



Disclaimer

The information in this presentation has been reviewed and approved for public dissemination in accordance with U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The views expressed in this presentation are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the views or policies of the Agency. Any mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute EPA endorsement or recommendation for use.

Potential Collaborations

Deborah Bennett
Thomas Young
Hyeong-Moo Shin

University of California, Davis

Thomas McKone

University of California, Berkeley
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory



Collaborating with Others

- ◆ Comparing methods
- ◆ Additional samples from the same homes
- ◆ Comparing measurement results
- ◆ Integrating model components

U.S. EPA

- ◆ Share experiences in non-targeted analysis, share libraries for non-targeted analysis, including break-down products
- ◆ Determine if any of the compounds on the “left side” of exposure/tox comparison are candidate compounds to be found in dust
 - ◆ Koa value allows partitioning between air/dust
 - ◆ Used in consumer products
- ◆ Utilize more categorized “Walmart” data to look for target compounds as source markers

Duke/Boston University

- ◆ Compare strategies/developments/challenges for non-targeted methods, share non-target libraries
- ◆ Exchange a limited number of dust samples for cross validation
- ◆ Include some common chemicals for targeted analysis
- ◆ Compare non-targeted levels in dust between east coast and west coast homes
- ◆ Compare compounds and levels in skin wipes with levels in skin

University of Michigan

- ◆ Deploy air sensor in some homes and compare with levels in dust
 - ◆ Depends on timing, ease of use

UC-San Francisco

- ◆ Compare strategies/developments/challenges for non-targeted methods, share non-target libraries
- ◆ Include a few common chemicals for targeted analysis
- ◆ How do compounds in blood compare to what compounds are in dust from California households
- ◆ Potentially obtain dust from some of their participants?
- ◆ We could provide blood samples for some of our participants?

Virginia Tech

- ◆ Compare source estimates from dust levels and indoor model to source estimates from models
- ◆ Coordinate some of our targeted compounds with compounds they are measuring
- ◆ Collect questionnaire data pertinent to models

Reality vs. Compounds Considered

- ◆ People are exposed to mixtures and transformation products
- ◆ Human systems are complex and the chemical may impact one system, which in turn impacts development
 - ◆ Chemical impacts on hormones
 - ◆ Chemical impact on microbiome
- ◆ Epidemiology often focuses on compounds we know how to measure and that we have established tox data
 - ◆ These exposures may also be correlated with other exposures
 - ◆ Lag between tox studies and epi studies

Challenges in Autism Studies

- ◆ Complex disease for which there are no cellular tests and no real animal models. Multiple biological systems involved (neurological, immune system, digestive issues)
- ◆ Latest estimates are 1 in 68 kids → Birth Cohort not cost effective
- ◆ No firm diagnosis until 2 years of age → Requires retrospective exposures
- ◆ High risk birth cohorts → Perhaps a greater fraction of kids with genetic causes