel cycle for natural gas, oil, and coal using the global warming potentials (GWPs) recently published by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). The analysis showed that natural gas contributes less to potential global warming than coal or oil, which supports the fuel switching strategy suggested by the IPCC and others. In addition, study results are being used by the natural gas industry to reduce operating costs while reducing emissions.         VI.       KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS         a.       DESCRIPTORS         b.IDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS       c. COSATI Field/Group         Pollution       Pollution Prevention       13B         Stationary Sources	Air Pollution Prevention and Control Divis	ion	N. D. DISONNO ASCILLI CODE		
It. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES EPA project officer is D. A. Kirchgessner, MD-63, 919/541-4021.         COSPONSOT GRI project officer is R. A. Lott, Gas Research Institute, 8600 West Bryn         Mawr Ave., Chicago, IL 60631. (*)H. Williamson (Block 7).         It. ASSTRACT The 15-volume report summarizes the results of a comprehensive program         to quantify methane (CH4) emissions from the U.S. natural gas industry for the base         year. The objective was to determine CH4 emissions from the wellhead and ending         downstream at the customer's meter. The accuracy goal was to determine these         emissions within +/-0.5% of natural gas production for a 90% confidence interval. For         the 1992 base year, total CH4 emissions for the U.S. natural gas industry was 314         +/- 105 Bscf (6.04 +/- 2.01 Tg). This is equivalent to 1.4 +/- 0.5% of gross natural         gas production, and reflects neither emissions reductions (per the voluntary Ameri-         Gas Association/EPA Star Program) nor incremental increases (due to increased         gas usage) since 1992. Results from this program were used to compare greenhouse         gas emissions from the fuel cycle for natural gas contributes less to potential         global warming than coal or oil, which supports the fuel switching strategy suggested         by the IPCC. The analysis showed that natural gas contributes less to potential         global warming than coal or oil, which supports the fuel switching strategy suggested         by the IPCC and others. In addition, study results are	Research Triangle Park, NC 27711	EPA/600	/13		
16. ABSTRACT The 15-volume report summarizes the results of a comprehensive program to quantify methane (CH4) emissions from the U. S. natural gas industry for the base year. The objective was to determine CH4 emissions from the wellhead and ending downstream at the customer's meter. The accuracy goal was to determine these emissions within +/-0.5% of natural gas production for a 90% confidence interval. For the 1992 base year, total CH4 emissions for the U. S. natural gas industry was 314 +/- 105 Bscf (6.04 +/- 2.01 Tg). This is equivalent to 1.4 +/- 0.5% of gross natural gas production, and reflects neither emissions reductions (per the voluntary Ameri- Gas Association/EPA Star Program) nor incremental increases (due to increased gas usage) since 1992. Results from this program were used to compare greenhouse gas emissions from the fuel cycle for natural gas, oil, and coal using the global war- ming potentials (GWPs) recently published by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). The analysis showed that natural gas contributes less to potential global warming than coal or oil, which supports the fuel switching strategy suggested by the IPCC and others. In addition, study results are being used by the natural gas industry to reduce operating costs while reducing emissions.         17.       KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS         18.       Stationary Sources       14G         Greenhouse Effect       Global Warming       04A         Natural Gas       21D       15E         Methane       07C	15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES EPA project officer is D. A. Kirchgessner, MD-63, 919/541-4021. Cosponsor GRI project officer is R. A. Lott, Gas Research Institute, 8600 West Bryn Mawr Ave., Chicago, IL 60631. (*)H. Williamson (Block 7).				
to quantify methane (CH4) emissions from the U.S. natural gas industry for the base year. The objective was to determine CH4 emissions from the wellhead and ending downstream at the customer's meter. The accuracy goal was to determine these emissions within +/-0.5% of natural gas production for a 90% confidence interval. For the 1992 base year, total CH4 emissions for the U.S. natural gas industry was 314 +/- 105 Bscf (6.04 +/- 2.01 Tg). This is equivalent to 1.4 +/- 0.5% of gross natural gas production, and reflects neither emissions reductions (per the voluntary Ameri- Gas Association/EPA Star Program) nor incremental increases (due to increased gas usage) since 1992. Results from this program were used to compare greenhouse gas emissions from the fuel cycle for natural gas, oil, and coal using the global war- ming potentials (GWPs) recently published by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). The analysis showed that natural gas contributes less to potential global warming than coal or oil, which supports the fuel switching strategy suggested by the IPCC and others. In addition, study results are being used by the natural gas industry to reduce operating costs while reducing emissions. T. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS a. DESCRIPTORS b.LOENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS c. COSATI Field/Group Pollution Emission Greenhouse Effect Global Warming 04A Natural Gas 21D Gas Pipelines 15E Methane 07C	16. ABSTRACT The 15-volume report summarizes	s the results of a compr	ehensive program		
year. The objective was to determine CH4 emissions from the wellhead and ending downstream at the customer's meter. The accuracy goal was to determine these emissions within +/-0.5% of natural gas production for a 90% confidence interval. For the 1992 base year, total CH4 emissions for the U.S. natural gas industry was 314 +/- 105 Bscf (6.04 +/- 2.01 Tg). This is equivalent to 1.4 +/- 0.5% of gross natural gas production, and reflects neither emissions reductions (per the voluntary Ameri-Gas Association/EPA Star Program) nor incremental increases (due to increased gas usage) since 1992. Results from this program were used to compare greenhouse gas emissions from the fuel cycle for natural gas, oil, and coal using the global warming potentials (GWPs) recently published by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). The analysis showed that natural gas contributes less to potential global warming than coal or oil, which supports the fuel switching strategy suggested by the IPCC and others. In addition, study results are being used by the natural gas industry to reduce operating costs while reducing emissions.         VI.       KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS         II.       KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS         II.       ELIDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS         C.       COSATI Field/Group         Pollution       Pollution Prevention         III.       KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS         III.       C.         Cas Pipelines       Global Warming         04A       21D         Gas Pipelines       I5E         Methane       07C	to quantify methane (CH4) emissions from t	he U.S. natural gas ind	ustry for the base		
downstream at the customer's meter. The accuracy goal was to determine these         emissions within +/-0.5% of natural gas production for a 90% confidence interval. For         the 1992 base year, total CH4 emissions for the U.S. natural gas industry was 314         +/- 105 Bscf (6.04 +/- 2.01 Tg). This is equivalent to 1.4 +/- 0.5% of gross natural         gas production, and reflects neither emissions reductions (per the voluntary Ameri-         Gas Association/EPA Star Program) nor incremental increases (due to increased         gas usage) since 1992. Results from this program were used to compare greenhouse         gas emissions from the fuel cycle for natural gas, oil, and coal using the global war-         ming potentials (GWPs) recently published by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate         Change (IPCC). The analysis showed that natural gas contributes less to potential         global warming than coal or oil, which supports the fuel switching strategy suggested         by the IPCC and others. In addition, study results are being used by the natural gas         industry to reduce operating costs while reducing emissions.         vin       KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS         a       DESCRIPTORS         b.IDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS       c. COSATI Field/Group         Pollution       Pollution Prevention       13B         Emission       Greenhouse Effect       Global Warming       04A         Natural Gas       15E	year. The objective was to determine CH4 e	missions from the well	head and ending		
emissions within +/-0.5% of natural gas production for a 90% confidence interval. For the 1992 base year, total CH4 emissions for the U.S. natural gas industry was 314 +/- 105 Bscf (6.04 +/- 2.01 Tg). This is equivalent to 1.4 +/- 0.5% of gross natural gas production, and reflects neither emissions reductions (per the voluntary Ameri- Gas Association/EPA Star Program) nor incremental increases (due to increased gas usage) since 1992. Results from this program were used to compare greenhouse gas emissions from the fuel cycle for natural gas, oil, and coal using the global war- ming potentials (GWPs) recently published by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). The analysis showed that natural gas contributes less to potential global warming than coal or oil, which supports the fuel switching strategy suggested by the IPCC and others. In addition, study results are being used by the natural gas industry to reduce operating costs while reducing emissions. <b>17.</b> KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS <b>1</b> . DESCRIPTORS b.IDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS (a. COSATI Field/Group Pollution Pollution Prevention 13B Emission Greenhouse Effect Global Warming 04A Natural Gas 15E Methane 07C	downstream at the customer's meter. The a	ccuracy goal was to de	termine these		
the 1992 base year, total CH4 emissions for the U.S. natural gas industry was 314 +/- 105 Bscf (6.04 +/- 2.01 Tg). This is equivalent to 1.4 +/- 0.5% of gross natural gas production, and reflects neither emissions reductions (per the voluntary Ameri- Gas Association/EPA Star Program) nor incremental increases (due to increased gas usage) since 1992. Results from this program were used to compare greenhouse gas emissions from the fuel cycle for natural gas, oil, and coal using the global war- ming potentials (GWPs) recently published by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). The analysis showed that natural gas contributes less to potential global warming than coal or oil, which supports the fuel switching strategy suggested by the IPCC and others. In addition, study results are being used by the natural gas industry to reduce operating costs while reducing emissions.	emissions within +/-0.5% of natural gas pro	duction for a 90% confi	dence interval. For		
+/- 105 Bsct (6. U4 +/- 2.01 Tg). This is equivalent to 1.4 +/- 0.5% of gross natural gas production, and reflects neither emissions reductions (per the voluntary Ameri-Gas Association/EPA Star Program) nor incremental increases (due to increased gas usage) since 1992. Results from this program were used to compare greenhouse gas emissions from the fuel cycle for natural gas, oil, and coal using the global warming potentials (GWPs) recently published by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). The analysis showed that natural gas contributes less to potential global warming than coal or oil, which supports the fuel switching strategy suggested by the IPCC and others. In addition, study results are being used by the natural gas industry to reduce operating costs while reducing emissions.         17.       KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS         2.       DESCRIPTORS         4.       DESCRIPTORS         5.       DESCRIPTORS         6.       COSATI Field/Group         13.       DESCRIPTORS         7.       Stationary Sources         14.       DESCRIPTORS         15.       DESCRIPTOR	the 1992 base year, total CH4 emissions for	the U.S. natural gas in	ndustry was 314		
gas production, and reflects neither emissions reductions (per the voluntary Ameri-Gas Association/EPA Star Program) nor incremental increases (due to increased gas usage) since 1992. Results from this program were used to compare greenhouse gas emissions from the fuel cycle for natural gas, oil, and coal using the global warming potentials (GWPs) recently published by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). The analysis showed that natural gas contributes less to potential global warming than coal or oil, which supports the fuel switching strategy suggested by the IPCC and others. In addition, study results are being used by the natural gas industry to reduce operating costs while reducing emissions.         17.       KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS         a       DESCRIPTORS         b.IDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS       c. cosATI Field/Group         Pollution       Pollution Prevention         Emission       Stationary Sources         Greenhouse Effect       Global Warming         Matural Gas       21D         Methane       07C	+/-105 Bsci (0.04 +/- 2.01 1g). This is eq	uivalent to $1.4 + 7 - 0.5\%$	o of gross natural		
Gas Association/EPA Star Program) nor incremental increases (due to increased gas usage) since 1992. Results from this program were used to compare greenhouse gas emissions from the fuel cycle for natural gas, oil, and coal using the global warming potentials (GWPs) recently published by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). The analysis showed that natural gas contributes less to potential global warming than coal or oil, which supports the fuel switching strategy suggested by the IPCC and others. In addition, study results are being used by the natural gas industry to reduce operating costs while reducing emissions.         17.       KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS         18.       DESCRIPTORS         19.       DESCRIPTORS         10.       KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS         17.       KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS         18.       DESCRIPTORS         19.       DESCRIPTORS         19.       DESCRIPTORS         10.       Pollution Prevention         13.       Stationary Sources         14.       Global Warming         04.       21.D         Gas Pipelines       15.E         Methane       07.C	gas production, and reflects neither emissi	ons reductions (per the	voluntary Ameri-		
gas usage) since 1992. Results from this program were used to compare greenhouse gas emissions from the fuel cycle for natural gas, oil, and coal using the global war- ming potentials (GWPs) recently published by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). The analysis showed that natural gas contributes less to potential global warming than coal or oil, which supports the fuel switching strategy suggested by the IPCC and others. In addition, study results are being used by the natural gas industry to reduce operating costs while reducing emissions. 17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS a. DESCRIPTORS b.IDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS c. COSATI Field/Group Pollution Pollution Prevention 13B Emission Greenhouse Effect Global Warming 04A Natural Gas 15E Methane 07C	Gas Association/EPA Star Program) nor in	cremental increases (d	ue to increased		
gas emissions from the fuel cycle for natural gas, oil, and coal using the global war- ming potentials (GWPs) recently published by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). The analysis showed that natural gas contributes less to potential global warming than coal or oil, which supports the fuel switching strategy suggested by the IPCC and others. In addition, study results are being used by the natural gas industry to reduce operating costs while reducing emissions.         17.       KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS         a.       DESCRIPTORS         b.IDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS       c. COSATI Field/Group         Pollution       Pollution Prevention         Emission       Stationary Sources         Greenhouse Effect       Global Warming         Natural Gas       15E         Methane       07C	gas usage) since 1992. Results from this pr	ogram were used to con	npare greenhouse		
Ining potentials (GWPs) recently published by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). The analysis showed that natural gas contributes less to potential global warming than coal or oil, which supports the fuel switching strategy suggested by the IPCC and others. In addition, study results are being used by the natural gas industry to reduce operating costs while reducing emissions.         17.       KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS         18.       DESCRIPTORS         19.       DESCRIPTORS         19.       DESCRIPTORS         19.       DESCRIPTORS         19.       DESCRIPTORS         19.       DESCRIPTORS         10.       Pollution Prevention         13.       Stationary Sources         14.       Global Warming         04.       21.         Natural Gas       15.         Methane       07.	gas emissions from the fuel cycle for natura	al gas, oil, and coal us	ing the global war-		
Change (IPCC). The analysis showed that natural gas contributes less to potential global warming than coal or oil, which supports the fuel switching strategy suggested by the IPCC and others. In addition, study results are being used by the natural gas industry to reduce operating costs while reducing emissions.         17.       KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS         17.       KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS         17.       DESCRIPTORS         17.       DESCRIPTORS         17.       DESCRIPTORS         18.       DESCRIPTORS         19.       DESCRIPTORS         10.       DESCRIPTORS         11.       DESCRIPTORS         12.       DESCRIPTORS         13.       DESCRIPTORS         13.       DESCRIPTORS         13.       DESCRIPTORS         13.       DESCRIPTORS         13.       DESCRIPTORS         13.       DESCRIPTORS         14.       Global Warming         14.       Global Warming         15.       DESCRIPTORS         15.       DESCRIPTORS         15.       DESCRIPTORS	Chapte (IDCC). The second published i	by the intergovernment	a Panel on Climate		
global warming than coal of off, which supports the fuel switching strategy suggested         by the IPCC and others. In addition, study results are being used by the natural gas         industry to reduce operating costs while reducing emissions.         17.       KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS         a.       DESCRIPTORS         b.IDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS       c. COSATI Field/Group         Pollution       Pollution Prevention       13B         Emission       Stationary Sources       14G         Greenhouse Effect       Global Warming       04A         Natural Gas       15E       07C	change (IPCC). The analysis showed that has	atural gas contributes I	ess to potential		
by the FFCC and others. In addition, study results are being used by the natural gas industry to reduce operating costs while reducing emissions.         17.       KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS         2.       DESCRIPTORS         b.identifiers/OPEN ENDED TERMS       c. COSATI Field/Group         Pollution       Pollution Prevention       13B         Emission       Stationary Sources       14G         Greenhouse Effect       Global Warming       04A         Natural Gas       15E       07C	by the IRCC and others. In addition, and	orts the fuel switching	strategy suggested		
Industry to reduce operating costs while reducing emissions.         KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS         I. DESCRIPTORS         LIDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS         C. COSATI Field/Group         Pollution       Pollution Prevention       13B         Emission       Stationary Sources       14G         Greenhouse Effect       Global Warming       04A         Natural Gas       21D       15E         Methane       07C       07C	by the IFCC and others. In addition, study industry to poduce econoting eacts while not	results are being used i	by the natural gas		
KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS         z.       DESCRIPTORS       LIDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS       c. COSATI Field/Group         Pollution       Pollution Prevention       13B         Emission       Stationary Sources       14G         Greenhouse Effect       Global Warming       04A         Natural Gas       21D         Gas Pipelines       15E         Methane       07C	industry to reduce operating costs while reducing emissions.				
IN.       RET WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS         I. DESCRIPTORS       b.IDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS       c. COSATI Field/Group         Pollution       Pollution Prevention       13B         Emission       Stationary Sources       14G         Greenhouse Effect       Global Warming       04A         Natural Gas       15E         Methane       07C					
PollutionPollution Prevention13BEmissionStationary Sources14GGreenhouse EffectGlobal Warming04ANatural Gas21DGas Pipelines15EMethane07C	A DESCRIPTORS	b.IDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERM	S C. COSATI Field/Group		
EmissionStationary Sources14GGreenhouse EffectGlobal Warming04ANatural Gas21DGas Pipelines15EMethane07C	Pollution	Pollution Prevention	138		
Greenhouse EffectGlobal Warming04ANatural Gas21DGas Pipelines15EMethane07C	Emission	Stationary Sources	14G		
Natural Gas Gas Pipelines Methane	Greenbouse Effect	Global Warming	04 A		
Gas Pipelines 15E Methane 07C	Natural Gas	www.as in granning	210		
Methane 07C	Gas Pipelines		15E		
	Methane		07C		
18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT 19. SECURITY CLASS (This Report) 21. NO. OF PAGES 30	18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT	19. SECURITY CLASS (This Report) Unclassified	21. NO. OF PAGES		
Release to Public 20. SECURITY CLASS (This page) 22. PRICE Unclassified 22. PRICE	Release to Public	20. SECURITY CLASS (This page) Unclassified	22. PRICE		

-

.. .

na na seconda da seconda de composición de la composición de la composición de la composición de la composición

24

-.

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Office of Research and Development National Risk Management Research Laboratory Technology Transfer and Support Division Cincinnati, Ohio 45268

OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE. \$300 AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

If your address is incorrect, please change on the above label tear off; and return to the above address.

If you do not desire to continue receiving these technical reports, CHECK HERE ; tear off label, and return it to the above address,

Publication No. EPA-600/R-96-0800