# Recent CMU Ammonia Modeling and Emissions Inventory

Prof. Peter J. Adams Center for Atmospheric Particle Studies (CAPS) Carnegie Mellon University

June 19, 2017

Alyssa McQuilling

# Ammonia, PM2.5, and Health



Heo et al. (2016), Environ. Sci. Technol. 2016, 50, 6061-6070.



# **Ammonia Emissions: Variability**

- Emissions depend on a variety of factors including:
  - meteorology
  - management practices
  - manure characteristics
- Lots of variability how to build inventory?
- Our approach: emissions model rather than direct emissions factors

3



Scatter-plot of fraction of input nitrogen volatilized as ammonia, comparing application sub-model predictions and experimental data showing range of measured data (Pinder, et al., 2004)

#### **Process-based Models**



- Track nitrogen through manure management
- Includes:
  - mass balances
  - mass transfer laws
- Goal is that such a model can capture variability seen in measurements



### **Philosophy and Goals**

- We are air quality modelers looking to build national emissions inventories
- Therefore, we focus on:
  - emission factors unbiased compared to literature
  - seasonal cycle (daily variability would be nice...)
  - regional-scale variability in emission factors
  - computational efficiency
  - scalability: do we have national data on inputs?
  - ...leads to following compromises
    - tune model to measurements rather than "first principles"
    - omit "details" (e.g. ventilation rates) when we don't have national data (or no systematic regional variation)
    - predicting EFs for "average" farm rather than specific farm

5

#### **Versus Other Approaches**







#### Historical Measurement Campaigns

- Short-term monitoring deployments
- Many researchers, many farms
- Limited monitoring reporting of farm and measurement conditions

#### National Air Emissions Monitoring Study

- 1-3 years of data collection (long-term measurements of seasonal cycles)
- Consistent measurement techniques
- Extensive monitoring of meteorological and farm management conditions

# **Objectives**



- Build process-based farm emissions models (FEMs) for all livestock types
- Evaluate ... especially for seasonal (and daily) variability (e.g. NAEMS data)
- Build national inventory
- Provide some feedback on needs from air quality modeler standpoint







- Each farm has a manure management train with mass balances on: 1) ammoniacal N; 2) urea; 3) manure volume
- Each component (e.g. housing, storage) has NH3 volatilization ... emissions

9

#### **Methods: Details**





#### **Methods: Details**





### **Methods: Summary**



- How we get seasonal (daily) variability
  - resistance depends on meteorological variables
- How we get variability due to practices
  - separate resistance sub-model for each
    - livestock type
    - manure management stage: housing, storage, application
    - major practice: e.g. deep pit and shallow pit swine housing
  - Other differences (e.g. frequency of housing clean out)
- Regional variation is combination of meteorology and practices



# **FEM: Tuning and Evaluation**







- Unbiased (because tuned)
- R<sup>2</sup> values range from 0.21 for beef to 0.7 for layers
- Model EF is within a factor of two of measured ... at farm scale
- Not an independent evaluation ... assesses how well simple model captures more complex reality

# **Role of "Contextual Information"**





- Not all studies report all required input parameters (e.g. feed or manure nitrogen)
- Measurements need to report feed N, other practices, and meteorological conditions to put results in context and be
- 14 useful to process-based models and inventories



#### **Open vs Enclosed Sources**





• Open (outdoor) sources are more difficult to measure ... need to infer emissions rate from downwind concentrations







#### **Evaluation: Seasonal Cycle**







### **Evaluation: Daily Variability**





- Daily variability in housing emissions tends to be better characterized by the model than storage emissions
- Multiple open-source measurement techniques from NAEMS do not always agree

# **National Emissions Inventory**



Meteorology



Management Practices



#### **National Climate Data Center:**

- Temperature, Precipitation, Wind Speed
- Daily time resolution, Climate Division spatial resolution
  National Animal Health Monitoring

#### Survey:

- Housing type, Storage type, Application methods
- Multi-state regional spatial resolution

Animal Population



#### **USDA Agricultural Census:**

• County-level animal numbers from 2012



# **Regional Farming Practices**





20

- Regional variation in housing, storage, and application practices
- Swine shown as example
- Previously, we obtained animal health survey data from USDA
- Now, we only get very high-level summaries



- 1. Run FEM model for county-specific meteorology to produce daily emission factors.
  - Repeat for all farm practices
- 2. Compute a county composite EF as weighted average across all manure management practices in that county.
  - ➢ Repeat for all animal types.
- 3. Emissions = (emission factor) x (animal population)
- 4. Result is ammonia emissions with
  - Daily temporal resolution
  - County spatial resolution
  - ... by livestock type, management stage, practice



#### **2011 Results: National Totals**





- Seasonal and daily variability apparent
- Summer emissions dominated by swine production
- Beef and broilers are more important during wintertime (relative to swine)
- Layer emissions have reverse seasonal emission pattern



### **Spatial Distribution**





# **Regional Emission Factors**





- Higher emission factors in warmer places
- Differences in practice less significant than T differences

# **Animal Contributions vs 2011 NEI**





- Similar magnitude of emissions in 2011 FEM inventory and 2011 NEI
- Much greater swine emissions in our inventory (swine storage emissions higher in NAEMS compared to prior literature)
- Much smaller contribution from dairy



# **Conclusions 1**



- Framework: process-based model tuned to observed emissions factors
  - captures regional and seasonal variability
  - unbiased overall compared to EFs used in tuning
- FEM captures seasonal cycle and practice differences; limited on daily variability
- First national inventory based on processbased modeling
  - similar total emissions as NEI 2011
  - swine ↑ but dairy ↓
  - stronger seasonal cycle



### **Conclusions 2**



- EF measurements should report "context"
  - meteorology, pH, manure N, etc.
- EF measurements from open sources problematic due to dispersion assumptions
- Manure management / farm practice data is as much of a limiting factor as EF measurements
- Beef on pasture seems under-measured

