AR-23

(Redacted)

(Information not pertaining to Tentative Decision on ArcelorMittal Cleveland CWA 301(g) Variance Request has been redacted)



ArcelorMittal limits history and antidegradation info . Eric Nygaard to: Sreedevi Yedavalli Cc: "Erm Gomes", "Rich Zavoda"

08/02/2010 12:21 PM

History:

This message has been replied to.

2 attachments





cuyahoga ammonia wia 2.xls arcmittal 604 limits history.doc

Sreedevi - The attached files should provide the information that you were looking for. The Word document shows the limits history for Outfall 604 from our data systems. The Excel file contains several wasteload allocation runs that work similar to our CONSWLA model.

We had to redo the WLA for the Fish Passage use because we found some errors in it. The errors allocated more ammonia loading to ArcelorMittal than should have been done. The company used our last (erroneous) wasteload in their analysis. However, the changes do not make any difference to the conclusions they drew:

Our mistake in the FP allocation was to set allocation at their PEQ concentration, rather than at their design limits. The first section of the spreadsheet (rows 1-21) show the updated WLA results. These results are a seasonal analysis using at design limits, ArcelorMittal Outfall 014 at levels just above PEQ, and the remaining load allocated to ArcelorMittal Outfalls 005 and 023.

The antidegradation calculations are shown in rows 65-75. These show that ArcelorMittal meets the requirements for a "de minimus" increase under our rules. The 'de minimus" exclusion means that the company does not have to do a socio-economic justification, and that the director's decision criteria do not apply. The company does have to address centralized treatment, such as a discharge to the director's decision in the permit modification application.

The remaining rows address some 'what ifs' related to Paul Novak and I have been running scenarios related to the University of the University of the Paul Novak and I have been running scenarios related to the University of the

Ohio Environmental Protection Agency Unless otherwise provided by law, this communication and any response to it constitutes a public record.



Environmental Protection Agency Unless otherwise provided by law, this communication and any response to it constitutes a public record.

					¥				97							32400	*
			b (current)		i (new 301g)		pr (current)	Mar-Apr	(new 301g)	WLA 1	WLA 2	Load	WLA 3	Load	WLA 4	WLA 5	
		Flow (cfs)	conc. (mg/l)	Flow (cfs)	conc. (mg/l)	Flow (cfs)	conc. (mg/l)						€			52	
											100000	li i					A
												V pr	***	ş			
			- 63														
)			* (4							2 300		2004		3
rcMittal Intake 801 rcMittal 604		67.8 0.43	2,196647493 50,13670855		2,196647493 137,6301803	67.8 0.43			1.417815 137.6302				1.42		1.42	2,2	200
arcMittal 005			2.674939134		3.533916843	67.8			2.760024		5.269194	873.5189	5,733851	950.549	10.422	75,06151	
					(الرابية					26	AND SPECIAL DAYS OF THE SERVICE		a licensionement days				
rcMittal Intake 808		55.7			2.426347006	55.7			1.597213		r *****		e monera	250 540		2 42	
.rcMittal 014		55.7	2.37051469	55.7	2.426347006	55.7	1,54138044	55.7	1,597213		5.269194		5.733851	950.549	1.6	2.42	
rcMittal 023	- 10	0.324	20.37	0.324	20.37	0.324	20.37	0.324	20.37		5.269194		5.733851		10.422	75.06151	
				£										30			
rcMittal 301g avg.			81.6		224		81.6		224								
VQS avg.			7.1		7.1	19	2.1		2.1							25	
dditional 005 load		数	0		142.4				142.4							42	
6		(<u>1.0 - 20 (20 (0</u>	04-940-9550 F-74	22000 38040		1942/7401901	A PROGRAMMA SANCE	1200 S.	07700 P (4872449 S)				12		0.01	(3)	
* 			(current) conc. (mg/l)		(new 301g) conc. (mg/l)		r (current) conc. (mg/l)	Mar-Apr(r	new 301g)								
				(615)	(III)	(015)	(11/8/1)			201					098		
							0						8		¥.		
																*	
						45					×						
rcMittal Intake 801	88		3.235090595		3.235090595	67.8	1.93703672		1.937037								
rcMittal 604 rcMittal 005			50.13670855		137.6301803	» 0.43	50.1367085		137.6302				98				
civirtal 005	-	67.8	3.70679624	67.8	4.565773949	67.8	2.41697486	67.8	3.275953				×				
'cMittal Intake 808		55.7	3,476027021	55.7	3.531859336	55.7	2.09413661	55.7	2.149969								
cMittal 014		55.7	3.476027021	55.7	3,531859336	55.7	2.09413661	55.7	2.149969								
cMittal 023		0.324	20.37	0.224	20.22	D 20.6	70.27	0.004	20.27								
Civilitiai 025		U.324	20.57	0.324	20.37	0.324	20.37	0.324	20.37								
0 "				V													*
cMittal 301g avg.			81.6		224		81.6		224								
QS avg. ditional 005 load		97	· 7.1		7.1		2.1		2.1								
DBOI COO IBITORIN					142.4		€ 1		142.4								
		Dec-Feb (Dec-Feb (r		Mar-Apr		- Mar-Apr (n	ew 301g)				80			(6)	
>		Flow (cfs) co	onc. (mg/l)	Flow (cfs)	onc. (mg/l)	Flow (cfs)	onc. (mg/l)		*				350				
20														18	4		
10												\$53					

*

		×						
		5 3					. =	
rcMittal Intake 801	67.8	3,235090595	67.8	3.235090595	67.8	2.05699733	67.8	2.04576
rcMittal 604	0,43	50.13670855	0.43	137.6301803	0.43	50.1367085	0.43	137,630
rcMittal 005	67.8			4.565773949	67.8	2.53617466	67.8	
cMittal Intake 808	55.7	3.476027021	55.7	3,531859336	55.7	2.1588952	55.7	2.180923
cMittal 014	55.7	3.476027021	55.7	3.531859336	55.7	2.1588952	55.7	2.180923
cMittal 023	0.324	20.37	0.324	20.37	0.324	20.37	0.324	20.37
cMittal 301g avg.		81.6	90	224		81.6		224
Q5 avg.		7.1		7.1		2.1		2.1
lditional 005 load	•			142.4	4*			142.4
LA 4 above (mg/l)	10.4						45	
cMittal 005 (cfs)	67.8							
cMittal 005 load (kg/d)		1724						
crease % of WLA		0.08259401						
it % of WLA		0.129923163	a.			/*)		
A 5 above (mg/l)	17.86			7/e				
Mittal 005 (cfs)	67.8							
:Mittal 005 load (kg/day)		2961	3 0	*			*	
rease % of WLA		0.048095056						
it % of WLA	7.0	0.075655145						

ArcelorMittal Cleveland Limits History for Outfall 604

The ammonia limits for this outfall have these effective dates:

6/76 thru 6/84:

244.9 kg/day monthly

489.9 kg/day daily

7/84 thru 10/01:

81.6 kg/day monthly

244.9 kg/day daily

11/01 - present:

81.6 kg/day monthly (winter)

211 kg/day daily (winter)

62.4 kg/day monthly (summer)

85.6 kg/day daily (winter)

The original limits for this outfall appear to have been BPJ limits; they seem to have been more restrictive than BPT. The July 1984 limits were based on the original 301(g) variance. These limits were set in Ohio EPA administrative orders, rather than the permit, as a way of approving the variance from our perspective. PCS may have been tracking BAT during this period because the BAT limits were in the NPDES permits.

The November 2001 limits were revised 301(g) limits based on treatment level performance. The limits are seasonal because there was a seasonal difference in treatment effectiveness, at least at that time.

Some of the loading limits and production values may have changed in response to the closure of other blast furnaces at the plant. The furnaces that discharged via Outfalls 605/014 were shut down in the mid-1990s; the furnaces that discharged via Outfalls 621/027 were shut down around 2005-06.