DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION Interim Final 2/5/99 RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) Current Human Exposures Under Control

Facility Name:	G.R.O.W.S. Landfill
Facility Address:	1000 New Ford Mill Road, Morrisville, PA 19067
Facility EPA ID #:	PAD 00 042 9589

1. Has **all** available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, groundwater, surface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been **considered** in this EI determination?

X	If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.
	If no - re-evaluate existing data, or

If data are not available skip to #6 and enter"IN" (more information needed) status code.

<u>BACKGROUND</u> <u>Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)</u>

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Page 2

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air **media** known or reasonably suspected to be **"contaminated"**¹ above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

	Yes	No	<u>?</u>	<u>Rationale / Key Contaminants</u>
Groundwater	X			As, Se, Benzene, and secondary parameters
Air (indoors) ²		Х		
Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft)		Х		
Surface Water		Х		
Sediment		Х		
Subsurf. Soil (e.g., >2 ft)		Х		
Air (outdoors)		Х		

If no (for all media) - skip to #6, and enter "YE," status code after providing or citing appropriate "levels," and referencing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating that these "levels" are not exceeded.

X If yes (for any media) - continue after identifying key contaminants in each "contaminated" medium, citing appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing supporting documentation.

If unknown (for any media) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): In previous groundwater monitoring reports (1995-2000) and CME inspection reports (1996-2000), it was found that three wells on the G.R.O.W.S. Landfill property were completed through trash. The trash was from an older site, the Hughes Landfill. The three wells, GD52, GD53, and GD53D have compromised groundwater quality. Total organic halogens, Se, Pb, Mg, K, Na, SO4, As, Fe, Cl, Ca, Mn, NH3-N, TOC, total dissolved solids, specific conductance, chemical oxygen demand, benzene and naphthalene are all elevated in the three groundwater wells. The trace metals Be, Co, Ni, and Tl have also been found as contaminants in the groundwater. These wells are now part of a separate groundwater assessment for Hughes Landfill. One other well (GD51), also affected by the Hughes Landfill, is also part of the Hughes Landfill groundwater assessment.

References:CME Reports (1996-2000)G.R.O.W.S. Landfill Annual Groundwater Assessment Reports (1997-1999)

Footnotes:

¹ "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

²Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

Page 3

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

	Potential <u>Human Receptors</u> (Under Current Conditions)						
"Contaminated" Media	Residents	Workers	Day-Care	Construction	Trespassers	Recreation	$Food^3$
Groundwater	No	Yes	No	Yes			No
Air (indoors)							
Soil (surface, e.g., <2 ft)							
Surface Water							
Sediment							
Soil (subsurface e.g., >2	t ft)						
Air (outdoors)							

Instructions for Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table:

1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not "contaminated" as identified in #2 above.

2. enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media -- Human Receptor combination (Pathway).

Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces ("____"). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary.

If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) - skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) inplace, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional <u>Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet</u> to analyze major pathways).



If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation.



If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): All "yes" designations above refer to PADEP personnel and WMX consultants at the G.R.O.W.S. Landfill, who may be involved in earth-moving, groundwater sampling and/or well installation. Such activities may allow for exposure to contaminated groundwater. However, this contamination is contained within a small area of the G.R.O.W.S. Landfill (i.e. in the vicinity of wells to be sampled: GD51, GD52, GD53, and GD53D).

³ Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

- 4. Can the **exposures** from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be **"significant"**⁴ (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable "levels" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable "levels") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?
 - X If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
 - If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."

_ If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code

Rationale and Reference(s): The G.R.O.W.S. Landfill has a comprehensive groundwater monitoring system for both its RCRA and municipal waste components. A number of wells at the G.R.O.W.S. Landfill do yield groundwater samples that sometimes have elevated concentrations of those compound identified in Question #2. However, this phenomenon is limited to the RCRA portion of the G.R.O.W.S. landfill. Groundwater contamination is most pronounced in the area of wells GD51, GD52, GD53, and GD53D (which is the location of the old Hughes Landfill), with other contamination (minor) coming from buried slag from the neighboring U.S. Steel facility. U.S. Steel did use the G.R.O.W.S. Landfill as a disposal site long ago.

Well drillers and sampling personnel have the appropriate training and protective equipment to reduce the likelihood of coming in contact with contaminated media.

References:G.R.O.W.S. Landfill Groundwater Monitoring Reports(1996-2000)G.R.O.W.S. Landfill Groundwater Assessment Report (1998)

⁴ If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

Page 5

- 5. Can the "significant" **exposures** (identified in #4) be shown to be within **acceptable** limits?
 - If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) continue and enter "YE" after summarizing <u>and</u> referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).
 - If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable")continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.
 - If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) continue and enter "IN" status code

Rationale and Reference(s):_____

Page 6

- Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI event code 6. (CA725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (and attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility):
 - X YE Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI Determination, "Current Human Exposures" are expected to be "Under Control" at the G.R.O.W.S. Landfill facility, EPA ID # PAD 00 042 9589, located at 1000 New Ford Mill Road, Morrisville, PA. 19067 under current and reasonably expected conditions. This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency/State becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.
 - NO "Current Human Exposures" are NOT "Under Control."
 - IN More information is needed to make a determination.

Completed by	(signature)		Date	11/20/00
	(print)	Linda A. Matyskiela		
	(title)	Remedial Project Manager (Senior)		
			Updated	09/24/02
Supervisor	(signature)		Date	01/08/01
	(print)	Paul Gotthold		
	(title)	PA Operations Branch Chief		
	(EPA Regio	on or State) EPA, Region 3		

Locations where References may be found:

All Files may be found in the PADEP, Southeast Regional Office files.

G.R.O.W.S. Landfill Groundwater Monitoring Reports(1996-2000). G.R.O.W.S. Landfill Groundwater Assessment Report (1998). CME Reports (1996-2000). G.R.O.W.S. Landfill Annual Groundwater Assessment Reports (1997-1999).

Contact telephone and e-mail numbers:

(name)	Linda Matyskiela			
(phone #)	215-814-3420			
(e-mail)	matyskiela.linda@epa.gov			
(name)	James Wentzel (PADEP)			
(phone #)	610-832-6121			
(e-mail)	jwentzel@state.pa.us			

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES EI IS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.