

Quantification and Measurement Panel



Annual Implementation Workshop
Field Trip

San Antonio, TX
October 21, 2009

epa.gov/gasstar



Methane Emissions Quantification Challenges

- 🔥 Gas well drilling completion venting
- 🔥 Gas well liquids unloading venting
- 🔥 Crude oil & gas condensate stock tank venting
 - 🔥 Scrubber dump valve leaks
- 🔥 Glycol dehydrator vent
- 🔥 Acid gas removal vent
- 🔥 Compressor seal vent
- 🔥 Fugitives
- 🔥 Equipment blow-down venting
- 🔥 Gas gathering/processing plant emissions
- 🔥 Cross-country pipeline leaks

Quantification and Measurement of Fugitive and Vented Methane Emissions

Panel

- 🔥 Engineering Calculation: *Reid Smith, BP*
- 🔥 Material Balance: *Bob Berry, DCP Midstream*
- 🔥 Direct Measurement: *John Cordaway, El Paso*
- 🔥 Emissions Modeling: *Danielle Nesvacil, TCEQ*
- 🔥 Remote Quantification: *Leanne Meyer, NNG*

- 🔥 Questions & Answers

Engineering Calculation Approaches

🔥 Reid Smith, BP

🔥 Senior Climate Advisor

🔥 **Volume** and **Composition** are the only information needed

🔥 What are “Engineering Approaches”?

🔥 Approaches that use physical fluid behaviors, chemical behaviors, and physical data to determine emissions

🔥 Not: Activity X Factor or Direct Measurement (CEM)

🔥 Can be used to generate factors for specific conditions, areas, sites, fields

🔥 Includes various modeling suites/approaches

Engineering Calculation Approaches

- 🔥 Sources types where engineering approaches are robust
 - 🔥 Combustion Emissions
 - 🔥 Acid gas (amine) vents; Equipment/system blow-down; Dehydrator overheads; Gas driven pneumatic pumps; Gas actuated pneumatic valves; Pneumatic controllers; Tanks
- 🔥 Source types where engineering approaches are useful
 - 🔥 Flare stacks.
- 🔥 Source types where engineering approaches are not very useful
 - 🔥 Component fugitives; Compressor seal fugitives; Pump fugitives;

Emission Estimation

Pneumatic Pump Example

Pneumatic Pumps

- 🔥 Amount of fluid pumped
- 🔥 Gas inlet pressure
- 🔥 Pump discharge pressure
- 🔥 Mechanical inefficiency

Gallons of Fluid Pumped =	1	
Pneumatic Gas Pressure =	40	psig
Pneumatic Gas Temperature =	75	degrees F
Discharge Pressure =	600	psig
Mechanical Inefficiency =	30%	
Gas Volume	8.83	scf

$$Q = ((P_g + 11.2) / 14.7) * (520 / (460 + T)) * (V / 7.48) * P_d / P_g * (1 + I)$$

Where:

P_g = Pneumatic Gas Pressure

T = Pneumatic Gas
Temperature

V = Gallons Fluid Pumped

P_d = Pump Discharge
Pressure

I = Mechanical Inefficiency

Q = Gas SCF

Emission Estimation

Acid Gas (Amine) Vent Example

Acid Gas Vents

🔥 Gas Volume to Contactor	Gas Flow to Contactor	100	MMSCF
🔥 CO ₂ Mole % In	Inlet Gas CO₂ Content	4%	Mole Percent
🔥 CO ₂ Mole % Out	Outlet Gas CO₂ Content	0.20%	Mole Percent
🔥 CH ₄ in Vent Stream	Vent Methane Content	1%	Mole Percent
	CO₂ Metric Tonnes	200	
	Methane Metric Tonnes	0.73	

$$\text{CO}_2 = \text{Vinlet} * 1000000 * (\text{CO}_2 \text{ In} - \text{CO}_2 \text{ Out}) / 379.48 * 44 / 2204$$

$$\text{Methane} = \text{Vinlet} * 1000000 * (\text{CO}_2 \text{ In} - \text{CO}_2 \text{ Out}) / 379.48 * \text{CH}_4 \text{ Vent} * 16 / 2204$$

Where

Vinlet= Volume of Gas into Amine Contactor

CO₂in= Mole % CO₂ in Contactor Inlet

CO₂out= Mole % CO₂ in Contactor Outlet

CH₄vent= Mole % CH₄ in Regenerator Vent Stream

Material Balance Approach

- 🔥 Bob Berry, DCP Midstream
 - 🔥 BTU Efficiency Manager

Direct Measurement Approach

- 🔥 John Cordaway, El Paso
 - 🔥 Principal Reliability Engineer

Emissions Modeling Approach

- 🔥 Danielle Nesvacil, TCEQ
 - 🔥 Team Leader, Emissions Assessment Section
- 🔥 Russ Nettles, TCEQ

Remote Quantification Approach

- Leanne Meyer, Northern Natural Gas
 - Senior Director, Right of Way, Environmental, Safety and Pipeline Integrity Groups

QUESTIONS????