

# US EPA Kansas PFAS Community Engagement September 5, 2018 12:00pm-4:30pm

## **Listening Session**

Riverfront Community Center 123 S. Esplanade St Leavenworth, KS

## Listening Session Summary

## Welcome and Introduction

James B. Gulliford, Administrator, EPA Region 7, welcomed and thanked the community for their participation. Mr. Gulliford explained that the listening session was held in Leavenworth, Kansas due to the nearby military installation and the discovery of PFAS in well water.

**Jennifer McLain, Deputy Director, EPA, Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water,** recognized the widespread use of PFAS and emphasized the importance of partnerships in addressing contamination. Ms. McLain thanked participants for coming to the session and briefly described the ongoing work at EPA on PFAS including:

- 1. EPA will initiate steps to evaluate the need for a maximum contaminant level (MCL) for PFOA and PFOS. We will convene our federal partners and examine everything we know about PFOA and PFOS in drinking water.
- 2. EPA is beginning the necessary steps to propose designating PFOA and PFOS as "hazardous substances" through one of the available statutory mechanisms, including potentially CERCLA Section 102.
- 3. EPA is currently developing groundwater cleanup recommendations for PFOA and PFOS at contaminated sites and will complete this task by fall of this year.
- 4. EPA is taking action in close collaboration with our federal and state partners to develop toxicity values for GenX and PFBS by this summer.

### PFAS Introduction and Stakeholder Perspectives

The following sessions provided an opportunity for stakeholders, including state, tribal, and federal entities to share their experiences with PFAS. The presentations are available at <a href="https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2018-09/documents/final\_epa\_pfas\_leavenworth\_kansas\_presentations\_september\_5\_2018.pdf">https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2018-09/documents/final\_epa\_pfas\_leavenworth\_kansas\_presentations\_september\_5\_2018.pdf</a> (9.3 MB).

This summary reflects a high-level synthesis of the perspectives participants shared during the community engagement event and do not imply consensus, endorsement, or agreement on any of the topics.

### PFAS 101

During this session, EPA provided an introduction to PFAS, an EPA research update, and a Region 7 PFAS overview, including an account of the detection of PFOA and PFOS at Fort Leavenworth and the steps taken by the Army to connect the post to the town of Leavenworth's public water supply.

- Dr. Marc Mills, Environmental Engineer, EPA, Office of Research and Development
- Mary Mindrup, Chief, Drinking Water Management Branch, EPA Region 7

## Perspectives from Stakeholders

This session provided an opportunity for state, tribal, and federal entities to offer their perspectives to the EPA as it prepares a national management plan for PFAS.

- Leo Henning, Director of Environment, Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE), stated that Kansas supports EPA's four PFAS initiatives. KDHE will work cooperatively with EPA and thinks it is important to have a consistent approach across the country. KDHE is convening a stakeholder group by the end of the year to examine approaches to dealing with PFAS in Kansas.
- Carey Bridges, Deputy Director Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Division of Environmental Quality, discussed PFAS testing done in the state of Missouri and the associated cost. No community water systems were above the Health Advisory Level. Missouri does not think a national MCL for PFOA and PFOS is necessary. Missouri does support the other three PFAS initiatives EPA is pursuing.
- Dianne Barton, Chair, National Tribal Toxics Council (see <u>slides</u>)
- Dr. William (Bill) Cibulas, Director, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), Division of Toxicology and Human Health Sciences (see <u>slides</u>)

### Identifying Solutions for PFAS – Lessons Learned

This session reviewed conversations and themes that emerged during the day and summarized key ideas from similar PFAS engagement events held by EPA in recent weeks across the country.

- James B. Gulliford, Administrator, EPA Region 7, summarized the information presented throughout the afternoon. He recognized the importance of research to addressing PFAS exposure, as well as the importance of working with our partners and learning from their different perspectives. He acknowledged the need to look at multiple exposure pathways and to consider our most vulnerable populations.
- Jennifer McLain, Deputy Director, EPA, Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water, thanked presenters and spoke to the interrelated nature of the information presented today with earlier sessions across the US. Ms. McLain expressed appreciation for the commitment states have demonstrated in addressing PFAS and reducing risk and acknowledged the challenges involved in monitoring for these chemicals including complexity and cost.

## Community Listening Session

During the community listening session three community members shared input. The following is a synthesis from the input shared during the listening session:

#### **Remediation Technology**

During the listening session, one commenter spoke to the importance of technological advancements, such as granular activated carbon and ion exchange resins, to remove PFAS compounds, such as PFOA and GenX from drinking water.

#### **Cost Impacts**

Commenters expressed frustration that consumers and the community bear the majority of cost impacts associated with PFAS exposure, such as healthcare and treatment, and urged the EPA to consider the costs of healthcare in their analyses.

#### **Source Control**

A commenter suggested a buyback program for aqueous film forming foam (AFFF) to remove it from use. Expired AFFF has been used in training activities as a means of disposal.

#### **Comprehensive Risk Assessment**

Commenters focused on the need for PFAS testing and monitoring beyond drinking water to fish, wildlife and other potential exposure pathways. They also spoke to the need to address PFAS exposure through an environmental justice and equity framework, focusing on minorities and low-income communities that may be geographically closer to industrial discharge and PFAS activity, and less financially-equipped to deal with the impacts of PFAS contamination.