

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT**

SIERRA CLUB,)	
)	
<i>Petitioner,</i>)	
)	
v.)	
)	
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL)	No.
PROTECTION AGENCY and SCOTT)	
PRUITT, Administrator, U.S.)	
Environmental Protection Agency,)	
)	
<i>Respondents.</i>)	

PETITION FOR REVIEW

Pursuant to Clean Air Act § 307(b)(1), 42 U.S.C. § 7607(b)(1), Rule 15 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, and Fourth Circuit Rule 15, Sierra Club hereby petitions this Court for review of the final action taken by Respondents U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and Administrator Scott Pruitt in the Federal Register notice published at 83 FR 7610 (Feb. 22, 2018) and titled “Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Virginia; Revisions to Implement the Revocation of the 1997 NAAQS” (Attachment 1).

DATED: April 23, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Seth L. Johnson
Seth L. Johnson
Earthjustice
1625 Massachusetts Ave., NW
Suite 702

Washington, DC 20036-2243
(202) 667-4500
sjohnson@earthjustice.org

Counsel for Petitioner Sierra Club

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served the foregoing **Petition for Review and Disclosure of Corporate Affiliations and Other Interests Form** on Respondents by certified mail, return receipt requested to each of the following addresses on this 23rd day of April, 2018.

Scott Pruitt
EPA Headquarters 1101A
United States Environmental Protection
Agency
William Jefferson Clinton Federal Building
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20460

Jeff Sessions
Attorney General
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530

Correspondence Control Unit
Office of General Counsel (2311)
United States Environmental Protection
Agency
William Jefferson Clinton Federal Building
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20460



Lisa Fuhrmann
Earthjustice

**LIST OF RESPONDENTS UPON WHOM THE CLERK OF THE COURT
SHOULD SERVE THE PETITION**

Scott Pruitt
EPA Headquarters 1101A
United States Environmental Protection
Agency
William Jefferson Clinton Federal Building
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20460

Jeff Sessions
Attorney General
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530

Correspondence Control Unit
Office of General Counsel (2311)
United States Environmental Protection
Agency
William Jefferson Clinton Federal Building
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20460

Attachment 1

Location	Name
McKinney, Texas	McKinney National Airport.
Melbourne, Florida	Orlando Melbourne International Airport.
Midland, Texas	Midland International Air and Space Port.
Rogers, Arkansas	Rogers Executive Airport—Carter Field.
South Bend, Indiana	South Bend International Airport.
St. Augustine, Florida	Northeast Florida Regional Airport.
Waukegan, Illinois	Waukegan National Airport.

* * * * *
 Dated: February 15, 2018.

Kevin K. McAleenan,
 Acting Commissioner, U.S. Customs and
 Border Protection.

[FR Doc. 2018-03581 Filed 2-21-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9111-14-P

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
 AGENCY**

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R03-OAR-2017-0382; FRL-9974-66—Region 3]

**Approval and Promulgation of Air
 Quality Implementation Plans; Virginia;
 Revisions To Implement the
 Revocation of the 1997 Ozone NAAQS**

AGENCY: Environmental Protection
 Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is taking final action to approve revisions to the Commonwealth of Virginia (Virginia) state implementation plan (SIP). The revisions are related to the implementation of the 2008 ozone national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS or standards) and the revocation of the 1997 ozone NAAQS. EPA is approving these revisions updating the Virginia SIP to reflect the revocation of the 1997 ozone NAAQS in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act (CAA).

DATES: This final rule is effective on March 26, 2018.

ADDRESSES: EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID Number EPA-R03-OAR-2017-0382. All documents in the docket are listed on the <http://www.regulations.gov> website.

Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., confidential business information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available through <http://www.regulations.gov>, or please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section for additional availability information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sara Calcinore, (215) 814-2043, or by email at calcinore.sara@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Under the CAA, EPA establishes NAAQS for criteria pollutants¹ in order to protect human health and the environment. In response to scientific evidence linking ozone exposure to adverse health effects, EPA promulgated the first ozone NAAQS, the 0.12 part per million (ppm) 1-hour ozone NAAQS, in 1979. See 44 FR 8202 (February 8, 1979). The CAA requires EPA to review and reevaluate the NAAQS every 5 years in order to consider updated information regarding the effects of the criteria pollutants on human health and the environment. On July 18, 1997, EPA promulgated a revised ozone NAAQS, referred to as the 1997 ozone NAAQS, of 0.08 ppm averaged over eight hours. 62 FR 38855. This 8-hour ozone NAAQS was determined to be more protective of public health than the previous 1979 1-hour ozone NAAQS. In 2008, EPA

strengthened the 8-hour ozone NAAQS from 0.08 to 0.075 ppm. The 0.075 ppm standard is referred to as the 2008 ozone NAAQS and is more stringent than the previous 1997 ozone NAAQS. See 73 FR 16436 (March 27, 2008).²

On March 6, 2015, EPA issued a final rule addressing a range of nonattainment area SIP requirements for the 2008 ozone NAAQS. 80 FR 12264. This final rule also revoked the 1997 ozone NAAQS and established anti-backsliding requirements for areas not attaining the 1997 ozone NAAQS in 40 CFR 51.1105 that became effective once the 1997 ozone NAAQS was revoked. The anti-backsliding provisions require states to retain all applicable control requirements for the 1997 ozone NAAQS, while enabling states, where possible, to focus planning efforts on meeting the more protective 2008 ozone NAAQS. According to EPA's final rule, the revocation of the 1997 ozone NAAQS was effective as of April 6, 2015.

On September 9, 2016, Virginia amended the Virginia Administrative Code to be consistent with EPA's March 6, 2015 final rule. On February 10, 2017, Virginia, through the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (VADEQ), formally submitted a SIP revision (Revision G16) reflecting these amendments.

On August 17, 2017 (82 FR 39097 and 82 FR 39031), EPA simultaneously published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPR) and a direct final rule (DFR) for Virginia approving the SIP revision. EPA received two adverse comments on the rulemaking and attempted to withdraw the DFR prior to

¹ The "criteria pollutants" include ozone (O₃), particulate matter (PM), sulfur dioxide (SO₂), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), carbon monoxide (CO), and lead (Pb).

² On October 1, 2015, EPA strengthened the ground-level ozone NAAQS to 0.070 ppm. See 80 FR 65292 (October 26, 2015). This rulemaking addresses the 2008 ozone NAAQS and does not address the 2015 ozone NAAQS.

the effective date of October 16, 2017. However, EPA inadvertently did not withdraw the DFR prior to that date and the rule automatically and prematurely became effective on October 16, 2017, revising Virginia's SIP to reflect the revocation of the 1997 ozone NAAQS. In the NPR, EPA had proposed to approve the SIP revision, which included amendments made to provisions in Virginia's State Air Pollution Control Board's Regulations for the Control and Abatement of Air Pollution including 9VAC5-20-204, 9VAC5-30-55, 9VAC5-151-20, and 9VAC5-160-30. These revisions to the Virginia Administrative Code amended Virginia's regulatory provisions to reflect EPA's revocation of the 1997 ozone NAAQS and the implementation of the 2008 ozone NAAQS. In this final rulemaking, EPA is responding to the comments submitted on the proposed revision to the Virginia SIP and is reapproving the revisions to the Virginia SIP to reflect the revocation of the 1997 ozone NAAQS after our failure to withdraw the DFR (after EPA received adverse public comments) prior to the October 16, 2017 effective date of the DFR.

II. Summary of SIP Revision and EPA Analysis

Virginia's February 10, 2017 SIP submittal included amended versions of 9VAC5-20-204, 9VAC5-30-55, 9VAC5-151-20, and 9VAC5-160-30. Virginia requested that EPA approve the SIP revision so that these amended regulations would become part of the Virginia SIP. The amendment to 9VAC5-20-204 added text stating that the list of Northern Virginia moderate nonattainment areas under the 1997 ozone NAAQS is no longer effective after April 6, 2015, the effective date of the revocation of the 1997 ozone NAAQS. The amendment to 9VAC5-30-55 added text stating that the primary and secondary ambient air quality standard of 0.08 ppm shall no longer apply after April 6, 2015. Virginia also amended the Regulation for Transportation Conformity and the Regulation for General Conformity by adding clarifying text to 9VAC5-151-20 and 9VAC5-160-30 stating that "The provisions of this chapter shall not apply in nonattainment and maintenance areas that were designated nonattainment or maintenance under a federal standard that has been revoked." These revisions to the Virginia Administrative Code reflect EPA's revocation of the 1997 ozone NAAQS.

EPA's review of this material indicates the February 10, 2017 submittal is approvable as it revises

regulations to be consistent with EPA's final rule implementing the 2008 ozone NAAQS. See 80 FR 12264 (March 6, 2015). The revisions update regulations to reflect the revocation of the 1997 NAAQS, which was effective April 6, 2015. Therefore, the revisions do not affect emissions of air pollutants or interfere with any applicable requirement concerning attainment or reasonable further progress or any other applicable requirements in the CAA. Thus, EPA finds the revision approvable in accordance with section 110, including section 110(l), of the CAA.

III. Public Comments and EPA's Responses

EPA received two anonymous public comments on our action to approve the February 10, 2017 SIP submittal.

Comment: The first commenter stated that EPA cannot revoke the 1997 ozone NAAQS and cited a current court case in the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (D.C. Circuit). The commenter recommended that EPA wait until the D.C. Circuit's decision and not "loosen standards."

Response: EPA would like to clarify that the proposed revision to the Virginia SIP does not revoke the 1997 ozone NAAQS as the 1997 ozone NAAQS were revoked previously by EPA in a separate rulemaking effective April 6, 2015. See 80 FR 12264. The commenter's ability to challenge revocation of the 1997 ozone NAAQS was in that prior rulemaking. This particular rulemaking action is only removing references to the "revoked" 1997 ozone NAAQS that had been in Virginia's SIP. Thus, the commenter's statement that EPA should not revoke the 1997 ozone NAAQS is not relevant to this rulemaking.

EPA acknowledges that there is presently a legal challenge in the D.C. Circuit to the rulemaking which revoked the 1997 ozone NAAQS.³ However, EPA disagrees with the commenter that EPA should wait for the outcome of this litigation before approving the Virginia SIP revision. As stated above, this SIP revision does not revoke the 1997 ozone NAAQS as the revocation of the NAAQS was effective on April 6, 2015 per EPA's March 6, 2015 rule. Additionally, nothing in section 110 of the CAA prevents Virginia from removing its references to the revoked 1997 ozone NAAQS from its SIP, as the removal does not affect emissions of air pollutants as it does not impact any applicable SIP requirements that apply

to an area, interfere with any applicable requirements in the CAA, nor interfere with reasonable further progress. See section 110(l) of the CAA. Thus, EPA finds the revision approvable in accordance with section 110, including section 110(l), of the CAA.

In response to the commenter's concern that EPA's approval will "loosen standards," EPA notes that the 2008 ozone NAAQS of 0.075 ppm, which EPA is presently implementing in collaboration with states such as Virginia, is more protective of human health and the environment than the 1997 ozone NAAQS of 0.08 ppm. In addition, the ozone nonattainment areas in Virginia are the same for the 1997 ozone NAAQS as for the 2008 ozone NAAQS. Thus, removing the revoked 1997 ozone NAAQS from the Virginia SIP is not expected to have any emissions impact nor interfere with reasonable further progress or any applicable CAA requirement. See also 80 FR 12264.

Comment Summary: The second commenter requested that EPA not revoke the ozone NAAQS.

Response: As discussed in detail in response to the first comment, EPA's approval of the removal of references in the Virginia SIP to the revoked 1997 ozone NAAQS does not actually revoke the 1997 ozone NAAQS as EPA previously effectuated that revocation in a prior, separate rulemaking. See 80 FR 12264.

IV. Final Action

EPA is approving the Virginia SIP revision submitted on February 10, 2017, which includes amendments made to several sections of the Virginia Administrative Code, including 9VAC5-20-204, 9VAC5-30-55, 9VAC5-151-20, and 9VAC5-160-30, as a revision to the Virginia SIP because the revisions meet the requirements of CAA section 110. EPA is reapproving the revisions to Virginia's SIP because the revisions were added to the SIP prematurely on October 16, 2017 when EPA failed to withdraw its DFR after receiving two adverse comments on our direct final approval of the revisions to the Virginia SIP to reflect the revocation of the 1997 ozone NAAQS. This rule, which responds to the adverse comments received, finalizes our approval.

V. General Information Pertaining to SIP Submittals From the Commonwealth of Virginia

In 1995, Virginia adopted legislation that provides, subject to certain conditions, for an environmental assessment (audit) "privilege" for voluntary compliance evaluations

³ *South Coast Air Quality Management District v. EPA*, Case Nos. 15-1115, 15-1123 (U.S. Court of Appeals, D.C. Cir.).

performed by a regulated entity. The legislation further addresses the relative burden of proof for parties either asserting the privilege or seeking disclosure of documents for which the privilege is claimed. Virginia's legislation also provides, subject to certain conditions, for a penalty waiver for violations of environmental laws when a regulated entity discovers such violations pursuant to a voluntary compliance evaluation and voluntarily discloses such violations to the Commonwealth and takes prompt and appropriate measures to remedy the violations. Virginia's Voluntary Environmental Assessment Privilege Law, Va. Code Sec. 10.1-1198, provides a privilege that protects from disclosure documents and information about the content of those documents that are the product of a voluntary environmental assessment. The Privilege Law does not extend to documents or information that: (1) Are generated or developed before the commencement of a voluntary environmental assessment; (2) are prepared independently of the assessment process; (3) demonstrate a clear, imminent and substantial danger to the public health or environment; or (4) are required by law.

On January 12, 1998, the Commonwealth of Virginia Office of the Attorney General provided a legal opinion that states that the Privilege law, Va. Code Sec. 10.1-1198, precludes granting a privilege to documents and information "required by law," including documents and information "required by federal law to maintain program delegation, authorization or approval," since Virginia must "enforce federally authorized environmental programs in a manner that is no less stringent than their federal counterparts. . . ." The opinion concludes that "[r]egarding § 10.1-1198, therefore, documents or other information needed for civil or criminal enforcement under one of these programs could not be privileged because such documents and information are essential to pursuing enforcement in a manner required by federal law to maintain program delegation, authorization or approval."

Virginia's Immunity law, Va. Code Sec. 10.1-1199, provides that "[t]o the extent consistent with requirements imposed by federal law," any person making a voluntary disclosure of information to a state agency regarding a violation of an environmental statute, regulation, permit, or administrative order is granted immunity from administrative or civil penalty. The Attorney General's January 12, 1998 opinion states that the quoted language

renders this statute inapplicable to enforcement of any federally authorized programs, since "no immunity could be afforded from administrative, civil, or criminal penalties because granting such immunity would not be consistent with federal law, which is one of the criteria for immunity."

Therefore, EPA has determined that Virginia's Privilege and Immunity statutes will not preclude the Commonwealth from enforcing its program consistent with the federal requirements. In any event, because EPA has also determined that a state audit privilege and immunity law can affect only state enforcement and cannot have any impact on federal enforcement authorities, EPA may at any time invoke its authority under the CAA, including, for example, sections 113, 167, 205, 211 or 213, to enforce the requirements or prohibitions of the state plan, independently of any state enforcement effort. In addition, citizen enforcement under section 304 of the CAA is likewise unaffected by this, or any, state audit privilege or immunity law.

VI. Incorporation by Reference

In this rule, EPA is finalizing regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, EPA is finalizing the incorporation by reference of the revisions to 9VAC5-20-204, 9VAC5-30-55, 9VAC5-151-20, and 9VAC5-160-30 of the State Air Pollution Control Board's Regulation for the Control and Abatement of Air Pollution discussed in Section II of this preamble. EPA has made, and will continue to make, these materials generally available through <http://www.regulations.gov> and at the EPA Region III Office (please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this preamble for more information). These materials have been approved by EPA for inclusion in the SIP, have been incorporated by reference by EPA into that plan, are fully federally enforceable under sections 110 and 113 of the CAA as of the effective date of the final rulemaking of EPA's approval, and will be incorporated by reference by the Director of the Federal Register in the next update to the SIP compilation.⁴

VII. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

A. General Requirements

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the

CAA and applicable federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a "significant regulatory action" subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
- is not an Executive Order 13771 (82 FR 9339, February 2, 2017) regulatory action because SIP approvals are exempted under Executive Order 12866;
- does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4);
- does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and
- does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

The SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land as defined in 18 U.S.C. 1151 or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as

⁴ 62 FR 27968 (May 22, 1997).

specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

B. Submission to Congress and the Comptroller General

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

C. Petitions for Judicial Review

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by April 23, 2018. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action.

This action to approve revised provisions of the Virginia Administrative Code including 9VAC5–20–204, 9VAC5–30–55, 9VAC5–151–20, and 9VAC5–160–30 for inclusion in the Virginia SIP may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone,

Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: February 9, 2018.

Cosmo Servidio,

Regional Administrator, Region III.

40 CFR part 52 is amended as follows:

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Subpart VV—Virginia

■ 2. In § 52.2420, the table in paragraph (c) is amended by revising the entries for Sections 5–20–204, 5–30–55, 5–151–20, and 5–160–30. The revised text reads as follows:

§ 52.2420 Identification of plan.

* * * * *
(c) * * *

EPA-APPROVED VIRGINIA REGULATIONS AND STATUTES

State citation	Title/subject	State effective date	EPA approval date	Explanation [former SIP citation]
*	*	*	*	*
9 VAC 5, Chapter 20 General Provisions				
*	*	*	*	*
Part II Air Quality Programs				
5–20–204	Nonattainment Areas	11/16/16	2/22/18, [Insert Federal Register Citation].	Addition of Subdivision C. Previous approval 8/14/15.
*	*	*	*	*
9 VAC 5, Chapter 30 Ambient Air Quality Standards [Part III]				
5–30–55	Ozone (8-hour, 0.08 ppm)	11/16/16	2/22/18, [Insert Federal Register Citation].	Subdivision D. is revised to read that the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS no longer apply after April 6, 2015. Previous approval 6/11/13.
*	*	*	*	*
9 VAC 5, Chapter 151 Transportation Conformity				
*	*	*	*	*
Part II General Provisions				
5–151–20	Applicability	11/16/16	2/22/18, [Insert Federal Register Citation].	Subdivision B. is amended to address revoked federal standards. Previous approval 11/20/09.

EPA-APPROVED VIRGINIA REGULATIONS AND STATUTES—Continued

State citation	Title/subject	State effective date	EPA approval date	Explanation [former SIP citation]
*	*	*	*	*
9 VAC 5, Chapter 160 General Conformity				
*	*	*	*	*
Part II General Provisions				
5-160-30	Applicability	11/16/16	2/22/18, [Insert Federal Register Citation].	Subdivision A. is amended to address revoked federal standards. Previous approval 12/12/11.
*	*	*	*	*

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 2018-03524 Filed 2-21-18; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R09-OAR-2017-0468; FRL-9974-68—Region 9]

Approval of Arizona Air Plan Revisions, Arizona Department of Environmental Quality

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is taking final action to approve a revision to the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) portion of the Arizona State Implementation Plan (SIP). This

revision concerns emissions of lead-bearing fugitive dust from roads, storage piles and other activities associated with the primary copper smelter located in Hayden, Arizona. We are approving a state rule and associated appendix to regulate these emissions under the Clean Air Act (CAA or the Act).

DATES: This rule is effective on March 26, 2018.

ADDRESSES: The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA-R09-OAR-2017-0468. All documents in the docket are listed on the <http://www.regulations.gov> website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are

available through <http://www.regulations.gov>, or please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section for additional availability information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christine Vineyard, EPA Region IX, (415) 947-4125, vineyard.christine@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document, “we,” “us” and “our” refer to the EPA.

Table of Contents

- I. Proposed Action
- II. Public Comments and EPA Responses
- III. EPA Action
- IV. Incorporation by Reference
- V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

I. Proposed Action

On November 27, 2017 (82 FR 55966), the EPA proposed to approve the following rule and appendix into the Arizona SIP.

Local agency	Rule No.	Rule title	Submitted
ADEQ	R18-2-B1301.01	Limits on Lead-Bearing Fugitive Dust from the Hayden Smelter	04/06/17
ADEQ	Appendix 15	Test Methods for Determining Opacity and Stabilization of Unpaved Roads	04/06/17

We proposed to approve the rule and associated appendix because we determined that they comply with the relevant CAA requirements. Our proposed action contains more information on the rule and associated appendix and our evaluation.

II. Public Comments and EPA Responses

The EPA’s proposed action provided a 30-day public comment period. During this period, we received three comments. Two commenters raised issues that are outside of the scope of this rulemaking, including forest management, wildfire suppression, and

greenhouse-gas and other emissions from wildfires. A third commenter requested that the EPA “regulate the amount of poisonous dust that is kicked up into the air.” As explained in our proposed action, Rule R18-2-B1301.01 establishes requirements to control lead-bearing fugitive dust emissions surrounding the Hayden copper smelter. Our approval of this rule into the Arizona SIP will make these requirements federally enforceable. Commenters did not raise any specific issues germane to the approvability of the rule and appendix.

III. EPA Action

No comments were submitted that change our assessment of the rule and associated appendix as described in our proposed action. Therefore, as authorized in section 110(k)(3) of the Act, the EPA is fully approving this rule and associated appendix into the Arizona SIP.

IV. Incorporation by Reference

In this rule, the EPA is finalizing regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, the EPA is finalizing the