21st Century Toxicology: OPP's Efforts on Reduced Animal Testing for Ecological Risk Assessment New Approach Methodologies and Retrospective Analyses

Edward Odenkirchen

and

Melissa Panger

Environmental Fate and Effects Division

Office of Pesticide Programs

United States Environmental Protection agency

Today's Discussion

- Guiding principles
- Avian subacute/acute risk retrospective comparison project
 - Question asked
 - Methods used
 - Next steps
- Fish acute lethal endpoint retrospective project
 - Question asked
 - Achievements to date
- ICCVAM Organizing Committee Predictive Modeling of Rat Oral Acute Systemic Toxicity
- ICCVAM Ecotoxicity Working Group
- Participation in Toxicology Forum Summer Meeting
- Feedback

Guiding Principles

- Identification, Development of New Approach Methodologies (NAMs)*
 - Defining tasks that are fit for purpose
 - Establishing Scientific Relevance, Reliability and Confidence
 - Staff Training, Education and Collaboration
 - Leveraging partner resources
- Implementation of NAMs for Ecological Risk Assessment (ERA) Under FIFRA
 - Commitment of time and resources through the completion of specific NAMS tasks
 - Establishment of OPP guidance and policy in a publicly transparent manner

^{*} EPA views the term New Approach Methodologies as equivalent to alternative test methods and strategies

Use of NAMs for ERA-FIFRA —Decision Context

- EPA has been using NAMs for years
 - ECOSAR, EPISuite, SAR/QSAR/Read-Across
- EPA has considered NAMs for:
 - Screening degradates for effects testing prioritization
 - Screening degradates for toxicity equivalency bridging with tested parent compound
 - Addressing data gaps for parent compounds in risk assessment
- Potential for use in risk assessment in place of whole animal testing
 - Use will be fit-for-purpose having established
 - Scientific Relevance,
 - Reliability, and
 - Confidence

Avian Subacute/Acute Risk Retrospective Comparison Project (Background and Questions Asked)

Background

- 40 CFR Section 158 outlines two requirements for avian acute effects testing
 - Two single oral dose LD50 studies (commonly quail or mallard and a songbird)
 - Two subacute dietary LC50 studies (commonly quail and mallard)
- Pesticide risk assessments conduct estimation of risk quotients using BOTH lethal effects study types using the most sensitive endpoint from each type of study
- EPA and PETA collaborated on a retrospective analysis of avian risk assessments
- Questions Asked: Can we confidently assess acute risk for birds using a reduced suite of effects studies focusing on the single oral dose protocol?
 - How often have subacute dietary risk quotients (RQs) quantitatively driven risk assessment conclusions?
 - How often have subacute dietary risks qualitatively altered the risk conclusions?

Avian Subacute/Acute Risk Retrospective Comparison Project (Methods)

- Focus on risk assessment outcomes <u>not</u> effects data
 - Integrates the effects of both toxic potency and exposure assessment
 - Allow for a differentiation (if any) in conclusions relative to surrogate bird size and exposure media (food type)
- Establishment of evaluation data set
 - Focused on pesticide actives newly registered through RD for the years 1998-2016
 - Most recent classes of pesticides
 - Reflect evolution of new chemistries to avoid broad spectrum acute toxicity
 - Most likely the newest pesticide mechanism of action classes with greatest potential for analog development going forward
 - Review most recent publicly available risk assessment
 - Determine mode of action for each pesticide (publicly available sites)

Avian Subacute/Acute Risk Retrospective Comparison Project (Methods cont.)

• From each risk assessment:

- Extract and compare the single oral dose- and dietary-based risk quotients
- Summarize any risk characterization qualitative discussion of dietarybased risk estimates

Avian Subacute/Acute Risk Retrospective Comparison Project (Results)

- EPA identified **181** pesticides new to the Agency from the annual reports from 1998 to 2016.
- 119 chemicals had ecological risks assessments available to PETA for analysis.
 - 79 of the chemicals did not have RQ values calculated so a difference between dietary and oral RQs was moot (dietary RQ had no impact)
 - 70 of these were Limit test results for both diet and oral endpoints (there was no difference in risk prediction for dietary or oral),
 - 9 were non-standard assessments (indoor, greenhouse, or piggy back assessments)
 - 40 of the chemicals had RQ values presented for comparison
 - 37 cases oral RQ dominated dietary and drove the assessment,
 - 2 cases RQs for dietary only as oral was at limit, but no concern for risk in any case
 - 1 case dietary RQ> Oral RQ, it was a anticoagulant rodenticide
- Bottom Line: In <u>99%</u> of cases (118 of 119) the subacute dietary approach did not change risk conclusions already reached using oral, dose-based RQs

Avian Subacute/Acute Risk Retrospective Comparison Project (Results cont.)

But what about those 62 cases not evaluated?

- Reviewed the MOAs posted for each case chemical to determine whether the mechanism of action was covered by another pesticide for which the comparison of RQs was completed
- An unevaluated chemical was reasoned important if its MOA was not represented by an analog's risk assessment comparison

Results

- Only 8 chemicals and their associated MOAs were not represented by analogs
- These 8 were all unique mechanisms
- Bottom Line: In the majority of unevaluated cases, the subacute dietary approach was represented by chemical analogs; unique modes of action may be a category for establishing a base set of studies (and RQ comparisons) for future use.

Avian Subacute/Acute Risk Retrospective Comparison Project (Next Steps)

- Peer-reviewed scientific journal publication (PETA lead, Agency coauthors)
- Developing policy/guidance
 - Outlining comparison effort and its results by citation to journal article
 - Recommend, for new chemicals with mechanisms of action covered, a reliance on acute oral dose protocols, with dietary protocols held in reserve
 - Recommend an evidence-driven consideration of dietary testing for:
 - Unique modes of action
 - Cases where data on MOA suggest a mechanism for accumulative damage (e.g., anticoagulant rodenticides)
 - A high potential for bioaccumulation or a facilitated transport mechanism of absorption
 - High octanol-water partition coefficient and high molecular weight
 - High bioconcentration factor
 - Mammalian toxicity and animal residue studies
- Outreach to international and other partners
- Release draft policy for public comment

Fish Acute Lethal Endpoint Retrospective Project (Background and Questions Asked)

Background

- 40CFR Section 158 outlines three requirements for fish acute effects testing
 - One study with a warm freshwater fish (e.g., bluegill sunfish)
 - One study with a cold freshwater fish (e.g., rainbow trout)
 - One study with an estuarine/marine fish (e.g., sheepshead minnow)
- Pesticide risk assessments conduct estimation of risk quotients using the most sensitive freshwater fish and the estuarine/marine fish
- Exposure estimates for each fish RQ calculation are identical, whether freshwater or estuarine marine
- Questions Asked: Can we confidently assess acute risk for fish using a reduced suite of effects studies focusing on a consistently most sensitive fish?
 - Is there a consistently most sensitive fish across all compounds?
 - Are there patterns of most sensitive fish based on chemical properties, chemical class, or mechanism of action?
 - Can we reduce data sets to two or even one fish study?

Fish Acute Lethal Endpoint Retrospective Project (Methods)

- Focus of this effort is comparative toxicity
 - NICEATM* Federal Partner
 - Exposure is not a confounding factor; only relative toxicity matters
- Evaluation data set: same as avian effects studies
 - Extraction of the data evaluation records
 - Submission to a shared drive
 - NICEATM review of the quality of information
 - Cross walk with available structure and mechanism data

^{*}NICEATM: NTP Interagency Center for the Evaluation of Alternative Toxicological Methods

Fish Acute Lethal Endpoint Retrospective Project (Progress to Date)

 Initial suite of 250+ individual fish toxicity records have been shared with NICEATM

 NICEATM feedback on whether this information is fit for purpose is imminent within a few weeks

ICCVAM Organizing Committee Predictive Modeling of Rat Oral Acute Systemic Toxicity

- EPA representation on the Organizing Committee for April workshop.
- Objective: integrate the collective expertise of the international modeling community to develop predictive models for acute oral toxicity based on regulatory needs put forward by ICCVAM
- EPA Role: provide EPA ecological risk assessment perspective on prioritization of candidate alternative methods for presentation at the workshop
 - Focus on methods most suitable for application in a quantitative manner for ecological risk assessment
 - Transparency of mechanistic considerations
 - High degree of documentation of the method
 - Accuracy in predicting an LD50
 - EPA selection criteria lead to a proposed methods selection that was highly consistent with other Agency priority selections

ICCVAM Ecotoxicity Working Group

- EPA representative is a co-chair of the newly formed Working Group
- Draft Charter has been developed
- Charges:
 - Identify agency requirements for ecotoxicology testing
 - Identify endpoints needed by each federal agency and commonalities and differences between agencies
 - Define the current approaches to ecotoxicology testing and create a catalog of existing and emerging technologies to assess their potential to fulfill regulatory testing requirements without using animals.
 - Work with ICATM* partners to identify international regulatory requirements for ecotoxicology testing
 - Establish a stakeholder group comprised of both government and nongovernment scientists to coordinate efforts towards developing and implementing alternative approaches for ecotoxicology testing

^{*}ICATM: International Cooperation on Alternative Test Methods

Toxicology Forum Summer Meeting July 9-11 2018

• Objective:

- International, nonprofit organization devoted to conducting open dialogues among various segments of society concerned with problems in toxicology.
- Meeting intended to provide a venue for experts from domestic and international government regulatory and health agencies to exchange perspectives on issues of mutual interest.

• Session Topics:

- Building on the Science: Possible Opportunities to Reduce Toxicity Testing and Better Allocate Resources for Evaluating Ecological Risk
- Integration of Toxicokinetics and the Kinetically-Derived Maximum Dose into Toxicity Testing and Risk Assessment
- US FDA's Predictive Toxicology Road Map—A Six-Part Framework for Integrating Predictive Toxicology Methods into Safety and Risk Assessments.

In Closing....

EPA is:

- Committed to reduced animal testing burden without compromising the quality of the risk assessment process
- Considering ideas for additional projects
- Operating under a set of principles to achieve streamlined testing or alternative methods endpoints fit for quantitative ecological risk assessment purposes
- Considering mechanisms for policy/guidance (waiver guidance?)
- Intends to partner with government and private stakeholders (thoughts/suggestions on entities to include?)
- Open to other ideas and opportunities for collaboration in future retrospective studies