Deciding an Approach for Quantifying Emission Impacts of Clean Energy Policies and Programs

Robyn DeYoung January 30, 2012 U.S. EPA State Climate and Energy Program



Today's Presentation

- Overview of important terms
- Which electric generating units are generally displaced once a State, Local or third-party implements clean energy policies and programs
- Important factors when deciding which emissions quantification approach to use
- Overview of available emission quantification approaches and examples for when to use them
 - eGRID subregion nonbaseload emission rates
 - **EGU** capacity factor emission rates
 - Hourly emission rates
 - Energy modeling



Common Terms and Abbreviations

- <u>Clean Energy (CE)</u>: no-to-low emitting options to meet energy demand, such as energy efficiency, combined heat and power, and renewable energy
- <u>Electric generating unit</u>: (EGU) a power plant or generator that produces electricity and is connected to the grid.
- <u>Baseload EGUs</u>: operate near maximum capacity most hours of day. (E.g., nuclear, in most cases coal & hydro plants)
- <u>Nonbaseload EGUs</u>: fluctuate generation based on changes in demand (E.g., gas combined cycle, gas turbines, oil-fired plants)
- <u>Peaking EGUs:</u> only operate during the highest demand periods (older oil combustion turbines, gas combustion)

Climate and Energy Program





Types of Clean Energy Policies and Their Impacts

Examples of State Energy Efficiency Policies:

- Energy Efficiency Resource Standards
- Public Benefits Funded EE programs

Examples of State Renewable Energy Policies:

- Renewable Portfolio Standards (RPS)
- Renewable Energy Incentives (E.g., rebates)

Clean Energy policy impacts are estimated in Megawatt-hours (MWh).



Capturing energy impacts of CE policies will provide the most emissions benefits.

 State and Local
 The Clean Energy-Environment Guide to Action: Policies, Best Practices, and Action Steps

 Climate and Energy Program
 for States (April 2006)
 http://www.epa.gov/statelocalclimate/resources/action-guide.html

Available Data Sources for EGU generation and emissions

- EPA's eGRID (Emissions Generation Resource Integrated Database)
 - > Annual emissions for NOx*, SO₂, Hg, CO₂, CH₄ and N₂0
 - Different aggregation levels boiler to subregions
 - Most recent data from 2007
- EPA's Clean Air Markets Division (CAMD) database
 - > Monitored NOx, SO2, CO2 emissions for EGUs reporting to EPA
 - Emission unit level

SEPA

Climate and Energy Program

- > Updated every quarter
- State emissions inventories
 - Emissions for EGUs permitted by State DEPs
 - Includes units not captured in EPA data collection



*Ozone season emissions available for NOx

Choosing The Method

 There are several key questions that can help narrow your options as you select a method:

- What is the purpose of the analysis?
- What types of emissions are you interested in?
- What scale do you care about?
- How much time and resources do you have?
- Match your answers to the methods.

Key Considerations When Selecting an Approach		Approaches			
		eGRID subregion nonbaseload	EGU Capacity Factor Approach	Hourly Emission Rates	Energy Modeling
PURPOSE	Prelimary Analysis	*	*		
	Voluntary Programs	*	*		
	General benefits Info	*	*		
	Regulatory or statutory requirement			*	*
EMISSIONS	Emissions of interest	NOx,SO ₂ ,CO ₂ , (biogenic CO ₂), CH ₄ , N ₂ O, Hg	NOx, SO ₂ , CO ₂	NOx, SO ₂ , CO ₂	NOx, SO ₂ , CO ₂ ,Hg, varies
SCALE	Geographical - state vs. regional; import/export	eGRID subregion partially addressed	both partially addressed	both not addressed	electric grid region - fully addressed
	Source aggregation	boiler, generator, plant	plant	emission unit (boiler)	emission unit (boiler)
	Temporal - length of time ; historical vs.forecasted	annual & ozone season (NOx) historical	annual & ozone season (NOx) historical	Annual, monthly, hourly historical	annual, ozone season (NOx), hourly forecasted
RESOURCES	Time	low	low	medium	high
	Money	low	low	medium	high
	Staff expertise	low	low	medium	high

When to Use Emission Quantification Approaches

- The chosen approach will be useful for different types of analysis:
- Basic approaches are useful when:

time or resources are limited

high-level or preliminary analyses are needed

➤ a long list of options need to be shortened

Sophisticated approaches are useful when:

policy options are well-defined

> high degree of precision and analytic rigor is desired

> sufficient time, data and financial resources are available.



EPA

eGRID subregion nonbaseload emission rates approach

How it works:

Uses emission rates that represent average emissions of nonbaseload units in an eGRID subregion.

Examples for when to use:

- Estimate emission reduction potential
- Explain emission benefits to the general public
- Advantages:
 - Requires low resources easy calculation
 - Great for annual emissions reductions, regional and national estimates
- Limitations:

Does not specify which power plant is reducing emissions

Most recent year of data: 2007

State and Local Climate and Energy Program

Sepa



9

eGRID subregion nonbaseload emission ¹⁰ rates approach

Informational resources:

- eGRID website:
 - <u>http://www.epa.gov/cleanenergy/energy-</u> resources/egrid/index.html
- eGRID summary tables:
 - http://www.epa.gov/cleanenergy/documents/e gridzips/eGRID2010V1 1 year07 SummaryTab les.pdf
- <u>eGRID overview presentation:</u>
 - http://www.epatechforum.org/documents/2010-2011/March%2031/Diem-eGRID-2011-03-11.pdf
- New Mexico example using eGRID:
 - http://www.epatechforum.org/documents/2010 2011/March%2031/DeYoung_eGRID_3.31.11.pdf





USERS of eGRID

- EPA's Power profiler
- •EPA's CHP calculator
- •Energy Star Portfolio Manger
- •EPA's Personal GHG calculator
- •EPA's GHG equivalency calc.
- •EPA's Wastewise GHG calc.

(The emission rates may vary within each tool depending upon the purpose)





Climate and Energy Program

EGU Capacity Factor Emission Rates Approach

How it works:

- An EGU's capacity factor is indicative of how much emissions could be displaced
 - EGUs with ~ high capacity factors are generally baseload EGUs
 - EGUs with ~ low capacity factors are generally nonbaseload EGUs
- Distribute emissions reductions to each EGU based on 1) displaceability 2) CE impacts 3) EGU emission rates

Examples for when to use:

could take place

 Understand which EGUs are nonbaseload and where emissions could most likely be displaced
 Identify specific areas where reductions AN EGU'S CAPACITY FACTOR IS A RATIO:

The actual electricity produced

The available electricity production at maximum capacity

Capacity Factors Relationship to Emissions Displacement





EGU Capacity Factor Emission Rates Approach

Advantages:

Emissions can be distributed to each EGU

Relatively easy calculation

Great for preliminary analysis

Limitations:

- Capacity factors are approximate and don't account for maintenance, outages, etc.
- Dynamics of electric grid not captured (E.g., exports, imports)
- Most recent year available: 2007
- Examples using this approach:
 - Energy efficiency policy analysis in Texas (S.B. 5)
 - Estimated how much and where emission reductions occur within TX

Capacity Factors can be found in eGRID's excel workbooks



Hourly Emission Rates Approach

How it works:

- Use reported hourly generation and emissions information to derive hourly emission rates.
- Historical hourly emissions rates can be aggregated to any temporal scale to answer policy questions.
- Examples for when to use:
 - Regulatory analysis
 - Analyze high electric demand days

How RE technologies reduce emissions

Reported Hourly Emissions information can be found at EPA's Clean Air Market's Division website: <u>http://camddataandmaps.epa.gov/gdm/i</u> <u>ndex.cfm?fuseaction=iss.progressresults</u>



State and Local Climate and Energy Program

Hourly Emission Rates Approach

Advantages:

- Emission impacts at different times of day and year
- Continuous quarterly reporting to EPA at emission unit level

Limitations:

- Data intensive w/out infrastructure set up
- Hourly load impacts of energy programs are required

Examples of this approach:



Washington Council of Governments calculator

http://www.mwcog.org/environment/air/EE RE/default.asp



ISO New England Report March 2011

Mid-Atlantic Regional Air Management Association Report

http://www.marama.org/RegionalEmissionsInvent ory/2007hourlypoint/FinalDoc mar2011 Analysis o f Hrly CAMD Emissions Data.pdf.

Energy Modeling Approach

How it works:

- Dynamic simulation models are used to forecast emissions
- Models account for complex interaction of the electric grid
 - Dispatch Models
 - Capacity Expansion Models
- Examples of when to use:
 - Regulatory analysis
 - When policy assumptions are well defined and detailed input data is avaialable





State and Local Climate and Energy Program *NEMS - National Energy Modeling System **IPM - Integrated Planning Model includes dispatch capabilities *** MARKAL - Market Allocation Model



Energy Modeling Approach

- Examples of this approach:
 - Energy Information Administration's (EIA) Annual Energy Outlook (AEO) Projections (NEMS) <u>http://www.eia.gov/forecasts/aeo/</u>
 - U.S. EPA's Regulatory Analysis (IPM) <u>http://www.epa.gov/airmarkets/progsregs/epa-ipm/index.html</u>
 - Emission reductions of clean energy policies in California's Air Management Districts (PROSYM)

http://www.epatechforum.org/documents/2010 2011/June%2014/Fisher%206-14 2011%20EPA%20Tech%20Forum.pdf



Advantages and Disadvantages of Energy Models

17

Energy Model	Advantages	Disadvantages
 Dispatch Models Prosym, Promod, Ventyx 	 Provides very detailed estimations about specific plant and plant-type effects within the electric sector. Provides highly detailed, geographically specific, hourly data. Model impacts of cap and trade programs 	 Often lacks transparency. Requires technical experience Labor- and time- intensive. Often high labor and software licensing costs. Requires establishment of specific operational profile of the clean energy resource.
Capacity Expansion Models NEMS, IPM, Energy 2020, MARKAL	 Model selects optimal changes in generation mix based on assumptions and energy system (10–30 years). Captures emission changes from new power plants and retirements May provide plant specific detail and perform dispatch simultaneously (IPM). Model impacts of cap and trade programs 	 Often lacks transparency Requires significant technical experience Labor- and time- intensive. Often high labor and software licensing costs. Requires assumptions that have large impact on outputs (e.g., future fuel costs).

Contact Information

Robyn DeYoung U.S. EPA's State Climate and Energy Program 202-343-9080 Deyoung.robyn@epa.gov

