

BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA SHEET		1. Report No. APTD-1411	2. . . .	3. Recipient's Accession No. PB-218 438
4. Title and Subtitle Exhaust Emissions from Controlled and Uncontrolled Vehicles Using the "Pollution Master" Emission Control Device			5. Report Date August 1971	
7. Author(s) John C. Thomson			8. Performing Organization Rept. No.	
9. Performing Organization Name and Address ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Office of Air Programs Ann Arbor, Michigan			10. Project/Task/Work Unit No.	
			11. Contract/Grant No.	
12. Sponsoring Organization Name and Address Same			13. Type of Report & Period Covered Inhouse	
			14.	
15. Supplementary Notes				
16. Abstracts The exhaust emission characteristics of the "Pollution Master" device on both controlled and uncontrolled vehicles were evaluated. The uncontrolled vehicle used was a 1963 Chevrolet V-8 with a manual transmission. The controlled vehicle was a 1968 Ford Falcon with a 200 cu. in. six cylinder engine and manual transmission. The "Pollution Master" is a two part system containing an "exhaust scavenger" and a "crankcase scavenger." The total effect of this device is to admit additional air to the manifold thus providing a leaner fuel-air mixture to the engine. For evaluation purposes, the Federal emission test procedures for certification of new cars for 1971 and the procedure for 1972 certification were used. The vehicle was tested alternately with "Pollution Master" installed and with the vehicle returned to original condition. The results are presented and data is well tabulated. Conclusions drawn from test data are: "Pollution Master" emission reductions with latest test procedures are minimal; equivalent results could be obtained by using a very lean idle setting; no fuel economy improvement was observed.				
17. Key Words and Document Analysis. 17a. Descriptors Air pollution Nitrogen oxides Exhaust emissions Automobiles Crankcase fumes Tests Standards Air pollution control equipment Carbon monoxide Carbon dioxide Hydrocarbons 17b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms Pollution Master device Federal Test Procedure (1971) Federal Test Procedure (1972) Constant Volume Sampling Technique (CVS)				
17c. COSATI Field/Group 13B				
18. Availability Statement Unlimited			19. Security Class (This Report) UNCLASSIFIED	21. No. of Pages 33
			20. Security Class (This Page) UNCLASSIFIED	22. Price \$2.00/10.95

R

Background

The exhaust emission characteristics of the "Pollution Master" device on both controlled and uncontrolled vehicles have been requested by Congressional, Federal, and State sources requiring a repeat of the tests run in 1969 on a Post Office vehicle. (Appendix A).

Device

The uncontrolled vehicle used for this most recent test was a Government-owned 1963 Chevrolet V-8 with a manual transmission. The device used on this vehicle was supplied by Pollution Master of Kentucky-Tennessee and was installed by Government employees using the instructions furnished with the kit. The controlled vehicle used for this test was supplied by the Louisville Courier-Journal and was a 1968 Ford Falcon. This vehicle used a 200 cubic-inch six cylinder engine and manual transmission. The device installation was carried out by an outlet selling "Pollution Master" in Louisville, Kentucky. It is assumed that this installation, which was paid for by the newspaper, was a typical installation and was representative.

The "Pollution Master" is a two part system containing an "exhaust scavenger" and a "crankcase scavenger". The exhaust scavenger is a pipe with a one-way valve that is connected to the exhaust through holes that must be drilled and tapped into the exhaust manifold. Under any condition of low pressure in the manifold, air will be drawn through a valve and filter from the engine compartment into the manifold. The crankcase scavenger is a large diameter tube containing plates with drilled holes to allow air passage and a filter. This unit is installed in the positive crankcase ventilation (PCV) line with the interior working parts of the PCV removed. This allows an increase in air flow at idle as there is no idle restriction in the crankcase scavenger as is normally found in the PCV system. The total effect of this device is to admit additional air to the manifold thus providing a leaner fuel-air mixture to the engine. Some air is also admitted to the exhaust manifold thus diluting the exhaust.

In the Government installation of the "Paser Magnum" the only portion of the emission control system disconnected was the PCV valve as required in the instructions. On the vehicle converted by "Pollution Master" the PCV valve was disconnected and the heat stove that supplies warm air to the carburetor was cut into to provide clearance for the device. The effect of this change in the system is unknown but considered minimal.

One advertised purpose of the system is: "To meet and exceed existing standards for automotive emission control."

Test Program

For evaluation purposes the Federal emission test procedures for certification of new cars for 1971 and the procedure for 1972 certification were used. The 1971 test is the open cycle 7-mode test using infrared (NDIR) instruments as specified in the Federal Register. In addition a continuous NDIR NO analyzer was used. The Federal standards for new vehicles using the 1971 test procedure are HC=2.2 grams per vehicle mile (gpm) and CO=23 gpm. There is no Federal standard for NO₂. The 1972 test uses the closed, self-weighting constant volume sampling technique for sample collection and the exhaust is analyzed using a flame ionization detector for hydrocarbons, NDIR for CO and CO₂, and chemiluminescence for oxides of nitrogen. The Federal standards for new vehicles using the 1972 test procedure are HC=3.4 gpm and CO=39 gpm. A standard for NO₂=3 gpm has been set for 1973 vehicles. The vehicle was tested alternately with "Pollution Master" installed and with the vehicle returned to original condition. In addition, fuel was weighed on three of the tests using the 1968 Falcon to determine any fuel economy effect.

Results

The data shown in Table I compares the 1963 Chevy with the "Pollution Master" device to the same vehicle without the device using the 1972 test procedure. In this table the results are listed in the order that the tests were run. The first two tests were run with "Pollution Master" installed, the next four with "Pollution Master" removed, the next four with "Pollution Master" re-installed and the last four with "Pollution Master" removed. There appears to be a slight reduction of CO and HC with the "Pollution Master" although the values vary considerably.

Table II shows the results from "Pollution Master" and the baseline tests on the 1968 Falcon using the 1972 test procedure. As on the Chevrolet there appears to be a slight reduction in emissions from "Pollution Master" although again the results are so varied that a percentage reduction cannot be accurately calculated.

Table III compares the 1968 Falcon with and without "Pollution Master" using the 1971 test procedure. These results show a more consistent improvement in emissions with "Pollution Master". However, it should be remembered that this obsolete test procedure was dropped as being a less meaningful way of measuring exhaust emissions.

Conclusions

1. "Pollution Master" emission reductions using the latest test procedures are minimal.
2. Equivalent results could be obtained by using a very lean idle setting as shown in the GM retrofit report #71-2.
3. There was no fuel economy improvement observed with "Pollution Master" in our limited tests.

Table I

1972 Federal Emission Tests

1963 Chevrolet V-8, Manual Transmission
All Results in Grams Per Mile

HC	CO	CO ₂	NO ₂
<u>FID</u>	<u>NDIR</u>	<u>NDIR</u>	<u>CI</u>

Pollution Master Tests

7.4	99	451	---
7.5	94	497	---

Stock Vehicle Baseline Tests

9.8	103	446	---
8.5	108	454	---
8.2	98	437	---
8.4	96	465	---

Pollution Master Tests

6.7	81	446	---
8.5	88	394	1.3
5.9	83	434	1.8
7.2	88	434	1.2

Stock Vehicle Baseline Tests

7.0	95	403	1.3
7.8	99	439	1.9
13.1	43	464	2.3
7.6	79	448	1.8

Table II

1972 Federal Emission Tests

1968 Falcon 6, Manual Transmission
All Emission Results in Grams Per Mile

<u>HC FID</u>	<u>CO NDIR</u>	<u>CO₂ NDIR</u>	<u>NO₂ CI</u>	<u>Fuel Used KG</u>
<u>Pollution Master Tests</u>				
3.0	38	434	7.2	1.7
2.6	24	472	5.4	
3.1	25	509	6.6	1.9
<u>Stock Vehicle Baseline Tests</u>				
3.6	32	410	5.2	1.6
3.3	37	479	5.7	

Table III

1971 Federal Emission Tests

1968 Falcon 6 Manual Transmission
A:1 Results in Grams Per Mile

HC
IR

CO
IR

NO
IR

Pollution Master Tests

2.3

24

3.9

Stock Vehicle Baseline Tests

2.8

29

3.7

3.2

29

4.1

Memorandum

PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE

CONSUMER PROTECTION AND ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SERVICE

National Air Pollution Control Administration

Bureau of Abatement and Control

Division of Motor Vehicle Pollution Control

DATE: February 27, 1969

TO : Chief, Emission Control Evaluation Branch
 THROUGH: Chief, Emission Control Evaluation Section
 FROM : Senior Sanitary Engineer, ECES

SUBJECT: "Pollution Master" Device - Evaluation on a Post Office Vehicle

At the request of NAPCA and the Post Office Department (P.O.D.) the "Pollution Master System" has been evaluated for control of exhaust emissions. Pollution Master is manufactured by Automotive Emissions Control Corp. (AEC) of Louisville, Kentucky. The system consists of two parts: one, a replacement for the "PCV" valve, which regulates the flow of crankcase blowby gases by a "Venturi" principle; and the other is a one-way valve which allows air to enter the exhaust manifold during moments when the pressure there is below atmospheric.

Mr. Jim Patton, Mr. Tony Leone, and Mr. Ron Daley of AEC were in attendance throughout the testing except for the rerun of the final baseline. NAPCA was represented by the author and Mr. H.A. Ashby. Work at the Ann Arbor Post Office Garage was coordinated by Mr. George Porter of P.O.D.

On Monday, February 3, 1969, Mr. Ashby and I met with the representatives of AEC at the Post Office Garage in Ann Arbor to inspect the vehicle and to obtain some preliminary data. The P.O.D. vehicle was a 1967 Ford Fairlane station wagon equipped with a 200 CID, 6 cylinder engine and automatic transmission.

The vehicle had approximately 37,000 miles at the start of our tests. In regular service, this vehicle is used for special deliveries, so that the mileage is accumulated under widely varying conditions. Post Office records showed the following recent maintenance:

At 29,514 miles - new spark plugs
 30,942 miles - tune-up to spec's
 Idle Speed: 500 rpm - drive
 Dwell: 38°
 Basic Timing: 5° BTC
 Spark Adv: 42°
 A/F (Sun Instr.): 14.0/1
 35,148 miles (12-31-68) - new ignition points
 36,488 miles (1-23-69) - new spark plug wires



The following information was obtained on this initial inspection:

Idle Speed: 540 rpm - drive
(Sun Instr) A/F: 13.2/1

Compression Pressure (2)			Spark Plug Gap
Cyl. No.	1	173 psi	.035" (3)
Cyl. No.	2	160 "	.035
"	3	170 "	.035 (1)
"	4	130 " , check 135	.036
"	5	178 "	.035
"	6	130 " , check 150	.036

The original test sequence called for two emission baselines to bracket the performance with the device installed. The vehicle was at that time tested in the "as received condition". After the emissions test, the engine was run for an additional two hours with the device installed. Cylinder compression was then checked, with the following results:

Cyl. No.	1	175 psi
"	2	165 "
"	3	175 "
"	4	175 "
"	5	175 "
"	6	165 "

Note the compression increase on cylinders 4 and 6 when compared to initial compression check. AEC personnel felt this was due to the cleaning effect of their device. There is the possibility, however, that the hydraulic valve lifters might have been sticking at the cranking speeds. AEC personnel objected to this test sequence, since they felt it did not allow time for mileage accumulation with the device installed. They also felt this was necessary in order to demonstrate the device's maximum effectiveness. Two other events, however, cast doubt on the value of the data obtained in this first sequence. First, the vehicle had a persistent intermittent misfire which was due to neither the spark plugs nor the emissions control device. Second, and most important, there was a leak in the tubing connecting the "Pollution Master" crankcase ventilation system to the intake manifold which leaned the mixture out significantly. This leak was not detected until the second installation of the device. The data from these first three tests are included in Tables I (Data by 1968 Procedure) and II (Data by 1970 Procedure).

Post Office and NAPCA personnel decided that a second series of tests was necessary with the misfire cured, which included provision for mileage accumulation with the device installed. This new test series was discussed with the AEC representatives. Mr. Patton indicated they would be happy if they could have the car for one (1) day rather than allow the vehicle to accumulate the mileage in normal post office usage. A compromise was reached. AEC personnel would have the vehicle for one (1) day (Saturday)

(1) This spark plug had very heavy deposits
 (2) 20 psi allowable variation (FOMOCO)
 (3) This spark plug had a cracked porcelain and was replaced with a new one

and the following day the vehicle would go into normal post office service. AEC personnel also requested that they be allowed to adjust the idle air-fuel ratio (A/F) to approximately 14.0/1 which is where their system was optimized. This was granted. The new test sequence was:

1. A new baseline with the misfire cured and the engine operating to everyone's satisfaction.
2. A test with the device installed and the A/F adjusted to approximately 14.0/1 to AEC's satisfaction.
3. A second test with device installed after mileage accumulation, as discussed.
4. A final baseline without the device, but with the same A/F as when the device was installed.

In order to cure the misfire and put the engine in proper operating condition the following maintenance was performed:

Installed new: Carbon core spark plug wires
 distributor cap
 vacuum advance mechanism
 air filter
 ignition points

The distributor was disassembled, cleaned and set to specifications. Idle A/F was checked but not adjusted. All this was performed under the supervision of Mr. Porter of the Post Office Department. The vehicle was now in proper operating condition with no misfire. Except for the number one spark plug, the original spark plugs were left in the engine. With the vehicle in this condition, the new baseline emissions test was run. The device was then installed. At this point the leak was detected and all new hoses were installed to correct it. The idle A/F was set at approximately 14.0/1 to the satisfaction of AEC personnel, and tested in this condition. Mileage was accumulated as discussed earlier, after which the A/F and idle speed were checked and found to be as set previously. The number 3 spark plug was examined and the heavy deposit noted earlier had apparently been removed during operation with the device installed. The second emissions test with the device was performed. The device was then removed and the A/F set to approximately 14.0/1. During the final baseline test the engine stalled at idle voiding the test. Since AEC had an agreement with P.O.D. to install the device after the testing was completed, AEC insisted that the car be returned to the P.O. Garage for the reinstallation. As far as they were concerned the testing was completed. The vehicle was then returned to the P.O. where the spark plugs were changed, the carburetor float level reset and a new needle valve installed. The oil and oil filter were also changed. After this the vehicle went back into service for the rest of the day and evening. The following day NAPCA personnel picked up the vehicle, removed the device, adjusted the A/F to that measured with the device installed and reran the final baseline emissions test. This final test was to represent the kind of emissions level this engine is capable of at 37,000 miles when carefully tuned and the carburetor

in good working order. This then could be compared to the emissions level obtainable with the \$35 to \$40 Pollution Master device installed. Unfortunately this last test is not really representative because on the idle portion of the last cycle the engine suddenly got rough and the idle hydrocarbon level increased sharply (see Figure 7, cycles 6 and 7), while CO remained essentially the same. This suggests a misfire or perhaps a stuck valve. In any case, the resultant Hot Cycle and composite hydrocarbon numbers are higher than we feel is representative. The Cold Cycle hydrocarbon level is representative and indicates that the engine is capable of equalling the hydrocarbon level and, more assuredly, the CO level obtained with the device installed.

During this testing neither the author nor Mr. Ashby detected an appreciable difference in driveability of the vehicle with or without the device, although Mr. Porter of F.O.D. apparently felt it was improved somewhat with the device installed.

In summary, it appears that the device may have a small beneficial effect on exhaust emissions on this particular vehicle. However, by tuning the engine with low emissions in mind (lean carburation) the reduction due to the device becomes marginal.



Michael A. Caggiano

Attachments

TABLE I

**"Pollution Master" Device Evaluation
on a Post Office Vehicle**

Conditions	Device	Cold Cycle		Hot Cycle		Composite	
		HC	CO	HC	CO	HC	CO
First Series of Tests							
(1) As received baseline	without	352	1.69	328	1.28	337	1.42
(2) As received	with	363	0.58	379	0.28	374	0.38
Baseline	without	324	1.55	297	1.17	307	1.30
Second Series of Tests							
(3) Tuned-up baseline	without	372	1.50	338	1.20	350	1.31
With A/F adjusted to 14.0/1	with	334	1.25	294	0.83	308	0.98
After mileage accumulation	with	293	1.10	281	0.57	285	0.76
Baseline with A/F at 14.0/1	without	325	1.17	353	0.63	343	0.82

- (1) Vehicle had misfire which was not corrected during the first series of tests. #1 spark plug was changed at the initial inspection of the vehicle. The rest of the spark plugs remained in throughout both test series.
- (2) Subsequent to this test, a leak was found in the PCV Tubing of the Pollution Master device which allowed air to enter the intake manifold and lean out the mixture.
- (3) Misfire was corrected and the ignition system was put in proper operating condition. Still using the original spark plugs. A/F was unchanged from first series of tests.

TABLE II
"Pollution Master" Device Evaluation
on a Post Office Vehicle

Test No.	Conditions	Device	Composite No.	
			HC Grams Miles	CO Grams Miles
First Series of Tests				
1	As received baseline	without	3.96	32.9
2	As received	with	4.01	8.1
3	Baseline	without	3.60	30.2
Second Series of Tests				
4	Tuned-up baseline	without	4.08	30.0
5	With A/F adjusted to 14.0/1	with	3.51	21.7
6	After mileage accumulation	with	3.23	16.6
7	Baseline with A/F to 14.0/1	without	3.88	18.0
		1970 Federal Standards are	2.2	23

2-1447 OE-04-69 FAIRLANE STWGN 720408 37060 MILES 3500#
EXPERIMENTAL (BASELINE W/O DEVICE)

FIGURE 1

SUM	CYCLES 1-4	CYCLES 6-7
354.7851	1.7504 13.1899	1.2767 13.6681
	TOTAL WEIGHTED SUM	337.7370 1.4425 13.5007

HC	CO	CO2	FACTOR	WHC	WCO		
473.9	9.87	8.47	.82	16.3	.34		
423.4	4.31	11.67	.94	96.9	.99		
325.5	3.98	12.20	.93	35.6	.44		
694.1	9.35	8.79	.81	34.8	.47		
394.1	5.29	11.60	.89	17.5	.23		
305.8	.80	13.69	1.04	144.0	.38		
3288.7	6.15	7.81	.94	89.8	.17		
TOTAL CYCLE 1		434.9 PPM	HC	3.01 CO	11.91 CO2		
417.1	5.84	11.23	.88	15.4	.22		
283.2	.99	11.91	1.16	80.4	.28		
187.1	.16	12.37	1.20	26.4	.02		
443.3	5.95	10.27	.91	25.0	.34		
304.8	2.64	13.32	.94	14.3	.12		
193.0	.31	11.93	1.23	107.6	.17		
2629.3	4.97	8.94	.97	73.9	.14		
TOTAL CYCLE 2		343.0 PPM	HC	1.29 CO	13.65 CO2		
442.3	6.56	10.77	.87	16.1	.24		
290.4	.98	12.42	1.12	79.3	.27		
193.0	.18	12.67	1.17	26.6	.02		
354.5	5.00	11.07	.92	20.2	.29		
291.4	2.65	13.40	.93	13.6	.12		
170.2	.33	12.37	1.18	91.4	.18		
2259.5	4.70	9.28	.98	64.1	.13		
TOTAL CYCLE 3		311.3 PPM	HC	1.25 CO	13.70 CO2		
424.4	6.51	10.86	.86	15.4	.24		
274.0	.94	12.50	1.12	74.6	.26		
184.1	.19	12.65	1.17	25.4	.03		
461.2	4.82	11.00	.93	26.7	.28		
287.3	2.31	13.47	.95	13.7	.11		
188.1	.32	12.37	1.18	101.1	.17		
2203.7	4.70	9.41	.97	62.1	.13		
TOTAL CYCLE 4		318.9 PPM	HC	1.21 CO	13.73 CO2		
381.5	6.30	10.82	.88	14.0	.23		
280.2	1.16	12.65	1.09	74.2	.31		
176.1	.25	13.19	1.12	23.2	.03		
506.7	4.91	10.86	.93	29.3	.28		
275.0	2.46	13.32	.95	13.1	.12		
205.1	.31	12.55	1.17	108.8	.17		
2093.3	4.63	9.17	1.00	60.5	.13		
TOTAL CYCLE 6		323.1 PPM	HC	1.27 CO	13.67 CO2		
397.2	6.06	11.00	.88	14.7	.22		
285.3	.99	12.72	1.09	76.1	.26		
199.0	.23	13.42	1.10	25.8	.03		
518.4	4.88	11.02	.93	29.7	.28		
277.1	3.09	13.08	.93	12.9	.14		
208.1	.39	12.47	1.17	110.4	.20		
2231.6	4.62	9.13	.99	64.3	.13		
TOTAL CYCLE 7		334.0 PPM	HC	1.28 CO	13.66 CO2		
AVERAGE OF CYCLES 1-4			352.0 PPM HC	1.69	CO	13.25	CO2
AVERAGE OF CYCLES 6-7			328.5 PPM HC	1.28	CO	13.67	CO2
WEIGHTED SUM			336.8 PPM HC	1.42	CO	13.52	CO2

STOP

SUM	CYCLES 1-4			CYCLES 6-7		
359.0629	.6214	14.2848	379.2561	.2765	14.6118	
	TOTAL WEIGHTED SUM	372.1885		.3972	14.4973	
HC	CO	CO2	FACTOR	WCO	WCO2	
204.1	.93	13.21	1.06	9.1	.04	
278.1	1.75	13.06	1.01	68.8	.43	
276.1	2.32	13.34	.95	31.1	.27	
277.1	4.69	11.27	.93	16.0	.27	
262.8	1.80	13.21	1.00	13.1	.09	
222.2	.34	13.55	1.08	109.1	.17	
2619.7	2.02	9.17	1.17	89.2	.07	
TOTAL CYCLE 1		336.4	PPM HC	1.34	CO	13.60 CO2
218.1	.48	12.13	1.19	10.9	.02	
186.1	.29	12.15	1.21	54.7	.08	
149.5	.15	12.03	1.23	21.7	.02	
822.7	.82	10.82	1.24	63.0	.06	
247.5	.26	13.13	1.12	13.9	.01	
154.4	.19	12.30	1.20	84.4	.10	
2381.3	1.05	9.19	1.28	88.7	.04	
TOTAL CYCLE 2		337.4	PPM HC	.35	CO	14.56 CO2
279.1	.29	11.93	1.23	14.4	.02	
206.1	.28	12.55	1.17	58.8	.08	
149.5	.18	12.06	1.23	21.6	.03	
1246.3	.50	10.29	1.30	100.4	.04	
181.1	.34	13.00	1.12	10.2	.02	
144.6	.21	12.55	1.18	77.4	.11	
2362.5	.77	8.66	1.38	94.8	.03	
TOTAL CYCLE 3		377.5	PPM HC	.32	CO	14.56 CO2
367.0	.29	11.60	1.26	19.5	.02	
227.2	.27	12.57	1.17	64.8	.08	
156.4	.18	12.15	1.22	22.4	.03	
1271.3	.44	10.32	1.30	102.7	.04	
198.0	.28	13.00	1.13	11.2	.02	
164.2	.20	12.30	1.20	89.7	.11	
2268.8	.76	8.72	1.38	91.0	.03	
TOTAL CYCLE 4		401.2	PPM HC	.31	CO	14.58 CO2
346.2	.18	11.60	1.27	18.5	.01	
214.1	.25	12.50	1.18	61.5	.07	
141.8	.16	12.20	1.21	20.3	.02	
1163.6	.42	10.25	1.32	95.2	.03	
243.6	.27	12.78	1.16	14.0	.02	
135.8	.17	12.30	1.20	74.3	.09	
2185.3	.68	8.76	1.39	88.4	.03	
TOTAL CYCLE 6		372.2	PPM HC	.28	CO	14.61 CO2
295.6	.23	11.84	1.24	15.4	.01	
217.1	.23	12.47	1.18	62.5	.07	
157.3	.17	12.30	1.20	22.3	.02	
1221.5	.41	10.25	1.32	99.7	.03	
186.1	.24	12.95	1.14	10.6	.01	
158.3	.18	12.30	1.20	86.6	.10	
2185.3	.68	8.66	1.41	89.3	.03	
TOTAL CYCLE 7		386.4	PPM HC	.28	CO	14.61 CO2
AVERAGE OF CYCLES 1-4			363.1 PPM HC	.58	CO	14.32 CO2
AVERAGE OF CYCLES 6-7			379.3 PPM HC	.28	CO	14.61 CO2
WEIGHTED SUM			373.6 PPM HC	.38	CO	14.51 CO2

STOP

*JG

FIGURE 3

2-1451 02-06-69 FORD FAIRLANE STWGN 720408 37195 MILES 3500#
EXPERIMENTAL BASELINE TWO WITH OUT DEVICE

SUM 327.2891 CYCLES 1-4 1.6105 13.3306 CYCLES 6-7 1.1721 13.7738
TOTAL WEIGHTED SUM 307.8962 297.4538 1.3255 13.6187

HC	CO	CO2	FACTOR	WCO	WCO
30.7	.63	1.56	6.84	8.8	.18
372.2	3.37	12.28	.96	87.1	.79
306.9	3.81	12.30	.93	33.7	.42
910.1	9.03	8.61	.82	46.5	.46
279.1	5.83	11.11	.89	12.4	.26
258.7	.78	13.53	1.05	123.4	.37
3569.1	5.33	7.88	.98	101.1	.15
TOTAL CYCLE 1 413.1 PPM HC 2.63 CO 12.28 CO2					
416.0	6.51	10.69	.87	15.2	.24
277.1	.95	11.91	1.17	78.9	.27
229.2	.16	12.50	1.19	32.1	.02
403.5	5.67	10.55	.91	22.8	.32
275.0	2.48	13.32	.95	13.1	.12
166.2	.27	11.89	1.23	93.4	.15
1957.0	4.79	9.69	.96	54.4	.13
TOTAL CYCLE 2 309.7 PPM HC 1.25 CO 13.70 CO2					
367.0	6.05	11.04	.88	13.5	.22
241.4	.84	12.32	1.14	67.1	.23
172.1	.18	12.62	1.17	23.8	.02
389.9	4.81	11.11	.93	22.4	.28
261.8	2.83	13.19	.94	12.3	.13
156.4	.30	12.30	1.19	84.7	.16
2029.4	4.58	9.54	.98	57.6	.13
TOTAL CYCLE 3 281.4 PPM HC 1.18 CO 13.77 CO2					
381.5	6.16	10.98	.88	14.0	.23
230.2	.74	12.23	1.16	65.0	.21
169.2	.18	12.83	1.15	23.0	.02
454.9	4.75	11.00	.94	26.4	.28
261.8	2.47	13.34	.95	12.4	.12
167.2	.29	12.23	1.20	91.2	.16
2093.3	4.61	9.43	.98	59.5	.13
TOTAL CYCLE 4 291.5 PPM HC 1.14 CO 13.81 CO2					
354.5	6.21	10.71	.89	13.2	.23
229.2	.80	12.28	1.15	64.1	.23
157.3	.17	12.75	1.16	21.6	.02
534.3	4.59	10.89	.95	31.5	.27
245.5	3.29	12.80	.93	11.4	.15
170.2	.28	12.15	1.21	93.4	.15
2102.5	4.39	9.26	1.01	61.3	.13
TOTAL CYCLE 6 296.6 PPM HC 1.18 CO 13.76 CO2					
371.1	6.15	10.89	.88	13.7	.23
245.5	.76	12.32	1.15	28.6	.21
167.2	.18	12.75	1.16	22.9	.02
409.7	4.68	11.02	.94	23.9	.27
238.4	2.81	13.03	.95	11.3	.13
170.2	.30	12.06	1.21	94.0	.16
2185.3	4.30	9.26	1.01	63.9	.13
TOTAL CYCLE 7 298.4 PPM HC 1.16 CO 13.79 CO2					
AVERAGE OF CYCLES 1-4 323.9 PPM HC 1.55 CO 13.39 CO2					
AVERAGE OF CYCLES 6-7 297.5 PPM HC 1.17 CO 13.77 CO2					
WEIGHTED SUM 306.7 PPM HC 1.30 CO 13.64 CO2					

STOP

FIGURE 4

8-1455 02-07-69 FORD FAIRLANE
EXPERIMENTAL WITH OUT POLLUTION MASTER

720408

37241 MILES 35000

SUM	CYCLES 1-4		CYCLES 6-7	
373.0747	1.5348	13.4063	338.2631	1.2036
TOTAL	WEIGHTED	SUM		
		350.4472	1.3195	13.7475
				13.6281

HC	CO	CO2	FACTOR	WHC	WCO			
389.9	5.16	11.44	.90	14.8	.20			
392.0	3.03	12.55	.96	92.1	.71			
326.5	3.68	12.50	.93	35.7	.40			
847.1	8.74	9.01	.82	43.2	.45			
385.7	5.29	11.60	.89	17.1	.23			
294.5	.47	13.63	1.06	142.5	.23			
3720.6	5.15	7.75	.99	107.0	.15			
TOTAL CYCLE 1		452.4	PPM	HC 2.37	CO 12.54	CO2		
487.6	6.01	11.07	.88	18.0	.22			
314.1	.93	12.47	1.12	85.8	.25			
209.1	.19	13.26	1.12	27.5	.02			
439.1	5.81	10.64	.90	24.4	.32			
310.0	3.21	13.11	.92	14.2	.15			
218.1	.24	12.20	1.21	119.6	.13			
2419.1	4.74	9.24	.97	68.2	.13			
TOTAL CYCLE 2		357.7	PPM	HC 1.24	CO 13.71	CO2		
488.7	6.08	11.04	.88	18.0	.22			
316.2	.84	12.70	1.11	85.4	.23			
202.1	.16	13.11	1.13	26.9	.02			
337.9	5.11	11.20	.91	19.0	.29			
282.2	3.38	13.06	.91	12.9	.15			
225.2	.28	12.62	1.16	119.1	.15			
1947.9	4.61	9.69	.97	54.8	.13			
TOTAL CYCLE 3		336.2	PPM	HC 1.19	CO 13.76	CO2		
451.7	5.88	11.18	.88	16.7	.22			
316.2	.95	12.60	1.11	85.4	.26			
202.1	.17	13.24	1.12	26.7	.02			
397.2	4.98	11.18	.91	22.5	.28			
291.4	3.05	13.16	.93	13.5	.14			
234.3	.30	12.62	1.16	123.7	.16			
1885.1	4.60	9.77	.97	52.9	.13			
TOTAL CYCLE 4		341.4	PPM	HC 1.21	CO 13.75	CO2		
398.2	5.72	11.09	.89	14.9	.21			
291.4	1.02	12.62	1.10	78.2	.27			
186.1	.17	13.19	1.12	24.7	.02			
469.7	4.75	11.18	.92	26.9	.27			
265.8	3.02	13.19	.93	12.3	.14			
229.2	.30	12.70	1.15	120.3	.16			
2002.2	4.37	9.65	.99	57.2	.12			
TOTAL CYCLE 6		334.6	PPM	HC 1.21	CO 13.74	CO2		
485.5	5.29	11.58	.89	18.1	.20			
330.6	1.02	12.83	1.08	87.4	.27			
205.1	.25	13.74	1.07	25.9	.03			
425.5	4.74	11.39	.92	24.1	.27			
265.8	2.98	13.24	.92	12.3	.14			
230.2	.33	12.83	1.14	119.5	.17			
1912.0	4.36	9.75	.98	54.5	.12			
TOTAL CYCLE 7		341.9	PPM	HC 1.20	CO 13.75	CO2		
AVERAGE OF CYCLES 1-4				371.9	PPM	HC 1.50	CO 13.44	CO2
AVERAGE OF CYCLES 6-7				338.2	PPM	HC 1.20	CO 13.75	CO2
WEIGHTED SUM				350.0	PPM	HC 1.31	CO 13.64	CO2

STOP

*IG

2-1458 02-08-69 FORD FAIRLANE
EXPERIMENTAL WITH POLLUTION MASTER

FIGURE 5

720408

37283 MILES 35000

17.

SUM	CYCLES 1-4	CYCLES 6-7
336.9456	1.2980 13.6453	293.7985 .8306 14.1191
TOTAL	WEIGHTED SUM 308.9000	.9942 13.9533

HC	CO	CO2	FACTOR	WHC	WCO		
347.2	4.83	10.98	.95	13.8	.19		
375.3	3.39	12.18	.96	88.3	.80		
333.7	4.48	11.96	.91	35.9	.48		
829.2	7.49	8.57	.91	46.6	.42		
359.7	5.44	11.09	.91	16.3	.25		
305.8	.78	13.82	1.03	143.0	.36		
2775.8	3.97	8.57	1.06	85.0	.12		
TOTAL CYCLE 1		428.9	PPM	HC 2.62	CO 12.30	CO2	
279.1	2.82	11.51	1.05	12.3	.12		
236.3	.49	12.62	1.14	66.0	.14		
197.0	.20	13.45	1.10	25.6	.03		
256.7	3.51	11.51	.99	15.7	.21		
242.4	1.89	13.11	1.00	12.1	.09		
201.0	.23	12.67	1.16	106.3	.12		
2213.0	2.85	9.61	1.09	69.8	.09		
TOTAL CYCLE 2		307.8	PPM	HC .81	CO 14.14	CO2	
249.5	2.44	11.79	1.05	11.0	.11		
228.2	.48	12.95	1.12	62.2	.13		
185.1	.21	13.42	1.10	24.0	.03		
238.4	2.63	12.01	1.02	15.0	.17		
239.4	2.10	13.00	.99	11.9	.10		
206.1	.24	13.13	1.12	105.1	.12		
2011.3	2.32	9.83	1.12	65.5	.08		
TOTAL CYCLE 3		294.8	PPM	HC .74	CO 14.22	CO2	
269.9	2.55	11.69	1.05	11.9	.11		
254.6	.68	13.13	1.09	67.5	.18		
193.0	.17	13.63	1.09	24.7	.02		
227.2	2.72	11.96	1.01	14.3	.17		
244.4	2.20	12.80	1.00	12.2	.11		
223.2	.32	13.26	1.10	112.1	.16		
1929.9	2.30	9.92	1.12	62.7	.07		
TOTAL CYCLE 4		305.5	PPM	HC .83	CO 14.12	CO2	
236.3	2.68	11.39	1.07	10.6	.12		
232.3	.73	13.13	1.08	61.3	.19		
178.1	.23	13.69	1.08	22.6	.03		
306.9	2.47	11.91	1.03	19.6	.16		
208.1	2.27	12.65	1.01	10.5	.11		
204.1	.31	13.32	1.10	102.2	.15		
1947.9	2.16	9.81	1.14	64.5	.07		
TOTAL CYCLE 6		291.3	PPM	HC .84	CO 14.11	CO2	
246.5	2.59	11.82	1.06	10.9	.11		
237.3	.70	13.16	1.08	62.7	.18		
195.0	.29	13.90	1.06	24.3	.04		
262.8	2.55	11.98	1.02	16.6	.16		
221.2	1.96	12.93	1.01	11.1	.10		
205.1	.31	13.21	1.11	103.5	.16		
2002.2	2.02	9.75	1.16	67.1	.07		
TOTAL CYCLE 7		296.3	PPM	HC .82	CO 14.13	CO2	
AVERAGE OF CYCLES 1-4				334.3 PPM HC	1.25	CO 13.69	CO2
AVERAGE OF CYCLES 6-7				293.8 PPM HC	.83	CO 14.12	CO2
WEIGHTED SUM				308.0 PPM HC	.98	CO 13.97	CO2

STOP

*JG

FIGURE 6

2-1464 02-11-69 FORD FAIRLANE
EXPERIMENTAL WITH POLLUTION MASTER

720408

37532 MILES 3500#

SUM	CYCLES 1-4		CYCLES 6-7	
294.1678	1.1601	13.7920	280.7896	.5706 14.3755
TOTAL	WEIGHTED	SUM	285.4720	.7769 14.1712

HC	CO	CO2	FACTOR	WHC	WCO		
293.5	3.10	12.25	.98	12.0	.13		
328.6	2.83	12.37	.99	79.1	.68		
295.6	4.37	11.98	.92	32.0	.47		
277.1	6.66	10.19	.88	15.1	.36		
321.3	4.80	11.60	.91	14.7	.22		
282.2	1.12	13.66	1.02	130.3	.52		
1690.2	4.46	9.98	.97	47.6	.13		
TOTAL CYCLE 1		330.9	PPM	HC 2.51	CO 12.46	CO2	
215.1	2.51	12.40	1.01	9.1	.11		
209.1	.56	13.13	1.10	55.6	.15		
172.1	.19	13.50	1.10	22.3	.02		
233.3	2.72	11.98	1.01	14.6	.17		
189.0	1.22	13.42	1.02	9.7	.06		
171.2	.20	12.60	1.17	91.3	.11		
2020.3	2.39	9.96	1.11	64.8	.08		
TOTAL CYCLE 2		267.3	PPM	HC .70	CO 14.26	CO2	
293.5	2.27	12.10	1.04	12.9	.10		
220.1	.45	12.70	1.14	61.3	.13		
151.4	.13	12.65	1.17	21.0	.02		
398.2	1.97	11.93	1.06	26.2	.13		
184.1	1.21	13.42	1.03	9.4	.06		
181.1	.19	12.78	1.16	95.3	.10		
1920.9	2.15	9.83	1.14	63.6	.07		
TOTAL CYCLE 3		289.6	PPM	HC .61	CO 14.34	CO2	
251.6	2.17	12.23	1.04	11.0	.09		
208.1	.48	12.88	1.12	57.0	.13		
151.4	.17	12.72	1.16	20.8	.02		
465.4	1.97	11.79	1.07	30.8	.13		
185.1	1.08	13.37	1.04	9.6	.06		
180.1	.18	12.78	1.16	94.8	.10		
1804.8	1.97	10.04	1.15	59.9	.07		
TOTAL CYCLE 4		284.1	PPM	HC .60	CO 14.35	CO2	
224.2	1.91	12.06	1.07	10.1	.09		
199.0	.44	12.78	1.13	55.1	.12		
143.6	.13	12.83	1.16	19.6	.02		
520.5	1.76	11.69	1.09	35.2	.12		
172.1	.92	13.45	1.04	9.0	.05		
178.1	.17	12.78	1.16	93.9	.09		
1912.0	1.84	9.96	1.16	64.3	.06		
TOTAL CYCLE 6		287.2	PPM	HC .54	CO 14.40	CO2	
237.3	1.93	12.25	1.06	10.5	.09		
216.1	.44	13.13	1.10	58.3	.12		
156.4	.16	13.06	1.14	20.9	.02		
375.3	1.84	12.08	1.06	24.7	.12		
167.2	1.37	13.00	1.04	8.7	.07		
183.1	.22	12.88	1.15	95.4	.11		
1699.0	1.94	10.23	1.14	56.0	.06		
TOTAL CYCLE 7		274.6	PPM	HC .60	CO 14.35	CO2	
AVERAGE OF CYCLES 1-4				293.0 PPM HC	1.10	CO 13.85	CO2
AVERAGE OF CYCLES 6-7				280.9 PPM HC	.97	CO 14.38	CO2
WEIGHTED SUM				285.1 PPM HC	.76	CO 14.19	CO2

STOP

FIGURE 7

2-1475 02-14-69 FORD FAIRLANE
EXPERIMENTAL (WITH OUT DEVICE)

720408

37638 MILES 3500#

SUM		CYCLES 1-4		CYCLES 6-7	
327.2657	1.2129	13.7404	352.8084	.6303	14.3135
TOTAL		WEIGHTED SUM	343.8685	.8342	14.1161
HC	CO	CO2	FACTOR	WHC	WCO
341.0	2.93	12.93	.94	13.5	.12
369.0	2.31	12.75	.96	86.8	.66
301.7	4.13	12.42	.91	32.3	.44
321.3	7.32	10.40	.84	16.7	.38
353.4	5.32	11.77	.88	15.5	.23
299.7	.73	13.98	1.02	138.5	.36
2428.5	4.42	9.73	.96	67.7	.12
TOTAL CYCLE 1		370.9 PPM	HC	2.32 CO	12.63 CO2
335.8	3.58	12.85	.91	12.9	.14
277.1	.95	12.80	1.09	73.8	.25
193.0	.17	13.88	1.07	24.3	.02
232.3	3.47	12.75	.92	13.2	.20
232.3	1.73	13.93	.96	11.1	.08
277.0	.21	12.30	1.23	109.2	.11
2203.7	3.03	10.36	1.02	65.1	.09
TOTAL CYCLE 2		309.6 PPM	HC	.90 CO	14.06 CO2
399.3	3.29	13.08	.92	15.4	.13
237.3	.62	12.75	1.12	78.6	.17
173.1	.15	13.00	1.14	24.0	.02
283.2	2.63	12.93	.95	16.7	.16
236.3	1.37	14.15	.97	11.4	.07
209.1	.22	12.60	1.17	111.3	.12
1934.1	2.58	10.73	1.03	59.5	.08
TOTAL CYCLE 3		317.0 PPM	HC	.73 CO	14.22 CO2
373.2	2.94	13.21	.93	14.6	.11
290.2	.69	12.85	1.11	75.7	.19
151.1	.15	13.24	1.12	23.9	.02
313.1	2.46	13.03	.95	18.5	.15
222.2	1.43	14.29	.95	10.6	.07
204.1	.22	12.60	1.17	103.7	.12
1769.3	2.41	11.02	1.04	53.1	.07
TOTAL CYCLE 4		305.1 PPM	HC	.72 CO	14.23 CO2
297.7	2.37	13.16	.97	12.2	.10
236.3	.47	12.60	1.15	66.2	.13
163.2	.13	12.75	1.16	22.4	.02
349.3	2.14	12.70	1.00	21.6	.13
203.1	1.36	13.85	.99	10.3	.07
199.0	.19	12.30	1.20	109.2	.10
2056.3	2.22	10.27	1.09	65.2	.07
TOTAL CYCLE 6		306.0 PPM	HC	.62 CO	14.33 CO2
348.3	2.75	12.62	1.02	36.4	.09
436.0	.53	12.40	1.16	123.4	.15
194.0	.14	12.35	1.15	26.4	.02
220.2	2.72	12.75	1.00	26.9	.12
232.3	1.37	13.90	.99	11.9	.06
219.1	.22	12.35	1.19	119.0	.12
1349.2	2.27	10.51	1.08	57.9	.07
TOTAL CYCLE 7		470.6 PPM	HC	.64 CO	14.31 CO2
AVERAGE OF CYCLES 1-4		325.6 PPM	HC	1.17 CO	13.79 CO2
AVERAGE OF CYCLES 6-7		353.3 PPM	HC	.63 CO	14.32 CO2
WEIGHTED SUM		343.6 PPM	HC	.82 CO	14.13 CO2

Reproduced from
best available copy.

FIGURE 1A

2-1447 02-04-69
EXPERIMENTAL

FORD FAIRLANE
w/o Devils

720408

37060 MILE 35000

HC	CO	CO2	FACTOR	WHC	WCO			
473.9	9.87	8.47	1.04	20.7	.43			
423.4	4.31	11.67	1.02	104.9	1.07			
325.5	3.98	12.20	1.00	38.3	.47			
694.1	9.35	8.79	1.02	43.9	.59			
394.1	5.29	11.60	.99	19.5	.26			
305.8	.80	13.69	1.01	139.9	.37			
3288.7	6.15	7.81	1.00	95.8	.18			
TOTAL CYCLE 1			463.0 PPM	HC 3.37	CO 12.32	CO2		
417.1	5.84	11.23	.99	17.4	.24			
283.2	.99	11.91	1.14	78.8	.28			
187.1	.16	12.37	1.15	25.3	.02			
443.3	5.95	10.27	1.06	29.0	.39			
304.8	2.64	13.32	.97	14.8	.13			
193.0	.31	11.93	1.18	103.6	.17			
2629.3	4.97	8.94	1.02	77.5	.15			
TOTAL CYCLE 2			346.4 PPM	HC 1.37	CO 13.44	CO2		
442.3	6.56	10.77	1.00	18.5	.27			
290.4	.98	12.42	1.10	77.7	.26			
193.0	.18	12.67	1.12	25.5	.02			
354.5	5.00	11.07	1.04	22.8	.32			
291.4	2.65	13.40	.96	14.1	.13			
170.2	.33	12.37	1.14	88.2	.17			
2259.5	4.70	9.28	1.03	67.5	.14			
TOTAL CYCLE 3			314.3 PPM	HC 1.32	CO 13.50	CO2		
424.4	6.51	10.86	.99	17.7	.27			
274.0	.94	12.50	1.09	73.1	.25			
184.1	.19	12.65	1.12	24.3	.03			
461.2	4.82	11.00	1.04	29.8	.31			
287.3	2.31	13.47	.97	13.9	.11			
188.1	.32	12.37	1.14	97.4	.17			
2203.7	4.70	9.41	1.03	65.5	.14			
TOTAL CYCLE 4			321.8 PPM	HC 1.28	CO 13.51	CO2		
381.5	6.30	10.82	1.01	16.2	.27			
280.2	1.16	12.65	1.07	73.2	.30			
176.1	.25	13.19	1.07	22.3	.03			
506.7	4.91	10.86	1.05	32.8	.32			
275.0	2.46	13.32	.98	13.4	.12			
205.1	.31	12.55	1.12	104.7	.16			
2093.3	4.63	9.17	1.05	64.0	.14			
TOTAL CYCLE 6			326.7 PPM	HC 1.34	CO 13.48	CO2		
397.2	6.06	11.00	1.00	16.7	.26			
285.3	.99	12.72	1.07	74.6	.26			
199.0	.23	13.42	1.05	24.6	.03			
518.4	4.88	11.02	1.03	33.2	.31			
277.1	3.09	13.08	.97	13.5	.15			
208.1	.39	12.47	1.12	106.5	.20			
2231.6	4.62	9.13	1.05	67.8	.14			
TOTAL CYCLE 7			337.0 PPM	HC 1.34	CO 13.46	CO2		
AVERAGE OF CYCLES 1-4				361.4 PPM	HC 1.84	CO 13.19	CO2	
AVERAGE OF CYCLES 6-7				331.9 PPM	HC 1.34	CO 13.47	CO2	
WEIGHTED SUM				342.2 PPM	HC 1.52	CO 13.37	CO2	

AUTOMATIC MASS EMISSIONS
 HC 3.96 GRAMS PER MILE CO 32.9 GRAMS PER MILE

STOP

2-1448 02-05-69
EXPERIMENTAL W

FORD FAIRLANE
Device

720408

37083 MILES 3500#

HC	CO	CO2	FACTOR	WHC	WCO			
204.1	.93	13.21	1.04	8.9	.04			
278.1	1.75	13.08	1.02	69.1	.43			
276.1	2.39	13.34	.98	31.8	.28			
277.1	4.69	11.27	1.04	17.9	.30			
262.8	1.80	13.21	1.01	13.2	.09			
222.2	.34	13.55	1.04	105.0	.16			
2619.7	2.02	9.17	1.11	84.6	.07			
TOTAL CYCLE 1		330.7 PPM	HC	1.37 CO	13.46 CO2			
218.1	.48	12.13	1.15	10.5	.02			
186.1	.29	12.15	1.16	52.7	.08			
149.5	.15	12.03	1.18	20.8	.02			
822.7	.82	10.82	1.20	61.0	.06			
247.5	.26	13.13	1.07	13.3	.01			
154.4	.19	12.30	1.15	81.1	.10			
2381.3	1.05	9.19	1.18	81.5	.04			
TOTAL CYCLE 2		320.9 PPM	HC	.34 CO	13.99 CO2			
279.1	.29	11.93	1.17	13.7	.01			
206.1	.28	12.55	1.12	56.5	.08			
149.5	.18	12.06	1.18	20.8	.03			
1246.3	.50	10.29	1.22	94.2	.04			
181.1	.34	13.00	1.08	9.8	.02			
144.6	.21	12.55	1.13	74.5	.11			
2362.5	.77	8.66	1.25	85.7	.03			
TOTAL CYCLE 3		355.2 PPM	HC	.31 CO	13.96 CO2			
367.0	.29	11.60	1.19	18.4	.01			
227.2	.27	12.57	1.12	82.1	.07			
156.4	.18	12.15	1.17	21.6	.02			
1271.3	.44	10.32	1.22	96.0	.03			
198.0	.28	13.00	1.09	10.7	.01			
164.2	.20	12.30	1.15	86.2	.10			
2268.8	.76	8.72	1.26	82.6	.03			
TOTAL CYCLE 4		377.5 PPM	HC	.29 CO	13.95 CO2			
346.2	.18	11.60	1.20	17.5	.01			
214.1	.25	12.50	1.13	58.9	.07			
141.6	.16	12.20	1.17	19.5	.02			
1163.6	.42	10.25	1.24	89.3	.03			
243.6	.27	12.78	1.10	13.4	.01			
135.8	.17	12.30	1.16	71.5	.09			
2185.3	.68	8.76	1.26	80.2	.02			
TOTAL CYCLE 6		350.2 PPM	HC	.26 CO	13.99 CO2			
295.6	.23	11.84	1.18	14.7	.01			
217.1	.23	12.47	1.13	59.9	.06			
157.3	.17	12.30	1.15	21.4	.02			
1221.5	.41	10.25	1.23	93.2	.03			
186.1	.24	12.95	1.09	10.2	.01			
158.3	.18	12.30	1.15	83.2	.09			
2176.1	.67	8.61	1.28	81.0	.02			
TOTAL CYCLE 7		363.5 PPM	HC	.26 CO	13.98 CO2			
AVERAGE OF CYCLES 1-4			346.1 PPM HC	.58 CO	13.84 CO2			
AVERAGE OF CYCLES 6-7			356.9 PPM HC	.26 CO	13.98 CO2			
WEIGHTED SUM			353.1 PPM HC	.37 CO	13.93 CO2			

AUTOMATIC MASS EMISSIONS

HC 4.09 GRAMS PER MILE CO 8.1 GRAMS PER MILE

STOP

*16

2-1451 02-06-69 FORD FAIRLANE
EXPERIMENTAL w/o Device

720408

37195 MILES 3500#

HC	CO	CO2	FACTOR	WHC	WCO				
30.7	.63	1.56	7.59	9.8	.20				
372.2	3.37	12.28	1.01	91.7	.83				
306.9	3.81	12.30	1.00	36.1	.45				
910.1	9.03	8.61	1.03	58.0	.58				
279.1	5.83	11.11	1.01	14.1	.29				
258.7	.78	12.53	1.02	120.2	.36				
3569.1	5.33	7.88	1.01	104.2	.16				
TOTAL CYCLE 1						434.2 PPM	HC 2.87	CO 12.60	CO2
416.0	6.71	10.69	1.01	17.6	.28				
277.1	.95	11.91	1.14	77.3	.27				
229.2	.16	12.50	1.13	30.6	.02				
403.5	5.27	10.55	1.05	26.2	.37				
275.0	2.48	13.32	.98	13.4	.12				
166.2	.27	11.89	1.19	89.9	.14				
1957.0	4.79	9.69	1.02	58.0	.14				
TOTAL CYCLE 2						313.0 PPM	HC 1.34	CO 13.49	CO2
367.0	6.05	11.04	1.00	15.4	.25				
241.4	.84	12.32	1.11	65.7	.23				
172.1	.18	12.62	1.12	22.8	.02				
389.9	4.81	11.11	1.04	25.1	.31				
261.8	2.83	13.19	.97	12.7	.14				
156.4	.30	12.30	1.15	81.8	.16				
2029.4	4.58	9.54	1.03	60.9	.14				
TOTAL CYCLE 3						284.4 PPM	HC 1.25	CO 13.57	CO2
381.5	6.16	10.98	1.00	16.1	.26				
230.2	.74	12.23	1.13	63.4	.20				
169.2	.18	12.83	1.11	22.1	.02				
454.9	4.75	11.00	1.05	29.5	.31				
261.8	2.47	13.34	.98	12.8	.12				
167.2	.29	12.23	1.16	87.9	.15				
2093.3	4.61	9.43	1.04	62.9	.14				
TOTAL CYCLE 4						294.6 PPM	HC 1.21	CO 13.58	CO2
354.5	6.21	10.71	1.02	15.2	.27				
229.2	.80	12.28	1.12	62.7	.22				
157.3	.17	12.75	1.12	20.7	.02				
534.3	4.59	10.89	1.05	34.9	.30				
245.5	3.29	12.80	.99	12.1	.16				
170.2	.28	12.15	1.16	90.0	.15				
2102.5	4.39	9.26	1.06	64.4	.13				
TOTAL CYCLE 6						300.1 PPM	HC 1.25	CO 13.55	CO2
371.1	6.15	10.89	1.01	15.7	.26				
245.5	.76	12.32	1.12	66.9	.21				
167.2	.18	12.75	1.11	22.0	.02				
409.7	4.68	11.02	1.05	26.7	.30				
238.4	2.81	13.03	.99	11.8	.14				
170.2	.30	12.06	1.17	90.6	.16				
2185.3	4.30	9.26	1.05	66.7	.13				
TOTAL CYCLE 7						300.5 PPM	HC 1.23	CO 13.56	CO2
AVERAGE OF CYCLES 1-4						331.6 PPM	HC 1.66	CO 13.31	CO2
AVERAGE OF CYCLES 6-7						300.3 PPM	HC 1.24	CO 13.56	CO2
WEIGHTED SUM						311.2 PPM	HC 1.39	CO 13.47	CO2

AUTOMATIC MASS EMISSIONS
HC 3.60 GRAMS PER MILE CO 30.2 GRAMS PER MILE

STOP

*JG

FIGURE 4A

2-1455 02-07-69 FORD FAIRLANE
EXPERIMENTAL w/o Device

720408

37241 MILES 35.

HC	CO	CO2	FACTOR	WHC	WCO			
389.9	5.16	11.44	1.00	16.4	.22			
392.0	3.03	12.55	1.00	95.7	.74			
326.5	3.68	12.50	.99	38.0	.43			
847.1	8.74	9.00	1.02	53.3	.55			
385.7	5.29	11.60	.99	19.1	.26			
294.5	.47	13.63	1.02	137.0	.22			
3720.6	5.15	7.75	1.01	109.1	.15			
TOTAL CYCLE 1		468.6 PPM	HC	2.57	CO	12.71	CO2	
487.6	6.01	11.07	.99	20.3	.25			
314.1	.93	12.47	1.09	83.7	.25			
209.1	.19	13.26	1.07	26.3	.02			
439.1	5.81	10.64	1.03	28.2	.37			
310.0	3.21	13.11	.96	14.9	.15			
218.1	.24	12.20	1.15	114.6	.13			
2419.1	4.74	9.24	1.02	71.5	.14			
TOTAL CYCLE 2		359.6 PPM	HC	1.32	CO	13.45	CO2	
488.7	6.08	11.00	.99	20.4	.25			
316.2	.84	12.70	1.08	83.1	.22			
202.1	.16	13.11	1.08	25.8	.02			
337.9	5.11	11.20	1.03	21.5	.33			
282.2	3.38	13.06	.96	13.6	.16			
225.2	.28	12.62	1.11	114.2	.14			
1947.9	4.61	9.69	1.02	58.1	.14			
TOTAL CYCLE 3		336.7 PPM	HC	1.26	CO	13.50	CO2	
451.7	5.88	11.18	.99	18.8	.25			
316.2	.95	12.60	1.08	83.4	.25			
202.1	.17	13.24	1.07	25.5	.02			
397.2	4.98	11.18	1.03	25.3	.32			
291.4	3.05	13.16	.97	14.1	.15			
234.3	.30	12.62	1.11	118.7	.15			
1885.1	4.60	9.77	1.03	56.2	.14			
TOTAL CYCLE 4		342.0 PPM	HC	1.27	CO	13.49	CO2	
398.2	5.72	11.09	1.01	16.9	.24			
291.4	1.02	12.62	1.08	76.7	.27			
186.1	.17	13.19	1.08	23.6	.02			
469.7	4.75	11.18	1.03	30.0	.30			
265.8	3.02	13.19	.97	12.9	.15			
229.2	.30	12.70	1.11	115.5	.15			
2002.2	4.37	9.65	1.04	60.1	.13			
TOTAL CYCLE 6		335.7 PPM	HC	1.27	CO	13.50	CO2	
485.5	5.29	11.58	.98	20.1	.22			
330.6	1.02	12.83	1.06	85.4	.26			
205.1	.25	13.74	1.03	24.9	.03			
425.5	4.74	11.39	1.02	26.9	.30			
265.8	2.98	13.24	.97	12.8	.14			
230.2	.33	12.83	1.10	114.7	.16			
1912.0	4.36	9.75	1.04	57.4	.13			
TOTAL CYCLE 7		342.3 PPM	HC	1.25	CO	13.50	CO2	
AVERAGE OF CYCLES 1-4			376.7 PPM HC	1.61	CO	13.29	CO2	
AVERAGE OF CYCLES 6-7			339.0 PPM HC	1.26	CO	13.50	CO2	
WEIGHTED SUM			352.8 PPM HC	1.38	CO	13.43	CO2	

AUTOMATIC MASS EMISSIONS
HC 4.08 GRAMS PER MILE CO 30.0 GRAMS PER MILE

STOP

2-1458 02-08-69 FORD FAIRLANE
EXPERIMENTAL WITH POLLUTION MASTER

720408

37283 MILES 3500#

HC	CO	CO2	FACTOR	WHC	WCO			
347.2	4.83	10.98	1.05	15.4	.21			
375.3	3.39	12.18	1.02	93.0	.84			
333.7	4.48	11.96	1.00	39.2	.53			
829.2	7.49	8.57	1.10	56.4	.51			
359.7	5.44	11.09	1.02	18.4	.28			
305.8	.78	13.82	1.00	138.8	.35			
2775.8	3.97	8.57	1.07	86.1	.12			
TOTAL CYCLE 1		447.3	PPM	HC 2.84	CO 12.60	CO2		
279.1	2.82	11.51	1.10	12.9	.13			
236.3	.49	12.62	1.10	63.7	.13			
197.0	.20	13.45	1.05	24.5	.02			
256.7	3.51	11.51	1.07	17.0	.23			
242.4	1.89	13.11	1.01	12.3	.10			
201.0	.23	12.67	1.11	102.0	.12			
2213.0	2.85	9.61	1.08	69.3	.09			
TOTAL CYCLE 2		301.7	PPM	HC .82	CO 13.76	CO2		
249.5	2.44	11.79	1.09	11.4	.11			
228.2	.48	12.95	1.08	60.1	.13			
185.1	.21	13.42	1.06	23.1	.03			
238.4	1.63	12.01	1.07	15.8	.17			
239.4	2.10	13.00	1.01	12.1	.11			
206.1	.24	13.13	1.08	100.9	.11			
2011.3	2.32	9.83	1.10	64.2	.07			
TOTAL CYCLE 3		287.6	PPM	HC .74	CO 13.82	CO2		
269.9	2.55	11.69	1.09	12.4	.12			
254.6	.68	13.13	1.05	65.5	.17			
193.0	.17	13.63	1.04	23.7	.02			
227.2	2.72	11.96	1.07	15.1	.18			
244.4	2.20	12.80	1.02	12.9	.11			
223.2	.32	13.26	1.06	107.8	.15			
1929.9	2.30	9.92	1.10	61.7	.07			
TOTAL CYCLE 4		298.7	PPM	HC .83	CO 13.76	CO2		
236.3	2.68	11.39	1.12	11.1	.13			
232.3	.73	13.13	1.05	59.8	.19			
178.1	.23	13.69	1.04	21.8	.03			
306.9	2.47	11.91	1.08	20.5	.16			
208.1	2.27	12.65	1.04	10.8	.12			
204.1	.31	13.32	1.06	98.3	.15			
1947.9	2.16	9.81	1.12	63.0	.07			
TOTAL CYCLE 6		285.2	PPM	HC .84	CO 13.77	CO2		
246.5	2.59	11.62	1.10	11.4	.12			
237.3	.70	13.16	1.05	61.0	.18			
195.0	.29	13.90	1.02	23.4	.03			
262.8	2.55	11.98	1.07	17.4	.17			
221.2	1.96	12.93	1.03	11.3	.10			
205.1	.31	13.21	1.07	99.5	.15			
2002.2	2.02	9.75	1.12	65.1	.07			
TOTAL CYCLE 7		289.3	PPM	HC .82	CO 13.78	CO2		
AVERAGE OF CYCLES 1-4			333.8	PPM	HC 1.31	CO 13.49	CO2	
AVERAGE OF CYCLES 6-7			287.2	PPM	HC .83	CO 13.77	CO2	
WEIGHTED SUM			303.5	PPM	HC 1.00	CO 13.67	CO2	

AUTOMATIC MASS EMISSIONS
HC 3.51 GRAMS PER MILE CO 21.7 GRAMS PER MILE

STOP

*16

FIGURE 6 A

2-1464 02-11-69
EXPERIMENTAL

FORD FAIRLANC
Device

720408

37532 MILES 3500#

HC	CO	CO2	FACTOR	WHC	WCO			
293.5	3.10	12.25	1.03	12.7	.13			
328.6	2.83	12.37	1.03	82.2	.71			
295.6	4.37	11.98	1.00	34.9	.52			
277.1	6.66	10.19	1.05	18.0	.43			
321.3	4.80	11.60	1.01	16.2	.24			
282.2	1.12	13.66	1.00	128.2	.51			
1690.2	4.46	9.98	1.03	50.6	.13			
TOTAL CYCLE 1		342.8	PPM	HC 2.68	CO 12.79	CO2		
215.1	2.51	12.40	1.04	9.4	.11			
208.1	.56	13.13	1.06	54.0	.15			
172.1	.19	13.50	1.05	21.4	.02			
233.3	2.72	11.98	1.07	15.4	.18			
189.0	1.22	13.42	1.02	9.6	.06			
171.2	.20	12.60	1.13	87.7	.10			
2020.3	2.39	9.96	1.09	63.7	.08			
TOTAL CYCLE 2		261.2	PPM	HC .70	CO 13.87	CO2		
293.5	2.27	12.10	1.07	13.2	.10			
220.1	.45	12.70	1.10	59.2	.12			
151.4	.13	12.65	1.13	20.1	.02			
398.2	1.97	11.93	1.09	26.8	.13			
184.1	1.21	13.42	1.02	9.4	.06			
181.1	.19	12.78	1.11	91.4	.10			
1920.9	2.15	9.83	1.12	62.2	.07			
TOTAL CYCLE 3		282.3	PPM	HC .60	CO 13.89	CO2		
251.6	2.17	12.23	1.07	11.3	.10			
208.1	.48	12.88	1.09	55.2	.13			
151.4	.17	12.72	1.12	20.0	.02			
→ 465.4	1.97	11.79	1.09	31.5	.13			
185.1	1.08	13.37	1.03	9.5	.06			
180.1	.18	12.78	1.11	91.0	.09			
1804.8	1.97	10.04	1.12	58.5	.06			
TOTAL CYCLE 4		276.9	PPM	HC .59	CO 13.90	CO2		
224.2	1.91	12.06	1.09	10.3	.09			
199.0	.44	12.78	1.10	53.3	.12			
143.6	.13	12.83	1.11	18.8	.02			
→ 520.5	1.76	11.69	1.10	35.6	.12			
172.1	.92	13.45	1.03	8.9	.05			
178.1	.17	12.78	1.11	90.0	.09			
1912.0	1.84	9.96	1.12	62.1	.06			
TOTAL CYCLE 6		279.1	PPM	HC .54	CO 13.93	CO2		
237.3	1.93	12.25	1.08	10.7	.09			
216.1	.44	13.13	1.07	56.3	.12			
156.4	.16	13.06	1.09	20.1	.02			
375.3	1.84	12.08	1.08	25.2	.12			
167.2	1.37	13.00	1.05	8.7	.07			
183.1	.22	12.88	1.10	91.6	.11			
1699.0	1.94	10.23	1.11	54.8	.06			
TOTAL CYCLE 7		267.4	PPM	HC .59	CO 13.92	CO2		
AVERAGE OF CYCLES 1-4				290.8 PPM HC	1.14	CO	13.61	CO2
AVERAGE OF CYCLES 6-7				273.2 PPM HC	.56	CO	13.92	CO2
WEIGHTED SUM				279.4 PPM HC	.77	CO	13.82	CO2

AUTOMATIC MASS EMISSIONS
 HC 3.23 GRAMS PER MILE CO 16.6 GRAMS PER MILE

STOP

air

2-1475 02-14-69 FORD FAIRLANE
EXPERIMENTAL W/O DEVICE

720408

37638 MILES 3500#

HC	CO	CO2	FACTOR	WHC	WCO		
341.0	2.98	12.98	.98	14.0	.12		
369.0	2.81	12.75	1.00	89.7	.68	H E W OFFICIAL VALUES	
301.7	4.13	12.42	.98	34.8	.48		
321.3	7.32	10.40	1.01	20.0	.46		
353.4	5.32	11.77	.98	17.3	.26		
299.7	.78	13.98	.99	134.5	.35		
2428.5	4.42	9.73	1.00	70.1	.13		
TOTAL CYCLE 1		380.5 PPM	HC	2.48 CO	12.85 CO2		
335.8	3.58	12.88	.96	13.6	.15		
277.1	.95	12.80	1.07	72.2	.25		
193.0	.17	13.88	1.02	23.3	.02		
232.3	3.47	12.75	.98	14.2	.21		
232.3	1.73	13.93	.96	11.2	.08		
200.0	.21	12.30	1.15	104.6	.11		
2203.7	3.03	10.36	1.02	65.0	.09		
TOTAL CYCLE 2		304.1 PPM	HC	.91 CO	13.72 CO2		
399.3	3.29	13.08	.96	16.0	.13		
287.3	.62	12.75	1.08	76.0	.17		
178.1	.15	13.00	1.09	23.0	.02		
283.2	2.63	12.93	1.00	17.5	.16		
236.3	1.37	14.15	.96	11.4	.07		
209.1	.22	12.60	1.12	106.7	.11		
1984.1	2.58	10.73	1.02	58.9	.08		
TOTAL CYCLE 3		309.4 PPM	HC	.73 CO	13.80 CO2		
373.2	2.94	13.21	.96	15.1	.12		
280.2	.69	12.85	1.07	73.4	.18		
181.1	.15	13.24	1.07	22.9	.02		
313.1	2.46	13.08	.99	19.2	.15		
222.2	1.43	14.29	.95	10.6	.07		
204.1	.22	12.60	1.12	104.1	.11		
1769.3	2.41	11.02	1.03	52.6	.07		
TOTAL CYCLE 4		298.0 PPM	HC	.72 CO	13.82 CO2		
299.7	2.37	13.16	.99	12.4	.10		
236.3	.47	12.60	1.11	63.9	.13		
163.2	.13	12.75	1.12	21.5	.02		
349.3	2.14	12.70	1.02	22.2	.14		
208.1	1.36	13.85	.98	10.2	.07		
198.0	.19	12.30	1.15	103.6	.10		
2056.8	2.22	10.27	1.07	63.6	.07		
TOTAL CYCLE 5		297.4 PPM	HC	.61 CO	13.87 CO2		
848.8	2.05	12.62	1.00	35.5	.09		
436.0	.53	12.40	1.10	117.4	.14		
194.0	.16	12.85	1.10	25.3	.02		
420.2	2.02	12.75	1.02	26.6	.13		
232.3	1.27	13.90	.98	11.4	.06		
219.1	.22	12.35	1.14	113.9	.12		
1849.2	2.27	10.51	1.06	57.0	.07		
TOTAL CYCLE 7		387.0 PPM	HC	.63 CO	13.77 CO2		
AVERAGE OF CYCLES 1-4			323.0 PPM HC	1.21 CO	13.55 CO2		
AVERAGE OF CYCLES 5-7			342.2 PPM HC	.62 CO	13.82 CO2		
WEIGHTED SUM			335.5 PPM HC	.83 CO	13.72 CO2		

AUTOMATIC MASS EMISSIONS
HC 3.88 GRAMS PER MILE CO 18.0 GRAMS PER MILE

STOP

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE
PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE**Memorandum**

TO : M. Korth
Through: D. Hollabaugh

FROM : P. T. Willhite

DATE: May 8, 1968
PTW:vm

SUBJECT: Kentucky Vehicle-Air Pollution Test.

A test vehicle was delivered by Mr. David A. Gravely of the Kentucky Air Pollution Control Commission on April 25, 1968, for testing by the USPHS laboratories.

Test Vehicle information:

Year and Make: 1966 Ford
Displacement: 390 cubic inches
Transmission: Automatic
License: Kentucky K16-661
Odometer Mileage: 53,564 miles

Test Procedure

The test procedure followed was the standard 7-mode exhaust emission procedure with two exceptions:

1. Since the vehicle was hot when delivered, no cold starts were obtained.
2. Mass emissions were obtained concurrently with Scott Cart emissions.

To properly precondition the test vehicle several cycles were run utilizing Indolene test fuel. After the vehicle had equilibrated, emission measurements were obtained. The vehicle was baselined with the device at the start and end of the test series. When the device was removed the PCV valve was replaced and the exhaust manifold inlets were plugged.

Test Results

Table 1 indicates the level of emissions of the test vehicle with and without the device. From this table it is evident that there is an enleanment occurring when using the device. This enleanment does not



bring the car within the present level set for emissions but it does reduce the quantities of hydrocarbon and CO in the exhaust. However, this reduction in emissions is minor in respect to the initial high level of emissions.

Device in Question

Figures 1 and 2 represent the device in question as interpreted by the writer from discussions with Mr. Gravelly. No physical examination of the device was made due to the short testing schedule. The device is believed to have come from the Automotive Pollution Control Corporation.

Figure 1 represents the attachment made to each exhaust manifold. Figure 2 represents the device inserted in the line from the crankcase to the intake manifold instead of the PCV valve.

As related to the writer the device functions as follows:

1. Refer to Figure 1 - during various modes of the cycle air is drawn into the exhaust manifold for further combustion (after burning).
2. Refer to Figure 2 - during all modes of the cycle this device is open for the passage of crankcase vapors to the intake manifold. These vapors pass through the venturics and impact on the offset venturics which causes a further atomization of the vapors for better combustion.

Writers note - Since this passage is open to the atmosphere (through the breather cap) during all modes of the cycle, part or all of the enrichment may be accounted for through the addition of air to the intake manifold from this line.

Summary

The use of the device on the test vehicle did reduce emissions. However, this reduction was so minor that the device could hardly be deemed successful as an air pollution control device for this vehicle.

Paul J. Wilhite
P. T. Wilhite

Table 1

Exhaust Emissions

Average Values							
HC(NDIRC ₆)	CO		CO ₂		NO _x	Air/fuel	
ppmC ₆	gm/mile	%	gm/mile	%	gm/mile	gm/mile	Ratio
Without Device (6 Cycles)							
438	4.43	2.94	64.64	12.02	475.83	3.07	13.16
With Device (12 cycles)							
411	4.02	2.32	51.93	12.65	509.99	3.32	13.78
Difference							
-27*	-0.41*	-0.62*	-12.71*	+0.63*	+34.16*	+0.25	+0.62*
% Difference							
6.2	9.3	21.1	19.7	5.0	6.7	7.6	4.5

*Statistically significant at the 95% level.




FIGURE 1
INTERPRETED VIEW




FIGURE 2
INTERPRETED VIEW