

## **HIA Evaluation – Sample Questions**

<b>Screening</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Who was involved in screening the HIA and why? Were there others who should have been involved and why?</li> <li>▪ What were the reasons that the steering committee ultimately decided to conduct the HIA?</li> <li>▪ Were there arguments against conducting the HIA? What were some of the reasons why it may not have been beneficial to conduct a HIA?</li> </ul>
<b>Scoping</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Who was involved in scoping? Were there others who would have been helpful to participate in scoping? Why?</li> <li>▪ Was the completed HIA consistent with the scoping plan?</li> <li>▪ What methods were used to identify and prioritize health issues during scoping? Were reasons for inclusion/exclusion documented?</li> <li>▪ Which health issues did the HIA address, which were left out, and how were those decisions made?</li> </ul>
<b>Assessment</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Did the HIA make judgments about positive and negative health effects of the project, plan, or policy?</li> <li>▪ Did the HIA assess long-term effects or disproportionate harms or benefits to vulnerable populations?</li> <li>▪ Was evidence used in the HIA supported by findings in the literature?</li> <li>▪ Were the potential health impacts of project, plan, or policy alternatives explored in the HIA?</li> <li>▪ Did the HIA document methodology and data sources as well as assumptions and limitations of the assessment?</li> </ul>
<b>Recommendations</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Did the HIA identify evidence-based health-promoting design solutions, mitigations, or alternatives? Did the HIA provide analysis of the effectiveness and feasibility of these recommendations?</li> <li>▪ Were efforts to mitigate potentially negative effects of the proposed project, plan, or policy concentrated on the impacts of the largest magnitude? If not, why?</li> <li>▪ Were recommendations prioritized by the HIA steering committee? If not, why? What process was used?</li> </ul>
<b>HIA Steering Committee</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Was the HIA decision-making process transparent? How so? If not, what do you recommend to ensure transparency?</li> <li>▪ How much time was spent on the HIA? By whom (not just those who conducted HIA)?</li> <li>▪ What were the associated financial costs (e.g., salaries, travel, expenses)?</li> <li>▪ What did those involved think about the process and what changes would they make if they were to do it again?</li> <li>▪ To what extent was the goal of the HIA achieved?</li> </ul>

<b>Public Engagement</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ What efforts were taken to involve affected populations in the HIA process? Were these efforts successful?</li> <li>▪ Do stakeholders feel that the HIA was responsive to their interests or concerns regarding the project, plan or policy?</li> <li>▪ Did the HIA utilize community knowledge and experience as evidence? In what ways?</li> </ul>
<b>Reporting</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Did the HIA include comprehensive documentation of the HIA process, analysis, and findings?</li> <li>▪ Were stakeholders given an opportunity to review the findings and comment?</li> <li>▪ How and when were recommendations delivered to the relevant decision-makers?</li> <li>▪ Were stakeholders able to use HIA findings to develop or communicate their positions on policies/projects?</li> </ul>
<b>Monitoring</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Was a monitoring plan developed?</li> </ul>