DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

Curr ent Human Exposur es Under Control

Facility Name:	American Standard
Facility Address:	240 Princeton Avenue, Hamilton Township, NJ 08619
Facility EPA ID #:	NJD002366441

1. Has **all** available relevant/s ign ificant information on known and reason ably suspected releases to soil, groundwater, surface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Was te Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been **considered** in this EI determination?

X If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.

- _____ If no re-evaluate existing data, or
 - if data are not available skip to #6 and enter"IN" (more information needed) status code.

Justification:

SW MUs / AO Cs

- 1. Area 1 kndfill(closed RCRA Regulated Unit with a post-closure permit)
- 2. Area 2 kandfill/Glaze Area
- 3. Area 3 settling basins (closed RCRA Regulated Unit with a post-closure permit)
- 4. Area 4 canal (closed RCRA Regulated Unit with a post-closure permit)

There is so il contamination at every SWM U/AOC, but measures were taken to address this contamination as part of the RCRA Closure and Post-Closure Plan. Engineering and institutional controls were employed at all four areas according to the plan, and each of those areas closed with approval. The waste related contaminants have not migrated into the groundwater.

BACKGROUND

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated ground water. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "CurrentHuman Exposures Under Control" EI

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate ris k-based levels) that can be reas on ably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of El to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land - and ground water-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land - or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and ground water uses, and ecological receptors).

Duration / Applicability of El Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Curr ent Human Exposur es Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) Page 2

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air **media** known or reasonably suspected to be **"contaminated"**¹ above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

	Yes	No	?	Rationale / Key Contaminants
Groundwater		X		Was te metals have not migrated into groundwater.
Air(indoors) ²		X		Ind oor air is n ot contaminated.
Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft)	X			See below and references 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 8
Surfa ce W ate r		X		Surfa ce water is not contaminated.
Sediment		X		Contaminated sediments were excavated.
Sub su rf. Soil (e.g., >2 ft)	X			See below and references 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 8
Air(outdoors)		X		All contaminants are c apped.

- If n o (for all me dia) s kip to #6, and e nt er "YE," s ta tu s co de aft er p rovid ing or cit ing ap prop riate "levels," and referencing sufficient sup porting d oc ument at ion de mon s tra ting that these "levels" are not exceeded.
- X If yes (for any media) continue after identifying key contaminants in each "contaminated" medium, citing appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing supporting documentation.
- If unknown (for any media) skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

Ration ale and Reference (s):_ Barium contaminated clay spoils created as a waste from the production of glazes for the ceramic plumbing fixtures were disposed of in all four areas. Sampling of those spoils wastes and the adjacent soils was first conducted in 1988. Barium and lead were both found exceeding NJDEP's Residential SoilCleanup Criteria. A lead glaze/frit material was also found adjacent to Area 2 exceeding the standard s. From 1990 to 1993 clos ure of all four areas was completed. All of the hazardous spoils and soils were either excavated or stabilized with a calcium sulfate slurry in Areas 2, 3, and 4. The excavated hazardous s oils were consolidated into the Area 1 kndfill. American Standard performed extensive post-excavation sampling to fully delineate any remaining contamination. NJDEP approved delineation of the soils to the NJDEP Non-Residential Soil Cleanup Criteria for lead and ap proved a site-specific barium cleanup level of 27,200 ppm. However, residual soil contamination above NJDEP's Residential SoilCleanup Criteria stillremians in all three areas.

American Standard initiated quarterly groundwater monitoring in 1982 as part of a hydrogeological investigation to determine impacts from the four waste disposal areas. Over the years both the number of monitoring parameters and the sampling frequency required have been reduced. Based on a review of the ongoing post-closure groundwater monitoring data, barium, the primary waste constituent, has never been found a bove New Jersey's Ground Water Quality Standards. Of the remaining waste related metals identified, only one was found at levels exceeding New Jersey's Ground Water Quality Standards. Lead exceeded the standards on a couple of occasions in Areas 2 and 3, however, it was determined that the elevated levels of lead were due to problems associated with the sampling techniques and ever since those problems were corrected, in 1997, lead has not been found exceeding standards.

Footnotes:

¹ "Contamination" and "contaminated" des cribes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL an d/ or dis solv ed, vap ors, or solid s, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective ris k-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

² Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that un ac ceptable in do or air c on centrations are more common in structures ab ove groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reason ably certain that indoor air (in structures located ab ove (and ad jacentto) ground water with volatile contaminants) do es not present unacceptable risks.

Curr ent Human Exposur es Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

Page 3

3. Are there complete pathways between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

Potential Human Receptors (Un der Current Conditions)

<u>"Contaminated" Media</u>	Residen ts	Wo rkers	Day-Care	Con struction	Trespassers	Recreation	Fo od ³
Soil (surface, e.g., <2 ft)	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
Soil(subsurface e.g., >2 ft)				no			no

Instructions for <u>Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table</u>:

1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not "c on taminated") as iden tified in #2 above.

2. enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination (Pathway).

Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces (""). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be ad de d a s n ec es sa ry.

- Х If no (path ways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) - skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) inplace, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet to analyze major path ways).
- If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media Human Receptor combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation.
 - If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media Human Receptor combination) skip to #6 and enter"IN" status code

Rationale and Reference(s): The soil exceeding Residential Standards in Areas 2,3, and 4 were capped with a min imum of one foot of clean fill and s ix inches of tops oil. A meric an Stan dard als o filed Declarations of Environmental Restrictions (DERs) for each. Post-excavation sampling showed that Area 3 had a few locations where lead contamination also exceeded NJ's Non-ResidentialSoilCleanup Criteria. To address this problem, American Standard covered those locations of Area 3 with a concrete cap and placed permanent markers over the contaminated subsurface soils. These items are included in the DER for that area. The hazardous soik consolidated in Area 1 were compacted and an approved containment cap was constructed. A fence was also constructed around the Area 1 kndfill, and a DER was filed for that area as well. Both the lead and barium have been determined to be immobile. Neither contaminant has been found impacting adjacent or underlying soils or groundwater. The post-closure groundwater monitoring program as sures the effective ness of the closures and the lack of mobility of the remaining contamination. There is a perimetersecurity fence around the facility to restrict unauthorized access. The documentation of the contaminated soils in each area on the property deed records restricts the disturbance of the cover materials

and the soils.

³ Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

Curr ent Human Exposur es Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) Page 6

6. Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control El event code (CA 725), and obtain Supervisor (or ap propriate M an ager) signature and date on the El determination be low (and a trach appropriate supporting d oc umentation as well as a map of the facility):

Х	YE - Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Control" has been verified. Based on a
	review of the information contained in this EI Determination, "Current Human Exposures"
	are expected to be "Under Control" at the American Standard facility, EPA ID
	# <u>NJD00236641</u> , located at <u>240 Princeton Avene, Hamilton Township, NJ 08619</u> under
	current and reasonably expected conditions. This determination will be re-evaluated
	when the Agency/State becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.

NO - "Current Human Exposures" are NOT "Under Control."

IN - M ore in formation is needed to make a determination.

Completed by: original signed by Elizabeth Butler, Project Manager RCRA Programs Branch EPA Region 2

> original signed by Barry Tornick, Section Chief RCRA Programs Branch EPA Region 2

Date: 08/24/00

Date : 08/25/00

 Approved by:
 original signed by
 Date:
 09/01/00

 Raymond Basso, Chief
 RCRA Programs Branch
 EPA Region 2

Locations where References may be found:

The references may be found at EPA Region 2 in New York or at NJDEP in Trenton.

Contact te leph on e an d e-mail numbers

(name) <u>Elizabeth Butler</u> (phone #)(212) 637-4163 (e-mail) <u>butler.elizabeth@epamail.epa.gov</u>

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES ELIS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED

(E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.

References Used To Make This Determination

- 1) Closure Certification Area 1 Landfill, dated January 28, 1991
- 2) ECRA Phase II Sampling and Soil Cleanup Report, dated July 1991
- 3) Request for Modification to NJPDES-Discharge to Groun dwater Permit, dated August 27, 1991
- 4) Pollutant Pathway A nalys is, dated Nov ember 1991

5) NJPDES DGW Permit NJ0076155 ktter with kad delineation results for Area 3 and closure activities update, dated December 17, 1992

6) Lead Deline ation - Are a 3, dated April 14, 1993

- 7) RCRA Closu re Certification A reas 2, 3, and 4, dated October 20, 1993
- 8) Request for Modification to NJPDES-DGW Permit NJ0076155, dated July 31, 1996

9) Declarations of Environmental Restrictions for Area 1 (dated March 21, 1991), Area 2 (dated April 29, 1992), Area 3 (dated November 11, 1993), and Area 4 (dated November 11, 1993)

Atta ch ment s t run cated, s ee facility file (MSS, 06/13/02)