IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

LA VERNE KOENIG,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case: 1:18-cv-01169 Assigned To: Unassigned Assign. Date: 5/18/2018

Description: TRO/PI (D-DECK)

E. SCOTT PRUITT, as Administrator of United States Environmental Protection Agency; 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W., (WJC North) 1101A, Washington, DC 20004;

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, Ariel Rios Building 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20460;

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA, Attorney General of State of North Dakota, State Capitol, 600 E. Boulevard #125 Bismarck, North Dakota 58505;

TRAILL COUNTY WATER RESOURCE DISTRICT
Traill County Courthouse,
102 1st St SW, PO Box 10,
Hillsboro, North Dakota 58045;
JASON LOVAS,
607 5th Ave SE
Hillsboro, North Dakota 58045;
ANDY NESET
15449 4th St NE
Mayville, North Dakota 58257;
JASON SIEGERT
168 167th Ave NE
Hillsboro, North Dakota 58045;

GARY THOMPSON,
1045 150th Ave NE
Mayville, North Dakota 58257;
JOEL HALVORSON,
15205 10th St SE
Galesburg, North Dakota 58035;
NETTIE JOHNSON,
c/o Traill County Water Resource District
Traill County Courthouse
102 1st St SW PO Box 10
Hillsboro, North Dakota 58045;

BLANCHARD TOWNSHIP BOARD OF SUPERVISORS; STEVE VOLLA, 239 Hwy 18 SE Mayville, North Dakota 58257; JEFFREY HENN, 563 Hwy 18 SE Blanchard, North Dakota 58009 STEVE HILSTAD 15395 3rd St SE Mayville, North Dakota 58257;

TRAILL COUNTY SHERIFF DEPARTMENT;
Traill County Courthouse
114 W. Caledonia Ave, P.O. Box 279
Hillsboro, North Dakota 58045
STEVE HUNT, Sheriff of Traill County,
114W. Caledonia Ave, P.O. Box 279
Hillsboro, North Dakota 58045;
DEPUTY KELLY
c/o Traill County Sheriff Department
114 W. Caledonia Ave, P.O. Box 279
Hillsboro, North Dakota 58045;

MOORE ENGINEERING 925 10th Ave E West Fargo, North Dakota 58078;

OHNSTAD TWICHELL LAW FIRM 444 Sheyenne St. #102 West Fargo, North Dakota 58078;

SEAN M. FREDRICKS 444 Sheyenne St. #102 West Fargo, North Dakota 58078;

CURTIS B. REIMER
363 166th Ave
Hillsboro, North Dakota 58045;
STACEY REIMER,
363 166th Ave
Hillsboro, North Dakota 58045
DEFENDANTS.

COMPLAINT

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This suit presents questions of whether federal and state agencies may lawfully decline to exercise their duty and authority to protect the waters of the United States from deliberate acts of poisoning, pollution and destruction under the Clean Water Act contrary to the direction of Congress.
- 2. This suit raises several questions of federal law concerning the Clean Water Act, Civil Rights Act, Due Process of Law, Equal Protection of Law, Freedom of Choice, Freedom of Occupation, the right to protect the Environment from poisonous runoff into a federal waterway and onto private property and denial of right to be heard, denial of right to protect one's property from deliberate and intentional poisoning of one's property and livestock by an adjoining landowner.
- 3. It further raises the issue that the EPA has failed to properly protect the public in its failure to implement rules, regulations, and procedures that would aid the organic farmers in preventing deliberate and intentional poisonous runoff from entering their property and/or into federal waterways.

- 4. The State of North Dakota fails to protect the public, the organic farmers in its failure to have statutes that aid the organic farmers and the general public in the prevention of poisonous runoff onto their property and/or into federal waterways.
- 5. The suit requests declaratory, injunctive and monetary relief. All defendants are sued in their individual as well as official capacities.

JURISDICTION:

This is a Federal Civil Rights Action, the Courts jurisdiction exists under 42 U.S.C.
 1983; 1985; 1988; Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1251 - 1388; and other federal water acts.
 The Court also has ancillary jurisdiction over the State Law issues.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

- 7. Scott Pruitt, is the administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, 1200

 Pennsylvania Ave NW, Washington DC 20004, a Federal Governmental Agency whose mission is to protect human and environmental health. [Hereinafter referred to as "EPA"]

 Born in the wake of elevated concern about environmental pollution, established on December 2, 1970 to consolidate into one agency a variety of federal research, monitoring, standard-setting and enforcement activities to ensure environmental protection.
- 8. EPA has failed to set standards that would allow organic farmers, e.g., non-poisonous, non-chemical farmers and landowners to prevent poisonous runoff onto their property from those farmers, individuals, corporations that do utilize such poisonous chemicals and products.
- 9. EPA enforcement procedures are grossly lacking, in meeting and protecting the due

process and equal protection standards that would aid the organically farmed properties, lands, and other holdings, from being deliberately and intentionally polluted by the poisonous, chemical farmers, individuals etc.

- 10. EPA has authorized the respective States to carry out their enforcement, which, the State of North Dakota has no intent to protect the environment on behalf of the citizens, or the organic farmers, and the downstream citizens, its only interest is to protect their sources of income. Water is considered a liability not an asset.
- 11. The State of North Dakota [hereinafter referred to as "State"] statutes, authorizes

 State officials to investigate claims for poisoning, etc, but they are prohibited from
 testifying about their findings, giving discretion to levy fines on the respective violator
 for the violation. Not aiding or protecting the people, only aiding in the collection of
 revenue for the state.
- 12. State laws violate the Freedom of Choice rights, by granting the chemical farmers an apparent superior right to drain their poisonous runoff onto the organic farmers property, allowing the District to compel the removal of any dams, dikes, or levies that may prevent the runoff.
- 13. State laws violate the Freedom of Occupation rights, by also granting the chemical farmers the right to drain their poisonous runoff onto the organic farmers property, in essence granting the chemical farmers a superior right to farm over that of the organic farmers right to Freedom of Choice not to use chemicals on his farm, property or allow the poisonous runoff into a federal waterway.
- 14. State laws lack all or any mandatory requirements that meet the Federal Due

Process and Equal Protection Standards. A complaint may be filed. NO requirement that the accused receive a copy of the Complaint from the enforcement agency. A hearing on the Complaint may be held. NO requirement that the accused be informed of the pending hearing date or even be allowed to be heard, prior to any decision by the enforcement agency. An arbitrary time frame in which to appeal. No requirement that the accused have received ACTUAL NOTICE OF THE DECISION, before the appeal time begins to run.

- 15. EPA and STATE have no statute(s) that grants or allows the organic farmers the right to prevent poisonous runoff from entering their property from the chemical/poison farmers property, violating the organic farmers right to freedom of choice, freedom of occupation, by denying him the right to prevent poisonous chemicals from entering his property.
- 16. Defendant Reimer's posted signs that poison is being used that is hazardous to livestock should result in immediate statutory authorized and enforced requirements that the poisonous runoff be contained by containment ponds, levies and/or dikes on Reimer's property, with mandatory pumping thereof to be back onto the subject property. 17. Reimer's posted signs, that poisons are being used, the poisonous runoff onto Koenig's lands and thereafter into the federal waterway could seriously injure and affect the health and welfare of millions of people downstream and unknown numbers of livestock, should have warranted a mandatory containment pond to protect the health and welfare of people and livestock downstream.
- 18. Based on information and belief, Reimer is mentally unstable, and maybe out to kill

millions of people, with the aid of the Traill County Water Resource District (hereinafter District) and livestock, by and through deliberate and intentional introduction of poisonous runoff into the federal waterway. Reimer is intentionally using District to aid and abet in his criminal activities of introducing poison into a federal waterway and to poison Koenig's livestock.

- 19. Based upon information and belief, Reimer has already poisoned livestock of Koenig's, has deliberately and intentionally poisoned over 200 of Koenig's trees; has deliberately and intentionally destroyed Koenig's fences in order to allow his livestock to get out onto Reimer's poisoned farm land, wherein standing poisonous runoff may have/or has accumulated.
- 20. Koenig has had County Agent out to inspect his dying trees, which Agent stated that they had been poisoned. Defendant Reimer is only farmer that applies direct poisonous chemicals would have caused Koenig's trees to be poisoned and die, and including livestock.
- 21. THE COMPLAINT [TO DISTRICT] BY DEFENDANT CURTIS REIMER WAS

 TOTALLY FALSE. Koenig had never done any form of dirt work in 2016, as alleged by

 Reimer or any other year; has never restricted the flow of the illegal poisonous runoff

 from the Complaint's property. Defendant Reimer has annually dug down his property,

 giving the illusion that Koenig has erected a dike, embankment to restrict the runoff.

 22. Plaintiff Koenig hasn't had operational equipment, to do dirt work, or the time to do

 it, and has been physically incapable of doing it, nor the funds to hire someone else to do

 it.

- 23. Koenig is presently awaiting the surveyor to complete the final survey of the property lines, replacing the survey markers that Reimer has deliberately removed, before undertaking any form of dirt work or fence repairs.
- 24. Defendant Reimer has personally told Koenig that they [him and his employees] have destroyed/removed survey markers over the past years, of which, it is believed to be a violation of State and Federal law to deliberately destroy survey markers.
- 25. Koenig has hired a land surveyor to redo/restake/replace the missing survey markers, of which, Defendant Reimer's has again been destroying/removing, with the intent of encroaching upon Koenig's property and further poisoning his trees, property and livestock.
- 26. Koenig's complaints to the Traill County Sheriff Department [HEREIN after TCSD] have been to no avail, whereas, TCSD has a practice of selective, discriminatory law enforcement, Sheriff Steve Hunt, has aided and abetted in felonious criminal activities, engaged in selective investigation and committed perjury in criminal matters.
- 27. Defendant Reimer's has deliberately destroyed Koenig's fences, trashed his property, which Koenig's complaint to the TCSD have resulted in no action against Reimer, whom lied to law enforcement about his actions.
- 28. Defendant Reimer has represented to TCSD Deputies, Danielle and Kelley, that he had a permit to clean a culvert. He called Blanchard Township board member Steve Hillstad, whom represented it was a written permit. These representations were knowingly false.
- 29. Blanchard Township Board [hereinafter Blanchard] Clerk Jim Johnson represented he

wasn't aware of any permit being requested; no hearing on the permit was ever held, and that no written permit was or has ever been issued.

- 30. Township Clerk has stated to Koenig that the Defendant Blanchard is in violation of North Dakota's Open Meeting Laws, never holding open meetings at the township hall; never posting minutes of meetings; never informing residents of upcoming meetings, by and through postings, that this practice has been ongoing for many years by present board.

 31. Thus Defendant Reimer and Blanchard member Hillstad, falsely represented to TCSD that Reimer's had a written permit. Said Deputies, deliberately failed to do any follow up investigation to determine that the representation was in fact false, thereby aiding and abetting in Reimer's criminal activities and selective enforcement of the law.

 32. Koenig had requested that Defendant Reimer be charged with criminal trespassing, destruction of private property, he was digging a deep ditch next to Koenig's fence in an attempt to weakened the post so the fence would fail, yet TCSD selective investigation and law enforcement practices failed to charge Reimer's with any criminal violations, thereby violating Koenig's Due Process and Equal Protection of law rights, through their aiding and abetting Reimer in trespassing and destruction of property on Koenig's posted
- 33. Defendant Reimer has represented to TCSD that he accidentally hit fence/fence post belonging to Koenig. Upon an actual inspection TCSD would have discovered that Reimer had deliberately hit the post more than once, on one occasion, three (3) separate set of marks prove that he was deliberately trying to break off the fence posts, and cause the fence to fail in keeping Koenig's livestock contained.

Private Property, No Trespassing lands.

- 34. After Reimer's deliberate attempt to break off the fence post, [Para. 31, supra] he caused the fence wires to be loosen to a point that the very next day, three (3) of Koenig's horses got out into his Barley field. Whereupon he called TCSD and complained.
- 35. TCSD dispatch called Koenig about it, Koenig informed dispatch that if Reimer hadn't been destroying Koenig's fence the livestock would not have gotten out. TCSD deliberately and intentionally failed to send out any Deputies to come out to the property to conduct any form of actual investigation.
- 36. TCSD failure to investigate has occurred many times and is a continuing issue of their selective law enforcement investigation, unwritten practices and policy.
- 37. District is composed of JASON LOVAS; ANDY NESET; JASON SIEGERT; GARY THOMPSON; JOEL HALVERSON; and NETTIE JOHNSON.
- 38. District, knows or should have known that the Due Process and Equal Protection Clauses of the United States Constitution and Constitution of the State of North Dakota required that Koenig be served with a copy of the Complaint before any form of action was taken by the District.
- 39. District knew or should have known that Koenig be notified of any and all Hearing Date(s) on the Complaint and allowed to be heard upon the contents thereof prior to any decisions being rendered, as such, knowingly, and deliberately failing to do so, violated Plaintiffs Due Process and Equal Protection of Law rights under State and Federal law.
- 40. District, knows or should have known that their procedures clearly violated well established Federal Law and State Law, resulting in a flawed arbitrary decision.
- 41. Based on information and belief, believed to be true, Defendant Jason Siegert is

related too, and/or associated with Defendant Curtis Reimer, thus the District hearing board was not composed of impartial, independent or neutral members.

- 42. Defendant Siegert, knew or should have known that the law requires impartial hearing boards, and that his relationship with Defendant Reimer required him to remove or disqualify himself from the hearing/decision making process of Defendant District.
- 43. Defendant Reimer's has annually engaged in earth moving activities, digging down on their property to facilitate better drainage of their farmed lands, thus creating the illusion of an embankment, resulting in the damming up of the poisonous runoff preventing limited runoff onto the adjoining property owned by Plaintiff, which is used for livestock grazing.
- 44. Defendants Reimer's has, for many years openly POSTED their property with signs that they are using POISON, which is HARMFUL TO LIVESTOCK.
- 45. Defendant Reimer's has told Plaintiff Koenig that he intends to cause Koenig financial consequences and intends to poison all of Koenig's livestock. Reimer's has told Koenig that this is not livestock country, even though livestock are part of the rural economy in the State of North Dakota.
- 46. It is believed that Reimer's has never had a livestock enterprise and inherited the lands thus not appreciating the farmers who have livestock nor recognizing his destructive farming practices is the actual cause of many problems.
- 47. Defendant OHNSTAD TWICHELL, is a law firm incorporated under North Dakota laws, with Defendant SEAN M. FREDRICKS, a member of said law firm.

 [HEREINAFTER referred to as Law firm].

- 48. Plaintiff Koenig firmly believes Law Firm has an actual conflict of interest, in that Koenig has discussed extensively the filing of legal action against Defendant Reimer's for his criminal activities of poisoning his property and livestock.
- 49. Law Firm member Sean Fredricks, failed to investigate/discover that an actual conflict of interest may exists, in his representation of District in this matter concerning Defendant Reimer's complaint.
- 50. Law Firm, knows that the Due Process and Equal Protection of Law provided by both the U.S. Constitution and State of North Dakota Constitution required that Koenig be provided with Notice of the Complaint; given Notice of any hearing date(s) and the opportunity to be heard prior to any decision by the District.
- 51. Defendant Fredrick's failure to so advise the District can only be deemed as part of a conspiracy to deprive Koenig of his property without any form of Due Process or Equal Protection of Law.
- 52. Defendant Fredrick's representation in the District's denial of Koenig's right to request a hearing, after the fact, that Koenig had deliberately failed to accept the certified letter from said Defendant Law Firm, resulted in his forfeiture of right to be heard, is false, and frivolous, inasmuch as Due Process requires Actual knowledge that a Complaint had been filed; Actual Knowledge that the District held a Hearing on the Complaint and Actual Knowledge of their decision. No Actual Knowledge was ever given by the District or Law Firm prior to any form of action by the District.
- 53. Defendant Fredrick's representation that a persons apparent failure to accept a certified letter from said law firm, implies knowledge of actual receipt of the notice of

certified letter, of which Plaintiff never received.

- 54. Plaintiff has many times received U.S. Mail not addressed to him, found many times mail addressed to others stuck inside other mail, newspapers, magazines, etc, thus assumes that the same happens to his mail.
- 55. Plaintiff receives much mail that is garbage and never reads but gets thrown into the trash. If by chance mail addressed to someone else happens to be stuck inside a newspaper or magazine that Plaintiff throws into the trash, the addressee thereof will never receive that item of mail. Plaintiff firmly believes other people do the same with his mail.
- 56. Defendant Law Firm and Defendant Fredrick's, are believed to be part of the legal culture in the State of North Dakota designed to deprive the citizens of the right to legal process by and through the denial of meaningful access to the courts, deprivation of rights without Due Process or the Equal Protection of Law.
- 57. Plaintiff Koenig has experienced this legal culture at all levels in the State and Federal Courts in the State of North Dakota and as a result cannot receive justice in North Dakota Courts.
- 58. Koenig's property is properly and legally posted, PRIVATE PROPERTY, NO TRESPASSING, with a gate across the driveway, the only legal access to the property.
- 59. Defendant MOORE ENGINEERING, [HEREINAFTER MOORE] has never asked Koenig for permission to enter his property for any reason whatsoever.
- 60. On the day that MOORE was supposedly denied permission to Koenig's property, Koenig was out in a barn tending livestock. Happened to exit the barn and seen a vehicle

next to his property on land believed owned by Defendant Stacey Reimer and farmed by Defendant Reimer. Koenig thereafter walked over to the vicinity of his land where the MOORE vehicle occupants were, observed one individual with a survey stick next to his fence line and actually on Koenig's property. The fence line actually 3-5 feet on Koenig's side of the survey marker.

- 61. Koenig asked MOORE individual, what's going on. Of which MOORE individual responded that it was "confidential information." Thereafter never saying anything more to Koenig.
- 62. Koenig thereafter continued to observe MOORE individual's activities. Koenig even pointed out to MOORE individual that a survey marker was immediately in front of him and later pointed out another survey marker [one that is 4-5 feet past the fence line]. Shortly thereafter, 5 minutes or so, MOORE apparently completed their surveying and left the area.
- 63. Koenig had observed Defendant Reimer immediately leave the scene when,

 Defendant Reimer had apparently seen Koenig heading towards the location where

 MOORE was surveying.
- 64. Defendant Reimer has accused Koenig of acidifying his land, a false and frivolous accusation, whereas, water runs off of Reimer's land onto Koenig's land, not vice versa, and any septic tank drains would have been evident to Reimer at the time of installation had they ever occurred. Koenig has never done any form of drainage that would have affected Reimer property or anyone else.
- 65. Defendant Reimer has fraudulently obtained Federal Disaster payments, Federal Crop

insurance payments, thereafter informing Koenig that his livestock had caused damage to his crops demanding a payment therefore, which, if not for Reimer's criminal activity of Destruction of Koenig's fences, the livestock would not have gotten out, but the fact remains, Reimer had already been paid twice for the crop, loss and harvest, and was intent upon defrauding Koenig for a third payment.

- 66. Defendant Reimer's apparent lack of knowledge of good farming practices is evident in the noxious poisonous weeds that kill off his planted crops. Reimer's farming practices only spreads the poisonous weeds, which enter Plaintiff's land and the Federal Waterway in times of runoff.
- 67. Koenig firmly believes that all named individuals from BLANCHARD, DISTRICT, TCSD, LAW FIRM AND MOORE, are aiding and abetting Defendant Reimer in violating Federal law to put poison into a Federal Waterway, poison Koenig's livestock and property, destruction of property and a part of the conspiracy to fulfill Reimer's criminal activities. Knowing that the State and Federal Courts in the State of North Dakota are unwilling to protect the rights of Koenig in preventing Defendant Reimer's criminal activities of deliberate and intentional destruction of property, introducing poison into federal waterways and intentional poisoning of livestock.
- 68. Koenig is being deliberately and intentionally caused to suffer emotional and mental stress, financial issues, devaluation of property etc, by Defendant Reimer's criminal activities with the assistance of other named defendants.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

69. <u>DECLARATORY RELIEF</u>

Plaintiff requests this Court to declare that:

- A) EPA has failed to protect the federal waterways through the implementation of mandatory rules, regulations, requirements that would aid the organic farmers in preventing the deliberate and intentional introduction of poison by the conventional chemical poison user farmers onto their lands and into federal waterways;
- B) EPA has failed to protect the people and safe drinking water requirements through its failure to implement mandatory containment ponds be erected and monitored when known users of poisonous chemicals openly admit to use of poisons that would be harmful to the people and livestock and federal waterways.
- C) EPA has failed to implement mandatory rules, regulations, and requirements that require the State to order mandatory containment ponds on property posted that POISON is being used that is hazardous to livestock and public safety and welfare.
- D) EPA has failed to carry out the intent of Congress in protecting federal waterways and the safety of the public from poisonous substances being deliberately introduced into Federal Waterways.
- E) STATE has failed to implement mandatory rules, regulations and requirements for local boards to follow when addressing water issues.
- F) STATE has failed to protect Federal Waterways and Organic Farmers from the deliberate and intentional introduction of poisonous chemicals onto their lands and or into

Federal Waterways by and/or through other land owners/farmers use of poisonous chemicals.

- G) STATE has failed to mandate mandatory compliance with its Open Meetings Laws; Due Process and Equal Protection Laws of the U. S. Constitution or the Constitution of the State of North Dakota.
- H) DISTRICT knowingly failed to comply with the well established requirements of the Due Process and Equal Protection of Law Clauses of the U. S. Constitution.
- I) BLANCHARD knowingly failed to comply with the well established requirements of the Due Process and Equal Protection of Law Clauses of the U.S. Constitution.
- J) TCSD knowingly engages in selective, discriminatory law enforcement practices, has an apparent unwritten policy that violates the Due Process and Equal Protection Rights of Plaintiff in violation of the U.S. Constitution.
- K) LAW FIRM and Defendant Hendricks have knowingly engaged in legal representation that constitutes an actual conflict of interest; knowingly engaged in providing legal assistance that violates well established U.S. Supreme Court precedents of Due Process and Equal Protection.
- L) MOORE has engaged in false and deceptive practices that violate well established laws, practices and procedures protected by the Due Process and Equal Protection of Law of the United States and State of North Dakota Constitutions.
- M) REIMER'S has engaged in criminal, conspiratorial activities that, except for the selective, discriminatory law enforcement practices of Defendant TCSD, should have resulted in lengthy prison sentences and fines and injunctions to prevent the deliberate

and intentional poisoning of livestock, private property and Federal Waterways, and other criminal activities of destruction of private property.

70. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF</u>

- A) An injunction against Defendant State from any further arbitrary enforcement of it's water related Statutes, regulations etc until that time that the State legislature implements mandatory Due Process and Equal Protection of Law Requirements.
- B) An Injunction against Defendant District from carrying out its Order for Plaintiff to remove any non existent blockage of alleged natural waterway;
- C) An Injunction mandating that Defendant District Order Defendant Reimer's to install, and erect sufficiently large Containment Ponds on his lands to contain all runoff water from entering the Federal Waterway and/or onto Plaintiff Koenig's lands.
- D) An Injunction mandating that Defendant District be required to prohibit all drainage through the establishment of Containment Ponds, from lands posted with signs that poison is being utilized which may be hazardous to livestock from entering a Federal Waterway or any other Water system that may be used for Public health, safety and welfare.
- E) An Injunction mandating that Defendant District be required to prohibit all drainage, through the establishment of Containment Ponds from all lands within said District where the use of poisonous chemicals which maybe hazardous to the Public Health and Safety and/or livestock are being utilized, and which may drain into a Federal Waterway or onto lands utilized by Organic and/or livestock farmers.
- F) An Injunction mandating that Defendant Reimer's be prohibited from the use of any

form of poison or chemicals within one thousand feet (1000) of a Federal Waterway and/or Koenig's property.

G) An Injunction mandating that Defendant Reimer's be required to install

Containment Ponds on all his land to contain all runoff and mandating that Reimer's be
required to install pumps to pump said waters back onto his own lands with zero runoff
and full monitoring by District and other interested/affected parties including Plaintiff
Koenig.

71. MONETARY RELIEF

- A) Plaintiff requests Two Million Dollars for damages to his property from the deliberate and intentional poisoning of his trees, livestock, destruction of fences, illegal digging of ditches to allow poisonous runoff onto his property, loss of use of his property caused by Defendants.
- B) Plaintiff requests Five Million Dollars for emotional and mental stress caused by Defendants conspiratorial actions of aiding and abetting Defendant Reimer's in carrying out his criminal activities to introduce poison into a Federal Waterway and on Koenig's property.
- C) Plaintiff requests Punitive Damages as assessed by a Trial by Jury.
- D) For all costs, fees and Attorneys fees associated with bringing this cause of action.
- E) For such other and further costs and fees allowed by law and/or Ordered by the Court.

FOR A TRIAL BY JURY ON ALL ISSUES SO TRIABLE.

Dated this 11th day of May, 2018.

a Verne Koenig

I certify, testify under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing instrument is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, as to matters based on belief, I

firmly believe them to be true also. Attested to as provided by 28 U.S.C. 1746.

La Verne Koenig

JS-44 (Rev. 6/17 DC) CIVIL COVER SHEET												
	XCEPT IN LINE ADDITION BOX ONLY	J.S. PLAINTIPF CASES) RESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER	III. CITI PLAINTIFF Citizen of	Another State	row Person ror Result ror Result	:18-c d To Date ion: T	FOR DI Incorpor	A G Q Q Q STED DEFEN TIFF CASES 1169 ASSIGNE 8/2018 Pl VERSITY C/	(D-DE ASES ONLY! Dicipal Place State	Qg+	ved _	
			Citizen or S Foreign Co	Subject of a untry	O 3	O ₃	Foreign		1er State	O 6	O 6	
(Place an X	IV. CASE ASSIGNMENT AND NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one category, A-N, that best represents your Cause of Action and one in a corresponding Nature of Suit)											
O A. Antitrust 410 Antitrust C E. General Civil	310 315 320 330 340 345 355 366 365 366 4	Malpractice Airplane Airplane Product Liability Assault, Libel & Slander Federal Employers Liability Marine Marine Product Liability Motor Vehicle Motor Vehicle Product Liability Other Personal Injury Medical Malpractice Product Liability Health Care/Pharmaccutical Personal Injury Product Liability Sessonal Injury Product Liability Sessonal Injury Product Liability	C. Administrative Agency Review 151 Medicare Act Social Security 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) Other Statutes 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 890 Other Statutory Actions (If Administrative Agency is Involved)				O D. Temporary Restraining Order/Preliminary Injunction Any nature of suit from any category may be selected for this category of case assignment. *(If Antitrust, then A governs)*					
Real Property		OR Bankruptcy	0	F. Pro		ral Civi	7	[]4(2)				
210 Land Condemnate 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent, Lease & Ej 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Lial 290 All Other Real Pr Personal Property 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability	ectment bility operty	422 Appeal 27 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC Prisoner Petitions 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Conditions 60 Civil Detainee — Corof Confinement Property Rights 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 835 Patent — Abbreviated Drug Application 840 Trademark	: 157	870 1 871 7 871 1 7 871 1 7 871 1 7 625 1 690 0 690 0 375 1 376 0 376 0 376 0 376 0 376 0 376 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Taxes (US lefendant) RS-Third 609 Penalty Orug Relat roperty 2	Party 26 led Seizur 1 USC 88 lis Act 1 USC portionmanking ICC	USC re of 1	465 O A 470 R & 480 C 490 C 896 A 899 A A A St 890 O (If	Jaturalization Application Application Dither Immigr Actions Jacketeer Infl Corrupt Or Jonsumer Cre Joseph Satellite Securities/Con Achange rbitration dministrative ot/Review or gency Decision onstitutionali atutes The Statutor Toot administ view or Priva	tuenced ganization district the TV comodities and the Procedu Appeal of the TV comodities are the TV comoditie	s/ ure f te	

O G. Haheas Cornus									
O G. Habeas Corpus/ 2255	H. Employment Discrimination	O I. FOIA/Privacy Act	O J. Student Loan						
530 Habeas Corpus – General 510 Motion/Vacate Sentence 463 Habeas Corpus – Alien Detainee	442 Civil Rights – Employment (criteria: race, gender/sex, national origin, discrimination, disability, age, religion, retaliation)	895 Freedom of Information Act 890 Other Statutory Actions (if Privacy Act)	152 Recovery of Defaulte Student Loan (excluding veterans)						
0 1/4 1/4 (57)	*(If pro se, select this deck)*	*(If pro se, select this deck)*							
O K. Labor/ERISA (non-employment) 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 740 Labor Railway Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	O L. Other Civil Rights (non-employment) 441 Voting (if not Voting Rights Act) 443 Housing/Accommodations 440 Other Civil Rights 445 Americans w/Disabilities – Employment 446 Americans w/Disabilities – Other 448 Education	M. Contract 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholder's Suits 190 Other Contracts 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	O N. Three-Judge Court 441 Civil Rights - Voting (if Voting Rights Act)						
O 1 Original Proceeding from State Court C									
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE	U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YO	U ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF	STATEMENT OF CAUSE.)						
VII. REQUESTED IN	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R. C.P. 23	251-1398 Valla of	Sonly if demanded in complaint						
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (S	See instruction) YES		se complete related case form						
DATE: May 1/ 2014 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD Julous Danie									

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET JS-44 Authority for Civil Cover Sheet

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and services of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. Listed below are tips for completing the civil cover sheet. These tips coincide with the Roman Numerals on the cover sheet.

- COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF/DEFENDANT (b) County of residence: Use 11001 to indicate plaintiff if resident
 of Washington, DC, 88888 if plaintiff is resident of United States but not Washington, DC, and 99999 if plaintiff is outside the United States.
- III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES: This section is completed only if diversity of citizenship was selected as the Basis of Jurisdiction under Section II.
- IV. CASE ASSIGNMENT AND NATURE OF SUIT: The assignment of a judge to your case will depend on the category you select that best represents the <u>primary</u> cause of action found in your complaint. You may select only <u>one</u> category. You <u>must</u> also select <u>one</u> corresponding nature of suit found under the category of the case.
- VI. CAUSE OF ACTION: Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of the primary cause.
- VIII. RELATED CASE(S), IF ANY: If you indicated that there is a related case, you must complete a related case form, which may be obtained from the Clerk's Office.

Because of the need for accurate and complete information, you should ensure the accuracy of the information provided prior to signing the form.

IN U. S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

LA VERNE KOENIG,

Case: 1:18-cv-01169

Assigned To: Unassigned

Assign. Date: 5/18/2018

Description: TRO/PI

(D-DECK)

Plaintiff,

VS.

SCOTT PRUITT, ADMINISTRATOR OF EPA;
STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA; TRAILL COUNTY
WATER RESOURCE DISTRICT; BOARD MEMBERS:
JASON LOVAS, ANDY NESET; JASON SIEGERT;
GARY THOMPSON, JOEL HALVORSON, NETTIE JOHNSON,
BLANCHARD TOWNSHIP BOARD OF SUPERVISORS;
STEVE VOLLA, JEFFREY HENN, STEVE HILSTAD;
TRAILL COUNTY SHERIFF DEPARTMENT; STEVE HUNT,
SHERIFF OF TRAILL COUNTY; DEPUTY KELLY; DEPUTY
DANIELLE; MOORE ENGINEERING; OHNSTAD TWICHELL,
LAW FIRM; SEAN M. FREDRICKS, ATTORNEY AT LAW;
CURTIS B. REIMER; AND STACEY REIMER,

Defendants.

LIST OF EXHIBITS


- #1 AERIAL PHOTO of Plaintiff La Verne Koenig property before Defendant Reimer started Poisoning Trees, property with Elm River in background [East side/boundary of Koenig property].
- #2. AERIAL PHOTO of Koenig property, more central, trees in yard, Elm River in background, also before Reimer started Poisoning Trees, property.
- #3. AERIAL PHOTO of Koenig Property, close to West boundary, trees in yard, Elm River in background, also before Reimer started Poisoning Trees, property.
- #4 AERIAL PHOTO of Koenig Property, near West boundary, Elm River running through more center of Property, also before Reimer started Poisoning Trees, property.
- #5. Picture of Elm River taken in winter 2018, taken while standing on State Highway 18, near the West side of Koenig property, trees to Right partially dead due to Reimers poisoning, property line visible in distance [fence line].


- #6. Picture of fence post Reimer deliberately hit trying to break off. Survey marker approximately 4-5 feet past the fence, stick/weed protruding in snow approximate location of survey marker. Same general area District alleges obstruction to natural drain exist. Poisoned trees near post. Picture taken Winter 2018.
- #7. Picture of poisoned trees. West side of property, farther up property from Picture #6.
- #8. Picture of dead poisoned trees. West side of property, vicinity of Pictures #6-7.
- #9. Picture of KEEP OUT POISON SIGN HAZARDOUS TO LIVESTOCK, posted by Defendant Reimer on Stacey Reimer land. West side of Koenig Property. NOTE; Originally there was two rows of trees here, Reimer's poisoning has completely killed off one row and parts of the second row. Also note, the small post near the big Corner post under the snow is the location of the survey marker, or approximately 3-5 feet from the Corner post. [Koenig fences are actually 3-5 feet inside his actual boundary line].
- #10. Close up of the KEEP OUT POISON HAZARDOUS TO LIVESTOCK sign posted by Reimer's. Picture taken winter 2018.
- #11. Picture of poisoned trees, West branch of Koenig Property, also showing the loss of one row of trees.
- #12-15. Pictures of poisoned dying trees on East side of Koenig Property. Same trees/location as in #1 on dead or dying now. Also where Reimer was caught/witnessed spraying poison on and into the trees in the dark.
- #16. Picture of another KEEP OUT POISON HAZARDOUS TO LIVESTOCK sign posted by Reimer. This sign is hidden behind the trees in #12. Location is the NE corner of Koenig's property. Sign is approximately 3-5 feet from the actual survey marker.
- #17 Picture of another KEEP OUT POISON HAZARDOUS TO LIVESTOCK sign posted by Reimer. Appears to be the third (3rd) sign posted near the end of Koenig's trees on South East end of the tree grove, identified in #1.
- #18-20. Pictures of Elm River flowing almost high water level/full bank through Koenig property. Taken April 2018, near sun down.
- #21. Picture of fence post that Reimer purposely tried to break off, by backing into it three times [marks on post] failing to break off, wires laying on ground horses got out next morning Reimer's called Sheriff. [other pictures exist but not available to include at this time as may be in possession of others].
- #22 Traill County Water District Order/Decision November 28, 2017 with pictures attached.

Case 1:18-cv-01169-UNA Document 1-2 Filed 05/18/18 Page 3 of 54


- #23. Koenig letter to Traill County Water District requesting a hearing.
- #24. Certified Mail, Restricted Delivery return signed by Nettie Johnson on 1/3/18, article addressed to Traill County Water District.
- #25. Letter dated January 17, 2018 from Ohnstad Twichell law firm, regarding denial of hearing with attachments.

Exhibit # Case 1:18-cv-01169-UNA Document 1-2 Filed 05/18/18 Page 4 of 54




























































Case 1:18-cv-01169-UNA Document 1-2 Filed 05/18/18 Page 32 of 54

TRAILL COUNTY WATER RESOURCE DISTRICT In the Matter of the COMPLAINT FOR WATER-RELATED ISSUES Filed by Curt Riemer Against Laverne Koenig

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA)
) ss.
COUNTY OF CASS)

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE **BY MAIL**

Sean M. Fredricks, being first duly sworn and being of legal age, deposes and says that on the 18th day of December, 2017, he served the following:

NOTICE OF DECISION of Traill County Water Resource District Regarding COMPLAINT FOR WATER-RELATED ISSUES Filed by Curt Riemer Against Laverne Koenig

upon the following party, by placing a true and correct copy in an envelope addressed as follows:

Laverne Koenig 15520 Highway 200A SE Blanchard, ND 58009-9326

and deposited the same, with postage prepaid, in the United States mail at West Fargo, North Dakota.

Sean M. Fredricks

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 18th day of December, 2017.

DAWN M. SCHAEFER **Notary Public** State of North Dakota

My Commission Expires Aug. 30, 2018

Notary Public, Cass County, ND My Commission Expires:

(SEAL)

BLANCHARD ND 58009-9326

15520 HIGHWAY 200A SE

LAVERNE KOENIG



OHNSTAD TWICHELL, P.C.

901 - 13TH AVENUE EAST ATTORNEYS AT LAW P.O. BOX 458

WEST FARGO, ND 58078-0458

RECEIVED

DEC 18 2017

Ohnstad Twichell, P.C.

2212/16/17 102 NIXIE

U M M

SENDER AS ADDRESSED RETURN TO DELIVERABLE



MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 58078

0002718691



OhnstadTwichell

Your life. Your law firm.

Offices in West Fargo, Hillsboro, Casselton and Page, North Dakota, and Barnesville, Minnesota

November 28, 2017

Manfred R. Ohnstad 1914 - 1987 Bradley J. Burgum 1952 - 2010 Daniel R. Twichell 1927 - 2013 Brian D. Neugebauer 1951 - 2014

BY CERTIFIED MAIL

Lukas D. Andrud*

Katie J. Bertsch*

Calley B. Campbell

Andrew D. Cook*

Lukas W. Croaker*

Susan L. Ellison*

Sean M. Fredricks*

acob L. Geiermann*

Alexander B. Gruchala

Alexander B. Gruchala tephen R. Hanson II* tobert G. Hoy*

ohn A. Juelson Leven J. Kercher* yler J. Leverington* Delvin J. Losing*

Aarshall W. McCullough*
:hristopher M. McShane*
obert E. Rosenvold*
ohn T. Shockley*

ara K. Sorenson* lichel W. Stefonowicz effrey R. Strom avid L. Wanner arah M. Wear*

Also licensed in Minnesota

Laverne Koenig 15520 Highway 200A SE Blanchard, ND 58009-9326 **Receipt No. 7016 2710 0000 7398 6427**

Curt Riemer 363 – 166th Avenue SE Hillsboro, ND 58045 **Receipt No. 7016 2710 0000 7398 6434**

Gerald and Sharon Radebaugh 16091 – 12th Street SE Grandin, ND 58038-9412 **Receipt No. 7016 2710 0000 7398 6441**

Traill County Water Resource District
COMPLAINT FOR WATER-RELATED ISSUES Filed by Curt Riemer Against
Laverne Koenig
North Half of Northwest Quarter of Section 25, Blanchard Township,
Traill County

Greetings:

Re:

The Traill County Water Resource District (the "District") received the enclosed COMPLAINT FOR WATER-RELATED ISSUES dated April 18, 2017, filed by Curt Riemer against Laverne Koenig (the "Complaint"). In the Complaint, Mr. Riemer alleges Mr. Koenig installed or constructed obstructions to natural drains in the Northwest Quarter of Section 25 of Blanchard Township in Traill County, North Dakota, in violation of N.D. Cent. Code § 61-16.1-51.

According to records on file with the Traill County Recorder's office, Stacey Riemer owns 23.417 acres in the North Half of the Northwest Quarter of Section 25. Laverne Koenig is purchasing a parcel also in the North Half of the Northwest Quarter of Section 25 under a Contract for Deed from Gerald and Sharon Radebaugh.

Laverne Koenig Curt Riemer Gerald and Sharon Radebaugh November 28, 2017 Page 2

The District and its engineer inspected the allegations to determine if Mr. Koenig had, in fact, constructed or installed any obstructions in violation of Section 61-16.1-51. Mr. Koenig refused to provide Moore Engineering access to his property for purposes of conducting an investigation. While the District could have sought a court order to compel access to Mr. Koenig's property, Moore Engineering was able to conduct its investigation without actually accessing Mr. Koenig's property. Enclosed for your convenience is a copy of Moore Engineering's report. After reviewing Moore Engineering's report, the District concluded Mr. Koenig had, in fact, installed or constructed two obstructions to a natural drain in the North Half of the Northwest Quarter of Section 25, both of which "materially affect the free flow of water" in the natural drain in violation of Section 61-16.1-51. More specifically, the District concluded that in 2016, Mr. Koenig constructed an obstruction to a natural drain along the fence line between Stacey Riemer's property and the property Mr. Koenig is purchasing under Contract for Deed; the obstruction straddles the fence line between the two parcels. In other words, a portion of the obstruction is on the Koenig property, and a portion is on the Riemer property. The District further found that Mr. Koenig constructed an additional obstruction approximately 13 feet northeast of the fence line, an obstruction that similarly acts as a barrier to a natural drain.

Under Section 61-16.1-51, the District had no obligation to determine whether or not these obstructions result in damages to Stacey Riemer's property. However, the District did find the obstructions both result in water backing up on the Riemer property.

At its meeting on November 21, 2017, the Traill County Water Resource District issued an order to direct Laverne Koenig to remove the obstruction constructed or installed solely on Mr. Koenig's property, as well as that portion of the obstruction that straddles the fence line between the Koenig-Riemer property that lies on Mr. Koenig's property, in the North Half of the Northwest Quarter of Section 25 of Blanchard Township, before 5:00 p.m. on May 1, 2018. The May 2018 date will afford Mr. Koenig sufficient opportunity to remove the obstruction following harvest and winter conditions but prior to any spring flooding that may occur. If Mr. Koenig fails to remove the obstructions by that date, the District will procure a contractor to remove the obstructions and will assess the costs of the removal against that portion of the North Half of the Northwest Quarter of Section 25 of Blanchard Township that Mr. Koenig is purchasing under Contract for Deed.

Mr. Koenig has a right to a formal hearing before the Traill County Water Resource District in this matter in accordance with N.D. Cent. Code § 61-16.1-51. If Mr. Koenig wishes to request a formal hearing, Mr. Koenig must demand one in writing to the District within 15 days from the date of this letter. If Mr. Koenig timely demands a hearing in writing, the District will schedule a hearing and will notify the parties of record.

Laverne Koenig Curt Riemer Gerald and Sharon Radebaugh November 28, 2017

Please note, the District has incurred significant expenses investigating this Complaint, and Mr. Koenig will ultimately be responsible for those expenses. At the conclusion of this matter, the District will issue an additional notice to Mr. Koenig regarding the final expenses incurred by the District, and Mr. Koenig will be responsible for reimbursing the District for those expenses, all in accordance with Section 61-16.1-51(3).

Alternatively, any party aggrieved may appeal the District's decision to Traill County District Court in accordance with N.D. Cent. Code §§ 61-16.1-51, 61-16.1-54, and 28-34-01.

Sincerely,

OHNSTAD TWICHELL, P.C.

Sean M. Fredricks

SMF:dms

Enc. (Complaint for Water-Related Issues and Moore Engineering Report dated November 6, 2017)

cc/enc.: Nettie Johnson, Secretary-Treasurer – Traill County Water Resource District Chris Gross – Moore Engineering, Inc.



COMPLAINT FOR WATER-RELATED ISSUES OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEER SFN 60840 (11/2015)

H		
- 11		
11		
Ш		

Please submit to the water resource district in which the Issue is located. Contact information for water resource districts is available online at swc.nd.gov

Contact information for water resource districts is available onlin	ne at swc.nd.gov				
GENERAL INFORMATION					
(1) This Complaint must include a map from an actual survey, aerial photo, or topographic map. The size of the map shall be at least 8½ by 11 inches and shall have a north arrow. If, in the opinion of the Water Resource District, the map does not contain enough information to properly evaluate the project, more information may be requested.					
(2) The Complaint Is Regarding:					
Dam, Dike, Or Other Device (North Dakota Century Co	de (N.D.C.C.) § 61-16.1-53)				
☐ Drainage (N.D.C.C. § 61-32-07)					
Obstruction To A Drain/Watercourse (N.D.C.C. § 61-16.	1-51)				
(3) Water issue is located in which Water Resource District (Dis	strict)? yes				
(4) Legal Description 1/4 Section	n 25 Township Range				
(5) Approximate Construction Date 2019					
(6) Description of the issue Blocking Drain a Natural Bay Luater way	as out of Fielding				
Notural American					
Lucian way					
/					
(7) Is your property impacted? Yes No If Yes, number of acres impacted					
Please explain					
*					
Complaint Filed Against	Complaint Filed By				
Name (print) La Verne Kaenia	Name (print) Curt Riemer				
Address SO HWG 200A SE	Address 164 × h Ave SE				
State ZIP Code 58009-	City 11/15bero State ZIP Gode 5				
Telephone Number (if known) 9326 Telephone Number 430 0069					
Complainent's Signature	Date 4-18-17				

SFN 60840 (11/2015) Page 2 of 2

DAM. DIKE, OR OTHER DEVICE COMPLAINTS (N.D.C.C. § 61-16.1-53):

The District will determine if a landowner or tenant constructed a dam, dike, or other device without first securing a construction permit as required under N.D.C.C. § 61-16.1-38, or in conflict with the terms or conditions of a construction permit. North Dakota Administrative Code § 89-08-01-01 defines "other device" as "a water control structure, other than a dam or dike, including diversions and holding ponds, lagoons, or dugouts."

DRAINAGE COMPLAINTS (N.D.C.C. § 61-32-07):

Surface Drainage: The District will determine if a landowner or tenant constructed any surface drainage that impacts a watershed area of 80 acres or more without first securing a drainage permit as required under N.D.C.C. § 61-32-03, or in conflict with the terms or conditions of a drainage permit.

Tile Drainage: The District will determine if a landowner or tenant constructed or installed a tile or subsurface drainage system with a footprint of 80 acres or more without first securing a tile or subsurface permit as required under N.D.C.C. § 61-32-03.1, or in conflict with the terms or conditions of a tile or subsurface permit.

OBSTRUCTION TO DRAIN COMPLAINTS (N.D.C.C. § 61-16.1-51):

The District will determine if a landowner or tenant has intentionally or negligently caused an obstruction to a natural watercourse or drain.

The District must act pursuant to the appropriate code listed above. The District shall investigate and make a determination within a reasonable time (not to exceed 120 days for dams, dikes, other devices, or drains). The District shall notify all parties of its decision as required by code. If complained of activity was a dam, dike, other device, or drain, the District's decision may be appealed to the State Engineer within 30 days of the District's decision. If the complained of activity was an obstruction to drain, the District's decision may be appealed to District Court.

FOR DISTRICT LISE ONLY

	TORDIOTAL	Deta Investigated		
Date Received		Date Investigated		
Does the complainant have standing to file a complaint? Yes No				
If No, why?				
Does the project have a Permit? Yes	□No	If Yes, Permit Number		Date Granted
Does the project meet a permitting exemption?	Yes	□No		
If Yes, which one?				
Desirat Construction Date		Revision Date (if any)		
Project Construction Date		Revision Date (ii diry)		
District Action				
District Action Compliance Date	Project Owner Compliance Date Decision		ion Appeal Date	
Subsequent Action				
District Chairman's Signature				Date Of Signature



925 10th Avenue East West Fargo, ND 58078 701.282.4692 701.282.4530



November 6, 2017

Trall County Water Resource District PO Box 10 Hillsboro, ND 58045

Dear Traili County WRD,

At the board's request, Moore Engineering, Inc. ("Moore") has investigated the alleged obstruction to drain in Section 25 of Blanchard Township in Trailli County, North Dakota. The WRD's request was in response to the submittal of a Complaint filed with the WRD from Curt Riemer. The complaint is pursuant to Section 61-16.1-51 of the North Dakota Century Code.

Moore attempted to gather topographic ground data and photos at the location on May 11, 2017 to determine if an obstruction exists. However, Moore was only able to gather survey shots on Curl Riemer's property as we were not allowed access to Laverne Koenig's property. At this point, Moore discussed possibilities with the WRD regarding acquiring elevation data to make a determination on the compfaint. The decision was made to capture LIDAR elevation data using a drone. Because the LIDAR data needs verification or ground truthing, the LIDAR data was compared to and adjusted to match the bare earth survey that was collected on Mr. Riemer's property. Once the surface was adjusted, Moore processed the data and created profiles and cross sections to determine if an obstruction existed. The elevation data developed has been attached to this letter as Attachment A – "Riemer Drone Flight". Photographs collected on May 11, 2017 also confirm the elevation data gathered.

In conclusion, MEI has determined that multiple obstructions exist which obstruct the natural wateroourse and materially affect the free flow of water. One obstruction exists on each landowner's property, both of which appear to be caused by negligent act or omission. The profile indicates that the first obstruction exists at the fence line between the two properties. In addition, a second obstruction also exists approximately 13' northeast of the fence line as indicated by X-Sec 4 (CL – 42') on the attachment. Moore recommends that the WRD order the east obstruction be removed by Mr. Koenig in order for Mr. Riemers property to properly drain. As stated above, Mr. Riemer will also need to remove the obstruction along the fence line to provide the natural drainage of the area.

Sincerely.

Moore Engineering, Inc.

Joshua M. Hassell, PE, CFM

L M Strall

Project Engineer

Enclosures

Attachment A - Riemer Drone Flight - Centerline Profile and Cross Section Data


Attachment B - Series of photos collected May 11, 2017

CC Sean Fredricks, Attorney the District.



COMPLAINT FOR WATER-RELATED ISSUES

OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEER SFN 60840 (11/2015)



Please submit to the water resource district in which the issue is located. Contact information for water resource districts is available online at swc.nd.gov

				-	
GENERAL INFORMATION	1				
(1) This Complaint must in at least 8½ by 11 Inche contain enough inform	and shall have a no	rth arrow. If, in th	e opinion of	the Water Resourc	The size of the map shall be e District, the map does not sted.
(2) The Complaint Is Rega Dam, Dike, Or Othe Drainage (N.D.C.C. Obstruction To A D	er Device (North Dako § 61-32-07)			61-16.1-53)	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
(3) Water Issue is located i	n which Water Resour	rce District (Distri	ict)?	== · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 4 1
(4) Legal Description	1/4/20 1/4	Section	25	Township	Range
(5) Approximate Construct	ion Date 2019		with the	1	_ <u>_</u>
(7) Is your property impact	ed? 🏹 Yes 🗖	No If Y	es, number (of acres impacted	40
Complaint Filed Against		10	Complaint Fil	ed By	***
Name (print)	IOC SE		Address	urt 8.	Ave SE
Bland d		077-	elephone No	(mhor	State ZIP Code
Telephone Number (if knov	vn)	7526	easprione N	101 436	0069
Complement's Signaure				Date	8-1

ADDITIONAL SHEETS MAY BE ATTACHED IF NECESSARY.

Laverne Koenig
Curt Riemer
Gerald and Sharon Radebaugh
November 28, 2017

Please note, the District has incurred significant expenses investigating this Complaint, and Mr. Koenig will ultimately be responsible for those expenses. At the conclusion of this matter, the District will issue an additional notice to Mr. Koenig regarding the final expenses incurred by the District, and Mr. Koenig will be responsible for reimbursing the District for those expenses, all in accordance with Section 61-16.1-51(3).

Alternatively, any party aggrieved may appeal the District's decision to Traill County District Court in accordance with N.D. Cent. Code §§ 61-16.1-51, 61-16.1-54, and 28-34-01.

Sincerely,

OHNSTAD TWICHELL, P.C.

Sean M. Fredricks

SMF:dms

Enc. (COMPLAINT FOR WATER-RELATED ISSUES AND MOORE ENGINEERING REPORT DATED NOVEMBER 6, 2017)

cc/enc.: Nettie Johnson, Secretary-Treasurer - Traill County Water Resource District

Chris Gross - Moore Engineering, Inc.





Ohnstad Twichell

Your life. Your law firm.

Offices in West Fargo, Hillsboro, Cusselton and Page, North Dakota, and Barnesville, Minnesota

November 28, 2017

Manfred R. Ohnstad 1914 1867 Bradley J. Burgum 1952 2010 Dar of R. Tvåchol 1927 2013 Brian D., Neugabauer 1951 2014

BY CERTIFIED MAIL

Lukas * Andruo Katie I. Herlsth*

Salley B. Cambbell
Andrew D. Cooks

suka W Crossera Susan t Ellison*

Sean M. Fredricks*
Jacob L. Gelermann*

Alexander B. Gruch la

Robert G. Hoy* John A. Juelson Keven J. Kercher*

Tyle I Leverington*

2. In I. Levering*

Marshall W. NicCollough* Christopher M. McShane:

Robint E. Rosenvok!*

John T. Shockley*

Sara K. Sorenson*

Michel W. Stefonowicz Jeffrey R. Strom

David I Warmer Sarah M. Wear* Laverne Koenig

15520 Highway 200A SE Blanchard, ND 58009-9326

Receipt No. 7016 2710 0000 7398 6427

Curt Riemer

363 – 166th Avenue SE Hillsboro, ND 58045

Receipt No. 7016 2710 0000 7398 6434

Gerald and Sharon Radebaugh

16091 – 12th Street SE Grandin, ND 58038-9412

Receipt No. 7016 2710 0000 7398 6441

Re:

Traill County Water Resource District

COMPLAINT FOR WATER-RELATED ISSUES Filed by Curt Riemer Against

Laverne Koenig

North Half of Northwest Quarter of Section 25, Blanchard Township,

Traill County

Greetings:

*Also licensed in Minn-rota

The Traill County Water Resource District (the "District") received the enclosed COMPLAINT FOR WATER-RELATED ISSUES dated April 18, 2017, filed by Curt Riemer against Laverne Koenig (the "Complaint"). In the Complaint, Mr. Riemer alleges Mr. Koenig installed or constructed obstructions to natural drains in the Northwest Quarter of Section 25 of Blanchard Township in Traill County, North Dakota, in violation of N.D. Cent. Code § 61-16.1-51.

According to records on file with the Traill County Recorder's office, Stacey Riemer owns 23.417 acres in the North Half of the Northwest Quarter of Section 25. Laverne Koenig is purchasing a parcel also in the North Half of the Northwest Quarter of Section 25 under a Contract for Deed from Gerald and Sharon Radebaugh.

15 M

Laverne Koenig January 17, 2018 Page 2

As required by Section 61-16.1-51, my office sent the NOTICE OF DECISION to you and the other parties by certified mail on November 28, 2017. The United States Post Office indicated they left notices at your residence regarding the certified mail on November 29, 2017, and again on December 4 and 14, 2017. You neglected to accept service and refrained from obtaining the certified mailing from the post office. Simply as a courtesy, and not under any requirement by North Dakota statute, my office then forwarded a copy of the NOTICE OF DECISION to you via regular first-class mail upon notice from the post office of your failure to accept or obtain the certified mailing.

You submitted a written hearing request to the District dated December 29, 2017; a copy of your hearing request is enclosed for your convenience. The District did not receive your request until January 3, 2018.

Under Section 61-16.1-51, a party must request a hearing before a water resource district regarding an obstruction complaint "within 15 days of the date the notice is mailed." In this case, my office mailed the NOTICE OF DECISION to you on November 28, 2017. Your December 29, 2017, written request for a hearing was well beyond the 15-day requirement under Section 61-16.1-51. With that in mind, the District lacks jurisdiction to schedule a hearing in the matter in light of your failure to timely request a hearing.

Note that the NOTICE OF DECISION did notify you of your ability to appeal the District's decision to Traill County District Court under N.D. Cent. Code §§ 61-16.1-54 and 28-34-01. That process requires an aggrieved party to file a notice of appeal with District Court and to serve the political subdivision with the appeal within 30 days of the date of the decision. You had every opportunity to appeal to District Court but you similarly neglected to timely file and serve an appeal under Sections 61-16.1-54 and 28-34-01.

In light of your failure to timely request a hearing or to timely appeal this matter, the District's decision regarding removal by 5:00 p.m. on May 1, 2018, is in full force and effect, and the District looks forward to your full compliance with its directive.

Sincerely,

OHNSTAD TWICHELL, P.C.

I roll

Sean M. Fredricks

SMF:dms

Enc. (NOTICE OF DECISION dated November 28, 2017, and

HEARING REQUEST received January 3, 2018)

cc: Traill County Water Resource District


Chris Gross Curt Riemer

Gerald and Sharon Radebaugh


()

步24

Case 1:18-cv-01169-UNA Document 1-2 Filed 05/18/18 Page 45 of 54



Case 1:18-cv-01169-UNA Document 1-2 Filed 05/18/18 Page 46 of 54



Case 1:18-cv-01169-UNA Document 1-2 Filed 05/18/18 Page 47 of 54 Fence line obstruction facing southeast

Attachment B

Attachment A

Traill County Water Resource District P.O. Box 10 Hillsboro, ND 58045

December 29, 2017

RE: Hearing Request

Greetings:


I request a formal hearing, pursuant to ND Cent. Code ss 61.16.1-51, before the Water District Board regarding the FRIVILOUS, FALSE COMPLAINT FILED BY CURTIS REIMER AGAINST ME.

I further request the issuance of Subpoena's for up to 20 witnesses to appear and be heard on this matter.

Thank you!

La Verne Koenig 15520 Hwy 200A SE Blanchard, ND 58009-9326





Traill County Water Resource District P.O. Box 10 Hillsboro, ND 58045

December 29, 2017

RE: Hearing Request

Greetings:

I request a formal hearing, pursuant to ND Cent. Code as 61.16.1-51, before the Water District Board regarding the FRIVILOUS, FALSE COMPLAINT FILED BY CURTIS REIMER AGAINST ME.

I further request the issuance of Subpoena's for up to 20 witnesses to appear and be heard on this matter.

Thank you!

La Verne Koenig 15520 Hwy 200A SE Blanchard, ND 58009-9326

Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.	A. Signature	
Attach this card to the back of the mallpiece, or on the front if space permits. Article Addressed to: Article Addressed to:	B. Received by (Printed Name) Nettic Our ST D. Is delivery address different from If YES, enter delivery address by	C. Date of Delivery C. Date of Delivery 3 8 Item 1? Yes Pelow: No
	3. Service Type Adult Signature Adult Signature Adult Signature Certified Mall® Certified Mall® Collect on Delivery Collect on Delivery d Mall d Mall Restricted Delivery b500)	☐ Priority Mail Express® ☐ Registered Mail™ ☐ Registered Mail™ ☐ Registered Mail Restricte Delivery ☐ Return Receiptor Merchandles ☐ Signature Confirmation ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT for the	
	District	of Columbia	
LA VERNE K	OENIG)	
)	
Plaintiff(V.	(s)) Civil Action No.	
E. SCOTT PRI	JITT et al)	
D. G. Jan	W-1)	
Defendan) N A CIVIL ACTION	e e
Within 21 days after s are the United States or a Unit P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you mus	ed against you. service of this summons on ted States agency, or an off t serve on the plaintiff an a	you (not counting the day you receive ficer or employee of the United States of answer to the attached complaint or a matrice must be served on the plaintiff or p	described in Fed. R. Civ. notion under Rule 12 of
		be entered against you for the relief der	

	United State	ES DISTRICT COURT	Th'
	District	t of Columbia	Δ
LA VERNE K	OENIG		
Plaintiff(s V. E. SCOTT PRL Defendant	JITT et al	Civil Action No. Civil Action No. Civil Action No.	
	SUMMONS I	IN A CIVIL ACTION	
A lawsuit has been file Within 21 days after s are the United States or a Unit P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must the Federal Rules of Civil Process	ed against you. ervice of this summons or ed States agency, or an of a serve on the plaintiff an a	n you (not counting the day you received ficer or employee of the United States de answer to the attached complaint or a motion must be served on the plaintiff or pl	escribed in Fed. R. Civ. otion under Rule 12 of
whose name and address are:	LA VERNE KOENIG 15520 Hwy 200A SE Blanchard, North Dakota (701) 430-0096	58009	÷
If you fail to respond, You also must file your answe		be entered against you for the relief demain.	anded in the complaint.
		CLERK OF COURT	
Date:		Signature of Clerk	or Deputy Clerk

UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
Dis	trict of Columbia
LA VERNE KOENIG	
Plaintiff(s) V. E. SCOTT PRUITT et al)) Civil Action No.)
Defendant(s)	
SUMMO	NS IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address) State of North Dake Attorney General of S State Capito Soo E. Boulevard # 125 Marck, North Dakete A lawsuit has been filed against you.	state of North Dakote 58505 as on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you
are the United States or a United States agency, or a P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff	n officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of r motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
15520 Hwy 200A SE Blanchard, North Da (701) 430-0096	,
If you fail to respond, judgment by default very You also must file your answer or motion with the contraction wit	will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint, court.
	CLERK OF COURT
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Denuty Clerk

	J	JNITED	STATES	DISTRICT	COURT
--	---	--------	---------------	----------	-------

O	for t			
	District of C	Columbia		
LA VERNE KOE	:NIG)			
Plaintiff(s) v. E. SCOTT PRUIT)) T et al)	Civil Action No.		
)			
Defendant(s))			
	SUMMONS IN A	CIVIL ACTION		
are the United States or a United P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must set the Federal Rules of Civil Proced whose name and address are: LA	against you. vice of this summons on you States agency, or an officer erve on the plaintiff an answ	(not counting the day you or employee of the Unite er to the attached complamust be served on the plants of the pl	ed States described aint or a motion un	l in Fed. R. Civ. der Rule 12 of
If you fail to respond, jud You also must file your answer of	dgment by default will be en r motion with the court.	itered against you for the	relief demanded i	n the complaint.
		CLERK OF CO	URT	
Date:	_	Signa	ture of Clerk or Depu	'y Clerk

Ţ	INITED	STATES	DISTRICT	Court
•	//////////////////////////////////////			

UNITED S	TATES DISTRICT COOK!
	for the
	District of Columbia
	District of Columbia
LA VERNE KOENIG)
)
)
)
Plaintiff(s))
	Civil Action No.
V)
E. SCOTT PRUITT et al)
a)
)
)
Defendant(s))
SUM	MONS IN A CIVIL ACTION
¥	
To: (Defendant's name and address)	
d 1 sugs	A .
Jason Lu Ave SE	
607 57) ta
Willshord Porth Vel	
Jason Lover Ave SE 607 5+6 Ave SE Hillsborn, North Dal	8045
9	
A 1	
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
	or 60 days if you
Within 21 days after service of this sum	amons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you
are the United States or a United States agency,	or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ.
P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plan	ntiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of
	ver or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
whose name and address are: LA VERNE KOE	NIG
15520 Hwy 200/	
Blanchard, North	n Dakota 58009
(701) 430-0096	
If you fail to respond judgment by defa	ault will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
You also must file your answer or motion with	
Tod also must me your answer or motion with	ine court.
WI	
	CLERK OF COURT
	5
Date:	
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Ţ	INITED	STATES	DISTRICT	Cour'
•		DIAILO	DIGING	\circ

OTTIED I	for the
	District of Columbia
• LA VERNE KOENIG	
Plaintiff(s) V. E. SCOTT PRUITT et al	Civil Action No.
Defendant(s)	
SUN	MONS IN A CIVIL ACTION
are the United States or a United States agency P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the pl the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answhose name and address are: LA VERNE KC	mmons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. aintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of wer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, ENIG
15520 Hwy 20 Blanchard, No (701) 430-0096	th Dakota 58009
If you fail to respond, judgment by de You also must file your answer or motion with	fault will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. the court.
	CLERK OF COURT
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

UNITED STA	ATES DISTRICT COURT
D	pistrict of Columbia
	istrict of Columbia
LA VERNE KOENIG	
Plaintiff(s) V. E. SCOTT PRUITT et al	Civil Action No.
Defendant(s)	
SHMM	ONS IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address) Jason Siegert 168 167th Aue NE Hillsboro, North Dakot A lawsuit has been filed against you.	_ •
are the United States or a United States agency, or P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plainti	SE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTO
If you fail to respond, judgment by default You also must file your answer or motion with the	t will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	CLERK OF COURT

	ES DISTRICT COURT
District	t of Columbia
LA VERNE KOENIG	
Plaintiff(s) V.)) Civil Action No.
E. SCOTT PRUITT et al	
Defendant(s))
SUMMONS I	IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address) Gary Phompson 1045 150th Ave NE 1045 150th Ave NE May viller North Dakota 58257	×
A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on are the United States or a United States agency, or an off P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an a	n you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if yo ficer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of otion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on are the United States or a United States agency, or an off P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an a the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or mowhose name and address are: LA VERNE KOENIG 15520 Hwy 200A SE Blanchard, North Dakota (701) 430-0096	fficer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of otion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, a 58009 be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint

UNITED STATES I	
District of C	The state of the s
LA VERNE KOENIG)	
)	24 <u>#</u>
Plaintiff(s)	Civil Action No.
v. E. SCOTT PRUITT et al	CIVII Action IVo.
)	
Defendant(s)	
SUMMONS IN A	CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address) Joel Halvorson 15205 (Oth St NE) Galesburg, North Dakota 58035 A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion whose name and address are: LA VERNE KOENIG 15520 Hwy 200A SE Blanchard, North Dakota 5800 (701) 430-0096	er to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be en You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	tered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	CLERK OF COURT
	¥ ÷
Date:	

UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT
Distric	for the ct of Columbia
LA VERNE KOENIG	
Plaintiff(s) v. E. SCOTT PRUITT et al)) Civil Action No.)
Defendant(s))
SUMMONS	IN A CIVIL ACTION
Nettie Johnson Notice Tohnson Notice	58045
are the United States or a United States agency, or an o P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or m whose name and address are: LA VERNE KOENIG 15520 Hwy 200A SE	on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you fficer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of notion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
Blanchard, North Dakot (701) 430-0096	a 58009
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will	be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
You also must file your answer or motion with the cou-	rt.
e .	CLERK OF COURT

Date:

United Sta	ATES DISTRICT COURT
	for the
D D	District of Columbia
LA VERNE KOENIG))
Plaintiff(s) v. E. SCOTT PRUITT et al	Civil Action No.
Defendant(s))
SUMMO	ONS IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address) Blanchard Township Bea Steve Volla 239 Huy 18 5E May ville, North Pakot A lawsuit has been filed against you.	~ 58257
are the United States or a United States agency, or P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plainting	SE .
If you fail to respond, judgment by default You also must file your answer or motion with the	t will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.

United States District C	F	₹]]	D		SΤ	`A	Tŀ	$\exists S$	IJ	11 S	TR	ЛC	Γ		()	UJ	K	L
--------------------------	---	-----	---	--	----	----	----	-------------	----	-----------	----	----	----------	--	----	----	---	---

	the
District of	Columbia
LA VERNE KOENIG)
)
)
Programme and the state of the)
Plaintiff(s)) Civil Action No.
v. E. SCOTT PRUITT et al) Civil Action No.
E. SCOTT FRONT et al)
)
	,)
Defendant(s))
SUMMONS IN A	A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	
To: (Defendant's name and address) Jeffrey Henr 563 Huy 185E Blanchard, North Dakota 58009	
563 HUY 18 5E	
Blanchard North Dakota	× ·
58009	
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you are the United States or a United States agency, or an office P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion whose name and address are: LA VERNE KOENIG	ou (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. wer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of a must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
15520 Hwy 200A SE	
Blanchard, North Dakota 58 (701) 430-0096	009
(701) 430-0030	
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be a You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.

	CLERK OF COURT
Date:	
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Date:

United Stati	ES DISTRICT COURT
1	for the
Distric	et of Columbia
	E
LA VERNE KOENIG)
)
Plaintiff(s))
V.	Civil Action No.
E. SCOTT PRUITT et al)
2,000,111,011,101	
)
)
Defendant(s))
To: (Defendant's name and address) Steve Hilsted 15395 3rd St 54 May Ville, North De Xote S825 A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
are the United States or a United States agency, or an o P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or m	on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you fficer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of notion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
whose name and address are: LA VERNE KOENIG 15520 Hwy 200A SE Blanchard, North Dakot	a 58009
(701) 430-0096	
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will You also must file your answer or motion with the cour	be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	CLERK OF COURT

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action	
United S	STATES DISTRICT COURT
34	District of Columbia
LA VERNE KOENIG	
Plaintiff(s) V. E. SCOTT PRUITT et al	Civil Action No.
Defendant(s))
SUM	MMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION
Within 21 days after service of this su are the United States or a United States agency P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plate Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answhose name and address are: LA VERNE KO 15520 Hwy 200	mmons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you y, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. aintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of ower or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, DENIG OA SE of the Dakota 58009
If you fail to respond, judgment by de You also must file your answer or motion with	fault will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. 1 the court.
2 2	CLERK OF COURT

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Date:

United States	S DISTRICT COURT
	for the
District of	of Columbia
LA VERNE KOENIG	
Plaintiff(s)	
v. E. SCOTT PRUITT et al	Civil Action No.
Defendant(s))
To: (Defendant's name and address) To: II County Shoriff De Deputy Kelly Shoriff O To: II County Shoriff O To: II County Shoriff Alawsuit has been filed against you.	PO BOY 279 58045
are the United States or a United States agency, or an offi	
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	e entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	CLERK OF COURT

UNITED STATES DISTRIC	T COURT
for the	
District of Columbia	

LA VERNE KOENIG)
$P(a)$ in $\mathcal{C}(a)$)
Plaintiff(s) V.	Civil Action No.
E. SCOTT PRUITT et al)
)
Defendant(s))

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address)

moore Engineering 925 10th Rue E West Fargo, North Dakota West Fargo, North 58078

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

LA VERNE KOENIG 15520 Hwy 200A SE Blanchard, North Dakota 58009 (701) 430-0096

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

	€**	
Date:		
		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

	UNITED STATES I		RT
	for t		
	District of C	olumbia 🔼	
LA VERNE K	OFNIG)		
LA VERNETA)		
)		
)		*/
Plaintiff(s V.	s))	Civil Action No.	
E. SCOTT PRU	JITT et al	CIVII / IOIIOII / (C)	
2,00071710)		1/.
	,)		
)		
Defendant	((s)		
	SUMMONS IN A	CIVIL ACTION	
444 Sheyen West Fergo, A lawsuit has been fil	Wichell Law 8 Ne St. #107 North Dakota ed against you.	2 8078	iii.
are the United States or a Unit P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you mus	ted States agency, or an officer	or employee of the United er to the attached complain must be served on the pla	u received it) — or 60 days if you d States described in Fed. R. Civ. int or a motion under Rule 12 of intiff or plaintiff's attorney,
	-		
If you fail to respond, You also must file your answer	judgment by default will be en er or motion with the court.	tered against you for the	relief demanded in the complaint.
			Ω III
		CLERK OF CO	'JRT
		CLLIN OF CO	~~~

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action	
United States	DISTRICT COURT
fo	or the
District of	Columbia
LA VERNE KOENIG)
Plaintiff(s)	
V	Civil Action No.
E. SCOTT PRUITT et al	
E. SCOTT PROTITIET AT	
Defendant(s))
Dejenaani(s)	
SUMMONS IN	A CIVIL ACTION
	<i>t</i>
To: (Defendant's name and address) See M. Fredicks Yookmstad Twickell Le 444 Sleyenne 5t. West Fargo, North Po	
and the United States or a United States agency or an office	ou (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you ter or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. swer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of on must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
15520 Hwy 200A SE Blanchard, North Dakota 5 (701) 430-0096	8009
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	CLERK OF COURT
	11
	*

	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT (Court	
9		-	▼	
LA VERNE K	OENIG)))		
Plaintiff(: V. E. SCOTT PRU		Civil Action Civil Action	n No.	
Defendant	t(s))		
	SUMMONS IN	A CIVIL ACTIO	N	
A lawsuit has been fill Within 21 days after sare the United States or a Unit P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must the Federal Rules of Civil Prowhose name and address are:	service of this summons on yeted States agency, or an official serve on the plaintiff an ar	you (not counting the cer or employee of to aswer to the attached ion must be served of	the United States de	tion under Rule 12 of
If you fail to respond You also must file your answ	, judgment by default will be er or motion with the court.	e entered against yo	u for the relief dema	anded in the complaint.
		CLERK	C OF COURT	
Date:			Signature of Clerk	or Deputy Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

UNITED BIAILS		
for	or the	
District of	Columbia 🔽	
LA VERNE KOENIG		
)	
)	
)	
Plaintiff(s))	
	Civil Action No.	
V _t)	
E. SCOTT PRUITT et al		
Defendant(s))	
CLIMMONS IN	A CIVIL ACTION	
SUMMONS IN	A CIVIL ACTION	
The CD Control of Advanced		
To: (Defendant's name and address)		
Stacey Reimer 363 166th Ave Hills boro, North DeKot 5804		
212 166th Ave		
363 1001 11 5 Vat	a	
Hills born, North Dero.		
5809	1>	
A lawsuit has been filed against you.		
	W se so sour transport complements	
Within 21 days after service of this summons on ye	ou (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days	if you
are the United States or a United States agency or an office	er or employee of the United States described in Fed. K	· CIV.
D 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an ans	swer to the attached complaint of a motion under Kuic 1	2 01
the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion	on must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,	
whose name and address are: LA VERNE KOENIG		
15520 Hwy 200A SE		
Blanchard, North Dakota 58	8009	
(701) 430-0096		
	a 8	
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be	entered against you for the relief demanded in the comp	olaint.
You also must file your answer or motion with the court.		
	CLERK OF COURT	
Date:		
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk	

	DISTRICT COURT
for District of	the Columbia
District of	Columbia
LA VERNE KOENIG)))
Plaintiff(s) v, E. SCOTT PRUITT et al)) Civil Action No.
)))
Defendant(s))
SUMMONS IN A	A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	
22	
22	4
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you are the United States or a United States agency, or an office P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion	ou (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you or or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. wer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of a must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
whose name and address are: LA VERNE KOENIG 15520 Hwy 200A SE Blanchard, North Dakota 58 (701) 430-0096	
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be a You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
2	n a
	CLERK OF COURT
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	:
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

中医于3m 38 中日中以通道15 医乳头影像402-000-9053	Edinplete items 1, 2, and 3. Sint your name and address on the reverse that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, is on the front if space permits. T. Ducie Addressed to: 1. Ducie Addressed to: 1. Ducie Addressed to: 1. Ducie Addressed to: 2. Ducie Addressed to: 3. Ducie Addressed to: 3. Ducie Addressed to: 4. Ducie Addressed to: 5. Ducie Addressed to: 6. Duci	iece,
Politically ansatzle	A. Signature B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Addressee B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery C	
PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053	1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mallpiece, or on the front if space permits. Article Addressed to: State of North Morney General North Okota Hitarney General Soo E Souled And And And And And And And And And An
Political objection	A. Signature A. Signature B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery D. Is delivery address different from item 1? D. Is delivery address below: If YES, enter delivery address below: If YES, enter delivery address below: Adult Signature Adult Signature Adult Signature Restricted Delivery Certified Mail® Certified Mail Restricted Delivery Collect on Delivery Collect on Delivery Collect on Delivery Collect on Delivery Confirmatic Insured Mail Restricted Delivery	A. Signature Received by (Printed Name) D. Is delivery address delivery address below: C. Date of Delivery Cartified Mail@ Certified Mail@ Certified Mail@ Collect on Delivery Collect on Deli

1 20 min Go i i , auly 2013 PSN /330-02-000-8083		TEN Doputy Kelley THOUGHT BOX 279 THOUGHT BOX 279	## Hompiete items 1, 2, and 3. ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##		Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053	9590 9402 3427 7275 7071 55 ticle Number (Transfer from service label) 7.0.1.4. 0.040 0.070 0.074 0.0	Jeffrey Henn Jeffrey Henn Seeg ancherd ND S809	SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.
Domestic Return Receipt	□ Adult Signature □ Celtified Mail □ Celtified Mail Restricted Delivery □ Celtified Mail Restricted Delivery □ Collect on Delivery □ Collect on Delivery □ Collect on Delivery □ Celtified Mail Restricted Delivery □ Insured Mail Restricted Delivery □ Restricted Mail Restricted Delivery □ Restricted Delivery	D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No	Repetived by (Printed Nagne) C. D. T. C. D. S.	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	:\$500) Domestic Return Receipt	□ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery □ Registered Mail ™ □ Certified Mail® □ Certified Mail® □ Certified Mail® □ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery □ Cellect on Delivery □ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery □ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery □ Signature Confirmation □ Collect On Delivery Restricted Delivery □ Signature Confirmation □ Restricted Delivery □ Signature Confirmation □ Restricted Delivery	y delivery address below: □ Priority I	A Signature C Date of Delivery C E F F C C Herent from item 12 1 Yes
PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053	9590 2. Article Nu 7.01	Q(Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 	SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.
Domestic Return Rece	3. Service Type 3. Service Type 4. Adult Signature 4. Adult Signature Restricted Delivery 5. Certified Mail Restricted Delivery 6. Certified Mail Restricted Delivery 7. Certified Mail Restricted Delivery 8. Certified Mail Restricted Delivery 9. Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery 9. Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery 1. Signature Confirmatic Signature Confirmatic Mail Restricted Delivery 1. Signature Confirmatic Signature Confirmatic Conf	dres deli	A. Signature X Yolf	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	Dorr	Adult Signature Restricted Delivery Registered Mail Rest	X	A. Signature A. Signature A. Signature A. Signature A. Signature C. Date of Deli C. D

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 1. 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Ecomplete items 1, 2, and 3. Complete items 1, 2, and 3. That your name and address on the reverse that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, on the front if space permits. 1. Acticle Addressed to: The space permits of the mailpiece, addressed to: The space permits of the permits of the mailpiece, addressed to: The space permits of the mailpiece, addressed to:	PS -c orm 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053 <u>C</u> <u>L</u>	72	1 89590 9402 3427 7275 7071 17	Nettre Johnson Notice Soro, N & 53045	 SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.
3. Service Type Adult Signature Adult Signature Adult Signature Adult Signature Certified Mail® Certified Mail® Collect on Delivery Collect on Delivery Collect on Delivery Collect on Delivery Hestricted Delivery Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery Restricted Delivery Priority Mail Express® Delivery Registered Mail Restricted Restricted Delivery Restricted Delivery Domestic Return Receipt	A. Signature X B. Received by (Printed Namp) B. Received by (Printed Namp) C. Date of Delivery A. Signature D. Is delivery address different from item 1? The second of the seco	Domestic Return Receipt	□ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery □ Signature Confirmation □ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery □ Signature Confirmation □ Signature Confirmation □ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery 3 B 1)	3. Service Type □ Adult Signature □ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery □ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery □ Return Receipt for— Machiberties	D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No	A. Signature A. A. Signature A. Signature A. A. Signature A. Signature A. Signature A. A. Signature A. Sign
HIIISDOFU (SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. Article Addressed to: Carl County Court House RD, Boy	PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053	2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7018 0040 0000 6478 9572	9590 9402 3427 7275 7071 62	Steve Hill Stad Steve Hill Stad 15 395 3rd State May ville ND 58257	 SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.
3. Service Type Adult Signature Resistered Mail Resistered Delivery Certified Mail Restricted Delivery Collect on Delivery Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery Sured Mail Restricted Delivery Ver \$500) Drivery Restricted Delivery Restricted Delivery Domestic Return Reco	A. Signature A. A. A. Signature A. A. A. Signature A. A	Domestic Return Rece	Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery Signature Confirmation red Mail Restricted Delivery Restricted Restricted Restricted Restricted Restricted Restr		If YES, enter delivery address below:	A. Signature A. Signature A. Signature A. Signature A. Signature A. Signature C. Date of Delivery C. Date of Delivery Delivery

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 9. 7018 0040 005 PSN 7530-02-000-9053	e items 1, 2, and 3. Ir name and address on the reverse we can return the card to you. It is card to the back of the mallpiece, so front if space permits. I tressed to: Shey endicated ficks No Mitted ficks Shey endicated ficks Targo, ND 58078	2. 2427 7275 7070 70 2. 2426 Number (Transfer from service label) 7. 25	SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION ■ Complete items 1, 2, and 3. ■ Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. ■ Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 1. Article Addressed to: 2. Set A A A B SE 2. Set A A B SE 3. Set A B SE 4. Set A B SE 5. Set A B SE 6. Set A B SE
TH = 0 0 0 0 7 7	A. Signature X. A. M. B. D. D. Addressee B. Received by (Printed Name) Paul A BONZEY D. Is delivery address different from item 1? The YES, enter delivery address below: No No D. Priority Mail Express® 3. Service Type The Priority Mail Express®	3. Service Type Adult Signature Restricted Delivery Registered Mail Properties Registered Mail Restricted Delivery Receipt for Certified Mail Restricted Delivery Return Receipt for Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery Signature Confirmation Insured Mail Restricted Delivery Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery Restricted Delivery Restricted Delivery Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery Restricted Delivery Restricted Delivery Signature Confirmation Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery Return Receipt Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery Restricte	A. Signature A. Signature A. Signature A. Signature A. Signature A. Signature Addressee B. Received by (Printed Name) D. Is delivery address different from item 1? D. Is delivery address below: No
9590 9402 3427 7275 7072 30 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7018 0040 0000 6478 7633 PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053	■ Complete items 1, 2, and 3. ■ Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. ■ Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 1. Article Addressed to: \$\frac{2}{363} \frac{1}{66} \frac{1}{5} \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{3} \fr	9590 9402 3427 7275 7072 23 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7018 0040 0000 6478 9626 PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02 7	■ Complete items 1, 2, and 3. ■ Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. ■ Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 1. Article Addressed to: (C(t):S B Relmer 363 66 th Aue Hills bore, NOS8045
Adult Signature □ Adult Signature □ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery □ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery □ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery □ Collect on Delivery □ Collect on Delivery □ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery □ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery □ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery □ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery ○ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery ○ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery ○ Signature Restricted Delivery ○ Signature Restricted Delivery ○ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery ○ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery	11 (/ 0 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	3. Service Type Adult Signature Restricted Delivery Registered Mail Mail Rest	A. Signature X. Signature X. Signature X. Signature X. Agent

*	
SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. Article Addressed to: Gary Thom \$500 M OHS INTERPOLATION \$500 M Anticle Addressed to: Article Addressed to: Sor y Thom \$500 M OHS INTERPOLATION \$500 M Anticle Addressed to: Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7018 0040 3427 7275 7070 94	SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. Article Addressed to: Arti
A. Signature A. Signature A. Signature B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery C. Date of Delivery	A. Signature A. Signature B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery Addressee B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery C. Date of De

, PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt