#### **Methods**

#### **Indicator**

B13. Perchlorate in women ages 16 to 49 years: Median and 95<sup>th</sup> percentile concentrations in urine, 2001-2014

#### **Summary**

Since the 1970s, the National Center for Health Statistics, a division of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, has conducted the National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys (NHANES), a series of U.S. national surveys of the health and nutrition status of the noninstitutionalized civilian population. The National Center for Environmental Health at CDC measures environmental chemicals in blood and urine samples collected from NHANES participants. This indicator uses urine measurements of perchlorate in women ages 16 to 49 years and children ages 6 to 17 years. The NHANES 2001-2002, 2003-2004, 2005-2006, 2007-2008, 2009-2010, 2011-2012, and 2013-2014 surveys included urine perchlorate data for children and adults ages 6 years and over.

Indicator B13 is the trend in the median and 95<sup>th</sup> percentile concentrations of perchlorate in women ages 16 to 49 years for 2001-2014. The median is the estimated concentration such that 50% of all noninstitutionalized civilian women ages 16 to 49 years during the survey period have a perchlorate concentration below this level; the population distribution was adjusted by agespecific birth rates to reflect exposures to women who are pregnant or may become pregnant. The 95<sup>th</sup> percentile is the estimated concentration such that 95% of all noninstitutionalized civilian women ages 16 to 49 years during the survey period have a perchlorate concentration below this level. Table B13a presents the median concentration of perchlorate for women ages 16 to 49 years for 2011-2014, stratified both by race/ethnicity and family income. Table B13b presents the 95th percentile concentration of perchlorate for women ages 16 to 49 years for 2011-2014, stratified both by race/ethnicity and family income. Table B13c presents the trend in the median and 95th percentile concentrations of perchlorate for children ages 6 to 17 years for 2001-2014. Table B13d presents the median concentration of perchlorate for children ages 6 to 17 years in 2011-2014, stratified both by race/ethnicity and family income. Table B13e presents the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile concentration of perchlorate for children ages 6 to 17 years in 2011-2014, stratified both by race/ethnicity and family income. Table B13f presents the median and 95<sup>th</sup> percentile concentrations of perchlorate for children ages 6 to 17 in 2011-2014, stratified by age. The survey data were weighted to account for over-sampling, non-response, and non-coverage.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2009. Fourth National Report on Human Exposure to Environmental Chemicals. Atlanta, GA. Available at: <a href="https://www.cdc.gov/exposurereport">www.cdc.gov/exposurereport</a>.

#### **Data Summary**

Indicator		Indicator B13. Perchlorate in women ages 16 to 49 years: Median and 95 <sup>th</sup> percentile concentrations in urine, 2001-					
		5 <sup>th</sup> percentile co	ncentrations in u	rine, 2001-			
	2014.						
Time Period	2001-2014						
Data	Urine perchlor	rate in women a	ges 16 to 49 year	rs .			
Years	2001-2002 2003-2004 2005-2006 2007-2						
Limits of Detection (µg/L)*	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05			
Number of values	700	623	2085	1749			
Number of Non-missing	657 (94%)	616 (99%)	1921 (92%)	1608 (92%)			
Values**							
Number of Missing Values**	43 (6%)	7 (1%)	164 (8%)	141 (8%)			
Percentage Below Limit of	0	0	0	0			
Detection***							
Years	2009-2010	2011-2012	2013-2014				
Limits of Detection (µg/L)*	0.05	0.05	0.05				
Number of values	686	542	632				
Number of Non-missing	662 (97%)	528 (97%)	610 (97%)				
Values**							
Number of Missing Values**	24 (3%)	14 (3%)	22 (3%)				
Percentage Below Limit of	0	0	0				
Detection***							

<sup>\*</sup> The Limit of Detection (LOD) is defined as the level at which the measurement has a 95% probability of being greater than zero.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>This percentage is survey-weighted using the NHANES MEC survey weights for the given period and is weighted by agespecific birth rates.

Indicator	Indicator B13. Perchlorate in women ages 16 to 49 years:					
	Median and 95 <sup>th</sup> percentile concentrations in urine, 2001-2008					
Time Period	2001-2008					
Data	Urine perchlor	ate in children a	ges 6 to 17 years	S.		
Years	2001-2002	2003-2004	2005-2006	2007-2008		
Limits of Detection (µg/L)*	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05		
Number of values	1058	859	2849	2207		
Number of Non-missing	1021 (97%)	858 (100%)	2626 (92%)	2012 (91%)		
Values**						
Number of Missing Values**	37 (3%)	1 (0%)	223 (8%)	195 (9%)		
Percentage Below Limit of	0	0	0	0		
Detection***						

<sup>\*\*</sup>Non-missing values include those below the analytical LOD, which are reported as LOD/ $\sqrt{2}$ . Missing values are the number of sampled women ages 16 to 49 years in the Mobile Examination Center (MEC) sub-sample that have no value reported for the particular variable used in calculating the indicator.

Indicator	Indicator B13. Perchlorate in women ages 16 to 49 years:					
	Median and 95 <sup>th</sup> percentile concentrations in urine, 2001-2008					
Years	2009-2010					
Limits of Detection (µg/L)*	0.05	0.05	0.05			
Number of values	769	706	775			
Number of Non-missing	734 (95%)	683 (97%)	728 (94%)			
Values**						
Number of Missing Values**	35 (5%)	23 (3%)	47 (6%)			
Percentage Below Limit of						
Detection***						

<sup>\*</sup> The Limit of Detection (LOD) is defined as the level at which the measurement has a 95% probability of being greater than zero.

#### **Overview of Data Files**

The following files are needed to calculate this indicator. The files together with the survey documentation and SAS programs for reading in the data are available at the NHANES website: <a href="http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/nhanes\_questionnaires.htm">http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/nhanes\_questionnaires.htm</a>.

- NHANES 2001-2002: Demographic file demo\_b.xpt. Nitrate, thiocyanate, perchlorate (Surplus Urine) laboratory file ssno3p\_b.xpt. The demographic file demo\_b.xpt is a SAS transport file that contains the subject identifier (SEQN), age (RIDAGEYR), sex (RIAGENDR), race/ethnicity (RIDRETH1), poverty income ratio (INDFMPIR), pseudo-stratum (SDMVSTRA) and the pseudo-PSU (SDMVPSU). The Nitrate, thiocyanate, perchlorate (Surplus Urine) laboratory file ssno3p\_b.xpt contains SEQN, urine perchlorate (SSXUP8), and the two year Mobile Examination Center (MEC) sub-sample weight (WTUIO2YR). The two files are merged using the common variable SEQN.
- NHANES 2003-2004: Demographic file demo\_c.xpt. Urinary Perchlorate laboratory file l04per\_c.xpt. The demographic file demo\_c.xpt is a SAS transport file that contains the subject identifier (SEQN), age (RIDAGEYR), sex (RIAGENDR), race/ethnicity (RIDRETH1), poverty income ratio (INDFMPIR), pseudo-stratum (SDMVSTRA) and the pseudo-PSU (SDMVPSU). The Urinary Perchlorate laboratory file l04per\_c.xpt contains SEQN, urine perchlorate (URXUP8), and the two year MEC sub-sample C weight (WTSC2YR). The two files are merged using the common variable SEQN.
- NHANES 2005-2006: Demographic file demo\_d.xpt. Urinary Nitrate, Urinary Perchlorate, Urinary Thiocyanate laboratory file pernt\_d.xpt. The demographic file demo\_d.xpt is a SAS transport file that contains the subject identifier (SEQN), age (RIDAGEYR), sex (RIAGENDR), race/ethnicity (RIDRETH1), poverty income ratio (INDFMPIR), pseudo-stratum (SDMVSTRA), pseudo-PSU (SDMVPSU), and the two year MEC weight (WTMEC2YR). The Urinary Nitrate, Urinary Perchlorate, Urinary

<sup>\*\*</sup>Non-missing values include those below the analytical LOD, which are reported as LOD/ $\sqrt{2}$ . Missing values are the number of sampled children ages 6 to 17 years in the Mobile Examination Center (MEC) sub-sample that have no value reported for the particular variable used in calculating the indicator.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>This percentage is survey-weighted using the NHANES MEC survey weights for the given period.

Thiocyanate laboratory file pernt\_d.xpt contains SEQN, urine perchlorate (URXUP8), and the perchlorate non-detect comment code (URDUP8LC). The two files are merged using the common variable SEQN.

- NHANES 2007-2008: Demographic file demo\_e.xpt. Urinary Nitrate, Urinary Perchlorate, Urinary Thiocyanate laboratory file pernt\_e.xpt. The demographic file demo\_e.xpt is a SAS transport file that contains the subject identifier (SEQN), age (RIDAGEYR), sex (RIAGENDR), race/ethnicity (RIDRETH1), poverty income ratio (INDFMPIR), pseudo-stratum (SDMVSTRA), pseudo-PSU (SDMVPSU), and the two year MEC weight (WTMEC2YR). The Urinary Nitrate, Urinary Perchlorate, Urinary Thiocyanate laboratory file pernt\_e.xpt contains SEQN, urine perchlorate (URXUP8), and the perchlorate non-detect comment code (URDUP8LC). The two files are merged using the common variable SEQN.
- NHANES 2009-2010: Demographic file demo\_f.xpt. Perchlorate, Nitrate & Thiocyanate Urine laboratory file pernt\_f.xpt. The demographic file demo\_f.xpt is a SAS transport file that contains the subject identifier (SEQN), age (RIDAGEYR), sex (RIAGENDR), race/ethnicity (RIDRETH1), poverty income ratio (INDFMPIR), pseudo-stratum (SDMVSTRA), pseudo-PSU (SDMVPSU), and the two year MEC sub-sample weight (WTSA2YR). Perchlorate, Nitrate & Thiocyanate Urine laboratory file pernt\_f.xpt contains SEQN, urine perchlorate (URXUP8), and the perchlorate non-detect comment code (URDUP8LC). The two files are merged using the common variable SEQN.
- NHANES 2011-2012: Demographic file demo\_f.xpt. Perchlorate, Nitrate & Thiocyanate Urine laboratory file pernt\_g.xpt. The demographic file demo\_g.xpt is a SAS transport file that contains the subject identifier (SEQN), age (RIDAGEYR), sex (RIAGENDR), race/ethnicity (RIDRETH1), poverty income ratio (INDFMPIR), pseudo-stratum (SDMVSTRA), pseudo-PSU (SDMVPSU), and the two year MEC subsample weight (WTSA2YR). Perchlorate, Nitrate & Thiocyanate Urine laboratory file pernt\_g.xpt contains SEQN, urine perchlorate (URXUP8), and the perchlorate non-detect comment code (URDUP8LC). The two files are merged using the common variable SEQN.
- NHANES 2013-2014: Demographic file demo\_h.xpt. Perchlorate, Nitrate & Thiocyanate Urine laboratory file pernt\_h.xpt. The demographic file demo\_h.xpt is a SAS transport file that contains the subject identifier (SEQN), age (RIDAGEYR), sex (RIAGENDR), race/ethnicity (RIDRETH1), poverty income ratio (INDFMPIR), pseudo-stratum (SDMVSTRA), pseudo-PSU (SDMVPSU), and the two year MEC sub-sample weight (WTSA2YR). Perchlorate, Nitrate & Thiocyanate Urine laboratory file pernt\_h.xpt contains SEQN, urine perchlorate (URXUP8), and the perchlorate non-detect comment code (URDUP8LC). The two files are merged using the common variable SEQN.

#### **National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys (NHANES)**

Since the 1970s, the National Center for Health Statistics, a division of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, has conducted the National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys

(NHANES), a series of U.S. national surveys of the health and nutrition status of the noninstitutionalized civilian population. The National Center for Environmental Health at CDC measures environmental chemicals in blood and urine samples collected from NHANES participants. This indicator uses urine perchlorate measurements from NHANES 2001-2002, 2003-2004, 2005-2006, 2007-2008. 2009-2010, 2011-2012, and 2013-2014 in women ages 16 to 49 and children ages 6 to 17. The NHANES data were obtained from the NHANES website: <a href="http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes.htm">http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes.htm</a>. Following the CDC recommended approach, values below the analytical limit of detection (LOD) were replaced by LOD/\(\frac{1}{2}\).

The NHANES use a complex multi-stage, stratified, clustered sampling design. Certain demographic groups were deliberately over-sampled, including Mexican-Americans, Blacks, and, from 2007 onwards, All Hispanics, to increase the reliability and precision of estimates of health status indicators for these population subgroups. The publicly released data includes survey weights to adjust for the over-sampling, non-response, and non-coverage. The statistical analyses used the applicable MEC sample or sub-sample survey weights (WTUIO2YR for 2001-2002, WTSC2YR for 2003-2004, WTMEC2YR for 2005-2006 and 2007-2008, WTSA2YR for 2009-2010, 2011-2012, and 2013-2014) to re-adjust the urine perchlorate data to represent the national population.

#### **Age-Specific Birth Rates**

In addition to the NHANES MEC survey weights, the data for women of child-bearing age (ages 16 to 49) were also weighted by the birth rate for women of the given age and race/ethnicity to estimate prenatal exposures. Thus the overall weight in each two year period is the product of the NHANES survey weight and the total number of births in the two calendar years for the given age and race/ethnicity, divided by twice the corresponding population of women at the midpoint of the two year period:<sup>iii</sup>

Adjusted Survey Weight = MEC survey weight × U.S. Births (NHANES cycle, age, race/ethnicity) / {Number of years in NHANES cycle × U.S. Women (NHANES cycle midpoint, age, race/ethnicity)}.

#### Race/Ethnicity and Family Income

For these indicators, the percentiles were calculated for demographic strata defined by the combination of race/ethnicity and family income.

The family income was characterized based on the INDFMPIR variable, which is the ratio of the family income to the poverty level. The National Center for Health Statistics used the U.S. Census Bureau Current Population Survey definition of a "family" as "a group of two people or

ii See Hornung RW, Reed LD. 1990. Estimation of average concentration in the presence of nondetectable values. *Applied Occupational and Environmental Hygiene* 5:46–51.

Axelrad, D.A., Cohen, J. 2011. Calculating summary statistics for population chemical biomonitoring in women of child-bearing age with adjustment for age-specific natality. *Environmental Research* 111 (1) 149-155.

more (one of whom is the householder) related by birth, marriage, or adoption and residing together" to group household members into family units, and the corresponding family income for the respondent was obtained during the interview. The U.S. Census Bureau defines annual poverty level money thresholds varying by family size and composition. The poverty income ratio (PIR) is the family income divided by the poverty level for that family. Family income was stratified into the following groups:

Below Poverty Level: PIR < 1</li>
Above Poverty Level: PIR ≥ 1
Unknown Income: PIR is missing

For the four year period 2011-2012, the weighted percentage of women ages 16 to 49 years with unknown income was 6% and the weighted percentage of children ages 6 to 17 years with unknown income was 6%.

Race/ethnicity was characterized using the RIDRETH1 variable. The possible values of this variable are:

- 1. Mexican American
- 2. Other Hispanic
- 3. Non-Hispanic White
- 4. Non-Hispanic Black
- 5. Other Race Including Multi-racial
- "." Missing

Category 5 includes: all Non-Hispanic single race responses other than White or Black; and multi-racial responses.

For this indicator, the RIDRETH1 categories 2, 5, and missing were combined into a single "All Other Races/Ethnicities" category. This produced the following categories:

- White non-Hispanic: RIDRETH1 = 3
- Black non-Hispanic: RIDRETH1 = 4
- Mexican-American: RIDRETH1 = 1
- All Other Races/Ethnicities: RIDRETH1 = 2 or 5 or missing

The "All Other Races/Ethnicities" category includes multiracial persons and individuals whose racial or ethnic identity is not White non-Hispanic, Black non-Hispanic, or Mexican-American. Except for non-Mexican-American Hispanics in 2007-2014 and Asian non-Hispanics in 2011-2014, persons of "All Other Races/Ethnicities" are selected into the survey with a probability that is very much lower than White non-Hispanic, Black non-Hispanic and Mexican-American individuals, and as a group they are not representative of all other race and ethnicities in the United States.

#### **Calculation of Indicator**

Indicator B13 is the median and 95<sup>th</sup> percentile for urine perchlorate in women of ages 16 to 49 years, stratified by NHANES survey cycle. The median for women ages 16 to 49 is the estimated concentration such that 50% of all noninstitutionalized civilian women ages 16 to 49 years during the survey period have urine perchlorate concentrations below this level. The 95<sup>th</sup> percentile for women ages 16 to 49 is the estimated concentration such that 95% of all noninstitutionalized civilian women ages 16 to 49 years during the survey period have urine perchlorate concentrations below this level. To adjust the NHANES data to represent prenatal exposures, the data for each woman surveyed was multiplied by the estimated number of births per woman of the given age and race/ethnicity. Table B13a presents the median for urine perchlorate in women of ages 16 to 49 years in 2011-2014, stratified by race/ethnicity and family income. Table B13b presents the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile for urine perchlorate in women of ages 16 to 49 years in 2011-2014, stratified by race/ethnicity and family income. Table B13c presents the median and 95<sup>th</sup> percentile for urine perchlorate in children of ages 6 to 17 years, stratified by NHANES survey cycle. The birth rate adjustment was not applied to children ages 6 to 17. Table B13d presents the median for urine perchlorate in children of ages 6 to 17 years in 2011-2014, stratified by race/ethnicity and family income. Table B13e presents the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile for urine perchlorate in children of ages 6 to 17 years in 2011-2014, stratified by race/ethnicity and family income. Table B13f presents the median and 95<sup>th</sup> percentile concentration of perchlorate for children ages 6 to 17 in 2011-2014, stratified by age.

To simply demonstrate the calculations, we will use the NHANES 2007-2008 urine perchlorate values for women ages 16 to 49 years of all race/ethnicities and all incomes as an example. We have rounded all the numbers to make the calculations easier:

We begin with all the non-missing NHANES 2007-2008 urine perchlorate values for women ages 16 to 49 years. Assume for the sake of simplicity that valid perchlorate data were available for every sampled woman. Each sampled woman has an associated annual survey weight that estimates the annual number of U.S. women represented by that sampled woman. For 2007-2008, the associated annual survey weight for each woman is defined as WTMEC2YR. Each sampled woman also has an associated birth rate giving the numbers of annual births per woman of the given age, race, and ethnicity. The product of the annual survey weight and the birth rate estimates the annual number of U.S. births represented by that sampled woman, which we will refer to as the adjusted survey weight. For example, the lowest urine perchlorate measurement for a woman between 16 and 49 years of age is 0.1 µg/L with an annual survey weight of 25,000, a birth rate of 0.008, and thus an adjusted survey weight of 200, and so represents 200 births. The total of the adjusted survey weights for the sampled women equals 4 million, the total number of annual U.S. births to women ages 16 to 49 years. The second lowest measurement is also 0.1 µg/L with an adjusted survey weight of 8, and so represents another 8 U.S. births. The highest measurement is 220 µg/L with an adjusted survey weight of 30, and so represents another 30 U.S. births.

To calculate the median, we can use the adjusted survey weights to expand the data to the entire U.S. population of births to women ages 16 to 49. We have 200 values of  $0.1 \,\mu\text{g/L}$  from the lowest measurement, 8 values of  $0.1 \,\mu\text{g/L}$  from the second lowest measurement, and so on, up to

30 values of 220  $\mu$ g/L from the highest measurement. Arranging these 4 million values in increasing order, the 2 millionth value is 3.4  $\mu$ g/L. Since half of the values are below 3.4 and half of the values are above 3.4, the median equals 3.4  $\mu$ g/L. To calculate the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile, note that 95% of 4 million equals 3.8 million. The 3.8 millionth value is 16.5  $\mu$ g/L. Since 95% of the values are below 16.5, the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile equals 16.5  $\mu$ g/L.

In reality, the calculations need to take into account that urine perchlorate measurements were not available for every respondent, and to use exact rather than rounded numbers. There were urine perchlorate measurements for only 1608 of the 1749 sampled women ages 16 to 49 years. The adjusted survey weights for all 1749 sampled women add up to 4.2 million, the U.S. population of births to women ages 16 to 49. The adjusted survey weights for the 1608 sampled women with urine perchlorate data add up to 3.9 million. Thus the available data represent 3.9 million values and so represent only 94% of the U.S. population of births. The median and 95<sup>th</sup> percentiles are given by the 1.95 millionth (50% of 3.9 million) and 3.7 millionth (95% of 3.9 million) U.S. birth's value. These calculations assume that the sampled women with valid urine perchlorate data are representative of women giving birth without valid urine perchlorate data. The calculations also assume that the sampled women are representative of women that actually gave birth in 2007-2008, since NHANES information on pregnancy and births was not incorporated into the analysis.

#### **Equations**

These percentile calculations can also be given as the following mathematical equations, which are based on the default percentile calculation formulas from Statistical Analysis System (SAS) software. Exclude all missing urine perchlorate values. Suppose there are n women of ages 16 to 49 years with valid urine perchlorate values. Arrange the urine perchlorate concentrations in increasing order (including tied values) so that the lowest concentration is x(1) with an adjusted survey weight of y(1), the second lowest concentration is y(2) with an adjusted survey weight of y(2), ..., and the highest concentration is y(2) with an adjusted survey weight of y(2), ..., and the highest concentration is y(2) with an adjusted survey weight of y(2), ..., and the highest concentration is y(2) with an adjusted survey weight of y(2).

1. Sum all the adjusted survey weights to get the total weight W:

$$W = \Sigma[1 \le i \le n] w(i)$$

2. Find the largest number i so that the total of the weights for the i lowest values is less than or equal to W/2.

$$\Sigma[j \le i] \text{ w}(j) \le W/2 < \Sigma[j \le i+1] \text{ w}(j)$$

3. Calculate the median using the results of the second step. We either have

$$\Sigma[i \le i] w(i) = W/2 < \Sigma[i \le i + 1] w(i)$$

or

$$\Sigma[j \le i] \ w(j) < W/2 < \Sigma[j \le i + 1] \ w(j)$$

In the first case we define the median as the average of the i'th and i + 1'th values:

Median = 
$$[x(i) + x(i + 1)]/2$$
 if  $\Sigma[i \le i]$  w(i) = W/2

In the second case we define the median as the i + 1'th value:

Median = 
$$x(i + 1)$$
 if  $\Sigma[i \le i]$   $w(i) < W/2$ 

(The estimated median does not depend upon how the tied values of x(j) are ordered).

A similar calculation applies to the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile. The first step to calculate the sum of the weights, W, is the same. In the second step, find the largest number i so that the total of the weights for the i lowest values is less than or equal to 0.95W.

$$\Sigma[j \le i] w(j) \le 0.95W < \Sigma[j \le i + 1] w(j)$$

In the third step we calculate the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile using the results of the second step. We either have

$$\Sigma[j \le i] \text{ w}(j) = 0.95 \text{W} < \Sigma[j \le i + 1] \text{ w}(j)$$

or

$$\Sigma[j \le i] \text{ w}(j) < 0.95 \text{W} < \Sigma[j \le i + 1] \text{ w}(j)$$

In the first case we define the  $95^{th}$  percentile as the average of the i'th and i + 1'th values:

95<sup>th</sup> Percentile = 
$$[x(i) + x(i+1)]/2$$
 if  $\Sigma[j \le i]$  w(j) = 0.95W

In the second case we define the  $95^{th}$  percentile as the i + 1'th value:

95<sup>th</sup> Percentile = 
$$x(i + 1)$$
 if  $\Sigma[j \le i]$  w(j) < 0.95W

#### Relative Standard Error

The uncertainties of the median and 95<sup>th</sup> percentile values were calculated using a revised version of the CDC method given in CDC 2005, iv Appendix C, and the SAS® program provided by CDC. The method uses the Clopper-Pearson binomial confidence intervals adapted for complex surveys by Korn and Graubard (see Korn and Graubard, 1999, v p. 65). The following

iv CDC Third National Report on Human Exposure to Environmental Chemicals. 2005

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>v</sup> Korn E. L., Graubard B. I. 1999. Analysis of Health Surveys. Wiley.

text is a revised version of the Appendix C. For the birth rate adjusted calculations for women ages 16 to 49, the sample weight is adjusted by multiplying by the age-specific birth rate.

**Step 1:** Use SAS® Proc Univariate to obtain a point estimate P<sub>SAS</sub> of the percentile value. Use the Weight option to assign the exact correct sample weight for each chemical result.

**Step 2:** Use SUDAAN® Proc Descript with Taylor Linearization DESIGN = WR (i.e., sampling with replacement) and the proper sampling weight to estimate the proportion (p) of subjects with results less than and not equal to the percentile estimate  $P_{SAS}$  obtained in Step 1 and to obtain the standard error (se<sub>p</sub>) associated with this proportion estimate. Compute the degrees-of-freedom adjusted effective sample size

$$n_{df} = (t_{num}/t_{denom})^2 p(1 - p)/(se_p^2)$$

where  $t_{num}$  and  $t_{denom}$  are 0.975 critical values of the Student's t distribution with degrees of freedom equal to the sample size minus 1 and the number of PSUs minus the number of strata, respectively. Note: the degrees of freedom for  $t_{denom}$  can vary with the demographic sub-group of interest.

**Step 3:** After obtaining an estimate of p (i.e., the proportion obtained in Step 2), compute the Clopper-Pearson 95% confidence interval  $(P_L(x,n_{df}), P_U(x,n_{df}))$  as follows:

$$\begin{split} P_L(x, &n_{\text{df}}) = v_1 F_{v1,v2} \ (0.025) / (v_2 + v_1 F_{v1,v2} (0.025)) \\ P_U(x, &n_{\text{df}}) = v_3 F_{v3,v4} \ (0.975) / (v_4 + v_3 F_{v3,v4} (0.975)) \end{split}$$

where x is equal to p times  $n_{df}$ ,  $v_1 = 2x$ ,  $v_2 = 2(n_{df} - x + 1)$ ,  $v_3 = 2(x + 1)$ ,  $v_4 = 2(n_{df} - x)$ , and  $F_{d1,d2}(\beta)$  is the  $\beta$  quantile of an F distribution with d1 and d2 degrees of freedom. (Note: If  $n_{df}$  is greater than the actual sample size or if p is equal to zero, then the actual sample size should be used.) This step will produce a lower and an upper limit for the estimated proportion obtained in Step 2.

Step 4: Use SAS Proc Univariate (again using the Weight option to assign weights) to determine the chemical percentile values  $P_{CDC}$ ,  $L_{CDC}$  and  $U_{CDC}$  that correspond to the proportion p obtained in Step 2 and its lower and upper limits obtained in Step 3. Do not round the values of p and the lower and upper limits. For example, if p = 0.4832, then  $P_{CDC}$  is the 48.32'th percentile value of the chemical. The alternative percentile estimates  $P_{CDC}$  and  $P_{SAS}$  are not necessarily equal.

**Step 5:** Use the confidence interval from Step 4 to estimate the standard error of the estimated percentile  $P_{CDC}$ :

Standard Error 
$$(P_{CDC}) = (U_{CDC} - L_{CDC}) / (2t_{denom})$$

**Step 6:** Use the estimated percentile  $P_{CDC}$  and the standard error from Step 4 to estimate the relative standard error of the estimated percentile  $P_{CDC}$ :

Relative Standard Error (%) = [Standard Error (
$$P_{CDC}$$
) /  $P_{CDC}$ ] × 100%

The tabulated estimated percentile is the value of  $P_{SAS}$  given in Step 1. The relative standard error is given in Step 6, using  $P_{CDC}$  and its standard error.

The relative standard error depends upon the survey design. For this purpose, the public release version of NHANES includes the variables SDMVSTRA and SDMVPSU, which are the Masked Variance Unit pseudo-stratum and pseudo-primary sampling unit (pseudo-PSU). For approximate variance estimation, the survey design can be approximated as being a stratified

random sample with replacement of the pseudo-PSUs from each pseudo-stratum; the true stratum and PSU variables are not provided in the public release version to protect confidentiality. If the relative standard error is too high, then the estimated percentile will not be accurately estimated. Furthermore, if the degrees of freedom (from Step 2) is too low, then the relative standard error will be less accurately estimated and thus may be underestimated. For these reasons, percentiles with high relative standard errors or with low degrees of freedom are unstable or unreliable.

Percentiles with a relative standard error less than 30% and with 12 or more degrees of freedom were treated as being reliable and were tabulated. Percentiles with a relative standard error that is 30% or greater but less than 40% and with 12 or more degrees of freedom were treated as being unstable; these values were tabulated but were flagged to be interpreted with caution. Percentiles with a relative standard error less than 40% and with between 7 and 11 degrees of freedom were also treated as being unstable; these values were tabulated but were flagged to be interpreted with caution. Percentiles with a relative standard error that is 40% or greater, or without an estimated relative standard error, or with 6 or less degrees of freedom, were treated as being unreliable; these values were not tabulated and were flagged as having a large uncertainty.

#### **Questions and Comments**

Questions regarding these methods, and suggestions to improve the description of the methods, are welcome. Please use the "Contact Us" link at the bottom of any page in the America's Children and the Environment website.

#### **Statistical Comparisons**

Statistical analyses of the percentiles were used to determine whether the differences between percentiles for different demographic groups were statistically significant. For these analyses, the percentiles and their standard errors were calculated for each combination of age group, sex (in the cases of children), income group (below poverty, at or above poverty, unknown income), and race/ethnicity group using the method described in the "Relative Standard Error" section. In the notation of that section, the percentile and standard error are the values of  $P_{\rm CDC}$  and Standard Error ( $P_{\rm CDC}$ ), respectively. These calculated standard errors account for the survey weighting and design and, for women, for the age-specific birth rate.

Using a weighted linear regression model, the percentile was assumed to be the sum of explanatory terms for age, sex, income and/or race/ethnicity and a random error term; the error terms were assumed to be approximately independent and normally distributed with a mean of zero and a variance equal to the square of the standard error. In this model, the weight is the inverse of the variance, so that percentiles with larger standard errors are given less of a statistical weight in the fitted regression model. Using this model, the difference in the value of a percentile between different demographic groups is statistically significant if the difference between the corresponding sums of explanatory terms is statistically significantly different from zero. A p-value at or below 0.05 implies that the difference is statistically significant at the 5% significance level. No adjustment is made for multiple comparisons.

For each type of comparison, we present unadjusted and adjusted analyses. The unadjusted analyses directly compare a percentile between different demographic groups. The adjusted analyses add other demographic explanatory variables to the statistical model and use the statistical model to account for the possible confounding effects of these other demographic variables. For example, the unadjusted race/ethnicity comparisons use and compare the percentiles between different race/ethnicity pairs. The adjusted race/ethnicity comparisons use the percentiles for each age/sex/income/race/ethnicity combination. The adjusted analyses add age, sex, and income terms to the statistical model and compare the percentiles between different race/ethnicity pairs after accounting for the effects of the other demographic variables. For example, if White non-Hispanics tend to have higher family incomes than Black non-Hispanics, and if the urine perchlorate level strongly depends on family income only, then the unadjusted differences between these two race/ethnicity groups would be significant but the adjusted difference (taking into account income) would not be significant.

Comparisons between pairs of race/ethnicity groups are shown in Table 1 for women ages 16 to 49 years and in Table 4 for children ages 6 to 17 years. Comparisons between income groups are shown in Table 2 for women ages 16 to 49 years and in Table 5 for children ages 6 to 17 years. In Tables 1 and 4, for the unadjusted "All incomes" comparisons, the only explanatory variables are terms for each race/ethnicity group. For these unadjusted comparisons, the statistical tests compare the percentiles for each pair of race/ethnicity groups. For the adjusted "All incomes (adjusted for age, sex, income)" comparisons, the explanatory variables are terms for each race/ethnicity group together with terms for each age, sex (for children), and income group. For these adjusted comparisons, the statistical test compares the pair of race/ethnicity groups after

accounting for any differences in the age, sex (for children) and income distributions between the race/ethnicity groups. The adjustment for sex is applicable only for children, and thus appears only in Tables 4, 5 and 6.

In Tables 1 and 4, for the unadjusted "Below Poverty Level" and "At or Above Poverty Level" comparisons, the only explanatory variables are terms for each of the twelve race/ethnicity/income combinations (combinations of four race/ethnicity groups and three income groups). For example, in row 1, the p-value for "Below Poverty Level" compares White non-Hispanics below the poverty level with Black non-Hispanics below the poverty level. The same set of explanatory variables are used in Tables 2 and 5 for the unadjusted comparisons between one race/ethnicity group below the poverty level and the same race/ethnicity group at or above the poverty level. The corresponding adjusted analyses include extra explanatory variables for age and sex (for children), so that race/ethnicity/income groups are compared after accounting for any differences due to age or sex. Although these comparisons only involve the two income groups with known incomes, these statistical models were fitted to all three income groups (including those with unknown income) to make a more general, better fitting model; this approach has no impact on the unadjusted p-values but has a small impact on the adjusted pvalues. Also in Tables 2 and 5, the unadjusted p-value for the population "All" compares the percentiles for women ages 16 to 49 years or children ages 6 to 17 years below poverty level with those at or above poverty level, using the explanatory variables for the two income groups (below poverty, at or above poverty), excluding those with unknown income. The adjusted pvalue includes adjustment terms for age, sex (for children), and race/ethnicity in the model.

Additional comparisons are shown in Table 3 for women ages 16 to 49 years and in Table 6 for children ages 6 to 17 years. Comparisons are shown for differences between children's age groups, between those below poverty and those at or above poverty, and for changes over time (trends). The Against = "age" unadjusted p-value compares the percentiles between children in different age groups, using the explanatory variables for the age groups. The adjusted p-value includes adjustment terms for sex (for children), race/ethnicity, and income in the model. The Against = "income" unadjusted p-value compares the percentiles for those below poverty level with those at or above poverty level, using the explanatory variables for the two income groups (below poverty, at or above poverty). The adjusted p-value includes adjustment terms for age, sex (for children), and race/ethnicity in the model. The Against = "year" p-value examines whether the linear trend in the percentiles is statistically significant (using the percentiles for each NHANES period regressed against the midpoint of that period); the adjusted model for trend adjusts for demographic changes in the populations from year to year by including terms for age, sex (for children), income, and race/ethnicity. The adjustment for sex is applicable only for children, and thus appears only in Table 6.

For women, the age groups used were 16-19, 20-24, 25-29, 30-39, and 40-49. For children, the age groups used were 6-10, 11-15, and 16-17.

For more details on these statistical analyses, see the memorandum by Cohen (2010). vi

vi Cohen, J. 2010. Selected statistical methods for testing for trends and comparing years or demographic groups in ACE NHIS and NHANES indicators. Memorandum submitted to Dan Axelrad, EPA, 21 March, 2010.

Table 1. Statistical significance tests comparing the percentiles of perchlorate in women ages 16 to 49 years, between pairs of race/ethnicity groups, for 2011-2014.

					P-VALUES				
Variable	Percentile	First race/ethnicity group	Second race/ethnicity group*	All incomes	All incomes (adjusted for age, income)	Below Poverty Level	Below Poverty Level (adjusted for age)	At or Above Poverty Level	At or Above Poverty Level (adjusted for age)
Perchlorate	50	White non- Hispanic	Black non- Hispanic	0.750	0.635	0.268	0.184	0.967	0.563
Perchlorate	50	White non- Hispanic	Mexican- American	0.045	< 0.001	0.273	< 0.001	0.019	0.263
Perchlorate	50	White non- Hispanic	Other	0.412	0.012	0.169	0.571	0.784	0.930
Perchlorate	50	Black non- Hispanic	Mexican- American	0.100	< 0.001	0.989	0.006	0.021	0.208
Perchlorate	50	Black non- Hispanic	Other	0.263	0.202	0.034	0.126	0.823	0.560
Perchlorate	50	Mexican- American	Other	0.004	< 0.001	0.034	< 0.001	0.009	0.279
Perchlorate	95	White non- Hispanic	Black non- Hispanic	0.171	0.655	0.491	< 0.001	0.051	0.179
Perchlorate	95	White non- Hispanic	Mexican- American	0.274	< 0.001	0.919	0.414	0.037	< 0.001
Perchlorate	95	White non- Hispanic	Other	0.069	0.021	0.810	0.626	0.129	0.030
Perchlorate	95	Black non- Hispanic	Mexican- American	0.973	< 0.001	0.463	< 0.001	0.284	< 0.001
Perchlorate	95	Black non- Hispanic	Other	0.923	0.067	0.618	< 0.001	0.725	0.184
Perchlorate	95	Mexican- American	Other	0.909	< 0.001	0.751	0.651	0.201	< 0.001

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Other" represents the "All Other Races/Ethnicities" category, which includes all other races and ethnicities not specified, together with those individuals who report more than one race.

Table 2. Statistical significance tests comparing the percentiles of perchlorate in women ages 16 to 49 years, between those below poverty level and those at or above poverty level, for 2011-2014.

			P-Values for difference between income leve		
Variable	Percentile	Population*	Unadjusted	Adjusted (for age)**	
Perchlorate	50	All	0.390	< 0.001	
Perchlorate	50	White non-Hispanic	0.383	0.001	
Perchlorate	50	Black non-Hispanic	0.658	0.833	
Perchlorate	50	Mexican-American	0.132	0.827	
Perchlorate	50	Other	0.065	0.008	
Perchlorate	95	All	0.939	< 0.001	
Perchlorate	95	White non-Hispanic	0.906	0.009	
Perchlorate	95	Black non-Hispanic	0.730	0.715	
Perchlorate	95	Mexican-American	0.044	< 0.001	
Perchlorate	95	Other	0.367	< 0.001	

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Other" represents the "All Other Races/Ethnicities" category, which includes all other races and ethnicities not specified, together with those individuals who report more than one race.

Table 3. Other statistical significance tests comparing the percentiles of perchlorate in women ages 16 to 49 years, for 2011-2014 (trends for 2001-2014).

		P-VAI	LUES			
Variable	Percentile	From	To	Against	Unadjusted	Adjusted*
Perchlorate	50	2011	2014	income	0.390	< 0.001
Perchlorate	50	2001	2014	year	0.001	< 0.001
Perchlorate	95	2011	2014	income	0.939	< 0.001
Perchlorate	95	2001	2014	year	0.001	< 0.001

<sup>\*</sup>For Against = "income," the comparison is between those below the poverty level and those at or above the poverty level, and the p-values are adjusted for age and race/ethnicity.

Table 4. Statistical significance tests comparing the percentiles of perchlorate in children ages 6 to 17 years, between pairs of race/ethnicity groups, for 2011-2014.

				P-VALUES					
Variable	Percentile	First race/ethnicity group	Second race/ethnicity group*	All incomes	All incomes (adjusted for age, income)	Below Poverty Level	Below Poverty Level (adjusted for age)	At or Above Poverty Level	At or Above Poverty Level (adjusted for age)
Perchlorate	50	White non- Hispanic	Black non- Hispanic	0.772	0.456	0.214	0.908	0.580	0.937
Perchlorate	50	White non- Hispanic	Mexican- American	0.778	0.781	0.951	0.314	0.814	0.415
Perchlorate	50	White non- Hispanic	Other	0.203	0.206	0.523	0.569	0.123	0.049
Perchlorate	50	Black non- Hispanic	Mexican- American	1.000	0.613	0.322	0.433	0.557	0.305
Perchlorate	50	Black non- Hispanic	Other	0.369	0.014	0.469	0.561	0.399	0.007
Perchlorate	50	Mexican- American	Other	0.381	0.076	0.647	0.130	0.206	< 0.001
Perchlorate	95	White non- Hispanic	Black non- Hispanic	0.687	< 0.001	0.316	0.015	0.837	0.533
Perchlorate	95	White non- Hispanic	Mexican- American	0.589	< 0.001	0.429	< 0.001	0.654	0.113
Perchlorate	95	White non- Hispanic	Other	0.803	0.192	0.863	0.009	0.816	0.139
Perchlorate	95	Black non- Hispanic	Mexican- American	0.745	0.129	0.604	0.004	0.615	< 0.001
Perchlorate	95	Black non- Hispanic	Other	0.391	< 0.001	0.141	0.871	0.722	< 0.001
Perchlorate	95	Mexican- American	Other	0.471	< 0.001	0.069	< 0.001	0.747	0.529

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Other" represents the "All Other Races/Ethnicities" category, which includes all other races and ethnicities not specified, together with those individuals who report more than one race.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Comparison for "All" is adjusted for age and race/ethnicity; comparisons for race/ethnicity categories are adjusted for age.

For Against = "year" the comparison is the trend over different years, and the p-values are adjusted for age, race/ethnicity, and income.

Table 5. Statistical significance tests comparing the percentiles of perchlorate in children ages 6 to 17 years, between those below poverty level and those at or above poverty level, for 2011-2014.

			P-Values for difference between income levels			
Variable	Percentile	Population*	Unadjusted	Adjusted (for age, sex)**		
Perchlorate	50	All	0.125	0.565		
Perchlorate	50	White non-Hispanic	0.072	0.693		
Perchlorate	50	Black non-Hispanic	0.817	0.572		
Perchlorate	50	Mexican-American	0.215	0.040		
Perchlorate	50	Other	0.763	0.006		
Perchlorate	95	All	0.635	0.001		
Perchlorate	95	White non-Hispanic	0.549	0.090		
Perchlorate	95	Black non-Hispanic	0.366	0.019		
Perchlorate	95	Mexican-American	0.731	< 0.001		
Perchlorate	95	Other	0.398	< 0.001		

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Other" represents the "All Other Races/Ethnicities" category, which includes all other races and ethnicities not specified, together with those individuals who report more than one race.

Table 6. Other statistical significance tests comparing the percentiles of perchlorate in children ages 6 to 17 years, for 2011-2014 (trends for 2001-2014).

		P-VAI	LUES			
Variable	Percentile	From	To	Against	Unadjusted	Adjusted*
Perchlorate	50	2011	2014	age	0.025	< 0.001
Perchlorate	50	2011	2014	income	0.125	0.565
Perchlorate	50	2001	2014	year	< 0.001	< 0.001
Perchlorate	95	2011	2014	age	0.211	< 0.001
Perchlorate	95	2011	2014	income	0.635	0.001
Perchlorate	95	2001	2014	year	0.112	< 0.001

<sup>\*</sup>For Against = "age," the comparison is between the age groups 6-10, 11-15, and 16-17 years, and the p-values are adjusted for sex, race/ethnicity and income.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Comparison for "All" is adjusted for age, sex, and race/ethnicity; comparisons for race/ethnicity categories are adjusted for age and sex.

For Against = "income," the comparison is between those below the poverty level and those at or above the poverty level, and the p-values are adjusted for age, sex, and race/ethnicity.

For Against = "year" the comparison is the trend over different years, and the p-values are adjusted for age, sex, race/ethnicity, and income.