

Best Practices to Minimize Laboratory Resources for Waste Characterization During a Wide-Area Release of Chemical Warfare Agents

Stuart A. Willison¹, Erin Silvestri¹, Matthew Magnuson¹, Paul Lemieux¹, Timothy Boe¹, Stephanie Hines², Ryan James², John Rolando³, Rhett Barnes³

¹U.S. EPA, National Homeland Security Research Center, ²Battelle Memorial Institute, ³Spectral Laboratories

US EPA International Decontamination R&D Conference Research Triangle Park, NC May 10, 2018













- Why the Need?
- Defining Waste
- Waste Characterization



- Reducing Sampling and Analysis Resources
- Best Practices for Waste Characterization Document and Associated Resources
- Lessons Learned from Exercise and Demonstration

\$EPA

Example of a CWA Contamination Incident

- Wide-Area Recovery and Resiliency Program (WARRP)
- Urban wide-area release of chemical blister agent in air with transport and movement of contaminated vehicles and individuals
 - Agent is relatively persistent, low volatility and water solubility, rapid hydrolysis, and potentially strong sorption to some materials
- Hundreds of buildings impacted over 5-mile area from release
- Clinical, forensic, environmental samples will be collected from initial response to clearance stages of incident
- Waste is generated during all phases of the incident



Agent surface concentrations



Defining "Waste" from a Wide-Area Incident

- Waste defined as any material that is intended for disposal and will not be re-used or recycled
- Examples:
 - Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)
 - Gloves, suits, boot covers
 - Decontaminated items for disposal or further management
 - Office materials, drywall, carpet, ceiling tiles, cubicles, furniture
 - Decontamination rinsate





Wide-Area Waste Volumes

Chem Scenario - Waste Distribution 10,000,000 1,000,000 100,000 10,000 Tons 1,000 100 10 1 Carpet Linens Electronic Equipment Pharmaceuticals Food **Bathroom and Kitchen Materials Ceiling Tiles** Wood Flooring Furniture **Medical Supplies** Medical Waste Arts and Music Equipment Paper and Office Supplies

Generated from WEST and i-WASTE simulation of the WARRP Scenario

Volumetric Decon Surface Decon

> Estimated total aqueous waste of 15 to 36 million gallons and 3 to 8 million tons of solid waste

So What's the Issue?

 Waste management begins from the moment the incident occurs and continues to the very end of the response

EPA

- Unlike for natural disasters, waste is not co-mingled debris, but regular contaminated materials that can be segregated
- A sufficient number of samples will need to be collected to characterize the waste, but not overwhelm laboratory and sampling resources



States have primary decision-making authority; facilities can refuse to accept even if granted permission from the state



What is Waste Characterization?

 Waste Characterization is the use of sampling and/or knowledge of the waste (i.e., lines of evidence) to document that waste meets regulatory and waste receiver requirements

Lines of Evidence

- Information or data from various sources that can be used to support waste characterization decisions
- Examples:
 - Technical data (agent fate and transport)
 - Persistence in defined environmental conditions
 - Efficacy of decontamination technologies





Potential Waste Characterization Complications

- Must meet Federal/state/local regulatory requirements
 - [e.g., treatment, storage, and disposal facility (TSDF) personnel]
- Characterization strategies and waste volumes must be acceptable to regulators and waste receivers (e.g., Landfill or publicly-owned treatment works [POTW] operators)
- Waste segregation is critical for efficient waste characterization



 Cost, political, public concern, decontamination strategy, lower priority for waste sample analysis



Purpose and Objectives

Purpose

 To develop and test a waste characterization document aimed at reducing resources used for sampling and analysis of waste samples collected during a wide-area Chemical Warfare Agent (CWA) contamination incident.

Objectives

- Many strategies, techniques, and approaches are described within the document to optimize waste sampling and analytical resources associated with a response to a wide-area incident.
- Document could be utilized to help identify a specific waste characterization sampling strategy and the advantages and disadvantages of such strategy.



Development of Best Practices Document (BPD)

- Consists of:
 - Targeted literature search to identify published, open-source data available
 - Wide-area event sampling considerations and waste characterization
 - General waste characterization approaches that may be applied or customized for use
 - Flow charts of the waste characterization process
- Important pre-planning activity to identify and address data gaps



Data Gathering Strategies, Collection Techniques, and Analysis Paradigm



€EPA



Best Practices Assumptions

Operational Assumptions

- 1. Regulatory requirements at the federal, state, and local level must be met in the waste characterization process
 - Identify end user of data or decision-maker early on (e.g., waste receiver and federal, state or local regulators)

2. Pre-incident waste management plan (WMP) has been performed

- WMP includes waste types/quantities, facilities and resources needed, acceptance criteria, health and safety plan, community outreach, etc.
- 3. Laboratory resources and capabilities are known
- 4. Generalized DQOs have been identified
- 5. The chemical contaminant(s) of concern (including potential breakdown products or impurities) have been identified



Overview of Waste Characterization Worksheet

Best Practices for Waste Characterization Worksheet

(1) Segregate the waste into homogeneous groups relevant for waste characterization and complete this worksheet for each segregated waste group that was identified. (Section 6.3)

Segregated Waste Group Name: _____

- (2) Please consider the following questions collectively before identifying your final response to each.
 - (a) Identify Waste Acceptance Criteria for the segregated waste group (Section 6.4 and Pre-incident Waste Management Plan).

Waste Acceptance Criteria:

(b) Identify relevant DQOs for the segregated waste group (Section 6.4 and Summary Table).

For exercise purposes, consider using these example DQOs:

- Acceptable waste characterization strategies can take the form of concentration-based or performance-based criteria,
- The detection limits must be at or lower than the identified waste acceptance criteria, and
- For acceptance of the waste, none of the samples from a segregated waste group can exceed the waste acceptance criteria.

DQOs: ___

Overview of Waste Characterization Worksheet

- (a) Determine Waste Characterization Strategy (Section 6.2 including Figure 3, Section 6.5)
 (Note: More than one strategy can be used)
 Will Lines of Evidence be Used? Yes No (Section 6.5.1)
 - Will Sampling be Used?YesNo(Section 6.5.2)YesYes

If Lines of Evidence will be used, describe the basis for the determination:

If sampling will be used, determine the sampling strategy. (Section 6.5.2, Table 1)

(b) Which sampling strategy will be used?

Nonprobabilistic	Probabilistic	Combination of Both
Further define sampling s	trategy (e.g., judgment	al, simple random)

(c) Will composite sampling be performed? (Section 6.5.3.2) Yes No

(d) If sampling will be used, describe sample number(s) and location/material

Overview of Waste Characterization Worksheet

(g) Identify the sample collection method(s). (Section 6.6 and Table 2) (Note: More than one strategy can be used)

Field Analysis	Collection Method:		ction Method:			
Laboratory Analysis		Collection Method:				
Identify type of sampling and the analysis method. (Section 6.7) (Note: More than one strategy can be used)						
Field Analysis	Yes	No	Method:			
Laboratory Analysis	Yes	No	Method:			
Waste Characterization Summary Box						
Segregated Waste Group Name:						
Describe Approach to Segregation:						
Waste Characterization Strategy: (Identify Lines of Evidence, Sampling or 0	Combin	ation)				
Total Sample Number Sent to Laborato	ory for A	Analysis	c			
Total Number of Field Samples Collecte	ed:					

Sampling Design for Waste Characterization

Sampling Strategy	Non-Probabilistic	Probabilistic			
	Judgmental	Simple Random	Stratified Random		
Definition	Selection of samples based on professional judgement without randomization. Biased sampling (a type of judgmental sampling) is intended to collect samples with the highest contamination.	A set of sampling units are independently selected at random from a population.	Prior information is used to determine groups (lots) that are sampled independently.		

Includes:

- Definition
- Application
- Description
- Pros
- Cons
- Anticipated strain on lab resources
- Cautions/additional critical information
- References

Sampling Design for Waste Characterization

	Extractive (Solid Material) Sampling	Wipe (Surface) Sampling	Liquid (Surface) Sampling	Liquid (Drum) Sampling – Discrete Depth Samplers	Liquid (Drum) Sampling – Profile Samplers	Air Sampling
Description	Extractive sampling refers to whole objective sampling or the cutting/removal of a portion of the material sampled. Might also be referred to as bulk sampling or direct extraction.	Surface sampling techniques using wipes, cotton- balls/wipes, or gauze sponge.	The collection of liquid samples from the surface (or shallow depths) might be obtained with various devices including a bailer, dipper, liquid grab sampler, swing sampler, or solid phase microextraction fibers.	Liquid samples might be obtained from discrete depths with a variety of devices include a syringe sampler, discrete level sampler, lidded sludge/water sampler, or solid phase microextraction fibers.	Liquid samples might be obtained from throughout a vertical column of liquid or sludge with a variety of devices include a composite liquid waste sampler (COLIWASA), drum thief, valved drum sampler, plunger type sampler or solid phase microextraction fibers.	Air sampling devices, such as headspace of waste container for VOCs could include solid phase adsorbent media (tubes), solid phase microextraction fibers, or air samplers (e.g., SUMMA® canisters).

Includes:

- Description
- Application
- Pros
- Cons
- Anticipated strain on lab resources
- Cautions/additional critical information
- References

Includes Data Quality Objective (DQO) Process Case Study

 Case study based off WARRP scenario of an urban wide-area release of agent Yellow – 55 gallons of 50/50 mixture of Mustard and Lewisite

SPA

- Provides general scenario set-up
- Provides general walk through of 7 steps of the DQO process for both Decision and Estimation Problems

Other Resources Included in the BPD

- Includes general information on:
 - CWA persistence and breakdown products

⇒FPA

- Features of sampling designs
- Features of sample collection methods
- References additional Agency documents
 - [Office of Land and Emergency Management (OLEM)]

BPD Evaluation

- Table-Top Exercise
 - Set of waste barrels containing decontamination rinsate, used PPE and other materials to characterize
 - Apply best practices to characterize with limited number of samples

- Computer Simulation
 - Realistic Adaptive Interactive Learning System (RAILS)
 - Increased complexity in waste characterization task in three computer generated scenarios

RAILS Demonstration

\$EPA

RAILS-Sample Capture Process

 Simulation mirrors waste characterization flow chart

• When you choose sampling, follow drop downs

\$EPA

Conclusion

- Identifies important information to consider prior to sampling begins
 - Identifies already developed EPA-developed tools/programs [e.g., Quick reference guides (QRGs), Selected Analytical Methods Program (ESAM), Emergency Response Laboratory Network (ERLN), OLEM]
 - Identify waste receivers/regulators (TSDF)
 - Establish DQOs
 - Identify appropriate sampling strategies and methods for waste characterization
- Important planning and training tool for those responding to contamination incidents
 - RAILS- interactive learning guide to familiarize user with sampling strategies
- Quick reference developed for quick use of the larger BPD

Acknowledgements

Stuart A. Willison¹, Erin Silvestri¹, Matthew Magnuson¹, Paul Lemieux¹, Timothy Boe¹, Stephanie Hines², Ryan James², John Rolando³, Rhett Barnes³

¹U.S. EPA, National Homeland Security Research Center, ²Battelle Memorial Institute, ³Spectral Laboratories

Stuart Willison, Ph.D.

Research Chemist Threat and Consequence Assessment Division <u>Willison.stuart@epa.gov</u>; 513-569-7253

Disclaimer

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) through its Office of Research and Development participated in and managed the research described here. This work was performed by Battelle under Contract No. EP-C-15-002 Task Orders 0003 and 0010. This presentation has been subjected to the Agency's review and has been approved for publication. Note that approval does not signify that the contents reflect the views of the Agency. Mention of trade names, products, or services does not convey official EPA approval, endorsement, or recommendation.

The processes described in this research does not rely on and does not affect authority under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), 42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq., and the National Contingency Plan (NCP), 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 300. The document is intended to provide information and suggestions that might be helpful for waste characterization efforts after a chemical incident and should be considered advisory. The best practices in the document are not required elements of any rule. Therefore, the document does not substitute for any statutory provisions or regulations, nor is it a regulation itself, so it does not impose legally binding requirements on EPA, states, or the regulated community.