F-GHG Emissions Reduction Efforts: Flat Panel Display Supplier Profiles

Introduction

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Air and Radiation September 2016

Fluorinated greenhouse gases (F-GHGs) are among the most potent and persistent greenhouse gases (GHGs) contributing to global climate change. These gases play a vital role in the manufacture of flat panel displays--most commonly liquid crystal display (LCD) panels-- that go into televisions, computer monitors, and many other display products. The overall climate impact of the millions of display products Americans use can be greatly reduced if suppliers of these components take steps to mitigate releases of these F-GHGs to the atmosphere.

Over the last decade, many key flat panel display manufacturers have undertaken commendable voluntary efforts to reduce their F-GHG emissions. In the interest of encouraging further emissions reductions, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is profiling efforts by flat panel display suppliers to reduce their F-GHG emissions, consistent with its purpose of sharing industry best practices and emerging efforts to reduce corporate GHG emissions through its Center for Corporate Climate Leadership. Additionally, in late 2013, leading electronics brands and retailers Walmart, Dell, HP, Lenovo and Best Buy began taking steps to foster further voluntary F-GHG reductions among their LCD suppliers. Consistent with the mission of the Center, EPA commends these companies for having submitted a proposal to their suppliers calling for them to 1) develop a standard method for measuring and recording F-GHG emissions for the industry, 2) establish a voluntary long-term F-GHG emissions reduction goal with public timelines for demonstrating progress, and 3) develop an annual progress report that can be shared with them and/or other supporting organizations.

EPA assembled the information presented in each profile from publicly available sources, including suppliers' corporate sustainability reports and their responses to the Carbon Disclosure Project's Investor or Supply Chain questionnaire, and from information provided by the suppliers themselves or by trade associations representing the flat panel display industry. Where information on suppliers' F-GHG emissions reduction efforts was assembled, suppliers then had the opportunity to review their draft profiles and provide feedback before profiles were completed. EPA intends to update the following profiles from all flat panel suppliers on an as-needed basis when new information or updates to existing information become available.

To better understand the information presented, below are some key points to consider.

Definitions:

- Flat Panel Displays: Today flat panel displays that use F-GHGs during manufacturing are mostly liquid crystal display (LCD) panels. Some suppliers refer specifically to LCD manufacturing when describing their efforts to reduce F-GHG use in production. For purposes of capturing future products or other displays that may use F-GHGs in production, EPA has opted to use the broader term of 'flat panel displays' instead of 'LCDs.' To EPA's knowledge, production of OLEDs uses F-GHGS but production of plasma displays does not use them.
- F-GHGs and PFCs: The F-GHG emissions of primary concern are from perfluorocarbons (PFCs), trifluoromethane (CHF₃ or HFCs), nitrogen trifluoride (NF₃), and sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆); these are sometimes collectively called perfluorocompounds (also termed PFCs). In some publicly available information, suppliers use the term 'PFCs' instead of 'F-GHGs' to refer to all of their F-GHGs used. EPA has chosen to use the term 'F-GHGs' instead of 'PFCs' (for perfluorocompounds) to address all known F-GHGs used in flat panel display manufacturing.
- Fab: Fabrication facility for panel production.

F-GHGs in the Context of Corporate GHG Inventories and Reporting:

Many flat panel display suppliers have implemented corporate-wide GHG emissions reduction goals and developed GHG inventories, encompassing both Scope 1 emissions, namely those from on-site combustion and processes, and Scope 2 emissions, those from purchased electricity and/or steam. The F-GHG emissions used in flat panel manufacturing reflect a subset of suppliers' Scope 1 emissions and are usually referenced in terms of CO₂ equivalent (CO₂e).

Key Manufacturing Processes to Consider

Panel etching and CVD chamber cleaning are the key processes that use F-GHGs in manufacturing flat panel displays.

Fluorinated heat transfer fluids (HTFs) are often used to cool equipment, resulting in emissions due to evaporative losses. Some manufacturers indicate that they do not use such fluorinated HTFs, or that emissions from fluorinated HTFs are minimal compared to those from etching and chamber cleaning processes. EPA is interested in understanding the extent to which such HTFs are used, how their potential emissions compare to those resulting from other key processes, and options for reducing F-GHG emissions from their use.

Reducing F-GHG Emissions

Over the last decade, electronics manufacturers have made significant progress in identifying effective technological solutions to reducing F-GHG emissions. The following approaches to reducing F-GHG emissions from the manufacture of flat panels are in use today or are being explored:

- 1. **Process improvements/source reduction:** Manufacturers optimize their processes to use F-GHGs more efficiently, especially in CVD clean processes, resulting in smaller amounts of gas that are unreacted and emitted.
- 2. Alternative chemicals: Manufacturers use alternative gases that are more efficient (more of the applied gas served its intended purpose versus being left unreacted) with a lower global warming potential (GWP) to accomplish the same result. For example, many manufacturers have modified certain key processes to use NF₃ instead of SF₆; NF₃ is a replacement gas for in-situ use for CVD and is also used in CVD remote plasma chamber cleaning. Though NF₃ still has very high GWP of 17,200, it is lower than that of SF₆ (which has a GWP of nearly 23,000) and is used more efficiently. Some companies are piloting the use of F2 to replace NF₃ in the remote plasma chamber cleaning process and are seeking to surmount some of challenges associated with transport, storage and use of F₂.
- Capture and beneficial reuse: Manufacturers capture F-GHGs and process them to remove impurities and refine them for reuse. Some suppliers have piloted gas recycling in the past two years; however, reuse/recycling has so far not been implemented widely due to limitations on the effectiveness and cost of available recycling technologies.
- 4. Abatement via gas destruction technologies: Both point-of-use abatement, where the abatement system is attached to a process tool(s), and centralized abatement systems, where gases are sent to, and destroyed in, a centralized system, are being used by major panel suppliers. Abatement remains one of the most effective ways to reduce the majority of F-GHG emissions. There are many different types of destruction technologies that are used to abate F-GHGs, however, most abatement systems today use combustion.

Measuring Emissions and Monitoring Abatement Systems:

Measuring the efficiency of an installed abatement system to destroy or remove gases such as F-GHGs--known as the destruction or removal efficiency (DRE)-- directly relates to how suppliers can account for their annual F-GHG emissions and subsequent reductions. Most suppliers today use default factors from the 2006 IPCC Guidelines to account for the DRE of abatement systems. However, suppliers may also directly measure DREs using measurement guidelines or protocols. An example of such a protocol is EPA's "Protocol for Measuring Destruction or Removal Efficiency (DRE) of Fluorinated Greenhouse Gas Abatement Equipment in Electronics Manufacturing" (EPA's DRE Protocol). Published in 2010 and internationally peer-reviewed, EPA's DRE Protocol provides a reliable method for measuring DRE's of

point-of-use abatement systems for F-GHGs used during the manufacture of electronics. In other cases, for both point-of-use and centralized abatement systems, suppliers may monitor their systems on an ongoing basis to acquire on-site real-time data. Suppliers may also test their abatement systems by monitoring specific parameters such as temperature, process gas and exhaust gas flow rate.

Voluntary F-GHG reduction efforts:

Flat panel display suppliers are to be commended for undertaking F-GHG reductions voluntarily, as many companies have been implementing F-GHG emissions reductions for over a decade. Most suppliers represented in the following profiles have been participating, through their respective trade associations, in the World LCD Industry Cooperation Committee, now called the World Display Industry Cooperation Committee (WDICC), which agreed to voluntary reduction activities in 2001-2010 that would reduce 2000 baseline levels by approximately 90 percent down to 0.82 MMTCE. To meet the reduction goal, many suppliers in participating countries implemented strategies to address their emissions including installing abatement technologies on production lines in their newer generation fabs, namely those built within the last decade. As a result, F-GHG emissions were reduced by 10.1 MMTCE, to where aggregate emissions totaled 1.75 MMTCE. Though these reductions demonstrated significant accomplishments, the WLICC fell short of its goal due to a rise in emissions resulting from a rapid increase in production for LCD panels that were integrated into products such as televisions faster than initially anticipated.

Since the WDICC converged to set its initial goals, newer suppliers with growing market share emerged, where information on their F-GHG emissions reductions efforts is currently unknown. In addition, it appears that some key suppliers, are still in varying stages of implementing comprehensive F-GHG emission reductions efforts across their fabs. As worldwide demand for flat panels continues to increase, F-GHG emissions may also be projected to rise, depending on the F-GHG emissions reduction efforts in place. To mitigate a potential rise in emissions, it is important that reduction efforts across all major panel suppliers are implemented.

In late 2015, LCD suppliers who are members of the World Display Industry Cooperation Committee (WDICC) committed to a new goal of reducing F-GHG emissions intensity by 30% by 2020. EPA commends LCD suppliers for taking this important step to further reduce F-GHG emissions.

Suppliers:

Currently, twelve suppliers (listed below) are the major producers of large-area flat panel displays used to make TVs and display products. The profiles that follow highlight efforts of these suppliers to reduce their F-GHG emissions in flat panel manufacturing across key areas covering mitigation measures and goals, the extent of their reduction efforts (whether they include all processes and gases used), the extent to which abatement technologies are installed on newer fabs, and public disclosure of F-GHG emissions and/or emissions reductions. Profiles were only

developed for suppliers where information on their F-GHG emissions reduction efforts in flat panel manufacturing was publicly available. The emissions data are reported in either tons or metric tons to reflect how suppliers reported on their emissions.

AU Optronics (AUO)

BOE Technology

CEC-Panda

ChinaStar

Chunghwa Picture Tubes (CPT)

<u>HannStar</u>

Infovision

INX (Innolux)

LG Display

<u>Panasonic</u>

Samsung Display

<u>Sharp</u>

Update for Calendar Year 2014		AUO (AU Optronics)				
	Specific F-GHG emissions reduction efforts and/or goals	AUO reduced F-GHGs emissions, namely NF ₃ , SF ₆ and CF ₄ (PFCs), by 10.6 million metric tons of CO ₂ e from 2003– 2014. AUO considers itself a pioneer of F-GHG abatement in Taiwan. Since 2003, AUO voluntarily installed IPCC- recognized F-GHG abatement devices with destruction removal efficiencies (DRE) over 90 percent in dry etching and chemical vapor deposition (CVD) processes for all newly built fabs to reduce F-GHG emissions from gases such as SF ₆ and NF ₃ . Over the previous two years, AUO also installed local scrubbers to remove F-GHG emissions at older fabs built before 2003. Currently the installation rate of F-GHG abatement equipment at older, lower-generation fabs, is approaching 100%. Sources: AUO 2014 Corporate Social Responsibility Report (section 4.2.1, page 58). (www.auo.com/upload/download/1/AUO_2014_CSR_EN_All.pdf) AUO's responses to the 2015 Carbon Disclosure Project Investor Questionnaire.				
Overview	+ F-GHG emissions reduction efforts/goals target the following key processes that emit F-GHGs	Etch and Clean processes. On fluorinated heat transfer fluids (HTFs): AUO follows the "Guidance for Greenhouse Gas Accounting and Reporting for GHG inventory" published by the Taiwanese EPA. In the guidance, HTFs are listed as emission sources for semiconductor industry, but not for optoelectronics industry, meaning that emissions from HTFs are too minor in AUO's process. Sources: AUO TTLA presentation at APEC meeting, August 2012, Taiwan.				
	+ F-GHG emissions reduction efforts/goals target the following F-GHGs emitted	SF ₆ , PFCs (specifically CF ₄), HFCs, NF ₃ . Source: AUO's responses to the 2015 Carbon Disclosure Project Investor Questionnaire.				

Participation in national and/or international mandatory and/or voluntary efforts to reduce F-GHG emissions from flat panel display manufacturing AUO is a member of the Taiwanese TFT-LCD Association (TTLA). TTLA participates on behalf of Taiwan's LCD suppliers in the World Display Device Industry Cooperation Committee (WDICC), an international industry initiative that previously set goals to reduce F-GHG emissions (formerly the World LCD Industry Cooperation Committee).

In 2004, TTLA and Taiwan's Environmental Protection Agency signed an memorandum of understanding (MOU) where TTLA agreed to choose 2002 as the base year for reducing F-GHG emissions and to reduce F-GHGs emissions intensity to 0.0335 tons of CO_2e/m^2 of glass substrate area by 2010 (this target is TTLA's collective goal and does not necessarily reflect each individual supplier's goal).

The TTLA and Taiwan's Industrial Development Bureau, Ministry of Economic Affairs signed a "Voluntary GHG Reduction Agreement," for 2011–2015, which set a target to achieve additional GHG reductions by 12 million metric tons of CO₂e within 5 years. In Taiwan, F-GHG emission reductions by flat panel display manufacturers are still voluntary. However, as of 2012, Taiwan's EPA listed PFCs, HFCs, and SF₆ (including non-F-GHGs CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O) as air pollutants and has indicated that it will implement emissions control measures under the Air Pollution Control Act in the future. Taiwan's government has launched the Principles for Promoting Greenhouse Gas Early Action and Offset Program to encourage carbon reduction. AUO has engaged in developing the SF₆ abatement verification methodology for LCD industries, and received 9.11 million tons of tradable carbon credits based on the amount of PFCs reduced in the past.

The Taiwan EPA created the Carbon Credit Program which rewards companies that implemented early carbon reduction actions and carbon offset projects, as well as establishes a domestic carbon trading platform. AUO was issued approximately 9 million tonnes of carbon credits by Taiwan EPA for its early action on PFC reductions and third party verification of its F-GHG abatement.

AUO discussed with the Taiwan EPA an emissions intensity baseline for benchmarking reductions, which methodology should be used to calculate the SF₆ emissions reductions in CO₂e using burn-type abatement used to destroy F-GHGs, and how to avoid double counting in carbon tax schemes. AUO is currently undergoing SF₆ abatement verification of its SF₆ abatement, having used a methodology to calculate emissions reductions with the Taiwan EPA. Otherwise, we also expect to influence others in acting GHG reduction.

Sources:

AUO's responses to the 2015 Carbon Disclosure Project Investor Questionnaire.

"SF₆ Abatement Strategy in Taiwan". Presentation by Taiwan's Industrial Technology Research Institute (ITRI), 2004.

TTLA

Overview	Corporate-wide GHG emissions reduction goals and reduction initiatives	AUO set an intensity goal to reduce its Scope 1 and Scope 2 GHG emissions in all its fabs worldwide by 25 percent from 2010 to 2015, where its most recent base year GHG emissions, based on the 2010 national electricity emission factor, were reported as 69 kg CO ₂ e/m ² . AUO recently revised the GHG emissions intensity baseline to 58.5 kg CO ₂ / square meter input area, with a goal of attaining 47.3 kg CO ₂ /square meter at the end of 2014. This change was due because the GHG emissions baseline announced in AUO's 2014 AUO CSR report chapter includes emissions from both manufacturing sites and office buildings. The new baseline reflects manufacturing emissions only. AUO updates its GHG inventory based on the latest national electricity factors published by the Bureau of Energy. AUO's "Green Solutions" initiative addresses emissions reductions from all of its manufacturing and other operations, from within its supply chain, and via improved product design to create lower-carbon products. In 2011, AUO initiated a carbon footprint management system to assist its global customers in calculating the carbon footprint of individual products, as they seek PAS 2050 third party verification. AUO also developed its own electronic system to collect vendors' carbon footprint data. AUO participates in the Product Attribute to Impact Algorithm (PAIA) Project to develop calculation models for LCD-related products. <i>Source:</i> <i>AUO's responses to the 2015 Carbon Disclosure Project Investor Questionnaire</i> .
Keduction Efforts	Process optimization	AUO's process experts worked with its SF ₆ supplier to investigate ways to reduce the quantity of SF ₆ used in dry etching manufacturing processes for manufacture of its TFT-LCD panels. AUO found that by adjusting process parameters, SF ₆ consumption could be considerably reduced. For example, at its G6 fab in Taichung, Taiwan, if the fab is in full production capacity, by adjusting relevant process parameters, the amount of SF ₆ gas can be reduced by 720 kgs per year, equal to reducing 32,000 metric tons of CO ₂ emissions annually. AUO expanded this SF ₆ reduction scheme across all its fabs, including those located in other regions. In addition, AUO installed flow meters and mass flow controllers at the front of reaction chambers, enabling on-site engineers to reduce unnecessary gas waste in chambers and improve gas utilization efficiencies.
5H5-	Use of alternatives	Though it is more expensive, AUO uses NF ₃ , which has a lower global warming potential, instead of SF ₆ in clean vapor deposition (CVD) chambers. AUO also started using NF ₃ when fabs were newly built. AUO continues to research the possibilities of using alternative gases with lower or no GWP in conjunction with optimizing process efficiencies and implementing abatement systems. Source: AUO

Capture and recycling	In 2012, AUO tested gas recycling technologies and recycling efficiency at one fab, where the utilization efficiency of the recycling system was measured directly by monitoring the recycled gas flow. In 2013, AUO's Longtan site introduced a membrane separation method, previously approved by the IPCC, which purifies SF ₆ so that it can be re-used in the manufacturing process. This recycling technology reduced F-GHG emissions and saved on operating and material costs. However, due to a higher maintenance demand and lower efficiency in general, the recycling system has been replaced by the abatement system. Sources: AUO AUO 2013 Corporate Social Responsibility Report (section 4.2.1, page 61). (www.auo.com/upload/download/1/AUO_2013_CSR_EN_All.pdf) AUO's responses to the 2014 Carbon Disclosure Project Investor Questionnaire.	
Abatement		
+ Full or partial installation of abatement systems across all new generation fabs	AUO has installed abatement systems in all newer generation fabs. CVD processes in all fabs are equipped with abatement systems. For dry etching processes, abatement systems have been gradually installed since 2003 on new production lines. Types of abatement technologies being employed include combustion (for CVD, dry etching) and membrane separation technology (for dry etching and is regarded as a recycling technology). AUO uses localized, point of use (POU) abatement systems. Sources: AUO Taiwan Environmental Protection Administration. "The Initiative and Efforts from Electronic Corporations in Taiwan: Semiconductor and TFT-LCD." (http://unfccc.epa.gov.tw/unfccc/english/_uploads/downloads/05_The_ Initiative_and_Efforts_form_Electronic_Industry_in_Taiwan.pdf) TTLA presentation at APEC meeting, August 2012, Taiwan. AUO's responses to the 2014 Carbon Disclosure Project Investor Questionnaire.	

+ Ensured that abatement systems are installed, operated, maintained, according to manufacturer specifications	For abatement systems, the destruction or removal efficiency was checked once first installed. Source: AUO
+ Indicate whether default factors or actual measurements were used to estimate the DRE Reported destruction or removal efficiency (DRE)	Default factors used. AUO applies the 90 percent default DRE value for SF ₆ , HFCs and PFCs abatement technologies and the 95 percent default DRE value for NF ₃ abatement technologies, taken from the 2006 IPCC Tier 2b Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories for electronics industry emissions. <i>Source: AUO</i>
+ Practices for monitoring abatement systems	When abatement systems were first installed, AUO randomly selected devices from each machine type per site, and measured their utilization efficiencies and DRE using Fourier Transform Infra Red (FTIR). To ensure the effectiveness of the CVD and dry etching abatement systems, both systems were measured even if they were located in the same site. The outcomes of the FTIR measurements were verified by a third party, the Industrial Technology Research Institute of Taiwan (ITRI). However, due to the high cost of FTIR testing, AUO uses an operation recipe instead as a method to monitor abatement devices after installation. Engineers check and record the operation recipe. Parameters include temperature, process gas, and exhaust gas flow rate. By types of devices, different parameters are monitored. For most devices, AUO found that air fuel ratio is a key indicator to determine if more fuel is needed. If the abatement system does not have a thermometer, then the volume of gas flow will become an important indicator. <i>Source: AUO's responses to the 2015 Carbon Disclosure Project Investor Questionnaire, (section ICT3.6).</i>

F-GHG Reduction Efforts

Total annual F-GHG emissions in CO₂e, emitted across all flat panel display manufacturing fabs (2014)

The total amount of F-GHG emissions attributed to panel manufacturing is not publicly available.

As part of its **2015** disclosure to the Carbon Disclosure Project, which discloses GHG emissions from Jan **1**, **2014**– **Dec 31**, **2014**, AUO lists its total Scope 1 emissions. These Scope 1 emissions represent its organizational boundary, using an operational control approach that includes facilities within Taiwan and in other countries. Its F-GHG emissions, as reported, are as follows, in metric tons of CO₂e: HFCs: 6,171.93 (increase compared to 4,487.30 in CY 2013) PFCs (includes NF₃): 24,094.22 (increase compared to 21,285.95 in CY 2013) SF₆: 206,099.58 (decrease compared to 212,597.60in CY 2013)

Context:

AUO's large panel shipments decreased slightly from 117.0 million units in 2013 to 116.9 million units in 2014, and small and medium panel shipments increased from 152.5 million units in 2013 to 170.7 million units in 2014.



Important: The emissions listed cannot be compared to the emissions from other suppliers because they may use different estimation methods and monitoring practices to calculate their emissions. These process emissions may also reflect manufacturing processes that create additional products other than large-area flat panel displays.

Sources:

AUO 2014 Corporate Social Responsibility Report (section 2.1.1, page 21). (www.auo.com/upload/download/1/AUO_2014_CSR_EN_All.pdf)

AUO's responses to the 2015 Carbon Disclosure Project Investor Questionnaire.

Total annual F-GHG emissions reductions and/or rate of emissions reductions	IF-GHG eductions of emissions PFCs Emissions Reduction Performance 100 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 100 2014 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000			
Methodology used to estimate F-GHG emissions	AUO estimates its F-GHG emissions based on the Tier 2b method provided by the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories for electronics industry emissions. The uncertainty of the emission factors are estimated from 6% to 50%. Source: AUO's responses to the 2015 Carbon Disclosure Project Investor Questionnaire.			
Third party assurance for F-GHG emissions estimates	AUO's raw data is verified by a third party according to the ISO 14064-1 guidance, where the uncertainty assessment in scope 1 is estimated from -5% to 5%. The following pages are part of AUO's "Verification Statement of Greenhouse Gas Assertions" for 2014. Source: AUO's responses to the 2015 Carbon Disclosure Project Investor Questionnaire.			

VERIFICATION STATEMENT OF GREENHOUSE GAS

ASSERTIONS

Statement No. 00062-2015-AG-TWN Page 4 of 1

AU Optronics Corporation

initiate reporting of

Greenhouse Gas Inventory

Management Report (2014)

Scope of Verification

DNV GL has been commissioned by AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION to perform a verification of the greenhouse gas assertion of AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION Greenhouse Gas Inventory Management Report (2014) (hereafter the "Inventory Report") with respect to the sites listed in Appendix.

Verification Criteria and GHG Programme

The verification was performed on the basis of ISO 14064-1:2006 and CNS 14064-1:2006 to provide for consistent GHG emission identification, calculation, monitoring and reporting.

The verification was conducted in accordance with ISO 14066:2011, ISO 14065:2013 and ISO 14064-3:2006

Verification Statement

It is DNV GL's opinion that with reasonable assurance level the greenhouse gas assertion of the Inventory Report (2014), which was published on 29^{th} April 2015, is free from material discrepancies in accordance with the

verification criteria identified as stated above. Hsiantin Tim Kuo

GHG Verifier May 26, 2015

lifin

District Manager DNV GL Business Assurance lith 4th

David Hsieh

VERIFICATION STATEMENT OF GREENHOUSE GAS

ASSERTIONS

Supplement to Statement No. 00062-2015-AG-TWN Page 4 of 2

Process and Methodology

The reviews of the Inventory Report and relevant documents, and the subsequent follow-up interviews have provided DNV GL with sufficient evidence to determine the fulfilment of stated criteria.

Quantification of Greenhouse Gas Emission

The Inventory Report covering the period 1st January, 2014 to 31st December, 2014, it is DNV GL's opinion that the Inventory Report include all relevant GHG emissions and removals in accordance with the verification criteria identified as stated above, and results in quantification of GHG emissions that are real, transparent and measurable.

Organizational Boundary of Verification

Financial Management Control Operational Management Control
Guity Share

GHGs Verified

CO2 CH4 N20 HFCs PFCs SF6 Total Direct Emissions: 336,265.37 Tonnes CO2-e Total Energy Indirect Emissions: 2,488,050.79 Tonnes CO2-e Total Company-wide Emissions: 2,824,316.16 Tonnes CO2-e

The fluorinated compounds ("FCs" defined by 2006 IPCC Guidelines) emissions in year 2014 without and with installing abatement equipment were calculated as 1,530,723.44 and 230,193.80 Tonnes CO₂-e, respectively, which indicate 1,300,529.64 Tonnes CO₂-e reduction, according to a self-declared Tier 2b method referred to 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Volume 3 Industrial Processes and Product Use, Chapter 6 Electronics Industry Emissions.

Verification Opinion

Verified without Qualification

Unable to Verify

Lack of fulfiment of conditions as set out in the Certification Agroement may render this Certificate invaki. This Venification Opinios is based on the information made available to us and the ongogeneric conditions detailed above. Immor, DNV GL can not guarantee the accuracy or correctness of the information. DNV GL can be the Milable to usy party relyticity on acting upon this Venification Opinios. <u>miliphilabilation2014</u> (EUTIRING XUBP 2 & 299 H 29 Hz, JEL + 886-2-02557400, websharwow.threpLcom.tw

Update	for	Calendar	Year	2014
--------	-----	----------	------	------

Chunghwa Picture Tubes (CPT)

Specific F-GHG emissions reduction efforts and/or goals As part of the memorandum of u Taiwan's Environmental Protection destruction and removal efficience intensity to 0.0335 tons of CO₂e/implemented PFC reduction met generation of fabs built prior to 2 in the Taoyuan and Longtan plan equal to approximately 170,000 the Sources: CPT 2014 Corporate Sustainabilitie (www.cptt.com.tw/cptt/english/h) CPT Website: Environmental Mail (www.cptt.com.tw/index.php?op) CPT's responses to the 2009 Car "SF₄ Abatement Strategy in Taiw		As part of the memorandum of understanding (MOU) in 2004 with the Taiwan TFT-LCD Association (TTLA) and Taiwan's Environmental Protection Administration, CPT promised to install abatement equipment with over 90 percent destruction and removal efficiency (DRE) in all new fabs designed after 2003 and to reduce F-GHGs emissions intensity to 0.0335 tons of CO ₂ e/m ² of glass substrate area by 2010. To further reduce its GHG emissions, in 2014, CPT implemented PFC reduction methods and evaluated process equipment, targeting its 4.5 generation fabs, an earlier generation of fabs built prior to 2004. CPT completed reconstruction of the chemical vapor deposition (CVD) process in the Taoyuan and Longtan plants, resulting in a 38% emission reduction of fluorinated compounds from 2010 levels, equal to approximately 170,000 tons of carbon dioxide. Sources: CPT 2014 Corporate Sustainability Report (page 28). (www.cptt.com.tw/cptt/english/html/2014_ebook_CSR_EN/index.html#p=32) CPT Website: Environmental Management. (www.cptt.com.tw/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=447&Itemid=180) CPT's responses to the 2009 Carbon Disclosure Project Supply Chain Questionnaire. "SF ₆ Abatement Strategy in Taiwan." Presentation by Taiwan's Industrial Technology Research Institute (ITRI), 2004.
	+ F-GHG emissions reduction efforts/goals target the following key processes that emit F-GHGs	Etch and Clean processes. Information on fluorinated heat transfer fluids not available. Sources: CPT Website: Environmental Management. (www.cptt.com.tw/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=447&Itemid=180) TTLA presentation at APEC meeting, August 2012, Taiwan.

+ F-GHG emissions reduction efforts/goals target the following F-GHGs emitted	SF ₆ , PFCs, HFCs, NF ₃ . Sources: CPT Website: Environmental Management. (www.cptt.com.tw/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=447&Itemid=180) TTLA presentation at APEC meeting, August 2012, Taiwan.
Participation in national and/or international mandatory and/or voluntary efforts to reduce F-GHG emissions from flat panel display manufacturing	 CPT is a member of the Taiwan TFT-LCD Association (TTLA). TTLA participates on behalf of Taiwan's LCD suppliers in the World Display Industry Cooperation Committee (WDICC), an international industry initiative that previously set goals to reduce F-GHG emissions (formerly the World LCD Industry Cooperation Committee). In 2004, TTLA and Taiwan's Environmental Protection Administration signed an MOU where TTLA agreed to choose 2002 as the base year for reducing F-GHG emissions and to reduce F-GHGs emissions intensity to 0.0335 tons of CO₂/e/m² of glass substrate area by 2010 (this target is TTLA's collective goal and does not necessarily reflect each individual supplier's goal). Recently, the TTLA and Taiwan's Industrial Development Bureau, Ministry of Economic Affairs signed a "Voluntary GHG Reduction Agreement," for 2011–2015, which aims to achieve additional GHG reductions by 12 million metric tons of CO₂e within 5 years. In Taiwan, F-GHG emission reductions by flat panel display manufacturers are still voluntary. However, as of 2012, Taiwan's EPA listed PFCs, HFCs, and SF₆ (including non-F-GHG CO₂C), CH₄, and N₂O) as air pollutants and has indicated that it will implement emissions control measures under the Air Pollution Control Act in the future. According to CPT's website, "TTLA signed its first cooperative memorandum for voluntary reductions with the Environmental Protection Administration in 2004. In 2007, it signed the "Voluntary Greenhouse Gas Reduction Agreement" with the Ministry of Economic Affairs. CPT will also work with TTLA to provide regular emission information of fluorinated compounds, and engage in reductions of fluorinated compounds. Our management procedures include: 1. Reconstruction of the chemical vapor deposition (CVD) process in the Taoyuan and Longtan plants have been completed, which results in emission reduction of carbon dioxide. 2. Manufacturing processes that use fluorocarbons (FCs) are a major source of greenhou

	Sources: CPT's Responses to the CPT Website: Environn (www.cptt.com.tw/inde "SF ₆ Abatement Strate TTLA	e 2015 nental ex.php egy in T	CDP In Manage ?option aiwan".	vestor C ement. =com_c Present	Question content& tation by	naire. task=view&id=447&Itemid=180) Taiwan's Industrial Technology Research Institute (ITRI), 2004.
Corporate-wide GHG emissions reduction goals and reduction initiatives	Currently, CPT's goal is baseline year of 1,185,4 gas incinerator facilitie electricity use. In 2013, 584,200 metric tons of of CO ₂ e. Creenhouse gas invento Scope Emission source Include CHG sources owned or controlled by CPT (stationary sources, and fugitive emission sources, mobile combustion sources, and fugitive emission sources Purchased electricity Scope 2 represents the main indirect emission source Other emissions (raw material transportation, supplier manufacturing operations, staff business Scope 3 ravel, and waste treatment are not included in the scope of inventories are conducted) Distances of the statistic Malaysia were sold in 2011. The they are not included in the statistic	s to rec 646 tor s. The CPT's CO ₂ e 2011 427,728 712,777 - 1,140,505 n has not b de constan ed by the s Jant was ss Shenzhen o cs.	duce GH majority Scope a and its s and its s ar: unit 2012 390,313 609,842 - 1,000,155 been included t efforts to i upply chain old in 2010.	IG emis D ₂ e) thrcc y of CPT 1 proce Scope 2 (ton CO ₂ 2013 584,200 601,446 - 1,185,646 d in the emi nclude this of with a view of CPT Malays s sold in 201	sions by pugh pro- r's GHG e ss emissio e/year) 2014 371,554 585,258 956,812 ssion reports category and to optimizing ia and Kinpo 3; therefore,	280,000 tons of CO ₂ e by 2016 (a 23.6% reduction from a 2013 cess optimization and adoption of dry etch machinery and tail emissions are due to F-GHG emissions (process emissions) and ons, across its operational boundary, amounted to approximately ns from purchased electricity amounted to 601,446 metric tons

		CPT has been participating in reporting to the CDP from 2007–2014.
Jverview		Certification of Carbon Footprint for LCD Panel Products: Since August 2009, relevant CPT departments have been planning and exerting efforts for the product carbon footprint verification. After about half a year of investment and preparation, with the cooperation of nearly 15 suppliers, CPT was finally able to disclose and analyze the environmental impacts associated with its LCD products. CPT followed the international carbon footprint standards such as ISO 14040, ISO 14044, ISO 14064-1, and PAS 2050 standard to determine the carbon footprint for its 22" desktop LCD panel product and the result was verified by the Environment and Development Foundation (EDF) before public declaration. This is CPT's first carbon footprint declaration for its LCD panels. After CPT acquired carbon footprint certifications for its 15.6 notebook boards in 2010, CPT officially initiated carbon and water footprint certifications. CPT secured dual carbon and water footprint certifications for its 6.9 inch vehicular board products from international certification agency SGS in December 2011.
		Sources: CPT 2013 Corporate Social Responsibility Report (page 30).
		CPT 2014 Corporate Sustainability Report (page 28). (www.cptt.com.tw/cptt/english/html/2014_ebook_CSR_EN/index.html#p=32)
		CPT's Responses to the 2015 CDP Investor Questionnaire.
on Efforts	Process optimization	According to CPT, "Reconstruction of the chemical vapor deposition (CVD) process in the Taoyuan and Longtan plants have been completed, which results in a 38 percent emission reduction of fluorinated compounds from 2010 levels, equal to approximately 170,000 tons of carbon dioxide Emissions produced by manufacturing processes that use fluorocarbons (FCs) are a major source of greenhouse gas emission during the production process of thin membrane liquid crystal display devices. Currently, processes for end gases from machines include increasing production usage rate and adding local scrubbers (LS)."
ductio		Source: CPT
و لاھ	Use of alternatives	CPT is using lower GWP gases, where possible. Additional details not available.
5		Sources: CPT
		TTLA presentation at APEC meeting, August 2012, Taiwan.

Capture and recycling	Information not available.			
Abatement				
+ Full or partial installation of abatement systems across all new generation fabs	formation not available. PT has installed abatement systems in all newer generation fabs. CPT committed to install abatement systems in all be setablished after 2003 to reduce more than 90 percent of its F-GHG emissions. cccording to CPT, "the processe gases used by the Taoyuan and Lungtan plans for the chemical vapor deposition .VD) and dry etching processes of TFT production processes are SF _a and NF ₃ gases. During these processes, at fully reacted perfluorocarbon gases are emitted. To guarantee effective treatment of PFC gases, CPT uses gh temperature combustion to break down the gases' molecules. Secondary solid pollutants generated in the mbustion process, namely silicon monoxide and dioxide, are then captured with bag-filter, dust-collection facilitie nally, the cleaning tower is used to clean out special gas molecules in the waste gas. The efficiency of this process cceeds 90% (calculations are based on official statements of IPCC)." Durces: PT 2014 Corporate Sustainability Report (page 43). www.cptt.com.tw/cptt/english/html/2014_ebook_CSR_EN/index.html#p=32) PT Website: Environmental Management. www.cptt.com.tw/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=447&Itemid=180) PT's responses to the 2009 Carbon Disclosure Project Supply Chain Questionnaire. aiwan Environmental Protection Administration. "The Initiative and Efforts from Electronic Corporations in Taiwan- miconductor and TFT-LCD." (http://unfccc.epa.gov.tw/unfccc/english/_uploads/downloads/05_The_Initiative_and_ forts_form_Electronic_Industry_in_Taiwan.pdf) TLA presentation at APEC meeting, August 2012, Taiwan.			
+ Ensured that abatement systems are installed, operated, maintained, according to manufacturer specifications	Upon installation at the facility and before CPT begins operating the abatement system, the abatement equipment manufacturer verifies that the system can meet the default DRE. Sources: CPT TTLA			

G Reduction Efforts	 Indicate whether default factors or actual measurements were used to estimate the DRE Reported destruction or removal efficiency (DRE) 	Default factors used. CPT applies the 90 percent default DRE value for SF ₆ , HFCs and PFCs abatement technologies and the 95 percent default DRE value for NF ₃ abatement technologies, taken from the 2006 IPCC Tier 2b Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories for electronics industry emissions. CPT reports the DRE of its abatement systems at 90 percent or higher. <i>Source: CPT</i>				
H9-4	+ Practices for monitoring abatement systems	Information not availab	ble.			
F-GHG Emissions Measurements	Total annual F-GHG emissions in CO ₂ e, emitted across all flat panel display manufacturing fabs (2014)	In 2014, CPT's Scope 1 process emissions, acromajority of CPT's Scope 2013 Data: Facility CPTT CPTL CPTV FDT FDT FVD CTOC CPTF	emissions amounted to oss its operational boun e 1 emissions are F-GHe Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO ₂ e) 151,053 422,052 6,141 1,834 119 95 223 2,718	o 371,554 metric tonnes of CO ₂ e. In contrast, in 2013, CPT's Scope 1 dary, amounted to approximately 584,200 metric tons of CO ₂ e. The G emissions.		

	For context, in 2008, its base year, CPT's F-GHG emissions amounted to 505,000 tons of CO ₂ e. In 2013 emissions equaled 483,000 tons of CO ₂ e, a decrease from base year emissions, but an increase over F-GHG emissions in 2012. CPT attributes the increase to improved production capacities that led to higher F-GHG consumption and process yield issues required a switch back to SF ₆ from NF ₃ for certain machinery (SF ₆ has a higher global warming potential). In 2012, CPT's F-GHG emissions accounted for 30.17 percent of the company's total GHG emissions. In 2011, CPT's F-GHG emissions cannot be compared to the emissions from other suppliers because they may use different estimation methods and monitoring practices to calculate their emissions. These process emissions may also reflect manufacturing processes that create additional products other than large-area flat panel displays. <i>Sources:</i> CPT 2013 Corporate Social Responsibility Report (page 30). *Information available on CPT's website in prior years.
Total annual F-GHG emissions reductions and/or rate of emissions reductions	Per CPT's GHG reduction commitments, reconstruction of the chemical vapor deposition (CVD) process in the Taoyuan and Longtan plants were completed, resulting in a 38% emission reduction of fluorinated compounds from 2010 levels, equal to a reduction of approximately 170,000 tons of carbon dioxide. CPT estimates reducing F-GHG emissions by approximately 30 million tons of CO ₂ e between 2002 and 2014, up from approximately 28 million tons of CO ₂ e by 2013 and approximately 24.7 million tons of CO ₂ e by 2012. Sources: CPT CPT's Responses to the 2015 CDP Investor Questionnaire. CPT Website: Social Responsibility—Environmental Management. (www.cptt.com.tw/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=447&Itemid=180)

Methodology used to estimate F-GHG emissions	CPT estimates its F-GHG emissions based on the Tier 2b method provided by the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories for electronics industry emissions. Source: CPT
Third party assurance for F-GHG emissions estimates	CPT's annual total GHG inventory undergoes third party verification. The following pages are part of CPT's "Verification Statement of Greenhouse Gas Assertions" for 2014. Source: CPT Website: Environmental Management. (www.cptt.com.tw/cptt/english/images/stories/08_CSR/CSR_07/GHG.pdf)

VERIFICATION STATEMENT OF GREENHOUSE GAS ASSERTIONS

Issued date:

10 June, 2015

Statement No.: 00064-2015-AG-TWN-Rev.1 Page 1 of 2

This is to initiate reporting of Greenhouse Gas Inventory Management Report (2014)

CHUNGHWA PICTURE TUBES LTD.

Scope of Verification

DNV GL Business Assurance (DNV GL) has been commissioned by CHUNGHWA PICTURE TUBES LTD. to perform a verification of the greenhouse gas assertion of CHUNGHWA PICTURE TUBES LTD. Greenhouse Gas Inventory Management Report, CPT GHG Report (17, Apr. 2015) (hereafter the "Inventory Report") with respect to the following area:

Taoyuan: No.1127, Heping Rd., Bade Dist., Taoyuan City, Taiwan, R.O.C. Lungtan: No.1, Huaying Rd., Longtan Dist., Taoyuan City, Taiwan, R.O.C. Yangmei: No.80, Xingshan Rd., Yangmel Dist., Taoyuan City, Taiwan, R.O.C. Wujiang (CPTW) :No. 88 Jiang Xing East RD., Wujiang Economic Technology Development Zone, Jiangsu Province, China, P.R.C.

Fujian (FDT) : No. 6 Rujlang West Road, Mawei Hi-tech Development Zone, Fuzhou, China, P.R.C.

CPTF: No. 1 Xing Ye Road Mawei Hi-tech Development Zone, Fuzhou, China, P.R.C. HOF: No. 1 Xing Ye Road Mawei Hi-tech Development Zone, Fuzhou, China, P.R.C. FVD: No. 1 Xing Ye Road Mawei Hi-tech Development Zone, Fuzhou, China, P.R.C. CTOC: Shangzheng, Yuanhong Road, Fuging City, Fujian Province, China, P.R.C.

Verification Criteria and GHG Programme

The verification was performed on the basis of ISO 14064-1:2006 and CNS 14064-1:2006 as well as criteria given to provide for consistent GHG emission identification, calculation, monitoring and reporting.

Jerry Huang GHG Verfier Jourgolle

Place and date: Talpel, 10 June, 2015

For the issuing office: DNV GL Business Assurance Co., Ltd. 29FL, No. 293, Soc. 2, Wenhua Rd., Bangiao District, New Talpel City 220,

Management Representative

Lack of fulfiment of candidans as set out in the Certification Agreement may involve this Certificate Invold. This Vari Scattan Defining to based on the Marmentian made available to us and the engagement conditions detailed between Henro, DMV GL connet guarantee the accuracy or converting and the information, DMV GL can not be hold bein by any party refusion of a given this Verification Operation. Intelligibility of the information of the info

Greetlever Good GREET



Place and date: Taipei, 10 June, 2015

Page 2 of 2

Supplement to Statement

It is DNV GL's opinion that with reasonable assurance the greenhouse gas assertion of the Inventory Report of April 09, 2015 is free from material discrepancies in accordance with ISO 14064-1:2006 and CNS 14064-1:2006. DNV GL thus requests the registration of the Inventory Report as a GHG inventory demonstration project.

Process and Methodology

The reviews of the Inventory Report and the subsequent follow-up interviews have provided DNV GL with sufficient evidence to determine the fulfilment of stated criteria. The Inventory Report correctly complies with the requirement of ISO 14064-1:2006 and CNS 14064-1:2006.

Quantification of Greenhouse Gas Emission

The Inventory Report covering the period January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2014, it is DNV GL's opinion that the Inventory Report results in quantification of GHG emissions that are real, transparent and measurable.

Organizational Boundary of Verification

Financial Management Control Operational Management Control Equity Share

CO2 CH4 N20 HFCs PFCs SF6 Total Direct Emissions: 372,217. 42 Tonnes CO2-e Total Indirect Emissions: 585,717.34 Tonnes CO2-e

Verified without Qualification

Lode of fulfitness of contributes as of each to the Contributes Aproxement may needer to be Contributed investion. This Vestification is Apold on the Information and the another the contribute conditions contributes above. Hence, BMY GL cannot guarantee the excurses an committees of the Information. DWY GL can not be bed fulfied by any party whytig an exting upon their Vertication Optimizer. Mitheless (Mark 1997) and Mark 1997 (Ma

Update for Calendar Year 2014		HannStar	
Overview	Specific F-GHG emissions reduction efforts and/or goals	At the beginning of the construction of a TFT-LCD manufacturing plant in Taiwan in 2002, HannStar actively made voluntary investment in the installation of the high efficiency Local Scrubber to reduce the emission of SF ₆ , NF ₃ and other PFCs. As part of the memorandum of understanding (MOU) in 2004 with the Taiwan TFT-LCD Association (TTLA) and Taiwan's Environmental Protection Administration, HannStar promised to install abatement equipment with over 90 percent destruction and removal efficiency (DRE) in all new fabs designed after 2003. HannStar reduced approximately 1.33 million tons of F-GHG emissions in CO ₂ e from 2007–2012. In 2013, HannStar reduced 89,000 tons of CO ₂ e by voluntarily installing high-efficiency burning local scrubbers to reduce F-GHG emissions, namely SF ₆ and NF ₃ emissions. In 2014, HannStar reduced 91,000 metric tons F-GHG emissions in CO ₂ e. Sources: HannStar 2014 Corporate Social Responsibility Report (page 63–64). (www.hannstar.com/HannStarUserFile/files/Quality/Hannstar_2014CSR_en.pdf) "SF ₆ Abatement Strategy in Taiwan". Presentation by Taiwan's Industrial Technology Research Institute (ITRI), 2004.	
	+ F-GHG emissions reduction efforts/goals target the following key processes that emit F-GHGs	Etch and Clean processes. HannStar does not use fluorinated heat transfer fluids. Source: HannStar	
	+ F-GHG emissions reduction efforts/goals target the following F-GHGs emitted	SF ₆ , PFCs, HFCs, NF ₃ . Sources: HannStar 2013 Corporate Social Responsibility Report. (www.hannstar.com/HannStarUserFile/files/Quality/Hannstar_2013CSR_en.pdf) TTLA presentation at APEC meeting, August 2012, Taiwan.	

Participation in national and/or international mandatory and/or voluntary efforts to reduce F-GHG emissions from flat panel display manufacturing	 HannStar is a member of the Taiwan TFT-LCD Association (TTLA). TTLA participates on behalf of Taiwan's LCD suppliers in the World Display Industry Cooperation Committee (WDICC), an international industry initiative that previously set goals to reduce F-GHG emissions (formerly the World LCD Industry Cooperation Committee). In 2004, TTLA and Taiwan's Environmental Protection Administration signed an MOU where TTLA agreed to choose 2002 as the base year for reducing F-GHG emissions and to reduce F-GHGs emissions intensity to 0.0335 tons of CO₂e/m² of glass substrate area by 2010 (this target is TTLA's collective goal and does not necessarily reflect each individual supplier's goal). Recently, the TTLA and Taiwan's Industrial Development Bureau, Ministry of Economic Affairs signed a "Voluntary GHG Reduction Agreement," for 2011-2015, which aims to achieve additional GHG reductions by 12 million metric tons of CO₂e within 5 years. In Taiwan, F-GHG emission reductions by flat panel display manufacturers are still voluntary. However, as of 2012, Taiwan's EPA listed PFCs, HFCs, and SF₆ (including non-F-GHGs CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O) as air pollutants and has indicated that it will implement emissions control measures under the Air Pollution Control Act in the future. Sources: "SF₆ Abatement Strategy in Taiwan". Presentation by Taiwan's Industrial Technology Research Institute (ITRI), 2004. TTLA
Corporate-wide GHG emissions reduction goals and reduction initiatives	The results of the inventory conducted in 2014 show that about 97.7% of GHG emissions are due to electricity consumption and F-GHGs emissions. Approximately 28.2% of emissions are attributed to direct GHG emissions (namely F-GHGs) and 71.8% are attributed to Scope 2 emission (purchased electricity and steam consumption). HannStar's overall GHG emissions of both Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions in 2014 amounted 0.381 million mt of CO ₂ e, and the inventory area covers the production factories in both Taiwan and China. Since HannStar's GHG emissions are mostly due to electricity consumption and the use of F-GHGs in flat panel display manufacturing, the company has focused its efforts on reducing energy use and F-GHG emissions. Among the GHG, Perfluorinated Compounds (PFCs) are only used and emitted in the TFT-LCD manufacturing process in Taiwan factories. HannStar lowered its overall GHG emissions intensity by 61 percent from 2005 to 2012 down to 0.069 tons of CO ₂ e/m ² of glass input. In 2013, it lowered overall GHG emissions intensity again, down to 0.067 tons of CO ₂ e/m ² of glass input. In addition, with the continued implementation of the energy conservation measures, the GHG emission intensity of TFT-LCD manufacturing covers the production factories in both Taiwan and China.

Overview

Overview		In addition, "HannStar has proceeded ISO 14064-1 inventory and external verification since 2005 and reduces GHG voluntarily." Sources: HannStar 2012 Corporate Social Responsibility Report. (www.hannstar.com/HannStarUserFile/files/Quality/Hannstar_2012CSR_en.pdf) HannStar 2013 Corporate Social Responsibility Report. (www.hannstar.com/HannStarUserFile/files/Quality/Hannstar_2013CSR_en.pdf) HannStar 2014 Corporate Social Responsibility Report (pages 63–64). (www.hannstar.com/HannStarUserFile/files/Quality/Hannstar_2014CSR_en.pdf)
	Process optimization	HannStar is optimizing the use of F-GHGs in the process chambers.
		Additional details not available.
		Sources: HannStar
ţs		
E E		I I LA presentation at APEC meeting, August 2012, Taiwan.
Ш С	Use of alternatives	HannStar is using lower GWP gases, where possible.
Ctio		Additional details not available.
edu		Sources
2 1 1 1		HannStar
H U U		TTLA presentation at APEC meeting, August 2012, Taiwan.
	Capture and recycling	Information not available.

Abatement		
+ Full or partial installation of abatement systems across all new generation fabs	 HannStar has installed abatement systems in all newer generation fabs. HannStar promised to install abatement equipment in all fabs established after 2003 to reduce more than 90 percent of F-GHG emissions. Additional details not available. Sources: HannStar 2012 Corporate Social Responsibility Report. (www.hannstar.com/HannStarUserFile/files/Quality/Hannstar_2012CSR_en.pdf) Taiwan Environmental Protection Administration. "The Initiative and Efforts from Electronic Corporations in Taiwan-Semiconductor and TFT-LCD." (http://unfccc.epa.gov.tw/unfccc/english/_uploads/downloads/05_The_Initiative_and_Efforts_form_Electronic_Industry_in_Taiwan.pdf) TTLA presentation at APEC meeting, August 2012, Taiwan. 	
+ Ensured that abatement systems are installed, operated, maintained, according to manufacturer specifications	Upon installation at the facility and before HannStar begins operating the abatement system, the abatement equipment manufacturer verifies that the system can meet the default DRE. Sources: HannStar TTLA	
 Indicate whether default factors or actual measurements were used to estimate the DRE Reported destruction or removal efficiency (DRE) 	Default factors used. HannStar uses the 2006 IPCC Tier 2b Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories for electronics industry emissions, which apply a 90 percent default DRE value for SF ₆ , CH ₄ , HFCs and PFCs abatement technologies and a 95 percent default DRE value for NF ₃ abatement technologies. <i>Source:</i> <i>HannStar</i>	
+ Practices for monitoring abatement systems	Information not available.	

Total annual F-GHG emissions in CO₂e, emitted across all flat panel display manufacturing fabs (2014)

In 2013, HannStar's F-GHG emissions from SF_6 and NF_3 used in LCD manufacturing totaled 103,600 metric tons of CO_2e , a decrease from F-GHG emissions in 2012. F-GHG emissions account for 33.7 percent of HannStar's total GHG inventory and for 95 percent of HannStar's Scope 1 emissions.

GHG Emission Reduction in 2013 and 2014.



For reference, listed below is HannStar's 2012 inventory.

GHG	Emissions (t-CO ₂ -e)	Ratio to total emissions (%)
CO ₂	196,894.76	62.1%
CH ₄	433.58	0.1%
N ₂ O	1.65	0.0%
HFC	1,191.79	0.4%
PFCs	1,863.98	0.6%
SF ₆	116,463.25	36.8%
Total	316,849.01	100%

Important: The emissions listed cannot be compared to the emissions from other suppliers because they may use different estimation methods and monitoring practices to calculate their emissions. These process emissions may also reflect manufacturing processes that create additional products other than large-area flat panel displays.

	Sources: HannStar HannStar 2013 Corporate Social Responsibility Report (page 25). (www.hannstar.com/HannStarUserFile/files/Quality/Hannstar_2013CSR_en.pdf) HannStar 2014 Corporate Social Responsibility Report (pages 63–64). (www.hannstar.com/HannStarUserFile/files/Quality/Hannstar_2014CSR_en.pdf)
Total annual F-GHG emissions reductions and/or rate of emissions reductions	With regard to F-GHG emissions by 89,000 tons of CO2e. In 2014, HannStar reduced 91,000 metric tons F-GHG emissions in CO2e. In 2014, HannStar reduced 91,000 metric tons F-GHG emissions in CO2e. Image: Contract of the

Methodology used to estimate F-GHG emissions	HannStar estimates its F-GHG emissions based on the Tier 2b method provided by the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories for electronics industry emissions. Source: HannStar
Third party assurance for F-GHG emissions estimates	"[All of] HannStar's plants in Taiwan have been implementing GHG inventory and third party verification with reference to ISO 14064-1 since 2005. The Touch Sensor plant in Taiwan incorporated into HannStar in March, 2014 will be included into the GHG inventory system and third party verification from 2015. Our plants in China have been implementing GHG Third Party Inventory Verification since 2012 but have not yet implemented third party verification." Source: HannStar 2014 Corporate Social Responsibility Report (pages 63–64). (www.hannstar.com/HannStarUserFile/files/Quality/Hannstar_2014CSR_en.pdf)

Update for Calendar Year 2014		INX (Innolux Corporation)	
Overview	Specific F-GHG emissions reduction efforts and/or goals	In 2014, Innolux (INX) reduced its F-GHG emissions to 0.123 MMTCE. An accumulated amount of 11,505,930 tCO ₂ e of F-GHGs were eliminated due to the employment of combustion local scrubbers between 2010 and 2014. In 2015, INX began focusing on installing more combustion local scrubbers and reducing PFC emissions to 0.116 MMTCE. INX attributes achieving its results to 1) optimizing manufacturing processes and thereby reducing the amount of F-GHGs needed and 2) replacing or installing local burn-type scrubbers at newly built factories and those constructed prior to 2003 to achieve better abatement. In 2010, INX's F-GHG emissions intensity in producing LCD panels was 0.0094 tons of CO ₂ e/m ² of glass substrate. INX then set a new goal to further reduce F-GHG emissions intensity to 0.0089 tons of CO ₂ e/m ² in 2013. In 2012, the F-GHG emissions intensity was 0.008558 tons of CO ₂ e/m ² and in 2013, it dropped to 0.0073 tons of CO ₂ e/m ² . <i>Sources:</i> INX 2014 INX CSR Report (pages 44 and 53). (www.innolux.com/Files/CWSFiles/csr/2014_INX%20CSR%20report-en.pdf) "SF ₆ Abatement Strategy in Taiwan". Presentation by Taiwan's Industrial Technology Research Institute (ITRI), 2004.	
	+ F-GHG emissions reduction efforts/goals target the following key processes that emit F-GHGs	Etch and Clean processes. INX uses a small amount of fluorinated heat transfer fluids, but has not inventoried them. Sources: INX TTLA presentation at APEC meeting, August 2012, Taiwan.	
	+ F-GHG emissions reduction efforts/goals target the following F-GHGs emitted	SF ₆ , PFCs, HFCs, NF ₃ . Sources: INX's responses to the 2014 Carbon Disclosure Project Investor Questionnaire. TTLA presentation at APEC meeting, August 2012, Taiwan.	

~ .

Participation in national and/or international mandatory and/or voluntary efforts to reduce F-GHG emissions from flat panel display manufacturing

INX is a member of the Taiwanese TFT-LCD Association (TTLA). TTLA participates on behalf of Taiwan's LCD suppliers in the World Display Industry Cooperation Committee (WDICC), an international industry initiative that previously set goals to reduce F-GHG emissions (formerly the World LCD Industry Cooperation Committee).

In 2004, TTLA and Taiwan's Environmental Protection Agency signed a memorandum of understanding (MOU), agreeing to reduce F-GHGs emissions intensity to 0.0335 tons of CO_2e/m^2 of glass substrate area by 2010, using 2002 as the base year. This target reflected TTLA's collective goal, though INX also met the goal individually. In 2013, INX, together with TTLA, began discussion with the Taiwan EPA to initiate a second MOU to pursue additional commitments to voluntary reductions. In response to requests by Walmart, Dell and Lenovo from LCD panel suppliers to reduce carbon emissions voluntarily, INX is also participating through TTLA and the WDICC in an effort to set goals for a long-term reduction in F-GHG emissions in cooperation with panel manufacturers of other countries.

The TTLA and Taiwan's Industrial Development Bureau, Ministry of Economic Affairs signed a "Voluntary GHG Reduction Agreement," for 2011–2015, which aims to achieve additional GHG reductions by 12 million metric tons of CO₂e within 5 years. In Taiwan, F-GHG emission reductions by flat panel display manufacturers are still voluntary. However, as of 2012, Taiwan's EPA listed PFCs, HFCs, and SF₆ (including non-F-GHGs CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O) as air pollutants and has indicated that it will implement emissions control measures under the Air Pollution Control Act in the future. In 2013, INX discussed with the Taiwan EPA the methodology for developing Taiwan's carbon credit calculation as part of its forthcoming cap and trade program. INX indicated that in 2014, it would join Taiwan's "Clean Carbon Alliance" to gain a better understanding of management trends in Taiwan to reduce carbon-related risks and create more opportunities to develop carbon asset value.

Sources:

INX's responses to the 2014 Carbon Disclosure Project Investor Questionnaire.

"SF₆ Abatement Strategy in Taiwan". Presentation by Taiwan's Industrial Technology Research Institute (ITRI), 2004.

TTLA

Corporate-wide GHG emissions reduction goals and reduction initiatives

In manufacturing LCD panels, INX reduced the GHG emission intensity per unit area from 59 kg CO_2e/m^2 in 2010 to 43.2 kg CO_2e/m^2 in 2014, for a reduction of 26.7%. INX achieved a reduction of 3.1% from 2013 to 2014.





In addition, in 2013, INX released its own Product Carbon Footprint (PCF) system to help streamline calculations of emissions on a per product basis. After completing the PCF system, INX began building the component carbon emission database to facilitate an efficient assessment of product carbon emissions. In 2014, common vendors were classified based on product type, and the carbon emission of each component was inspected on the basis that the database must contain at least one vendor and one component in each category. Then, INX expanded its scope of inspections to obtain a more comprehensive component carbon emission database and to implement the database into the design stage of new products, enabling designers to select low-carbon materials to achieve low-carbon products. In 2015, according to INX's plans, INX selected representative products to track the annual changes in carbon emission to verify the effectiveness of its low-carbon green product management policies.



Capture and recycling	INX is working with Industrial Technology Research Institute of Taiwan to test SF ₆ liquefaction recovery system. If it works, INX will expand the system across applicable fabs. Source: INX	
Abatement		
+ Full or partial installation of abatement systems across all new generation fabs	INX has installed abatement systems in all newer generation fabs. In addition, fabs constructed prior to 2003 have also been successively equipped with burn type point of use (POU) abatement systems. For process emissions that use F-GHGs, INX has installed point of use abatement systems that are mostly combustion-type local scrubbers fitted to the back end of production machinery and some thermal-type local scrubbers on select equipment. Combustion local scrubbers use fuel and air to convert SF ₆ and NF ₃ into low-weight, highly soluble polar compounds under extreme heat, and then eliminate these compounds with water. Local scrubbers are able to eliminate 90% of F-GHG emissions. Sources: 2014 INX CSR Report (page 53). (www.innolux.com/Files/CWSFiles/csr/2014_INX%20CSR%20report-en.pdf) Taiwan Environmental Protection Administration. "The Initiative and Efforts from Electronic Corporations in Taiwan- Semiconductor and TFT-LCD." (http://unfccc.epa.gov.tw/unfccc/english/_uploads/downloads/05_The_Initiative_and_ Efforts_form_Electronic_Industry_in_Taiwan.pdf) TTLA presentation at APEC meeting, August 2012, Taiwan.	
+ Ensured that abatement systems are installed, operated, maintained, according to manufacturer specifications	Upon installation at the facility and before INX begins operating the abatement system, the abatement equipment manufacturer verifies that the system can meet the default DRE. Sources: INX TTLA	

eduction Efforts	 Indicate whether default factors or actual measurements were used to estimate the DRE Reported destruction or removal efficiency (DRE) 	Default factors used. INX uses the 2006 IPCC Tier 2b Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories for electronics industry emissions, which apply a 90 percent default DRE value for SF ₆ , CF ₄ , HFCs and PFCs abatement technologies and a 95 percent default DRE value for NF ₃ abatement technologies. <i>Source:</i> <i>INX's responses to the 2015 Carbon Disclosure Project Investor Questionnaire.</i>
F-GHG R	+ Practices for monitoring abatement systems	INX controls all processes to follow the standard in the whole process. Additional details not available.
F-GHG Emissions Measurements	Total annual F-GHG emissions in CO ₂ e, emitted across all flat panel display manufacturing fabs (2014)	In 2014, F-GHGs represented 14 percent of INX's total GHG emissions. As part of its 2015 responses to the Carbon Disclosure Project, which discloses its GHG emissions from Jan 1, 2014– Dec 31, 2014, INX lists its total Scope 1 emissions. These Scope 1 emissions represent its organizational boundary, using an operational control approach that includes facilities within Taiwan and in other countries. Its F-GHG emissions, as reported, are as follows, in metric tons of CO ₂ e: HFCs: 14,487.88 (increase compared to 7,286.74 in CY 2013) FFCs: 23,634.83 (increase compared to 7,286.74 in CY 2013) SF ₆ : 423,314.74 (decrease compared to 463,324.39 in CY 2013) Important: The emissions listed cannot be compared to the emissions from other suppliers because they may use different estimation methods and monitoring practices to calculate their emissions. These process emissions may also reflect manufacturing processes that create additional products other than large-area flat panel displays. Sources: INX's responses to the 2015 Carbon Disclosure Project Investor and Supply Chain Questionnaires. 2014 INX CSR Report (page 52). (www.innolux.com/Files/CWSFiles/csr/2014_INX%20CSR%20report-en.pdf)

Total annual F-GHG emissions reductions and/or rate of emissions reductions

In 2014, INX reduced total annual F-GHG emissions by 2,465,694 tons of CO2e, similar to the 2,622,000 tons of CO_2e reduced in 2013.



Sources: INX

2014 INX CSR Report (page 53). (www.innolux.com/Files/CWSFiles/csr/2014_INX%20CSR%20report-en.pdf)

INX's responses to the 2014 Carbon Disclosure Project Investor and Supply Chain Questionnaires.

Methodology used to
estimate F-GHG emissionsINX estimates its F-GHG emissions based on the Tier 2b method provided by the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National
Greenhouse Gas Inventories for electronics industry emissions.

Source: INX's responses to the 2014 Carbon Disclosure Project Investor Questionnaire.

Third party assurance for F-GHG emissions estimates	INX received third party verification for its 2014 GHG inventory, which was verified in accordance with the ISO-14064-3 standard.
	The following pages are part of INX's "Verification Statement of Greenhouse Gas Assertions" for 2014.
	Source: INX's responses to the 2015 Carbon Disclosure Project Investor.



VERIFICATION STATEMENT OF GREENHOUSE GAS

ASSERTIONS

Statement No. 00060-2015-AG-TWN Page 1 of 3

Innolux Corporation

initiate reporting of

Greenhouse Gas Inventory

Management Report (2014)

Scope of Verification

DNV GL has been commissioned by Innolux Corporation to perform a verification of the greenhouse gas assertion of Innolux Corporation Greenhouse Gas Inventory Management Report (2014) (hereafter the "Inventory Report") with respect to the sites listed in Appendix.

Verification Criteria and GHG Programme

The verification was performed on the basis of ISO 14064-1:2006 and CNS 14064-1:2006 to provide for consistent GHG emission identification, calculation, monitoring and reporting.

The verification was conducted in accordance with ISO 14066:2011, ISO 14065:2013 and ISO 14064-3:2006

Verification Statement

It is DNV GL's opinion that with reasonable assurance level the greenhouse gas assertion of the Inventory Report (2014), which was published on 23rd April 2015, is free from material discrepancies in accordance with the verification criteria identified as stated above.

Hsiantin Tim Kuo

GHG Verifier May 26, 2015 David Hsieh District Manager DNV GL Business Assurance

Tilm

Dailthin

This Verification Control for Minimum of conditions as set out in the Certification Agreement may render this Certification Newlid. This Verification Control is been on the information, medie available to us and the engagement conditions detailed above. Hence, DNV GL can net gearantee the accuracy or correctness of the Information. DNV GL can not be held labels by any party relying or acting upon this Verification Opinies. Opinium/BMB/BME/2014/BME/S. BL Friendinger 24, 212 20 20 20 27 47, 711 L + 686-72557000, weblink::eww.dingl.com. twi





VERIFICATION STATEMENT OF GREENHOUSE GAS

ASSERTIONS

Supplement to Statement No. 00060-2015-AG-TWN

Page 3 of 3

APPENDIX

The greenhouse gas assertion of Innolux Corporation Greenhouse Gas Inventory

Management Report (2014) with respect to the following sites:

Site	Address	Total Direct Emissions (Tennes C02-c)	Total Energy Indirect Emissions (Tennes CO2-r)	Total Embained (Tannes CO2-c)
Innolux Corporation-Head Quarter (TTI)	No. 160, Kesyue Rd., Jhunan Science Park, Maol County, Taiwon (R.O.C.)	36,365.791	130,273,555	106,639.347
Innolus Corporation- Jitrunan Operation Center (12)	No. 156, Keeyue Rd., Jhaman Science Park, Maoli County, Taiwen (R.O.C.)	18,639,140	221,272.508	239,911.648
Innolus Corporation-Fab T3	No. 12, Kejung Road, Jhunan Solence Park, Misoli County, Taiwan (R.O.C.)	33, 432, 935	119,398.672	152,831.607
Innoix Corporation-Fab Pingien	No. 458, Jung Shing Road, PingJen, Teoyuan, Telwen (R.O.C.)	16.815	17,742.754	17,758.989
Innolus Corporation-TOC	No.3, Sec. 1, Huansi Rd., Tainan City, Southern Taiwan Science Park, Taiwan (R.O.C.)	352.929	317,227.151	317,560.080
Innolux Corporation-Fab 1	No.1, Citye Rd., Tainen City, Southern Taiwan Science Park, Taiwan (R.O.C.)	26,503 842	70,387.270	96,877.112
Innolus Corporation-Fab 2	No.2, Sec. 2, Haansi Rd., Tainan City, Southern Taiwan Science Park, Taiwan (R.O.C.)	56,793.006	86,228.375	143,019.381
Innolux Corporation-Fab 3	No.2, Sec. 2, Huansi Rd., Tainan City, Southern Taiwan Science Park, Taiwan (R.O.C.)	180,006.070	171,520.718	354,526.708
Innolux Corporation-Fab 4	No.3, Sec. 1, Hamei Rd., Tainen City, Southern Taiwen Science Perk, Taiwan (R.O.C.)	25, 181. 168	281,788.132	307,969.300
Innolux Corporation Fab 5	No.2, Sec. 2, Huensi Rd., Tainan City, Southern Taiwan Science Park, Taiwan (R.O.C.)	10,834,082	209,063,823	225,898.005
Innoliux Corporation-Fab 6	No. 21, Zhlian Road, Sinahih Diatrici, Tainan City, Taiwan (R.O.C.)	38,249.865	405,332.200	443,582.065
Innolux Corporation-Fab 7	No.3, Sec. 1, Huenel Rd., Talinan City, Southern Talwan Science Park, Talwan (R.O.C.)	41,130.734	368,787.493	410,928.227
innolux Corporation-Fab 8	No.11, Luke 10th Rd., Kechslung City., Southern Telwen Science Park, Telwen (R.O.C.)	16,800.994	205,346,186	223,147.180
Innolux Corporation-LCM	No.12, Narike 8th Rd., Tainan City, Southern Taiwan Science Park, Taiwan (R.O.C.)	110.817	19,404,583	19,515,400
Innolux Corporation-C3	No.12, Narke 8th Rd., Tainan City, Southern Taiwan Science Park, Taiwan(R.O.C)	176.133	35,401.452	35,007.585
Innalue Corporation-C5	No.3, Sec.1, Huansi Rd., Tainan City, Southern Taiwan Sciance Park, Taiwan(R.O.C)	117.491	8,713.421	8,830.912
Innolux Corporation-MOD 2	No.12, Narke 8th Rd., Tainan City, Southern Taiwan Science Park, Taiwan (R.O.C.)	85.815	10,126,204	10,213.019
Innolus Corporation-Neihu Office	2F., No.35, Ln. 513, Ruiguang Rd., Naihu Dial., Taipei City, Taiwan (R.O.C.)	0.000	13.085	13.035
Innolux Corporation-Recreation	No. 22, Zihilan Road, Sinshih District, Tainan City, Taiwan (R.O.C.)	0.965	513.401	513.647
Ningloo Innolux Optosistranics Ltd	No.16, Yang2) River North Rd., Ningbo Export Processing Zone, China No.6, Yang2) Hiver South Rd., Ningbo Export Processing Zone, China			
Ningbo Innolux Technology LM	No.9, YangZi River North Rd., Ningbo Export Processing Zone, China	8.099.059	194,360,597	202,459.655
Ningbo Innolux Display Ltd	No.8 Cao'E River Rtl., Ningbo Free Trade South Zone, China			
Ningbo Innolux Logistics Ltd	No.8 ALI Shan Rd, Ningbo Export Processing Zone, China			
Foshan Innolax Optoeletronics Ltd	Xingye North Rd., Naihai Science & Technology Industry Garden, Naihai Foshan, Guengdong, 528207, Chine	5,093.330	163,720.378	109,413.706
Nerding Innolus Optosistronics Ltd	No.93, Fu Chang West Road, Jiangning Economic and Technical Development Zone, Nanjing, China	1,019.339	60,336.444	70,366.783
Shanghai Innolux Optoxistronios LM	No.272-2, Ba Sheng Road, New Customs, Wai Gao Qiao Free Trade Zone, Pudong. Shanghal, China	319.091	17,577.328	17,886.419
Kurpel Optoelectronics Co., Ltd	No. 93, Fu Cheng Weet Road, Jiangning Economic and Technical Development Zone, Nanjing, China	3,800	4,309.733	4,313.553
Innocom Technology (Sherahan) Co., Ud	No.2, 2nd Donghuan Road, 10th Yousong Industrial District, Longhua, Baoan, Sheruhen City, Guangdong Province, China	51.506	4,751.327	4,802.893
Total		509, 290, 008	3,134,674.921	3,644,664.929

This Verification Optimism is associated and the second se

Update for Calendar Year 2014		LG Display	
view	Specific F-GHG emissions reduction efforts and/or goals	LG Display's F-GHG emissions reduction efforts are part of its broader goals to reduce corporate-wide GHG emissions. LG Display has installed F-GHG abatement systems to reduce NF ₃ emissions from all of its flat panel display (LCD, OLED) manufacturing fabs, and SF ₆ emissions from two of its flat panel display (LCD) manufacturing fabs. In 2014, LG Display developed an alternative gas application technology to using SF ₆ , thus reducing both F-GHG emissions and costs. Sources: LG Display (response in 2014 regarding 2012/2013 data). LG Display 2013–2014 and 2014–2015 Sustainability Report. (www.lgdisplay.com/eng/sustainability/report#) LG Display's responses to the 2011 Carbon Disclosure Project Investor Questionnaire. "Point of Use Abatement Device to Reduce SF ₆ emissions in LCD Manufacturing Operation in the Republic of Korea (South Korea)." LG Display's SF ₆ Abatement Project. Clean Development Mechanism Project: 3440. (https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/SGS-UKL1266943063.39/view)	
Over	+ F-GHG emissions reduction efforts/goals target the following key processes that emit F-GHGs	Etch and Clean processes. Fluorinated heat transfer fluids are not used. Sources: LG Display (response in 2014 regarding 2012/2013 data). Korea Display Industry Association.	
	+ F-GHG emissions reduction efforts/goals target the following F-GHGs emitted	SF ₆ , PFCs, NF ₃ . (HFCs are not used in processes). Sources: LG Display (response in 2014 regarding 2012/2013 data). LG Display's responses to the 2011 Carbon Disclosure Project Investor Questionnaire.	

Participation in national and/or international mandatory and/or voluntary efforts to reduce F-GHG emissions from flat panel display manufacturing LG Display is a member of the Korea Display Industry Association (KDIA), where it participates in an environmental working group that promotes information exchange on GHG emissions reduction technologies and initiatives. KDIA represents Korea's flat panel display suppliers in the World Display device Industry Cooperation Committee (WDICC), an international industry initiative that previously set goals to reduce F-GHG emissions (formerly the World LCD Industry Cooperation Committee).

From 2010–April 2013, LG Display participated in a United Nations Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) Project to reduce SF_6 emissions at two of its manufacturing fabs. Between August 2010 and April 2011, LG Display was credited by the UNFCC for 557,053 tons of CO₂e in GHG reductions. As of April 2013, LG Display stopped the CDM project, such that there have been no more GHG reductions.

The South Korean government set a long term national GHG emissions reduction goal and set different reduction goals for various industries, including the display panel industry. In 2010, the government launched its GHG target management scheme, which regulates CO₂, HFCs, PFCs, and SF₆ (and non-FGHGs, N₂O and CH₄). In January 2015, the government launched a 'cap and trade' system for limiting and trading domestic GHG emissions, setting the amount of allowable greenhouse gas emissions for each company. Companies that incur higher costs for reducing GHG emissions have the option to purchase emissions permits in the market, whereas companies that would incur relatively lower costs for GHG mitigation may sell extra emissions permits. LG Display expects to gain profits by selling the additional permits to be earned from its participation in the CDM project. To prepare for participating in the cap and trade program, LG Display developed an alternative gas application technology to using SF₆, thus both reducing F-GHG emissions and reducing costs. As noted in LG Display's 2013 profile, the company had been investing in R&D for a substitution gas for the etching process, which most commonly uses SF₆.

Sources: Korea Display Industry Association.

LG Display 2014–2015 Sustainability Report. (http://www.lgdisplay.com/eng/sustainability/report#)

LG Display's responses to the 2015 Carbon Disclosure Project Investor Questionnaire.

"Point of Use Abatement Device to Reduce SF₆ emissions in LCD Manufacturing Operation in the Republic of Korea (South Korea)." LG Display's SF₆ Abatement Project. Clean Development Mechanism Project: 3440. (https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/SGS-UKL1266943063.39/view)

Overview	Corporate-wide GHG emissions reduction goals and reduction initiatives	LG Display set a corporate-wide GHG reduction goal to reduce its GHG emissions intensity in metric tons of CO ₂ e per unit of production by 29 percent from 2009 to 2020 (as revised from 40 percent), including both Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions from all domestic and 4 overseas operation sites. LG Display sets its goals in consultation with LG Corporation, which is the holding company. LG Corporation has been monitoring the results and LG Display's reduction target has been completed since 2010. LG Display participates in the Carbon Disclosure Project and most recently reported on its GHG management efforts in 2015 for its 2014 calendar year. Sources: LG Display (response in 2014 regarding 2012/2013 data). LG Display's responses to the 2015 Carbon Disclosure Project Investor Questionnaire.
	Process optimization	LG Display has applied end-point detection and revised processes to optimize the use of F-GHGs.
TOLIS	Use of alternatives	LG Display (response in 2014 regarding 2012/2013 data). LG Display has applied NF ₃ remote plasma source chamber clean (RPSC) to all CVD manufacturing lines. An ordinary
		also used F ₂ in chamber cleaning on one of its manufacturing lines instead of NF ₃ . In addition, LG Display continues to research alternative etching gases with lower GWP than SF ₆ for the dry etching process. Sources: LG Display (response in 2014 regarding 2012/2013 data). LG Display's responses to the 2014 Carbon Disclosure Project Investor Questionnaire.
Ľ	Capture and recycling	LG Display is currently developing new SF ₆ -concentrating and capturing technology with funding from industry and the South Korean government. Source: LG Display (response in 2014 regarding 2012/2013 data).

Abatement		
+ Full or partial installation of abatement systems across all new generation fabs	LG Display has installed F-GHG abatement systems on all lines of CVD tools and on two lines of etch tools. For NF ₃ in CVD tools, electrically heated point of use systems are installed. For SF ₆ and PFCs in etch tools, combustion-type centralized systems are installed. Source: LG Display (response in 2014 regarding 2012/2013 data).	
+ Ensured that abatement systems are installed, operated, maintained, according to manufacturer specifications	Yes. At the construction stage, LG Display checks and monitors all items and processes in accordance with the specification. At the commissioning stage, LG Display confirms the performance of abatement in accordance with the guarantee condition of the manufacturer. At the operation stage, LG Display makes an operation contract with the manufacturer, and every year checks the operational performance. In the case of etch tools, LG Display is verified by a third party entity, which is the Designated Operational Entity of CDM projects. Source: LG Display (response in 2014 regarding 2012/2013 data).	
 Indicate whether default factors or actual measurements were used to estimate the DRE Reported destruction or removal efficiency (DRE) 	Actual and default used. LG Display conducts actual measurements for its centralized abatement systems such as its SF ₆ abatement projects under the CDM. Under the South Korean government's regulation, LG Display uses the 90 percent default DRE value from 2006 IPCC Tier 2b Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories for electronics industry emissions for its SF ₆ , abatement technologies. In the case of NF ₃ , which is not regulated by Korean law, LG Display applies the 95 percent default DRE value for NF ₃ , also taken from the 2006 IPCC Tier 2b Guidelines, in WDICC activities. <i>Sources:</i> LG Display (response in 2014 regarding 2012/2013 data). "Point of Use Abatement Device to Reduce SF ₆ emissions in LCD Manufacturing Operation in the Republic of Korea (South Korea)." LG Display's SF ₆ Abatement Project. Clean Development Mechanism Project: 3440. (https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/SGS-UKL1266943063.39/view)	

F-GHG Reduction Efforts	+ Practices for monitoring abatement systems	 For its centralized abatement systems, namely for its CDM project that destroys SF₆, LG Display employs a continuous monitoring (FTIR, Annabar system). For its POU systems, LG Display monitors the abatement systems on an as-needed basis. Sources: LG Display (response in 2014 regarding 2012/2013 data). "Point of Use Abatement Device to Reduce SF₆ emissions in LCD Manufacturing Operation in the Republic of Korea (South Korea)." LG Display's SF₆ Abatement Project. Clean Development Mechanism Project: 3440. (https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/SGS-UKL1266943063.39/view)
F-GHG Emissions Measurements	Total annual F-GHG emissions in CO ₂ e, emitted across all flat panel display manufacturing fabs (2013)	The following data for 2013 was verified by a third party. Total amount of F-GHG emissions in metric tons of CO ₂ e: 3,891,229 (increase compared to 3,115,747 in CY 2012). SF ₆ emissions: 3,846,096 (increase compared to 3,074,008 in CY 2012) PFCs emissions: 13,058 (increase compared to 5,833 in CY 2012) HFCs emissions: 0 (same as CY 2012) NF ₃ emissions: 32,075 (decrease compared to 35,906 in CY 2012) F-GHG emissions for LG Display in 2014 were not publicly reported. Important: The emissions listed cannot be compared to the emissions from other suppliers because they may use different estimation methods and monitoring practices to calculate their emissions. In addition, these process emissions may encompass more than emissions associated only with flat panel display manufacturing. Source: LG Display

Total annual F-GHG emissions reductions and/or rate of emissions reductions	 Total amount of F-GHG reductions in 2013 in metric tons of CO₂e: 888,404 (decrease in reductions compared to 1,825,238 in CY 2012). SF₆ reductions: 278,985 (decrease in reductions compared to 1,143,028 in CY 2012) PFCs reductions: 0 (same as CY 2012) HFCs reductions: 0 (same as CY 2012) NF₃ reductions: 609,420 (decrease in reductions compared to 682,210 in CY 2012) F-GHG emissions for LG Display in 2014 were not publicly reported. Equation for SF₆ reductions: = Emissions without destruction – Emissions with destruction. Equation for NF₃ reductions: = Emissions without Remote Plasma Source Chamber Clean (RPSC), destruction – Emissions with RPSC, destruction. Important: The reductions listed cannot be compared to the reductions from other suppliers because they may use different estimation methods and monitoring practices to calculate their reductions. Source: LG Display (response in 2014 regarding 2012/2013 data).
Methodology used to estimate F-GHG emissions	LG Display uses national GHGs emission estimation guidelines issued by the South Korean Ministry of Environment and estimates NF ₃ emissions by using the 2006 IPCC Tier 2b guidelines. Source: LG Display (response in 2014 regarding 2012/2013 data).
Third party assurance for F-GHG emissions estimates	LG Display's GHG emissions, such as CO ₂ , N ₂ O, CH ₄ , HFCs, PFCs and SF ₆ are assured by a third party in accordance with South Korean government regulations. Also, LG Display received third party assurance for its SF ₆ abatement project under the CDM. However, NF ₃ emissions estimated by the 2006 IPCC Tier 2b Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories for electronics industry emissions are not assured by a third party, but cross-checked by WDICC members.



Sources:

LG Display (response in 2014 regarding 2012/2013 data).

"Point of Use Abatement Device to Reduce SF₆ emissions in LCD Manufacturing Operation in the Republic of Korea (South Korea)." LG Display's SF₆ Abatement Project. Clean Development Mechanism Project: 3440. (https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/DB/SGS-UKL1266943063.39/view)

Jpdate for Fiscal Year 2014–2015		PLD (Panasonic Liquid Crystal Display)
	Specific F-GHG emissions reduction efforts and/or goals	Panasonic Liquid Crystal Display (PLD) considers installation of abatement systems to be the most effective and realistic technique to reduce F-GHG emissions. PLD established a 100 percent installation of abatement systems for both SF ₆ and NF ₃ from the start-up of the Himeji factory in 2010. Currently, because its production lines are integrated in the Himeji factory, PLD has a 100 percent rate of abatement system installation. PLD would like to continue studying while assessing technology trends both inside and outside the company for further improvements in the future. Source: Panasonic Liquid Crystal Display (PLD)
Wé	+ F-GHG emissions reduction efforts/goals target the following key processes that emit F-GHGs	Etch and Clean processes. PLD uses fluorinated heat transfer fluids for dry-etcher and exposure equipment. PLD manages leakage prevention properly with high sealability. Source: Panasonic Liquid Crystal Display (PLD)
Overvie	+ F-GHG emissions reduction efforts/goals target the following F-GHGs emitted	SF ₆ , NF ₃ Source: Panasonic Liquid Crystal Display (PLD)
	Participation in national and/or international mandatory and/or voluntary efforts to reduce F-GHG emissions from flat panel display manufacturing	PLD is a member of the Japan Electronics & Information Technology Industries Association (JEITA), which participates on behalf of Japan's LCD suppliers in the World Display device Industry Cooperation Committee (WDICC), an international industry initiative that previously set goals to reduce F-GHG emissions (formerly the World LCD Industry Cooperation Committee). JEITA engages in WDICC activities to share information and promote activities to reduce F-GHG emissions via the installation of abatement systems and through other efforts. Japan's LCD industry set a collective goal to reduce F-GHG emissions 70 percent from 2000 to 2012.
		their Global Warming Countermeasures Law, where companies are required to report F-GHG emissions that exceed 3,000 tons of CO ₂ e. In addition, the government also established a target for reducing F-GHG emissions to help achieve GHG reduction targets under the Kyoto Protocol.
		Source: JEITA

Overview	Corporate-wide GHG emissions reduction goals and reduction initiatives	PLD participates in the CDP and most recently publicly reported on its GHG management efforts for its April 2014–March 2015 reporting fiscal year. Source: Panasonic's responses to the 2015 CDP Climate Change Questionnaire.
	Process optimization	PLD is working on process optimization daily. Additional details not available. Source: Panasonic Liquid Crystal Display (PLD)
SILO	Use of alternatives	PLD uses SF ₆ as an etching gas, and NF ₃ as a cleaning gas for Chemical Vapor Deposition (CVD). Regarding further improvements, PLD would like to assess technology trends and respond accordingly. <i>Source:</i> Panasonic Liquid Crystal Display (PLD)
	Capture and recycling	PLD will continue gathering information to proceed with discussion and review [of capture and recycling technologies]. Source: Panasonic Liquid Crystal Display (PLD)
אַ 2	Abatement	
L D L	+ Full or partial installation of abatement systems across all new generation fabs	PLD installs abatement systems to all CVD equipment and all dry-etchers. Source: Panasonic Liquid Crystal Display (PLD)
	+ Ensured that abatement systems are installed, operated, maintained, according to manufacturer specifications	PLD checks the performance at the time of installation of abatement systems, and operates them under proper management to ensure optimal results. Source: Panasonic Liquid Crystal Display (PLD)

	 + Indicate whether default factors or actual measurements were used to estimate the DRE Reported destruction or removal efficiency (DRE) + Practices for monitoring abatement systems 	The Sustainability Report by the Panasonic Group and CDP is calculated with reference to the abatement rate actually measured. However, the figures used in the calculation have great allowance and therefore the actual abatement efficiency is higher. Source: Panasonic Liquid Crystal Display (PLD) PLD checks the performance at the time of installation of abatement systems. Source:
Ĺ		Panasonic Liquid Crystal Display (PLD)
	Total annual F-GHG emissions in CO ₂ e, emitted across all flat panel display manufacturing fabs (2014)	 PLD's F-GHG emissions are disclosed in the Panasonic Group's Sustainability Data Book 2015, and are also included in its reporting to the CDP. PLD's F-GHG emissions from its Himeji factory only, as reported from April 1, 2014–March 31, 2015, are as follows in tons of CO₂e: SF₆ emissions: 6,100 (an increase from FY2013, where emissions were 5,100) According to PLD, when calculated by the IPCC Tier 2b guidelines default factors used in the Questionnaire of JEITA Display Devices Environment Committee, F-GHG emissions are as follows in tons of CO₂e: SF₆ emissions: 8,900 (an increase from FY2013, where emissions were 6,900) NF₃ emissions: 2,700 (an increase from FY2013, where emissions were 1,700) Important: The emissions listed cannot be compared to the emissions from other suppliers because they may use different estimation methods and monitoring practices to calculate their emissions. Sources: Panasonic Liquid Crystal Display (PLD) Sustainability Data Book 2015 by the Panasonic Group (www.panasonic.com/global/corporate/sustainability/downloads.html)

Total annual F-GHG emissions reductions and/or rate of emissions reductions	Himeji factory has started production from the middle of 2010. PLD will continue monitoring the transition between the fiscal years. Source: Panasonic Liquid Crystal Display (PLD)
Methodology used to estimate F-GHG emissions	PLD estimates F-GHG emissions based on the Greenhouse Gas Accounting, Reporting and Disclosure system of the Japanese government. Source: Panasonic Liquid Crystal Display (PLD)
Third party assurance for F-GHG emissions estimates	The Sustainability Report by the Panasonic Group is audited by a third-party organization as described in the Report. Source: Sustainability Data Book 2015 by the Panasonic Group. (www.panasonic.com/global/corporate/sustainability/downloads.html)

e for Fiscal Year 2014–2015	Sharp
Specific F-GHG emissions reduction efforts and/or goals	Sharp has, as a part of F-GHG emission reduction activities, installed abatement systems on all F-GHG using process lines of all LCD manufacturing fabs, and has been maintaining the abatement systems appropriately. Source: Sharp (Sharp Corporation)
+ F-GHG emissions reduction efforts/goals target the following key processes that emit F-GHGs	Etch and Clean processes. Information on fluorinated heat transfer fluids not available. Source: Nishida, et al. PFC Emission Reduction Strategy for the LCD Industry. Journal of the SID 13/10. 2005
+ F-GHG emissions reduction efforts/goals target the following F-GHGs emitted	SF ₆ , PFCs (CF ₄ , C ₂ F ₆ , C ₄ F ₈), HFCs (CHF ₃), NF ₃ . Source: Japan Electronics and Information Technology Industries Association (JEITA).
Participation in national and/or international mandatory and/or voluntary efforts to reduce F-GHG emissions from flat panel display manufacturing	Sharp is a member of the Japan Electronics and Information Technology Industries Association (JEITA), which participates on behalf of Japan's LCD suppliers in the World Display device Industry Cooperation Committee (WDICC), an international industry initiative that previously set goals to reduce F-GHG emissions (formerly the World LCD Industry Cooperation Committee). JEITA engages in WDICC activities to share information and promote activities to reduce F-GHG emissions via the installation of abatement systems and through other efforts. Japan's LCD industry set a collective goal to reduce F-GHG emissions 70 percent from 2000 to 2012. The Japanese government established a Greenhouse Gas Accounting, Reporting and Disclosure system as part of their Global Warming Countermeasures Law, where companies are required to report F-GHG emissions that exceed 3,000 tons of CO ₂ e. In addition, the government also established a target for reducing F-GHG emissions to help achieve GHG reduction targets under the Kyoto Protocol. Source: JEITA
	 For Fiscal Year 2014–2015 Specific F-GHG emissions reduction efforts and/or goals + F-GHG emissions reduction efforts/goals target the following key processes that emit F-GHGs + F-GHG emissions reduction efforts/goals target the following F-GHGs emitted Participation in national and/or international mandatory and/or voluntary efforts to reduce F-GHG emissions from flat panel display manufacturing

Overview	Corporate-wide GHG emissions reduction goals and reduction initiatives	Sharp set a goal to reduce absolute GHG emissions per year to below 2007 baseline fiscal year emissions levels for ten manufacturing fabs by 2011. Its most recent base year emissions were reported as 968,000 metric tons of CO ₂ e. Sharp also set another goal to reduce GHG emissions intensity by 35 percent per adjusted production units (tons of CO ₂ e/100 million yen) across the ten fabs by 2012. By end of fiscal year 2011, Sharp met both of its goals and reduced total emissions by 40 percent and emissions intensity by 42 percent. Sharp participates in the Carbon Disclosure Project and most recently publicly reported on its GHG management efforts in 2013 for its April 2013–March 2014 reporting year. <i>Source:</i> <i>Sharp's responses to the 2014 Carbon Disclosure Project Investor Questionnaire.</i>
ts	Process optimization	Sharp has been researching ways to improve process optimization and manufacturing process conditions at the time that manufacturing equipment is first installed and in daily operations. Source: Sharp (Sharp Corporation)
Keduction Effor	Use of alternatives	Sharp has been collecting the latest information from relevant sources and researching the possibility of lower global warming potential (GWP) alternative gases usage. Source: Sharp (Sharp Corporation)
פעס שייין	Capture and recycling	Sharp implements the recycling of F-GHGs in some manufacturing processes. Source: Sharp (Sharp Corporation)

Abatement		
+ Full or partial installation of abatement systems across all new generation fabs	Sharp has installed abatement systems on all etching and cleaning process equipment. Source: Sharp (Sharp Corporation)	
+ Ensured that abatement systems are installed, operated, maintained, according to manufacturer specifications	Sharp has been managing abatement systems appropriately during all installation, operation and maintenance processes, in cooperation with the abatement system manufacturing professionals. Source: Sharp (Sharp Corporation)	
 Indicate whether default factors or actual measurements were used to estimate the DRE Reported destruction or removal efficiency (DRE) 	Sharp uses the IPCC Tier 2b Guidelines Default Factors to answer the questionnaire from JEITA. Source: Sharp (Sharp Corporation)	
+ Practices for monitoring abatement systems	Sharp verifies the performance of abatement systems at the time of installation, and implements the maintenances and verifications for performance retention. Source: Sharp (Sharp Corporation)	

Total annual F-GHG emissions in CO₂e, emitted across all flat panel display manufacturing fabs (2014)	The total amount of F-GHG emissions attributed to panel manufacturing is not publicly available. As part of its 2015 responses to the Sharp Corporation's Sustainability Report 2015, which discloses its corporate GHG emissions from April 1, 2014–March 31, 2015 , Sharp lists its Scope 1 emissions. These Scope 1 emissions represent its organizational boundary, using a financial control approach, which includes factories and offices within Japan and in other countries. Sharp's F-GHG emissions were 7,000 (no variation from FY 2014, where emissions were 7,000) PFCs: 42,000 (an increase from FY2014, where emissions were 32,000) SF ₆ : 48,000 (an increase from FY2014, where emissions were 41,000) Important: The emissions listed cannot be compared to the emissions from other suppliers because they may use different estimation methods and monitoring practices to calculate their emissions. These process emissions may also reflect manufacturing processes that create additional products other than large-area flat panel displays. Sources: Sharp (Sharp Corporation) Sustainability Report 2015 by the Sharp Corporation. (www.sharp.co.jp/corporate/eco/report/ssr/index.html)
Total annual F-GHG emissions reductions and/or rate of emissions reductions	Sharp works to reduce F-GHG emissions in accordance with the targets of Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry, JEITA and other industrial associations. Source: Sharp (Sharp Corporation)
Methodology used to estimate F-GHG emissions	Sharp uses the IPCC Tier 2b Guidelines Default Factors to estimate F-GHG emissions. Source: Sharp (Sharp Corporation)
Third party assurance for F-GHG emissions estimates	Sharp Sustainability Report is audited by a third-party organization. Source: Sustainability Report 2015 by the Sharp Corporation. (www.sharp.co.jp/corporate/eco/report/ssr/index.html)