## APPENDIX A

Annual Report Template



## Annual Report Template District of Columbia Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System NPDES Permit Number DC0000221



Reporting Per	riod July 1:	to J	une 30:		11 9	eport Sul	omittal			
					D	ate				
Contact Perso	n		-						-	
Name and Tit	2970									
Phone Numbe	r	2007	E-ma				4100			
DC Stormwate Website URL	er			1						
			31/22				***			
Part 1 DISC	HARGES AU	THORIZE	D UND	ER TH	IS PEI	RMIT			411	
							- ma			
sufficier	section 1.4.3 of at finances, staf ans of this permi	f, equipment	does the	e Permi ipport c	ttee cer apabili	rtify that ties to in	there are	e t the	Yes	No
							25.977 - 10			
same pe years em numbers	section 1.5.3.1 rmit limits for papty. PROW ac will not be added	orior years as res may also led to major	s reporte be reporter basin n	ed in the orted for numbers	ose anr r respe	ual repor	rts. Leav	e boxes fo	r futur ant. PF	e ROW
			s Mana		mit Lir	nits				
	Ann	ual Report Y					is due)			
		2019	20	20	2	021	20	22	202	23
Anacostia Rive										
Potomac River				-8			-			
Rock Creek							-			
Public Right-o	f-Way									
3. Net Tree	Plantings in th	e MS4 Perm	nit Area							- 1000 N
	l landings in th	- IVIS T CITE	III / II Ca					Total	net tre	20
2019	2020	202	21	202	22	203	23	plantings		e this
Are tree plantir	ngs included in	the estimate	of Acre	es Mana	aged in	#2?		Yes		No
4. Square F	eet of Green Ro	oofs installed	d in the	MS4 P	ermit A	rea	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
2019	2020	202		202		202	23	Total sq roofs insta	alled to	o date

Are green roofs included in	the estimate o	f Acres Manag	ed in #2?	Yes	100	No
			30	J,		
5. Per Subsection 1.5.3.2 same permit limits for empty.	2 of the permit r prior years as	, fill in only the reported in the	boxes for prionse annual repo	r and current yearts. Leave boxes	rs. Rep	ort the
		nds of Trash Pe				
A		The state of the s	hich the report			000
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2	023
Pounds of trash captured, removed, or prevented from entering the Anacostia River		1		9		
6. Are tables for all WL	A benchmarks	attached to thi	s annual report?	?	Yes	No
			-			
281 - 28 - 28 - 281 - 281 - 281 - 281						
	The second secon	Carlo Michigan Colonia	880000-24A	<del></del>		
Part 2 STORMWATER	MANAGEMI	ENT PLANNI	NG			1708
			NG			
7. For the Annual Report	rt due Decembe	er 1, 2019:		Manage	Voc	No
7. For the Annual Reportation a. Is the Inspection	rt due Decembe Strategy for Re	er 1, 2019:		Measures	Yes	No
7. For the Annual Report	rt due Decembe Strategy for Re	er 1, 2019:		Measures	Yes	No
7. For the Annual Report  a. Is the Inspection (Permit Section 2)	rt due Decembe Strategy for Re 2.3) attached?	er 1, 2019: egulated On-Si		Measures	Yes	No
7. For the Annual Report a. Is the Inspection (Permit Section 2) 8. For the Annual Report	rt due Decemb Strategy for Re 2.3) attached? rt due Decemb	er 1, 2019: egulated On-Si er 1, 2020:	te and Off-Site			
a. Is the Inspection (Permit Section 2	rt due Decemb Strategy for Re 2.3) attached? rt due Decemb	er 1, 2019: egulated On-Si er 1, 2020:	te and Off-Site			No
7. For the Annual Report a. Is the Inspection (Permit Section 2)  8. For the Annual Report a. Is the Stormwater	rt due Decemb Strategy for Re 2.3) attached? rt due Decemb r Fee Options I	er 1, 2019: egulated On-Si er 1, 2020: Evaluation (Per	te and Off-Site	2.2.3) attached?	Yes	No
7. For the Annual Report a. Is the Inspection (Permit Section 2) 8. For the Annual Report	rt due Decemb Strategy for Re 2.3) attached? rt due Decemb r Fee Options I	er 1, 2019: egulated On-Si er 1, 2020: Evaluation (Per	te and Off-Site	2.2.3) attached?		
7. For the Annual Report a. Is the Inspection 2 (Permit Section 2  8. For the Annual Report a. Is the Stormwater b. Is the Analysis of	rt due December (2.3) attached?  rt due December Fee Options If Updating the for ice and snow	er 1, 2019: egulated On-Si er 1, 2020: Evaluation (Per Stormwater Re	mit Subsection	2.2.3) attached?	Yes	No
7. For the Annual Report a. Is the Inspection (Permit Section 2)  8. For the Annual Report a. Is the Stormwate b. Is the Analysis of 2.2.4) attached? c. Are alternatives to the attached Snow	rt due December Strategy for Research 2.3) attached?  rt due December Fee Options If Updating the for ice and snow and Ice Remover due December due December due December due December due December 2.3)	er 1, 2019: egulated On-Si er 1, 2020: Evaluation (Per Stormwater Re w management oval Plan? eer 1, 2021:	rmit Subsection egulations (Perr	2.2.3) attached?  mit Subsection  n 2.6) discussed in	Yes Yes Yes	No No
7. For the Annual Report a. Is the Inspection 2  8. For the Annual Report a. Is the Stormwate b. Is the Analysis of 2.2.4) attached? c. Are alternatives to the attached Snow	rt due December Strategy for Research 2.3) attached?  rt due December Fee Options If Updating the for ice and snow and Ice Remover due December due December due December due December due December 2.3)	er 1, 2019: egulated On-Si er 1, 2020: Evaluation (Per Stormwater Re w management oval Plan? eer 1, 2021:	rmit Subsection egulations (Perr	2.2.3) attached?  mit Subsection  n 2.6) discussed in	Yes	No No

11 D 0 1 2 2001 01 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2			T.
11. Per Subsection 2.2.5.1 of the permit, have any TMDLs with MS approved during this permit year?	54 WLAs been	Yes	No
• If so, list the TMDL(s) and briefly note measures taken to dev	elop milestones	and bench	marks
12. Per Section 2.7 of the permit, on Infrastructure Resilience Asses	sments, provide	a brief na	rrative
on actions taken in this during this reporting period.			
art 3 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM IMPLEM	IENTATION		
art 3 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM IMPLEM	IENTATION		
13. Per Subsection 3.2.1.1, is the performance status, including on-si	ite and off-site	Yes	No
13. Per Subsection 3.2.1.1, is the performance status, including on-si retention volumes, of all projects subject to the District stormwat	ite and off-site	Yes	No
13. Per Subsection 3.2.1.1, is the performance status, including on-si retention volumes, of all projects subject to the District stormwat posted on the District stormwater website?	ite and off-site	Yes	No
13. Per Subsection 3.2.1.1, is the performance status, including on-si retention volumes, of all projects subject to the District stormwat	ite and off-site	Yes	No
13. Per Subsection 3.2.1.1, is the performance status, including on-si retention volumes, of all projects subject to the District stormwat posted on the District stormwater website?	ite and off-site	Yes	No
posted on the District stormwater website?  URL if the website is different from the one provided above:	ite and off-site	Yes	No
<ul> <li>13. Per Subsection 3.2.1.1, is the performance status, including on-si retention volumes, of all projects subject to the District stormwat posted on the District stormwater website?</li> <li>URL if the website is different from the one provided above:</li> <li>14. Per Subsection 3.2.1.3, how many site plan reviews were</li> </ul>	ite and off-site		
<ul> <li>13. Per Subsection 3.2.1.1, is the performance status, including on-si retention volumes, of all projects subject to the District stormwat posted on the District stormwater website?</li> <li>URL if the website is different from the one provided above:</li> <li>14. Per Subsection 3.2.1.3, how many site plan reviews were conducted during this reporting period?</li> </ul>	ite and off-site	review	/S
<ul> <li>13. Per Subsection 3.2.1.1, is the performance status, including on-si retention volumes, of all projects subject to the District stormwat posted on the District stormwater website?</li> <li>URL if the website is different from the one provided above:</li> <li>14. Per Subsection 3.2.1.3, how many site plan reviews were</li> </ul>	ite and off-site		/S
<ul> <li>13. Per Subsection 3.2.1.1, is the performance status, including on-si retention volumes, of all projects subject to the District stormwat posted on the District stormwater website?</li> <li>URL if the website is different from the one provided above:</li> <li>14. Per Subsection 3.2.1.3, how many site plan reviews were conducted during this reporting period?</li> <li>How many of these projects were in public rights-of-way?</li> </ul>	ite and off-site	review	vs ts
<ul> <li>13. Per Subsection 3.2.1.1, is the performance status, including on-si retention volumes, of all projects subject to the District stormwat posted on the District stormwater website?</li> <li>URL if the website is different from the one provided above:</li> <li>14. Per Subsection 3.2.1.3, how many site plan reviews were conducted during this reporting period?</li> </ul>	ite and off-site	review	vs ts
<ul> <li>13. Per Subsection 3.2.1.1, is the performance status, including on-si retention volumes, of all projects subject to the District stormwat posted on the District stormwater website?  URL if the website is different from the one provided above:  14. Per Subsection 3.2.1.3, how many site plan reviews were conducted during this reporting period?  • How many of these projects were in public rights-of-way?  • How many site plans were finally approved during this reporting period?</li> </ul>	ite and off-site er regulations	review projec approv	vs ts vals
<ul> <li>13. Per Subsection 3.2.1.1, is the performance status, including on-si retention volumes, of all projects subject to the District stormwat posted on the District stormwater website?  URL if the website is different from the one provided above:  14. Per Subsection 3.2.1.3, how many site plan reviews were conducted during this reporting period?  • How many of these projects were in public rights-of-way?  • How many site plans were finally approved during this reporting period?</li> <li>5. How many gallons were retained for development projects complete.</li> </ul>	ite and off-site er regulations  eted this reportin	review projec approv	vs ts vals
<ul> <li>13. Per Subsection 3.2.1.1, is the performance status, including on-si retention volumes, of all projects subject to the District stormwate posted on the District stormwater website?</li> <li>URL if the website is different from the one provided above:</li> <li>14. Per Subsection 3.2.1.3, how many site plan reviews were conducted during this reporting period?</li> <li>How many of these projects were in public rights-of-way?</li> <li>How many site plans were finally approved during this</li> </ul>	ite and off-site er regulations  eted this reportin	review projec approv	vs ts vals

Total off-site retention	gallons	W W		
16. Per Subsection 3.2.3.2, has S. 2013 been eliminated (in assoregulations)?	RC eligibility for projects in ociation with revisions to the	e District Stormwater	Yes	No
17. Per Subsection 3.2.3.3, has the Program been established?	ne Stormwater Retention Cr	edit Purchase Agreement	Yes	No
• Provide a brief description	of the status.			
At the end of this reporting p more than 1-year old are goin			SRCs	
18. Per Subsection 3.2.6 of the p District's Stormwater Manag	ermit, have any modification ement Guidebook during the	ns been made to the is reporting period?	Yes	No
• If so, provide a brief summ	nary of changes.			
	2	W The state of the		
<ol> <li>Per Subsection 3.2.7 of the p District's Green Area Ratio p</li> </ol>	permit, have any modification or a modification	ns been made to the g period?	Yes	No
• If so, provide a brief sumn	nary of changes.			
			5.	
20. Per Subsection 3.2.11 of the metrics (miles, square feet) for restoration projects for whice Managed credits or pollutant period.	for any stream, buffer, or flo h the Permittee claims eithe	odplain r Acres	Uni	ts
Are these projects included	in the estimates of acres ma	naged in #2	Yes	No
21. Per Subsection 3.3.1 of the poccurred during this reporting		the MS4	ovei	flows
Were responses consistent permit?	t with the requirements of S	ubsection 3.3.1 of the	Yes	N

22. Per Subsection 3.3.2 of the permit, provide the number of District-owned, operated, and leased facilities and job sites within the MS4 Permit Area that conducted industrial activities during this reporting period.			facili sites	ties/
<ul> <li>Number of these facilities with Stormwater Pollution         Prevention Plans meeting the requirements of Subsection     </li> <li>3.3.2.2 a-e of the permit, or the MSGP.</li> </ul>			facili sites	ties/
• If not all facilities have SWPPPs, are they being developed?		Yes	No	N/A
Do all facilities conduct self-inspections no less frequently than quality.	uarterly?		Yes	No
If you answered 'no' to either of the questions above, describe co	rrective a	ctions b	eing t	aken.
23. Per Subsection 3.3.2.4 of the permit, have wash water discharges to	the MS4	from	Yes	No
District operations been fully prohibited and eliminated?				
District operations been fully prohibited and eliminated?     If not, describe corrective actions being taken.      Number of inspections of District industrial operations this			inspec	etions
District operations been fully prohibited and eliminated?     If not, describe corrective actions being taken.			inspec	
District operations been fully prohibited and eliminated?     If not, describe corrective actions being taken.      Number of inspections of District industrial operations this reporting period.	l operation			
District operations been fully prohibited and eliminated?      If not, describe corrective actions being taken.      Number of inspections of District industrial operations this reporting period.      Number of corrective actions taken?      Is the Permittee maintaining a database inventory of all municipa that conduct industrial activities and/or are considered critical sou	l operation	ns	action	S
<ul> <li>District operations been fully prohibited and eliminated?</li> <li>If not, describe corrective actions being taken.</li> <li>Number of inspections of District industrial operations this reporting period.</li> <li>Number of corrective actions taken?</li> <li>Is the Permittee maintaining a database inventory of all municipa</li> </ul>	l operation rces? retained?	ns	action	No
<ul> <li>District operations been fully prohibited and eliminated?</li> <li>If not, describe corrective actions being taken.</li> <li>Number of inspections of District industrial operations this reporting period.</li> <li>Number of corrective actions taken?</li> <li>Is the Permittee maintaining a database inventory of all municipa that conduct industrial activities and/or are considered critical sout.</li> <li>24. Per Subsection 3.3.2.7 of the permit, are appropriate records being to the permit of the</li></ul>	l operation rces? retained?	des,	Yes Yes	No No

26. Per Subsection 3.3.4 of the permit, how many catch basins are in the MS4 Permit Area?	basin	S
<ul> <li>During this reporting year, how many of those catch basins were inspected?</li> </ul>	basin	s
<ul> <li>During this reporting year, how many of those catch basins were cleaned?</li> </ul>	basin	s
What is the total estimated volume or weight of material removed from the catch basins that were cleaned?	gallo	ns
	tons	
<ul> <li>Has the GIS-based mobile field application been implemented to track catch basin maintenance activities?</li> </ul>	Yes	No
<ul> <li>Per Subsection 3.3.4.3 of the permit, describe any modifications to catch basi frequencies.</li> </ul>	in cleaning	
27. Per Subsection 3.3.5 of the permit, how many MS4 outfalls are in the MS4 Permit Area?	outfa	lls
<ul> <li>During this reporting year, how many of those outfalls were repaired, i.e., repairs completed?</li> </ul>	outfa	lls
What is the cumulative number of outfalls with repairs complete in this permit term?	outfa	lls
28. Per Subsection 3.3.6 of the permit, provide miles of streets swept in the MS4 Permit Area in this reporting year:	miles	5
29. Per Subsection 3.3.7 of the permit, are transportation and utility construction activities implementing all appropriate soil erosion and sedimentation control measures?	Yes	No
If not, describe correction action being taken.		
	-	
30. Per Subsection 3.3.8 of the permit, describe any modifications to water quality-of the District's snowand ice management activities and policies during this re		

31. Per Section 3.4 of the permit, is the Permittee maintaining an up-to of all facilities that are defined in the permit as critical sources?	-date inventory	Yes	No
<ul> <li>Number of inspections of critical sources conducted during this reporting year.</li> </ul>		inspe	ections
Number of problems identified during these inspections.		prob	lems
• How many of these problems were resolved?		resol	ved
How many are still pending?		pend	ing
32. Per Section 3.5 of the permit, how many construction plan		revie	ws
reviews were completed during this reporting year?  • How many plans were approved?		100,040 to 1000	1.55
		appro	oved
How many construction site inspections were conducted?		inspe	ctions
How many inspections identified compliance problems?		inspe	ctions
How many enforcement actions were initiated?	10	action	ns
<ul> <li>How many of the identified compliance problems were resolved?</li> </ul>		resolved	
33. Per Subsection 3.6.1 of the permit, is the Permittee maintaining and inventory of all outfalls in the MS4 Permit Area and all illicit discharinformation?		Yes	No
How many outfalls are included in the inventory?		outfal	lls
Is the Permittee implementing a system for reporting illicit discharge.	rges?	Yes	No
<ul> <li>Number of reports received through the reporting system during this reporting period.</li> </ul>		report	S
<ul> <li>Number of illicit discharges identified through all mechanisms during this reporting year.</li> </ul>		identi	fied
<ul> <li>Number of illicit discharges eliminated during this reporting year.</li> </ul>		elimir	nated
34. Per Subsection 3.6.2 of the permit, summarize illegal disposal incide corrective actions during this reporting period.	ents documented	and	
35. Per Subsection 3.7.1.1 of the permit, provide annual trash reductions for this reporting year. Totals should be reported under Question 5. Annual Trash Reductions in the Anacostia River I		a Rive	r basin
		on (==	unda)
Trasii Keinoveu (pounds) Anni	ial Load Reducti	ton (po	unas)

Trash Traps	*	
Environmental Hot Spots		
Clean-up Events		
Skimmer Boats		- XXIII
Clean Teams Program	и	
Bag Law		
Other:		700
Other:		
Totals		E E
Does the Permittee continue to parti- jurisdictional collaboration?	icipate in the Anacostia Trash Multi	- Yes No
<ul> <li>How are these trash reduction technology</li> <li>MS4 Permit Area?</li> </ul>	nologies and activities being applied	in other parts of the
	<u>-</u>	
36. Per Subsection 3.7.2 of the permit, he compliance inspections were conducted.		inspections
How many violations were identified		violations
<ul> <li>How many NOVs were issued or of taken?</li> </ul>	ther corrective actions	actions
37. Per Subsection 3.7.3 of the permit, he food container ban Compliance inspec		inspections
How many violations were identified	ed?	violations
How many NOVs were issued or of taken?	ther corrective actions	actions
38. Per Subsection 3.7.4 of the permit, he compliance inspections were conduct		inspections
How many violations were identified	ed?	violations
How many NOVs were issued or or taken?	ther corrective actions	actions
39. Per Subsection 3.7.5 of the permit, he fertilizer compliance inspections were reporting period?		inspections

How many violations	<ul> <li>How many violations were identified?</li> <li>How many NOVs were issued or other corrective actions</li> </ul>			
<ul><li>How many NOVs we taken?</li></ul>	re issued or other corn	rective actions	actions	
40. Per Subsection 3.7.6 of reporting period?	the permit, how much	n household hazardous	waste was collected in this	
• total gallons of HHW		- X-3/L	gallons	
• total linear feet of HH	IW		feet	
41. Per Subsection 3.7.7 of this reporting year?	the permit, how many	tons of leaves and holi	day trees were collected in	
<ul> <li>tons of leaves</li> </ul>			tons	
• tons of holiday trees		3	tons	
<ul><li>42. Per Subsection 3.8.1 of stormwater control measthis reporting period?</li><li>How many violations</li></ul>	sure inspections were		inspections	
How many corrective	actions were taken?		actions	
43. Per Subsection 3.8.2 of to operated stormwater conduring this reporting per	trol measure inspection iod?	Non-District- ons were conducted	inspections	
How many control me			verified	
How many violations	were identified?		identified	
How many enforcement	nt or corrective action	s were taken?	actions	
<ul> <li>Provide a brief descrip</li> </ul>	tion of the verification	n process.		
			18	
44. Per Section 3.9 of the per	mit list stormwater t	raining conducted desi-	a this reporting	
	Stormwater Train	nings this Year	g this reporting year:	
Горіс	Audience	# Sessions	# People Trained	
4 100				

						-	
						-	- 1000 W
				+			
	ion 3.10 of the permit		de the following i	nformation	regarding targ	eted pub	lic
	er of views of the Dis		ormwater website.			view	S
• Numb	er of retweets of Distr	rict twe	eets on stormwater	r topics.		retwe	eets
• For pe	et waste, number of ba	ıg disp	ensers/disposal co	ntainers.		units	
• For pe	et waste, number of pe	t waste	e disposal bags us	ed.	V 110 - 200	bags	
• Numb	per of pet waste signs	nstalle	d.			signs	
• Numb	er of RiverSmart aud	its con	npleted.			audit	S
• Numb	er of RiverSmart proj	ects in	stalled by type:				
	Nun Rain barrels	iber of	RiverSmart Proje Rain gardens	ects Installe	Permeable p	navers	
2	Cisterns		Green roofs	+	Stormwater		
1400	1				planters		
progr	nwater Retention Cred am.				hii	cred	
• Numb	per of District youth re	eceivin	g environmental t	raining.		you	th
• Numl	per of District teachers	s receiv	ving environmenta	al training.		tead	chers
	per of participants in e	nviron	mental boat tours.	0		part	ticipan
Numl					ng programs.		

Part 4 W	ater Quality Assessment		10 PESSII 2 PESSI		
wit	Subsection 4.1.3.1 of the permit, are all an analytical methods approved under 40 Cendments?			Yes	s No
	Subsection 4.1.3.2 of the permit, describe ag used.	e or provide	citation(s) for any alte	rnative m	ethod(s
		VIII -			384
48. Per and	Subsection 4.1.3.3 of the permit, are apprention methods being utilized?	opriate flow	measurement devices	Yes	No
	Subsection 4.1.3.4 of the permit, are mon ned?	itoring and	assessment records bei	ng Yes	No
	I wet weather discharge monitoring consition 4.2 of the permit?	stent with th	e requirements of	Yes	No
	Subsection 4.2.3, have any oversample sit rd sites, or other new continuous record s			Yes	s No
	yes, note the old/oversample sites and the	new/contin	uous sites below.	•	
Old site		New site			
Old site		New site			
Old site		New site			
Old site		New site			
	he 2019 Annual Report only: Per Subsectibing receiving water assessment method			es No	N/A
meth	Il receiving water assessments adhere to loods, any alternative methods described in ection 4.3.1 of the permit?	Maryland Bi	ological Stream Surve and all requirements o	y Yes f	No
54. Per S	ubsection 4.3.1.9, are all data maintained	in a central	geodatabase?	Yes	No
	in-stream water quality sampling consister and Subsection 4.3.2 of the permit?	ent with the	requirements of the	Yes	No

56. Is all benthic macroi QAPP and Subsection	nvertebrate s on 4.3.3 of the	ampling consiste e permit?	nt with the req	uirements of the	Yes	No
57. Are all geomorpholo QAPP and Subsection			with the require	ements of the	Yes	No
58. Are all habitat assess Subsection 4.3.5 of the sub		stent with the req	uirements of th	ne QAPP and	Yes	No
59. Is all dry weather so requirements of Sub			ion consistent	with the	Yes	No
60. For 2019 and 2020 a consistent with the r be completed and su been requested?	equirements	of Subsection 4.4	1.2 of the perm	it and on track to	Yes	No
61. Is all trash monitoring permit?	ng consistent	with the require	nents of Section	on 4.5 of the	Yes	No
62. If there are any devi	ations from t	he required elem	ents of Part 4 o	of the permit, expla	in.	
						*
63. Per Subsection 4.6.2	2.1. Estimated	d Annual Cumul	ative Pollutant	Loads in this Repo	orting Y	ear:
00, 10, 500000000	Rock Creek	Anacostia River	Potomac River	Total		=
Γotal Suspended Solids				to	ons	
Total Nitrogen				p	ounds	
Total Phosphorus				p	ounds	

Copper	pounds
Lead	pounds
Zinc	pounds
Cadmium	pounds
E. coli	billion MPN

64. Estimated Annual C	Rock Creek	Anacostia River	Potomac River	Total	
Total Suspended Solids					tons
Total Nitrogen					pounds
Total Phosphorus			_		pounds
Copper					pounds
Lead					pounds
Zinc					pounds
Cadmium					pounds
E. coli					billion MPN

<ul> <li>65. Per Section 4.6, is an evaluation of the effectiveness of the Stormwater Management Program attached?</li> <li>Does it include a synthesis of programmatic and watershed indicators, per Section 4.6 of the permit, using data from this reporting year and prior reporting years in order to identify changes or trends over time?</li> </ul>		No
		No
<ul> <li>Does it include per Subsection 4.6.2.2, an estimate of progress towards all numeric limits in Subsection 1.5.3.1 of the permit?</li> </ul>	Yes	No
<ul> <li>Also, per Subsection 4.6.3.1 of the permit, does the evaluation also include a short synthesis of areas the program deemed effective with ongoing efforts, and areas where additional strategies are needed to effectively tackle certain pollutants or sources?</li> </ul>	Yes	No
<ul> <li>For the 2022 Annual Report only: is a short synopsis of progress towards meeting all WLAs applicable to the MS4 attached?</li> </ul>	Yes	No
<ul> <li>Is the development of a multi-faceted suite of indicators on track to be submitted with the updated SWMP in 2022?</li> </ul>	Yes	No

66. Are all databases being maintained per the requirements of Part 4.7?	Yes	No
6		

## Signature and Certification

This report must be signed by either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official, or his or her duly authorized representative. This report may be submitted electronically.

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Signature:		Date:	
Signatory Name:	Signatory Title:		20)