

AGENCY OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION
WATERSHED MANAGEMENT DIVISION
ONE NATIONAL LIFE DRIVE, MAIN BUILDING, 2nd FLOOR
MONTPELIER, VT 05620-3522

Permit No.: 3-1165
PIN: NS99-0107
NPDES No.: VT0100854

Name of Applicant: Town of Royalton
PO Box 680
South Royalton, VT 05068

Expiration Date: **June 30, 2024**

DRAFT
DISCHARGE PERMIT

In compliance with the provisions of the Vermont Water Pollution Control Act as amended (10 V.S.A. chapter 47), the Vermont Water Pollution Control Permit Regulations as amended (Environmental Protection Rules, Chapter 13), and the federal Clean Water Act as amended (33 U.S.C. § 1251 *et seq.*), and implementing federal regulations, the Town of Royalton, Vermont (hereinafter referred to as the “Permittee”) is authorized by the Secretary of the Agency of Natural Resources (hereinafter referred to as the “Secretary”) to discharge from the Royalton Wastewater Treatment Facility (hereinafter referred to as the “WWTF”) to the White River in accordance with the following conditions.

This permit shall become effective on **September 1, 2019**

Emily Boedecker, Commissioner
Department of Environmental Conservation

By: _____ Date: _____

Chris Gianfagna, Wastewater Program Manager
Watershed Management Division

I. SPECIAL CONDITIONS**A. EFFLUENT LIMITS**

1. During the term of this permit, the Permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall serial number S/N 001 (located at Lat 43.80128, Long. -72.50231) of the Royalton Wastewater Treatment Facility (WWTF) to the White River, an effluent for which the characteristics shall not exceed the values listed below:

EFFLUENT CHARACTERISTICS	DISCHARGE LIMITATIONS							
	Annual Average	Monthly Average	Weekly Average	Maximum Day	Monthly Average	Weekly Average	Maximum Day	Instantaneous Maximum
	Mass (lbs/day)				Concentration (mg/L)			
Flow ¹	0.070 MGD	Monitor Only						
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (5-day, 20° C) (BOD ₅) ²		17.5	26.3		30	45	50	
Total Suspended Solids (TSS) ²		17.5	26.3		30	45	50	
Total Phosphorus (TP)							Monitor Only	
Total Nitrogen (TN) ³	See Section I.B	Monitor Only					Monitor only	
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)							Monitor only	
Nitrate/Nitrite Nitrogen (NO _x)							Monitor only	
Total Ammonia Nitrogen (TAN)							Monitor only	
Settleable Solids								1 ml/L
<i>Escherichia coli</i>								77/100mL
Total Residual Chlorine						1.0		2.0 mg/L
pH							Between 6.5- 8.5 Standard Units	

¹ Monthly average flow calculated by summing daily effluent flow for each day in the given month and dividing the sum by the number of days of discharge in that month.

² The Permittee shall operate the facility to meet the concentration limitations or pounds limitation, whichever is more restrictive.

³ Total nitrogen (TN) shall be reported as pounds using the Nitrogen Form WR-43-TN and calculated as: $Average\ TN\ (mg/L) \times Total\ Daily\ Flow \times 8.34$; where $TN\ (mg/L) = TKN\ (mg/L) + NO_x\ (mg/L)$

2. The effluent shall not have concentrations or combinations of contaminants including oil, grease, scum, foam, or floating solids which would cause a violation of the Vermont Water Quality Standards.
3. The effluent shall not cause visible discoloration of the receiving waters.
4. If the effluent discharged for a period of 90 consecutive days exceeds 80 percent of the permitted flow limitation, the Permittee shall submit to the Secretary projected loadings and a program for maintaining satisfactory treatment levels consistent with approved water quality management plans.
5. Annually, in October, the Permittee shall measure the sludge depth throughout the treatment lagoons. The results of the sludge measurements and a copy of a plan depicting the grid location of the measurements shall be submitted with the October Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) form WR-43.
6. Any action on the part of the Secretary in reviewing, commenting upon or approving plans and specifications for the construction of WWTFs shall not relieve the Permittee from the responsibility to achieve effluent limitations set forth in this permit and shall not constitute a waiver of, or act of estoppel against any remedy available to the Secretary, the State of Vermont or the federal government for failure to meet any requirement set forth in this permit or imposed by state or federal law.

B. TOTAL NITROGEN

1. Optimization Plan

The Permittee shall continue to implement the Nitrogen Optimization Plan approved by the Secretary on January 11, 2016. The Permittee shall implement these recommended operational changes to maintain the existing mass discharge loading of total nitrogen (TN). The baseline annual average daily TN load discharge from this facility is estimated to be **approximately 10.5 lbs/day**.

2. Plan Evaluation

The Permittee shall evaluate the effectiveness of the plan. The evaluation shall be conducted by a qualified professional with experience in the operation and/or design of municipal wastewater treatment facilities in conjunction with the Chief Operator of the facility. The results of the evaluation shall be submitted to the Secretary for review and approval by **November 1, 2019**. The plan shall be revised at the Secretary's request. Actions to implement the approved nitrogen removal optimization practices, if any, shall be initiated within 90 days of the Secretary's approval.

3. Reporting

Annually, the Permittee shall submit a report to the Secretary as an attachment to the

December Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) form WR-43 that documents the annual average TN discharged (in pounds per day) from the facility, summarizes nitrogen removal optimization and efficiencies, and tracks trends relative to the previous year.

TN = Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN) + Nitrite/Nitrate (NO_x).

TN pounds per day, annual average, shall be calculated as follows:

1. Calculate the pounds of TN discharged on each sample date:

$$\text{TN (lbs/day)} = \text{TN (mg/L)} \times \text{volume discharged (million gallons) on day of sample} \times 8.34$$

2. Calculate the TN, pounds per day, annual average:

$$\text{TN (lbs/day, annual average)} = (\text{Sum of all TN [lbs/day]})/(\text{count of TN samples})$$

4. Wasteload Allocation

This permit does not establish a formal Wasteload Allocation for the facility, nor does it convey any right to ownership of the facility's estimated baseline annual average TN load.

The Secretary reserves the right to reopen and amend this permit, pursuant to Section II.B.4 of this permit, to include an alternate TN limitation and/or additional monitoring requirements based on the monitoring data, the results of nitrogen optimization activities, or a formal Wasteload Allocation promulgated under Vermont's Wasteload Allocation Rule for Total Nitrogen in the Connecticut River Watershed based on the Long Island Sound Total Nitrogen Total Maximum Daily Load.

C. WASTE MANAGEMENT ZONE

In accordance with 10 V.S.A. § 1252, this permit hereby establishes a waste management zone that extends from the outfall of the Royalton Wastewater Treatment Facility in the White River downstream one mile.

D. REAPPLICATION

If the Permittee desires to continue to discharge after the expiration of this permit, the Permittee shall reapply on the application forms then in use at least 180 days before this permit expires.

Reapply for a Discharge Permit by: **December 31, 2023**

E. OPERATING FEES

This discharge is subject to operating fees as required by 3 V.S.A. § 2822.

F. TOXICITY TESTING

1. WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TESTING

During **August or September 2020 and January or February 2022**, the Permittee shall conduct two-species (*Pimephales promelas* and *Ceriodaphnia dubia*) acute WET tests on a composite effluent sample collected from S/N 001. Total Ammonia and Total Residual Chlorine should be measured in the highest concentration of test solution at the beginning of the test. The results shall be submitted to the Secretary by **December 31, 2020 and June 30, 2022, respectively**.

The WET tests shall be conducted according to the procedures and guidelines specified in “Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms” and “Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms” (both documents U.S. EPA October 2002 or, if a newer edition is available, the most recent edition).

Based upon the results of these tests or any other toxicity tests conducted, the Secretary reserves the right to reopen and amend this permit, pursuant to Condition II.B.4 of this permit, to require additional WET testing or that Toxicity Reduction Evaluation.

G. MONITORING AND REPORTING

1. Sampling and Analysis

The sampling, preservation, handling, and analytical methods used shall conform to the test procedures published in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (C.F.R.) Part 136.

The Permittee shall use sufficiently sensitive test procedures (i.e., methods) approved under 40 C.F.R. Part 136 for the analysis of the pollutants or pollutant parameters required under this Section.

Samples shall be representative of the volume and quality of effluent discharged over the sampling and reporting period. All samples are to be taken during normal operating hours. The Permittee shall identify the effluent sampling location used for each discharge. A description of the effluent sample location is included in Condition I.G.2.

2. Effluent Monitoring

During the term of this permit, the Permittee shall monitor and record the quality and quantity of discharge(s) at outfall serial number S/N 001 of the Royalton WWTF, according to the following schedule and other provisions:

PARAMETER	MINIMUM FREQUENCY OF ANALYSIS	SAMPLE TYPE
Flow	Continuous	Daily Total, Max., Min.
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD ₅)	1 × month	composite ¹
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	1 × month	composite ¹
Total Phosphorus (TP)	1 × month	composite ¹
Total Nitrogen (TN)	1 × month	[calculated ^{2,3}]
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)	1 × month	composite ^{1,3}
Nitrate/Nitrite Nitrogen (NO _x)	1 × month	composite ^{1,3}
Total Ammonia Nitrogen (TAN)	2 x year	grab ⁴
Settleable Solids	1 × day	grab ⁵
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	1 × month	grab ⁶
Total Residual Chlorine	1 × day	grab
pH	1 × day	grab

Samples collected in compliance with the monitoring requirements specified above shall be collected downstream from the v-notch weir.

¹ Composite samples for BOD₅, TSS, TP, TKN, and NO_x shall be taken during the hours 6:00 AM to 6:00 PM, unless otherwise specified. Eight hours is the minimum period for the composite, 24 hours is the maximum for the composite.

² TN = TKN + NO_x

³ Submit results each month on Total Nitrogen Monitoring Report Form WR-43-TN.

⁴ The first biannual sample shall be collected during the summer (July, August, or September). The second biannual sample shall be collected during the winter (January, February, or March).

⁵ Settleable Solids samples shall be collected between 10:00 AM and 2:00 PM or during the period of peak flow.

⁶ The monthly *E. coli* sample shall be collected at the same time and location as a daily Total Residual Chlorine sample. Samples shall be collected between the hours of 6:00 AM and 6:00 PM.

3. Reporting

The Permittee is required to submit monthly reports of monitoring results as required in Condition I.G and operational parameters on Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) form WR-43 or through an electronic reporting system made available by the Secretary. Reports

are due on the 15th day of each month, beginning with the month following the effective date of this permit.

Unless waived by the Secretary, the Permittee shall electronically submit its DMRs via Vermont's on-line electronic reporting system. The Permittee shall electronically submit additional compliance monitoring data and reports specified by the Secretary. When the Permittee submits DMRs using an electronic system designated by the Secretary, which requires attachment of scanned DMRs in PDF format, it is not required to submit hard copies of DMRs. The link below shall be used for electronic submittals:

<https://anronline.vermont.gov/>

If, in any reporting period, there has been no discharge, the Permittee must submit that information by the report due date.

All reports shall be signed:

- a) In the case of corporations, by a principal executive officer of at least the level of vice president, or his/her duly authorized representative, if such representative is responsible for the overall operation of the facility from which the discharge described in the permit form originates and the authorization is made in writing and submitted to the Secretary;
- b) In the case of a partnership, by a general partner;
- c) In the case of a sole proprietorship, by the proprietor; or
- d) In the case of a municipal, State, or other public facility, by either a principal executive officer, ranking elected official, or other duly authorized employee.

4. Recording of Results

The Permittee shall maintain records of all information resulting from any monitoring activities required, including:

- a) The exact place, date, and time of sampling or measurement;
- b) The individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements;
- c) The dates and times the analyses were performed;
- d) The individual(s) who performed the analyses;
- e) The analytical techniques and methods used including sample collection handling and preservation techniques;
- f) The results of such analyses;

- g) The records of monitoring activities and results, including all instrumentation and calibration and maintenance records;
- h) The original calculation and data bench sheets of the operator who performed analysis of the influent or effluent pursuant to requirements of this permit; and
- i) For analyses performed by contract laboratories:
 - a. The detection level reported by the laboratory for each sample; and
 - b. The laboratory analytical report including documentation of the QA/QC and analytical procedures.

When “non-detects” are recorded, the method detection limit shall be reported and used in calculating any time-period averaging for reporting on DMRs.

5. Additional Monitoring

If the Permittee monitors any pollutant at the location(s) designated herein more frequently than required by this permit, using approved analytical methods as specified above, the results of such monitoring shall be included in the calculation and reporting of the values required in the DMR form WR-43. Such increased frequency shall also be indicated.

H. DRY WEATHER FLOWS

Dry weather flows of untreated municipal wastewater from any sanitary or combined sewers are not authorized by this permit and are specifically prohibited by state and federal laws and regulations. If for any reason there is a discharge to waters of the State of dry weather flows of untreated municipal wastewater from any sanitary or combined sewer, the operator of the facility or the operator’s delegate shall comply with the notice requirements outlined in Condition II.A.2 of this permit.

I. OPERATION, MANAGEMENT, AND EMERGENCY RESPONSE PLANS

The Permittee shall implement the Operation, Management, and Emergency Response Plans for the treatment facility, sewage pumping stations, sewer line stream crossings as approved by the Secretary on March 11, 2009, and sewer collection system as approved by the Secretary on August 13, 2010.

By no later than **December 31, 2022**, the Permittee shall prepare and submit to the Secretary for review and approval, an updated Operation, Management, and Emergency Response Plan for treatment facility, sewage pumping stations, sewer line stream crossings, and sewage collection system. The Plan shall be immediately implemented upon approval by the Secretary. This plan shall comply with the provisions of 10 V.S.A. § 1278, which require:

- a. Identification of those elements of the facility, including collection systems, that are determined to be prone to failure based on installation, age, design, or other relevant factors.

- b. Identification of those elements of the facility identified under subdivision (a) of this subsection which, if one or more failed, would result in a significant release of untreated or partially treated sewage to surface waters of the State.
- c. The elements identified in subdivision (b) of this subsection shall be inspected in accordance with a schedule approved by the Secretary.
- d. An emergency contingency plan to reduce the volume of a detected spill and to mitigate the effect of such a spill on public health and the environment.

The Permittee shall revise these plans upon the Secretary's request or on its own motion to reflect equipment or operational changes.

J. EMERGENCY ACTION - ELECTRIC POWER FAILURE

The Permittee shall indicate in writing to the Secretary **within 90 days after the effective date of this permit** that in the event the primary source of electric power to the WWTF (including pump stations) fails, the Permittee shall either provide an alternative source of power for the operation of its WWTF, or demonstrate that the treatment facility has the capacity to store the wastewater volume that would be generated over the duration of the longest power failure that would have affected the facility in the last five years, excluding catastrophic events.

The alternative power supply, whether from a generating unit located at the WWTF or purchased from an independent source of electricity, must be separate from the existing power source used to operate the WWTF. If a separate unit located at the WWTF is to be used, the Permittee shall certify in writing to the Secretary when the unit is completed and prepared to generate power.

The determination of treatment system storage capacity shall be submitted to the Secretary upon completion.

K. SEWER ORDINANCE

The Permittee shall have in effect a sewer use ordinance acceptable to the Secretary which, at a minimum, shall

1. Prohibit the introduction by any person into the Permittee's sewerage system or WWTF of any pollutant which:
 - a) Is a toxic pollutant in toxic amounts as defined in standards issued from time to time under Section 307(a) of the Clean Water Act;
 - b) Creates a fire or explosion hazard in the Permittee's treatment works;
 - c) Causes corrosive structural damage to the Permittee's treatment works, including all wastes with a pH lower than 5.0;

- d) Contains solid or viscous substances in amounts which would cause obstruction to the flow in sewers or other interference with proper operation of the Permittee's treatment works; or
 - e) In the case of a major contributing industry, as defined in this permit, contains an incompatible pollutant, as defined in this permit, in an amount or concentration in excess of that allowed under standards or guidelines issued from time to time pursuant to Sections 304, 306, and/or 307 of the Clean Water Act.
2. Require 45 days prior notification to the Permittee by any person or persons of a:
- a) Proposed substantial change in volume or character of pollutants over that being discharged into the Permittee's treatment works at the time of issuance of this permit;
 - b) Proposed new discharge into the Permittee's treatment works of pollutants from any source which would be a new source as defined in Section 306 of the Clean Water Act if such source were discharging pollutants; or
 - c) Proposed new discharge into the Permittee's treatment works of pollutants from any source which would be subject to Section 301 of the Clean Water Act if it were discharging such pollutants.
3. Require any industry discharging into the Permittee's treatment works to perform such monitoring of its discharge as the Permittee may reasonably require, including the installation, use, and maintenance of monitoring equipment and monitoring methods, keeping records of the results of such monitoring, and reporting the results of such monitoring to the Permittee. Such records shall be made available by the Permittee to the Secretary upon request.
4. Authorize the Permittee's authorized representatives to enter into, upon, or through the premises of any industry discharging into the Permittee's treatment works to have access to and copy any records, to inspect any monitoring equipment or method required under subsection 3 above, and to sample any discharge into the Permittee's treatment works.

II. GENERAL CONDITIONS

A. MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS

1. Facility Modification / Change in Discharge

All discharges authorized herein shall be consistent with the terms and conditions of this permit. The discharge of any pollutant more frequently than, or at a level in excess of, that identified and authorized by this permit shall constitute a violation of the terms and conditions of this permit. Such a violation may result in the imposition of civil and/or criminal penalties pursuant to 10 V.S.A. chapters 47, 201, and/or 211. Any anticipated facility alterations or expansions or process modifications which will result in new, different, or increased discharges of any pollutants must be reported by submission of a

new permit application or, if such changes will not violate the effluent limitations specified in this permit, by notice to the Secretary of such changes. Following such notice, the permit may be modified, pursuant to Condition II.B.4 of this permit, to specify and limit any pollutants not previously limited.

In addition, the Permittee, within 30 days of the of the date on which the Permittee is notified of such discharge, shall provide notice to the Secretary of the following:

- a) Any new introduction of pollutants into the treatment works from a source which would be a new source as defined in Section 306 of the Clean Water Act if such source were discharging pollutants;
- b) Except for such categories and classes of point sources or discharges specified by the Secretary, any new introduction of pollutants into the treatment works from a source which would be subject to Section 301 of the Clean Water Act if such source were discharging pollutants; and
- c) Any substantial change in volume or character of pollutants being introduced into the treatment works by a source introducing pollutants into such works at the time of issuance of the permit.

The notice shall include:

- i. The quality and quantity of the discharge to be introduced into the system, and
- ii. The anticipated impact of such change in the quality or quantity of the effluent to be discharged from the WWTF.

2. Noncompliance Notification

- a) The Permittee shall give advance notice to the Secretary of any planned changes in the permitted facility or activity which may result in noncompliance with permit requirements.
- b) In the event the Permittee is unable to comply with any of the conditions of this permit due, among other reasons, to:
 - i. Breakdown or maintenance of waste treatment equipment (biological and physical-chemical systems including all pipes, transfer pumps, compressors, collection ponds or tanks for the segregation of treated or untreated wastes, ion exchange columns, or carbon absorption units);
 - ii. Accidents caused by human error or negligence;
 - iii. Any unanticipated bypass or upset which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit;

- iv. Violation of a maximum day discharge limitation for any of the pollutants listed by the Secretary in this permit; or
- v. Other causes such as acts of nature,

the Permittee shall provide notice as specified in subdivisions (c) and (d) of this subsection.

c) Pursuant to 10 V.S.A. §1295, notice for “untreated discharges,” as defined.

- i. Public notice. For “untreated discharges” an operator of a WWTF or the operator’s delegate shall as soon as possible, but no longer than one hour from discovery of an untreated discharge from the WWTF, post on a publicly accessible electronic network, mobile application, or other electronic media designated by the Secretary an alert informing the public of the untreated discharge and its location, except that if the operator or his or her delegate does not have telephone or Internet service at the location where he or she is working to control or stop the untreated discharge, the operator or his or her delegate may delay posting the alert until the time that the untreated discharge is controlled or stopped, provided that the alert shall be posted no later than four hours from discovery of the untreated discharge.
- ii. Secretary notification. For “untreated discharges” an operator of a WWTF shall within 12 hours from discovery of an untreated discharge from the WWTF notify the Secretary and the local health officer of the municipality where the facility is located of the untreated discharge. The operator shall notify the Secretary through use of the Department of Environmental Conservation’s online event reporting system. If, for any reason, the online event reporting system is not operable, the operator shall notify the Secretary via telephone or e-mail. The notification shall include:
 - (1) The specific location of each untreated discharge, including the body of water affected. For combined sewer overflows, the specific location of each untreated discharge means each outfall that has discharges during the wet weather storm event.
 - (2) Except for discharges from a WWTF to a separate storm sewer system, the date and approximate time the untreated discharge began.
 - (3) The date and approximate time the untreated discharge ended. If the untreated discharge is still ongoing at the time of reporting, the entity reporting the untreated discharge shall amend the report with the date and approximate time the untreated discharge ended within three business days of the untreated discharge ending.
 - (4) Except for discharges from a WWTF to a separate storm sewer system, the approximate total volume of sewage and, if applicable, stormwater that was released. If the approximate total volume is unknown at the time of reporting,

the entity reporting the untreated discharge shall amend the report with the approximate total volume within three business days.

- (5) The cause of the untreated discharge and a brief description of the noncompliance, including the type of event and the type of sewer structure involved.
 - (6) The person reporting the untreated discharge.
- d) For any non-compliance not covered under Condition II.A.2.c. of this permit, an operator of a WWTF or the operator's delegate shall notify the Secretary within 24 hours of becoming aware of such condition and shall provide the Secretary with the following information, in writing, within five days:
- i. Cause of non-compliance;
 - ii. A description of the non-complying discharge including its impact upon the receiving water;
 - iii. Anticipated time the condition of non-compliance is expected to continue or, if such condition has been corrected, the duration of the period of non-compliance;
 - iv. Steps taken by the Permittee to reduce and eliminate the non-complying discharge; and
 - v. Steps to be taken by the Permittee to prevent recurrence of the condition of non-compliance.

3. Operation and Maintenance

All waste collection, control, treatment, and disposal facilities shall be operated in a manner consistent with the following:

- a) The Permittee shall, at all times, maintain in good working order and operate as efficiently as possible all treatment and control facilities and systems (and related appurtenances) installed or used by the Permittee to achieve compliance with the terms and conditions of this permit. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of back-up or auxiliary facilities or similar systems which are installed by the Permittee only when the operation is necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit.
- b) The Permittee shall provide an adequate operating staff which is duly qualified to carry out the operation, maintenance, and testing functions required to ensure compliance with the conditions of this permit; and

- c) The operation and maintenance of this facility shall be performed only by qualified personnel who are licensed as required by Secretary and the Director of the Vermont Office of Professional Regulation.

4. Quality Control

The Permittee shall calibrate and perform maintenance procedures on all monitoring and analytical instrumentation at regular intervals to ensure accuracy of measurements or shall ensure that both activities will be conducted.

The Permittee shall keep records of these activities and shall provide such records upon request of the Secretary.

The Permittee shall demonstrate the accuracy of the effluent flow measurement device **weekly** and report the results on the monthly report forms. The acceptable limit of error is $\pm 10\%$.

For purposes of demonstrating compliance with the requirements of Condition II.A.3.a) of this permit regarding adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures, the Permittee shall conduct and pass an annual laboratory proficiency test, via an accredited laboratory, for the analysis of all pollutant parameters performed within their facility laboratory and reported as required by this permit. This can be carried out as part of an EPA DMR-QA study. Results shall be submitted to the Secretary by **December 31, annually**. The first proficiency test results are due by **December 31, 2020**.

5. Bypass

The bypass of facilities (including pump stations) is prohibited, except where authorized under the terms and conditions of an Emergency Pollution Permit issued pursuant to 10 V.S.A. § 1268. It shall not be a defense for the Permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this permit.

6. Duty to Mitigate

The Permittee shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any adverse impact to waters of the State, the environment, or human health resulting from non-compliance with any condition specified in this permit, including accelerated or additional monitoring as necessary to determine the nature and impact of the non-complying discharge.

7. Records Retention

All records and information resulting from the monitoring activities required by this permit including all records of analyses performed, all calibration and maintenance of instrumentation records and all original chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by this permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for this permit shall be retained for a minimum of three years, and shall be submitted to the Secretary upon request. This period shall be extended during the

course of unresolved litigation regarding the discharge of pollutants or when requested by the Secretary.

8. Solids Management

Collected screenings, sludges, and other solids removed in the course of treatment and control of wastewaters shall be stored, treated, and disposed of in accordance with 10 V.S.A. chapter 159 and with the terms and conditions of any certification, interim or final, transitional operation authorization, or order issued pursuant to 10 V.S.A. chapter 159 that is in effect on the issuance date of this permit or is issued during the term of this permit.

9. Emergency Pollution Permits

Maintenance activities, or emergencies resulting from equipment failure or malfunction, including power outages, which result in an effluent which exceeds the effluent limitations specified herein, shall be considered a violation of the conditions of this permit, unless the Permittee's discharge is covered under an emergency pollution permit under the provisions of 10 V.S.A. § 1268. The Permittee shall notify the Secretary of the emergency situation by the next working day, unless notice is required sooner under Section II.A.2.

10 V.S.A. § Section 1268 reads as follows:

When a discharge permit holder finds that pollution abatement facilities require repairs, replacement or other corrective action in order for them to continue to meet standards specified in the permit, he may apply in the manner specified by the secretary for an emergency pollution permit for a term sufficient to effect repairs, replacements or other corrective action. The Secretary shall proceed in accordance with chapter 170 of this title. No emergency pollution permit shall be issued unless the applicant certifies and the secretary finds that:

- (1) there is no present, reasonable alternative means of disposing of the waste other than by discharging it into the waters of the state during the limited period of time of the emergency;
- (2) the denial of an emergency pollution permit would work an extreme hardship upon the applicant;
- (3) the granting of an emergency pollution permit will result in some public benefit;
- (4) the discharge will not be unreasonably harmful to the quality of the receiving waters;
- (5) the cause or reason for the emergency is not due to willful or intended acts or omissions of the applicant.

Application shall be made to the Secretary at the following address: Agency of Natural Resources, Department of Environmental Conservation, One National Life Drive, Main Building, 2nd Floor, Montpelier VT 05620-3522.

B. RESPONSIBILITIES

1. Right of Entry

The Permittee shall allow the Secretary or authorized representative, upon the presentation of proper credentials:

- a) To enter upon the Permittee's premises where a regulated facility or activity is located or conducted, or where records must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
- b) To have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records required to be kept under the terms and conditions of this permit;
- c) To inspect, at reasonable times, any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit; and
- d) To sample or monitor, at reasonable times, for the purposes of assuring permit compliance or as otherwise authorized by the Clean Water Act, any substances or parameters at any location.

2. Transfer of Ownership or Control

This permit is not transferable without prior written approval of the Secretary. All application and operating fees must be paid in full prior to transfer of this permit. In the event of any change in control or ownership of facilities from which the authorized discharges emanate, the Permittee shall provide a copy of this permit to the succeeding owner or controller and shall send written notification of the change in ownership or control to the Secretary **at least 30 days in advance of the proposed transfer date**. The notice to the Secretary shall include a written agreement between the existing and new Permittees containing a specific date for transfer of permit responsibility, coverage, and liability between them. The Permittee shall also inform the prospective owner or operator of their responsibility to make an application for transfer of this permit.

This request for transfer application must include as a minimum:

- a) A properly completed application form provided by the Secretary and the applicable processing fee.
- b) A written statement from the prospective owner or operator certifying:
 - i. The conditions of the operation that contribute to, or affect, the discharge will not be materially different under the new ownership;
 - ii. The prospective owner or operator has read and is familiar with the terms of the permit and agrees to comply with all terms and conditions of the permit; and

- iii. The prospective owner or operator has adequate funding to operate and maintain the treatment system and remain in compliance with the terms and conditions of the permit.

- c) The date of the sale or transfer.

The Secretary may require additional information dependent upon the current status of the facility operation, maintenance, and permit compliance.

3. Confidentiality

Pursuant to 10 V.S.A. § 1259(b):

Any records or information obtained under this permit program that constitutes trade secrets under 1 V.S.A. § 317(c)(9) shall be kept confidential, except that such records or information may be disclosed to authorized representatives of the State and the United States when relevant to any proceedings under this chapter.

Claims for confidentiality for the following information will be denied:

- a) The name and address of any permit applicant or Permittee.
- b) Permit applications, permits, and effluent data.
- c) Information required by application forms, including information submitted on the forms themselves and any attachments used to supply information required by the forms.

4. Permit Modification, Suspension, and Revocation

After notice and opportunity for a hearing, this permit may be modified, suspended, or revoked in whole or in part during its term for cause including the following:

- a) Violation of any terms or conditions of this permit;
- b) Obtaining this permit by misrepresentation or failure to disclose fully all relevant facts;
- c) Reallocation of WLA under the LC TMDL;
- d) Development of an integrated WWTF and stormwater runoff NPDES permit; or
- e) A change in any condition that requires either a temporary or permanent reduction or elimination of the permitted discharge.

The filing of a request by the Permittee for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance shall not stay any permit condition.

The Permittee shall provide to the Secretary, within a reasonable time, any information which the Secretary may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit or to determine compliance with this permit. The Permittee shall also furnish to the Secretary upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this permit.

5. Toxic Effluent Standards

If a toxic effluent standard or prohibition (including any schedule of compliance specified in such effluent standard or prohibition) is established under section 307(a) of the Clean Water Act for a toxic pollutant which is present in the Permittee's discharge and such standard or prohibition is more stringent than any limitation upon such pollutant in this permit, then this permit shall be modified or revoked and reissued, pursuant to Condition II.B.4 of this permit, in accordance with the toxic effluent standard or prohibition and the Permittee so notified.

6. Oil and Hazardous Substance Liability

Nothing in this permit shall be construed to preclude the institution of legal action or relieve the Permittee from any responsibilities, liabilities, or penalties to which the Permittee is or may be subject under 10 V.S.A. § 1281.

7. Other Materials

Other materials ordinarily produced or used in the operation of this facility, which have been specifically identified in the application, may be discharged at the maximum frequency and maximum level identified in the application, provided:

- a) They are not:
 - i. Designated as toxic or hazardous under provisions of Sections 307 and 311, respectively, of the Clean Water Act, or
 - ii. Known to be hazardous or toxic by the Permittee,

except that such materials indicated in (i) and (ii) above may be discharged in certain limited amounts with the written approval of, and under special conditions established by, the Secretary or his/her designated representative, if the substances will not pose any imminent hazard to the public health or safety;
- b) The discharge of such materials will not violate the Vermont Water Quality Standards; and
- c) The Permittee is not notified by the Secretary to eliminate or reduce the quantity of such materials entering the water.

8. Navigable Waters

This permit does not authorize or approve the construction of any onshore or offshore physical structures or facilities or the undertaking of any work in any navigable waters.

9. Civil and Criminal Liability

The Permittee shall comply with all conditions of this permit. Any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of the Clean Water Act and is grounds for enforcement action; for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; or denial of a permit renewal application. Except as provided in "Bypass" (Condition II.A.5) and "Emergency Pollution Permits" (Condition II.A.9), nothing in this permit shall be construed to relieve the Permittee from civil or criminal penalties for noncompliance. Civil and criminal penalties for non-compliance are provided for in 10 V.S.A. Chapters 47, 201, and 211.

10. State Laws

Nothing in this permit shall be construed to preclude the institution of any legal action or relieve the Permittee from any responsibilities, liabilities, or penalties established pursuant to any applicable state law or regulation under authority preserved by Section 510 of the Clean Water Act.

11. Property Rights

Issuance of this permit does not convey any property rights in either real or personal property, or any exclusive privileges, nor does it authorize any injury to private property or any invasion of personal rights, nor any infringement of federal, state, or local laws or regulations.

12. Other Information

If the Permittee becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application or submitted incorrect information in a permit application or in any report to the Secretary, it shall promptly submit such facts or information.

13. Severability

The provisions of this permit are severable, and if any provision of this permit, or the application of any provision of this permit to any circumstance, is held invalid, the application of such provision to other circumstances, and the remainder of this permit, shall not be affected thereby.

14. Authority

This permit is issued under authority of 10 V.S.A. §§1258 and 1259 of the Vermont Water Pollution Control Act, the Vermont Water Pollution Control Permit Regulation, and Section 402 of the Clean Water Act, as amended.

15. Definitions

For purposes of this permit, the following definitions shall apply.

Agency – means the Vermont Agency of Natural Resources.

Annual Average – means the highest allowable average of daily discharges calculated as the sum of all daily discharges (mg/L, lbs or gallons) measured during a calendar year divided by the number of daily discharges measured during that year.

Average – means the arithmetic means of values taken at the frequency required for each parameter over the specified period.

Bypass – means the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of the treatment facility.

The Clean Water Act – means the federal Clean Water Act, as amended (33 U.S.C. § 1251, et seq.).

Composite Sample – means a sample consisting of a minimum of one grab sample per hour collected during a 24-hour period (or lesser period as specified in the section on Monitoring and Reporting) and combined proportionally to flow over that same time period.

Daily Discharge – means the discharge of a pollutant measured during a calendar day or any 24-hour period that reasonably represents the calendar day for purposes of sampling.

For pollutants with limitations expressed in pounds the daily discharge is calculated as the total pounds of pollutants discharged over the day.

For pollutants with limitations expressed in mg/L the daily discharge is calculated as the average measurement of the pollutant over the day.

Discharge – means the placing, depositing, or emission of any wastes, directly or indirectly, into an injection well or into the waters of the State.

Grab Sample – means an individual sample collected in a period of less than 15 minutes.

Incompatible Substance – means any waste being discharged into the treatment works which interferes with, passes through without treatment, or is otherwise incompatible with said works or would have a substantial adverse effect on the works or on water quality. This includes all pollutants required to be regulated under the Clean Water Act.

Instantaneous Maximum – means a value not to be exceeded in any grab sample.

Major Contributing Industry – means one that: (1) has a flow of 50,000 gallons or more per average work day; (2) has a flow greater than five percent of the flow carried by the municipal system receiving the waste; (3) has in its wastes a toxic pollutant in toxic amounts as defined in standards issued under § 307(a) of the Clean Water Act; or (4) has a significant

impact, either singly or in combination with other contributing industries, on a treatment works or on the quality of effluent from that treatment works.

Maximum Day or Maximum Daily Discharge Limitation – means the highest allowable “daily discharge” (mg/L, lbs or gallons).

Mean – means the arithmetic mean.

Monthly Average or Average Monthly Discharge Limitation – means the highest allowable average of daily discharges (mg/L, lbs or gallons) over a calendar month, calculated as the sum of all daily discharges (mg/L, lbs or gallons) measured during a calendar month divided by the number of daily discharges measured during that month.

NPDES – means the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System.

Secretary – means the Secretary of the Agency of Natural Resources or the Secretary’s duly authorized representative.

Septage – means the liquid and solid material pumped from a septic tank, cesspool, or similar domestic sewage treatment system, or a holding tank when the system is cleaned or maintained.

Untreated Discharge – means (1) combined sewer overflows from a WWTF; (2) overflows from sanitary sewers and combined sewer systems that are part of a WWTF during dry weather flows, which result in a discharge to waters of the State; (3) upsets or bypasses around or within a WWTF during dry or wet weather conditions that are due to factors unrelated to a wet weather storm event and that result in a discharge of sewage that has not been fully treated to waters of the State; and (4) discharges from a WWTF to separate storm sewer systems.

Waste – means effluent, sewage or any substance or material, liquid, gaseous, solid, or radioactive, including heated liquids, whether harmful or deleterious to waters.

Waste Management Zone – means a specific reach of Class B waters designated by a permit to accept the discharge of properly treated wastes that prior to treatment contained organisms pathogenic to human beings. Throughout the receiving waters, water quality criteria must be achieved but increased health risks exist in a waste management zone due to the authorized discharge.

Waters – means all rivers, streams, creeks, brooks, reservoirs, ponds, lakes, springs, and all bodies of surface waters, artificial or natural, which are contained within, flow through, or border upon the State or any portion of it.

Weekly Average or Average Weekly Discharge Limitation – means the highest allowable average of daily discharges (mg/L, lbs or gallons) over a calendar week, calculated as the sum of all daily discharges (mg/L, lbs or gallons) measured during a calendar week divided by the number of daily discharges measured during that week.

Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) – means the aggregate toxic effect of an effluent measured directly by a toxicity test.

Wastewater Treatment Facility (WWTF) – means a treatment plant, collection system, pump station, and attendant facilities permitted by the Secretary for the purpose of treating domestic, commercial, or industrial wastewater.

AGENCY OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION
WATERSHED MANAGEMENT DIVISION
ONE NATIONAL LIFE DRIVE, MAIN BUILDING, 2ND FLOOR
MONTPELIER, VT 05620-3522

**FACT SHEET FOR DRAFT PERMIT
(June 2019)**

**NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (NPDES) PERMIT TO
DISCHARGE TO WATERS OF THE STATE**

PERMIT NO: 3-1165
PIN: NS99-0107
NPDES NO: VT0100854

NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT:

**Town of Royalton
PO Box 680
South Royalton, VT 05068**

NAME AND ADDRESS OF FACILITY WHERE DISCHARGE OCCURS:

**Royalton Wastewater Treatment Facility
120 Dutton Rd
Royalton, Vermont**

RECEIVING WATER: White River

CLASSIFICATION: All uses Class B(2) with a waste management zone. Class B waters are suitable for swimming and other primary contact recreation; irrigation and agricultural uses; aquatic biota and aquatic habitat; good aesthetic value; boating, fishing, and other recreational uses; and suitable for public water source with filtration and disinfection or other required treatment. A waste management zone is a specific reach of Class B(1) or B(2) waters designated by a permit to accept the discharge of properly treated wastes that prior to treatment contained organisms pathogenic to human beings.

I. Proposed Action, Type of Facility, and Discharge Location

The Secretary of the Vermont Agency of Natural Resources (Secretary) received a renewal application for the permit to discharge into the designated receiving water from the above-named applicant on **June 25, 2018**. The facility's previous permit was issued on **January 2, 2014**. The previous permit (hereafter referred to as the "current permit") has been administratively continued, pursuant to 3 V.S.A. § 814, as the applicant filed a complete application for permit reissuance within the prescribed time period as per the Vermont Water Pollution Control Permit Regulations

(VWPCPR) § 13.5(b). At this time, the Secretary has made a tentative decision to reissue the discharge permit.

The facility is engaged in the treatment of municipal wastewater.

A map showing the location of facility, outfalls and the receiving water is provided in the Reasonable Potential Determination (RPD) (see Attachment A).

II. Description of Discharge

The facility is engaged in the treatment of municipal wastewater including domestic commercial, and industrial wastewaters. There are no pretreaters permitted under the NPDES program discharging to the collection system. The wastewater treatment facility is a lagoon. The design flow of the facility is 0.07 million gallons per day (MGD) and design BOD loading is 200 mg/l (117 lbs/day). The average flow to the facility over the last 5 years is about 0.023 MGD.

The WWTF maintains a constant discharge to the White River.

III. Limitations and Conditions

The draft permit contains limitations for Effluent Flow, Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD₅), Total Suspended Solids (TSS), Total Phosphorus (TP), Settleable Solids, *Escherichia coli*, Total Residual Chlorine (TRC), and pH. It also contains monitoring requirements for Total Nitrogen (TN), Total Ammonia Nitrogen (TAN), Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN), and Nitrate/Nitrite (NO_x). The effluent limitations of the draft permit and the monitoring requirements may be found on the following pages of the draft permit:

Effluent Limitations:	Pages 2-3 of 22
Monitoring Requirements:	Pages 5-7 of 23

IV. Statutory and Regulatory Authority

A. Clean Water Act and NPDES Background

Congress enacted the Clean Water Act (CWA or Act), “to restore and maintain the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of the Nation’s waters.” CWA § 101(a). To achieve this objective, the CWA makes it unlawful for any person to discharge any pollutant into the waters of the United States from any point source, except as authorized by specified permitting sections of the Act, one of which is Section 402. CWA §§ 301(a), 402(a). Section 402 establishes one of the CWA’s principal permitting programs, the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES). Under this section of the Act, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) may “issue a permit for the discharge of any pollutant, or combination of pollutants” in accordance with certain conditions. CWA § 402(a). The State of Vermont has been approved by the EPA to administer the NPDES Program in Vermont. NPDES permits generally contain discharge limitations and establish related monitoring and reporting requirements. CWA § 402(a)(1) - (2).

Section 301 of the CWA provides for two types of effluent limitations to be included in NPDES permits: “technology-based” limitations and “water quality-based” limitations. CWA §§ 301, 303,

304(b); 40 CFR Parts 122, 125, 131. Technology-based limitations, generally developed on an industry-by-industry basis, reflect a specified level of pollutant-reducing technology available and economically achievable for the type of facility being permitted. CWA § 301(b). As a class, WWTFs must meet performance-based requirements based on available wastewater treatment technology. CWA § 301(b)(1)(B). The performance level for WWTFs is referred to as “secondary treatment.” Secondary treatment is comprised of technology-based requirements expressed in terms of BOD₅, TSS and pH; 40 C.F.R. Part 133.

Water quality-based effluent limits, on the other hand, are designed to ensure that state water quality standards are achieved, irrespective of the technological or economic considerations that inform technology-based limits. Under the CWA, states must develop water quality standards for all water bodies within the state. CWA § 303. These standards have three parts: (1) one or more “designated uses” for each water body or water body segment in the state; (2) water quality “criteria,” consisting of numerical concentration levels and/or narrative statements specifying the amounts of various pollutants that may be present in each water body without impairing the designated uses of that water body; and (3) an antidegradation provision, focused on protecting high quality waters and protecting and maintaining water quality necessary to protect existing uses. CWA § 303(c)(2)(A); 40 C.F.R. § 131.12. The applicable water quality standards for this permit are the 2017 Vermont Water Quality Standards (Environmental Protection Rule, Chapter 29a).

A permit must include limits for any pollutant or pollutant parameter (conventional, non-conventional, toxic, and whole effluent toxicity) that is or may be discharged at a level that causes or has “reasonable potential” to cause or contribute to an excursion above any water quality standard, including narrative water quality criteria. See 40 CFR §122.44(d)(1). An excursion occurs if the projected or actual in-stream concentration exceeds the applicable criterion. A NPDES permit must contain effluent limitations and conditions in order to ensure that the discharge does not cause or contribute to water quality standard violations.

Receiving stream requirements are established according to numerical and narrative standards adopted under state law for each stream classification. When using chemical-specific numeric criteria from the State's water quality standards to develop permit limits, both the acute and chronic aquatic life criteria are used and expressed in terms of maximum allowable in stream pollutant concentrations. Acute aquatic life criteria are generally implemented through maximum daily limits and chronic aquatic life criteria are generally implemented through average monthly limits.

Where a state has not established a numeric water quality criterion for a specific chemical pollutant that is present in the effluent in a concentration that causes or has a reasonable potential to cause a violation of narrative water quality standards, the permitting authority must establish effluent limits in one of three ways: based on a “calculated numeric criterion for the pollutant which the permitting authority demonstrates will attain and maintain applicable narrative water quality criteria and fully protect the designated use”; on a “case-by-case basis” using CWA Section 304(a) recommended water quality criteria, supplemented as necessary by other relevant information; or, in certain circumstances, based on an “indicator parameter.” 40 CFR § 122.44(d)(1)(vi)(A-C).

The state rules governing Vermont’s NPDES permit program are found in the Vermont Water

Pollution Control Permit Regulations (Environmental Protection Rule, Chapter 13).

1. Reasonable Potential Determination

In determining whether this permit has the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an impairment, Vermont has considered:

- 1) Existing controls on point and non-point sources of pollution as evidenced by the Vermont surface water assessment database;
- 2) Pollutant concentration and variability in the effluent as determined from the permit application materials, monthly discharge monitoring reports (DMRs), or other facility reports;
- 3) Receiving water quality based on targeted water quality and biological assessments of receiving waters, as applicable, or other State or Federal water quality reports;
- 4) Toxicity testing results based on the Vermont Toxic Discharge Control Strategy, and compelled as a condition of prior permits;
- 5) Available dilution of the effluent in the receiving water, expressed as the instream waste concentration. In accordance with the applicable Vermont Water Quality Standards, available dilution for rivers and streams is based on a known or estimated value of the lowest average flow which occurs for seven (7) consecutive days with a recurrence interval of once in ten (10) years (7Q10) for aquatic life and human health criteria for non-carcinogens, or at all flows for human health (carcinogens only) in the receiving water. For nutrients, available dilution for stream and river discharges is assessed using the low median monthly flow computed as the median flow of the month containing the lowest annual flow. Available dilution for lakes is based on mixing zones of no more than 200 feet in diameter, in any direction, from the effluent discharge point, including as applicable the length of a diffuser apparatus.
- 6) All effluent limitations, monitoring requirements, and other conditions of the proposed draft permit.

The Reasonable Potential Determination for this facility is attached to this Fact Sheet as Attachment A.

B. Anti-Backsliding

Section 402(o) of the CWA provides that certain effluent limitations of a renewed, reissued, or modified permit must be at least as stringent as the comparable effluent limitations in the current permit. EPA has also promulgated anti-backsliding regulations which are found at 40 C.F.R. § 122.44(l). Unless applicable anti-backsliding exemptions are met, the limits and conditions in the reissued permit must be at least as stringent as those in the current permit.

V. Description of Receiving Water

The receiving water for this discharge is the White River, a designated Cold-Water Fish Habitat. At the point of discharge, the river has a contributing drainage area of 611 square miles. The summer 7Q10 flow of the river is estimated to be 84.1 cubic feet per second (CFS) and the summer Low Median Monthly flow is estimated to be 213.4 CFS. The instream waste concentration at the summer 7Q10 flow is 0.0013 (0.13%) and the instream waste concentration at the summer Low Median Monthly flow is 0.0005 (0.05%).

VI. Facility History and Background

The Town of Royalton owns and operates (through contract with Simon Operation Services) the Royalton Wastewater Treatment Facility. The facility is an aerated lagoon with chlorine disinfection. The collection system conveys all wastewater through one pump station which is located off South Windsor Street. The pump station houses an emergency generator. A twenty-year WWTF evaluation report completed in December 2000 indicated that sections of the collection system should be considered for repair/replacement. Aldrich + Elliot Engineering Consultants provided an updated evaluation of this report in May 2012 and made recommendations for items identified for refurbishment in the sewer collection system, main pumping station and the treatment facility. In 2018 the facility completed the following updates in response to these recommendations:

- One new blower, matching the capacity of the two existing blowers, was added to the blower building to provide air to the lagoon aeration system.
- The aeration system for Lagoons No. 2 and 3 were replaced with a suspended panel aeration system matching the aeration system in Lagoon No. 1.
- A new, higher capacity chlorine contact tank with longer detention time was built.
- The sodium hypochlorite feed pumps were replaced.
- A v-notch weir was installed in the effluent chamber of the new chlorine contact tank.

VII. Permit Basis and Explanation of Effluent Limitation Derivation

A. **Flow** – The draft permit maintains the annual average flow limitation of 0.070 MGD. This facility maintains a continuous discharge and continuous flow monitoring is required.

B. Conventional Pollutants

1. **Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD₅)** – The effluent limitations for BOD₅ remain unchanged from the current permit. The monthly average (30 mg/L) and weekly average (45 mg/L) reflect the minimum level of effluent quality specified for secondary treatment in 40 CFR Part 133.102. In addition, the draft permit contains a 50 mg/L, maximum day, BOD₅ limitation. This is the Agency standard applied to all such discharges pursuant to 13.4 c. of the Vermont Water Pollution Control Permit Regulations. The Secretary implements the limit to supplement the federal technology-based limitations. This is designed to prevent a gross one-day permit effluent violation from being offset by multiple weekly and monthly sampling events. Mass limits (17.5 lbs/day, monthly average and 26.3 lbs/day, weekly average) are calculated using the concentration limits outlined above. The BOD₅ weekly monitoring requirement is unchanged from the current permit.

2. **Total Suspended Solids (TSS)** – The monthly average effluent limitation for TSS has changed from the current permit. Because the facility has consistently been achieving the TSS effluent limitations set forth under 40 C.F.R. § 133.102(b), the facility does not meet all of the criteria under 40 C.F.R. § 133.101(g) necessary for eligibility for application of the effluent limitations for treatment equivalent to secondary treatment, and it follows that the facility must comply with the secondary treatment standards for TSS: (1) the 30-day average shall not exceed 30 mg/l; (2) the seven-day average shall not exceed 45 mg/l. The seven-day average concentration limit of 45 mg/l and the 50 mg/l maximum day concentration limit remain unchanged from the current permit. The TSS monthly monitoring requirement is unchanged from the current permit.
3. ***Escherichia coli*** – The *E. coli* limitation is 77/100ml, instantaneous maximum, based upon the limitation in the current permit and the anti-backsliding provisions of Section 402(o) of the CWA. As in the current permit, weekly monitoring is required. The *E. coli* limitation is effective from April 1 through October 31 annually.
4. **pH** – The pH limitation remains at 6.5 - 8.5 Standard Units as specified in Section 29A-303(6) in the Vermont Water Quality Standards. Monitoring remains at daily.

C. Non-Conventional and Toxics

1. **Total Nitrogen (TN)** – On November 10, 2011, a letter from the EPA (Region I) to the Agency indicated that Vermont must establish TN limitations in permits such that the TN load from all facilities in the Connecticut River watershed is consistent with the requirements of the Long Island Sound Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL).

Section I.B of the draft permit requires the Permittee continue to implement the recommended operational changes to maintain the existing mass discharge loading of TN outlined in the Nitrogen Optimization Plan approved by the Secretary on January 11, 2016.

Condition I.B.2. of the current permit requires the permittee to evaluate the effectiveness of the plan and submit the results of the evaluation within 60 days of its completion. As the facility underwent refurbishments as part of their Nitrogen Optimization Plan and these were completed July 1, 2018, the draft permit requires the plan evaluation to be submitted by November 1, 2019.

Condition I.B.3 requires an annual report documenting the TN discharged, summarizing optimization efforts, and tracking trends relative to the previous year be submitted to the Secretary.

The baseline annual average daily TN load of 10.5 lbs/day is not a formal wasteload allocation. Condition I.B.4 reserves the right of the Secretary to reopen the permit to include additional monitoring requirements or a formal wasteload allocation for this facility if promulgated.

TN is a calculated value based on Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN) and Nitrate/Nitrite (NO_x) Nitrogen. The sum of TKN and NO_x shall be used to derive TN. As in the current permit, monthly monitoring is required.

For more information, see:

<https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/documents/nandpfactsheet.pdf>.

2. **Total Ammonia Nitrogen** – Total Ammonia Nitrogen (TAN) is the sum of two states of nitrogen, un-ionized (NH₃) and ionized (NH₄⁺). To gather data on the amount of TAN in this discharge and its potential impact on the receiving water, a twice annual “monitor only” requirement has been included in the draft permit. The first biannual sample shall be collected during the summer (July, August, or September). The second biannual sample shall be collected during the winter (January, February, or March).

3. Total Phosphorus (TP)

To gather data on the amount of Total Phosphorus (TP) in this discharge and its potential impact on the receiving water, a monthly “monitor only” requirement is included in this permit.

Per the USEPA, excess nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) are the leading cause of water quality degradation in the United States. Historically nutrient management focused on limiting a single nutrient—phosphorus or nitrogen—based on assumptions that production is usually phosphorus limited in freshwater and nitrogen limited in marine waters. Scientific research demonstrates this is an overly simplistic model. The evidence clearly indicates management of both phosphorus and nitrogen is necessary to protect water quality. The literature shows that aquatic flora and fauna have differing nutrient needs, some are P dependent, others N dependent and others are co-dependent on these two nutrients.

Like N, P promotes noxious aquatic plant and algal growth. High concentrations of P and N together cause greater growth of algae than N alone. The relative abundance of these nutrients also influences the type of species within the community. Given the dynamic nature of all aquatic ecosystems, for the State to fully understand the degradation to water quality it is necessary to limit or monitor for P and N (including nitrate, ammonium, and certain dissolved organic nitrogen compounds).

Total Phosphorus monitoring remains at a monthly frequency for this facility.

For more information, see:

<https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/documents/nandpfactsheet.pdf>.

4. **Settleable Solids** – The limitation of 1.0 mL/L instantaneous maximum and daily monitoring remain unchanged from the current permit. This numeric limit was established in support of the narrative standard in Section 29A-303(2) of the Vermont Water Quality Standards.
5. **Total Residual Chlorine** – The Total Residual Chlorine limits of 1.0 mg/l, weekly average, and 2.0 mg/l, instantaneous maximum, are set in accordance with the Policy for the protection of aquatic biota, these limits ensure compliance with the Vermont Water Quality Standards.

Monitoring requirement remains daily during the period of April 1 through October 31.
Disinfection is not required from November 1 through March 31.

6. **Toxicity Testing** – 40 CFR Part 122.44(d)(1) requires the Secretary to assess whether the discharge causes or has the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion above any narrative or numeric water quality criteria. Per these federal requirements, the Permittee shall conduct WET testing and toxic pollutant analyses according to the schedule outlined in Section I.F of the draft permit. If the results of these tests indicate a reasonable potential to cause an instream toxic impact, the Secretary may require additional WET testing, establish a WET limit, or require a Toxicity Reduction Evaluation.

D. Special Conditions

1. **Waste Management Zone (WMZ)** – As defined under 10 V.S.A. §1251(16), a WMZ is “a specific reach of Class B waters designated by a permit to accept the discharge of properly treated wastes that prior to treatment contained organisms pathogenic to human beings. Throughout the receiving waters, water quality criteria must be achieved but increased health risks exist due to the authorized discharge”.

The proposed permit retains the existing waste management zone (WMZ) that extends downstream from the outfall for approximately one mile in the **White River**.

2. **Laboratory Proficiency Testing** - To ensure there are adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures, the Permittee shall conduct an annual laboratory proficiency test for the analysis of all pollutant parameters performed within their facility laboratory and reported as required by their NPDES permit. Proficiency Test samples must be obtained from an accredited laboratory or as part of an EPA DMR-QA study. Results shall be submitted to the Secretary by December 31, annually.
3. **Operation, Management, and Emergency Response Plans** – As required 10 V.S.A. Section 1278, Section I.J has been included in the draft permit. This condition requires that the Permittee implement the Operation, Management, and Emergency Response Plans for the WWTF, sewage pump/ejector stations, and stream crossings as approved by the Agency on March 11, 2009; and for the collection system as approved by the Agency on August 13, 2010, and to update these plans, submitting them to the Secretary for review and approval no later than **December 31, 2022**.
4. **Electric Power Failure Plan** – To ensure the facility can continue operations even during the event of a power failure, within 90 days of the effective date of the permit, the Permittee must submit to the Secretary updated documentation addressing how the discharge will be handled in the event of an electric power outage.
5. **Electronic Reporting** - The EPA recently promulgated a final rule to modernize the Clean Water Act reporting for municipalities, industries, and other facilities by converting to an electronic data reporting system. The final rule requires the inclusion of electronic reporting requirements in NPDES permits that become effective after December 21, 2015. The rule requires that NPDES regulated entities that are required to submit discharge monitoring reports (DMRs), including majors and nonmajors, individually permitted or covered by a

general permit, must do so electronically after December 2016. The Secretary has created an electronic reporting system for DMRs and has recently trained facilities in its use. As of December 2020, these NPDES facilities will also be expected to submit additional information electronically as specified in Appendix A in 40 CFR part 127.

6. **Noncompliance Notification** - As required by 10 V.S.A. §1295, Condition II.A.2 has been included in the proposed permit. Section 1295 requires the Permittee to provide public notification of untreated discharges from wastewater facilities. The Permittee is required to post a public alert within one hour of discovery and submit to the Secretary specified information regarding the discharge within 12 hours of discovery.
7. **Reopener** - This draft permit includes a reopener whereby the Secretary reserves the right to reopen and amend the permit to implement an integrated plan to address multiple Clean Water Act obligations.

A. Reasonable Potential Analysis

The Secretary has conducted a reasonable potential analysis, which is attached to this Fact Sheet as Attachment A. Based on this analysis, the Secretary has determined there is not a reasonable potential for the discharge to cause or contribute to a water quality violation, and as such, the development of WQBELs will not be necessary. Given the very high dilution available (IWC @7Q10 < 0.1%), this discharge will not cause, have a reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an instream excursion above the water quality criteria. It is suggested the facility monitor the effluent for Total Ammonia Nitrogen twice annually, in winter and summer, to provide data for the next reasonable potential determination.

VIII. Procedures for Formulation of Final Determinations

The public comment period for receiving comments on this draft permit is from **June 19, 2019 through July 24, 2019** during which time interested persons may submit their written views on the draft permit. All written comments received by 4:30 PM on **July 24, 2019** will be retained by the Secretary and considered in the formulation of the final determination to issue, deny or modify the draft permit. The period of comment may be extended at the discretion of the Secretary.

Per Vermont Act 150, public comments concerning draft permits must be submitted via the Environmental Notice Bulletin (ENB) for all applications deemed administratively complete after January 1, 2018. In addition to providing a portal for submitting public comments, the ENB website presents details on the processing history, draft permit documents for review, and can be used to request public meetings. The ENB public site is <http://enb.vermont.gov> and the DEC ENB information page is <http://dec.vermont.gov/permits/enb>.

NPDES permits are considered Type 1 permits under Act 150 and are subject to a 30-day public comment period. All comments received within the period described above will be considered by the Department of Environmental Conservation in its final ruling to grant or deny authorization to discharge. Any person who has commented on the draft permit may, within 30 days of the final ruling by the Department of Environmental Conservation to grant

or deny authorization to discharge, appeal the ruling to the Environmental Court pursuant to 10 V.S.A. Chapter 220.

Attachment A

**Agency of Natural Resources
Department of Environmental Conservation
Watershed Management Division
1 National Life Drive 2 Main
802-828-1535**

MEMORANDUM

To: Chip Gianfagna, Manager, Wastewater Program (WWP)

From: Amy Polaczyk, WWP 

Cc: Pete LaFlamme, Director, WSMD
Mary Borg, Deputy Director, Watershed Management Division
Ethan Swift, Manager, Monitoring, Assessment and Planning Program (MAPP)
Rick Levey, MAPP

Date: March 11, 2019

Subject: Reasonable Potential Determination for the Royalton WWTF Facility

The Wastewater Program has reviewed the draft permit limits for the Royalton WWTF facility in Royalton, Vermont, following the 2012 procedure outlining WWM-WSMD roles and responsibilities. This memo provides MAPP's concurrence with the permit limits set forth by the draft permit for Royalton WWTF facility prepared by the WWP.

Facility:

Royalton Wastewater Treatment Facility
Permit No. 3-1165
NPDES No. VT0100854

Hydrology for Royalton Wastewater Treatment Facility used in this evaluation:

Design Flow: 0.070 MGD = 0.11 CFS
7Q10 = 84.1 CFS
LMM = 213.4 CFS
IWC-7Q10 = 0.0013 (<1%)
IWC-LMM = 0.0005 (<1%)

Receiving Water:


White River, Royalton, VT
Facility Location: Lat 43.8018159 Long. -72.5030366 (NAD 83)
Approximate Outfall Location: Lat 43.801287, Long. -72.502319 (WGS 84)

The White River downstream of the Royalton WWTF discharge is a Class B(2) water and designated as Cold-Water Fish Habitat. At the point of discharge, the river has a contributing drainage area of 611 square miles. The First, Second and Third Branch of the White River all join the main branch of the White River upstream of the discharge point. The proposed permit waste management zone (WMZ) in the

White River begins at the outfall of the WWTF and extends downstream approximately 1.0 mile (Figure 1) pursuant to 10 V.S.A., Section 1252.

The Town of Royalton owns and operates (through contract with Simon Operation Services) the Royalton Wastewater Treatment Facility which is aerated lagoon facility utilizing chlorine disinfection.

Figure 1. White River near the Royalton WWTF. Facility location represented by white dot containing “WW”, outfall location shown by a purple dot, downstream sampling location (RM 15.4) shown by the red dot. Figure taken from the Vermont Integrated Watershed Assessment System on the VTANR Atlas (<https://anrweb.vt.gov/DEC/IWIS/>).



General Assessment – VTDEC Assessment Database:

MAPP maintains the VTDEC assessment database, an EPA-required database which describes the conditions of Vermont's surface waters with respect to their attainment of VWQS. For the White River segment to which this facility discharges, the database indicates the receiving water fully supports all designated uses. The Bethel WWTF discharges approximately 9 miles upstream at RM 24.1. The Royalton WWTF is subject to the Connecticut River and Long Island Sound Nitrogen Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL).

Ambient Chemistry Data for the White River below the Royalton Wastewater Treatment Facility:

The most recent ambient chemistry data available from VTDEC sampling is from 2018 when surface waters were sampled below the outfall at River Mile (RM) 15.4. There are no VT DEC sampling sites upstream of the Royalton WWTF. A proximal upstream site is monitored by the White River Partnership; however, these data are 10 years old and were collected several miles upstream from the Royalton WWTF above the confluence with the 1st Branch of the White River. As such, the data are not useful for assessing the discharge.

Data representativeness was assessed by evaluating the flow conditions at which samples were collected from field sheets and from the most proximally-located USGS gauge for which data were available and in consideration of possible downstream sensitive reaches. The downstream sampling location at RM 15.4 is 0.75 miles below the Royalton WWTF outfall (Figure 1). The downstream sampling site is the most sensitive location, and sampling results are representative of low flows based on the actual flows shown from the USGS gauge and field notes collected by DEC technical staff. Thus, the data presented below are relevant for inclusion in this analysis.

Water chemistry measures for the following parameters are available: alkalinity, pH, hardness, dissolved oxygen, oxygen saturation (%), turbidity, total chloride, total phosphorus (TP), total nitrogen (TN), total nitrate/nitrite nitrogen, total ammonia, total sulfate, and water temperature. The most relevant parameters for this assessment are summarized in Table 1. Priority metals were analyzed below the WWTF in 2018. All of the priority metals were below the Vermont Water Quality Standards Acute and Chronic Criteria to Protect Aquatic Biota (Table 4).

Table 1: Concentrations of surface-water chemistry below the Royalton Wastewater Treatment Facility (Below the facility at River Mile 15.4).

Visit Date	Water Temp (deg C)	pH	Alkalinity (mg/l)	Hardness	DO (mg/l)	DO (%)	Turbidity (NTU)	Total Chloride (mg/l)	Total Phosphorus (ug/l)	Total Nitrogen (mg/l)
9/2/2014	22.8	8.25	67	-	8.82	102.5	0.71	11.7	7.87	0.33
9/14/2018	18.11	7.56	63	65.212	9.32	102.1		13.3	14	0.21

Nutrient water chemistry data was collected by VT DEC in September of 2014 and 2018 at RM 15.4. TP values (n= 2) observed were 7.87 ug/L in 2014 and 14.0 ug/L in 2018. TN values (n=2) were 0.33 mg/L in 2014 and 0.21 mg/L in 2018. All observed TP values are well below the Warm-Water Medium Gradient stream TP threshold of 27 µg/L-TP (VWQS §29A-306(a)(3)(c))

Turbidity, Dissolved Oxygen, pH:

Turbidity below the outfall was measured to be 0.40 and 0.71 Nephelometric Turbidity (NTU) in 2006 and 2014, respectively. Turbidity data were not collected in 2018. Dissolved oxygen and percent saturation below were 8.82 - 9.32 mg/L and 102.5 – 102.1 percent, respectively. All pH values observed were 7.5 to 8.3, within the range of VWQS.

Biological Assessments:

Biological assessments were conducted at RM 15.4 by VTDEC on September 12, 2006 and September 2, 2014. The biological assessment scored “very good”, indicating that the biological condition meets Class B(2) standards for aquatic biota and aquatic habitat uses for the Warm Water Moderate Gradient (WWMG) stream type.

Table 2. Results of the Biological Monitoring for Macroinvertebrates on the White River, below (RM 15.4) the Royalton WWTF outfall.

Macroinvertebrate Site Summary									
Location:	White River 15.4							Location ID:	501377
Town:	Sharon							Bio Site ID:	130000000154
Description:	Below WWTF outfall (not noticeable), below powerlines/town line.							WBID:	VT09-01
Stream Type:	Warm Water Medium Gradient								
Date	Density	Richness	EPT Richness	PMA-O	B.I.	Oligo.	EPT/EPT + Chiro	PPCS-F	Community Assessment
9/12/2006	1265	40.0	22.0	65.1	3.91	0.54	0.92	0.45	Meets WQS
9/2/2014	2012	34.0	23.0	70.0	3.71	0.00	0.98	0.45	Meets WQS
Full Support	≥ 300	≥ 30	≥ 16	≥ 45	≤ 5.4	≤ 12	≥ 0.45	≥ 0.4	
Indeterminate	≥ 250	≥ 28	≥ 15	≥ 40	≤ 5.65	≤ 14.5	≥ 0.43	≥ 0.35	
Non-Support	< 250	< 28	< 15	< 40	> 5.65	> 14.5	< 0.43	< 0.35	

Total Nitrogen:

Total Nitrogen - EPA, in a November 10, 2011 letter to the Agency indicated that Vermont must establish total nitrogen limitations in permits such that the total nitrogen load from all facilities in the Connecticut River watershed is consistent with the requirements of the Long Island Sound Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL). At this time, aerated lagoons and RBC facilities are exempt from an actual nitrogen limitation. Monthly effluent monitoring for nitrogen conducted at the facility from 2014-2018 (n = 58) indicates concentrations ranged from 2.4 – 52.6 mg/L TN, with an average concentration of 26.7 mg/L-TN.

Review of the Royalton WWTF flow records indicate that average flows for 2014- 2018 are about 1/3rd (0.023 MGD) of the design flow (0.07 MGD). Instream TN concentrations at these flow rates would be 0.01 mg/L-TN using the average effluent concentration observed. This is a very slight increase and well below the observed concentration of 0.21 mg/L on September 14, 2018 below the WWTF outfall at RM 15.4.

Monthly monitoring will continue to be required for Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen and Nitrate/Nitrite (NO_x) Nitrogen. The sum of TKN and Nitrate/Nitrite shall be calculated in order to determine Total Nitrogen.

Total Phosphorus:

Instream Phosphorus Concentrations were calculated using the low monthly median flow (LMM) of 213.4 CFS at design flow of 0.11 CFS (0.07 MGD) and using the effluent phosphorus concentration of 5.7 mg/L which is the average monthly effluent concentration observed during 2014 – 2018. From facility monitoring records; effluent TP values ranged from 0.60 – 9.4 mg/L-TP (n=58). The calculated phosphorus concentration at these conditions attributable to discharge is 0.0029 mg/L-TP (2.9 µg/L-TP).

The instream TP observed below the outfall at RM 15.4 (Table 1) ranged from 7.87 to 14 µg/L-TP. Without data collected upstream of the WWTF, assessing the mass balance of TP around the discharge is not possible. However, at 1/3rd (0.023 MGD) of the design flow (0.07 MGD) using average effluent TP value of 5.7 mg/L, the instream TP concentrations due to the Royalton WWTF would be less than 1.0 µg/L-TP (0.97 µg/L) a very minor increase.

The potential impacts of phosphorus discharges from this facility to the receiving water have been assessed in relation to the narrative criteria in §29A-302(2)(A) of the 2017 VWQS, which states:

In all waters, total phosphorous loadings shall be limited so that they will not contribute to the acceleration of eutrophication or the stimulation of the growth of aquatic biota in a manner that prevents the full support of uses.

To interpret this standard, MAPP typically relies on a framework which examines TP concentrations in relation to existing numeric phosphorus criteria and response criteria in §29A-306(a)(3)(c) of the water quality standards, for streams that can be assessed using macroinvertebrate biocriteria. Under this framework, MAPP can make a positive finding of compliance with the narrative standard when nutrient criteria are attained, or when specific nutrient response variables; pH, Turbidity, Dissolved Oxygen, and aquatic life use, all display compliance with their respective criteria in the Water Quality Standards.

The total phosphorus concentrations in receiving waters are moderate, and below the VWQS phosphorus criteria threshold of 27 µg/L-TP for Warm-Water Medium Gradient streams. The mass balance calculation presented above, indicated that increases in phosphorus attributable to the facility are minimal. Further, aquatic life use is shown to be fully supported, and the stream complies with VWQS for all identified response variables. Therefore, the narrative standard presented in §3-01.B.2 of the VWQS is supported (Table 3). As described below, for facilities where there are increases in phosphorus attributable to the discharge, and biological monitoring results do consistently indicate attainment of all thresholds, MAPP recommends that monthly TP effluent monitoring be required to continue to assess compliance with the VWQS §29A-306(a)(3)(c) at the next permit issuance.

Table 3. Assessment of phosphorus response variables for the Royalton WWTF conducted 9/14/2018. The relevant target values are referenced to the appropriate section of the VWQS.

Response variable (VWQS reference)	Target Value	River-mile: 15.4 (Downstream) 9/14/2018
pH (§3-01.B.9)	6.5-8.5 s.u.	7.56
Turbidity (§3-04.B.1)	< 10 NTU at low mean annual flow	< 10 NTU
Dissolved Oxygen (min) (§3-04.B.2)	>6 mg/L and 70% saturation	9.32 mg/L, 102.1%
Aquatic biota, based on macroinvertebrates.	Attaining an assessment of good, or better.	Meets WQS

Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) and Priority Pollutant Testing:

40 CFR Part 122.44(d)(1) requires the Agency to assess whether the discharge causes or has the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion above any narrative or numeric water quality criteria. The goal of the Vermont Toxic Discharge Control Strategy is to assure that the state water quality standards and receiving water classification criteria are maintained.

The facility has not been required to conduct WET testing or pollutant scans under past permits. The 2019 draft permit requires two 2-species acute WET tests be conducted in 2020 and 2022. If the results of this testing indicate a reasonable potential to cause an instream toxic impact, the Department may require additional WET testing, establish a WET limit, or require a Toxicity Reduction Evaluation.

Ammonia Monitoring:

Historically, the Royalton WWTF has not been required to monitor its discharge for total ammonia nitrogen (TAN) in its permits. Given the facility's IWC at 7Q10 flows, which provides a dilution ratio of

1:5000 there is no reasonable potential for TAN criteria to be exceeded. To further illustrate, at pH 8.0 and water temperature of 20°C, the more stringent chronic criteria is 0.78 mg/L-TAN. Using an unrealistically high TAN effluent value of 100 mg/L-TAN would result in instream TAN value of 0.02 mg/L, well below the most stringent criteria. Even though there is no RP of TAN exceedance, it would be beneficial for the facility analyze the effluent for TAN twice a year (reflecting winter and summer conditions) to provide data for subsequent reasonable potential analyses.

Attainment of Chlorine VWQS:

The Vermont Water Quality Standards specify acute and chronic criteria to protect aquatic life. The chronic criterion is set at an Average Allowable Concentration in receiving water of 0.011 mg/L and the acute criterion is a Maximum Allowable Concentration 0.019 mg/L.

The chlorine limits in the Royalton WWTF permit are 1.0 mg/L-TRC Weekly average and 2.0 mg/L-TRC Instantaneous Maximum which represent chronic and acute limits, respectively. At full design flow the weekly average limit would result in an instream TRC of 1.3 µg/L-TRC, which is an order of magnitude below the more stringent chronic criteria of 11 µg/L-TRC. The Instantaneous limit of 2.0 mg/L would result in an instream concentration of 2.6 µg/L-TRC, which is protective of the acute criteria of 19 µg/L-TRC.

Sediment, Hardness, and Metals:

Instream total suspended solids were calculated using the 7Q10 of 84.1 CFS at design flow of 0.11 CFS (0.07 MGD), assuming the maximum permitted daily concentration of 50 mg/L. The calculated suspended sediment concentration at these conditions was 1 mg/l, indicating a slight increase of instream ambient suspended sediment concentrations in receiving waters.

The hardness of the White River below the Royalton WWTF (RM 15.4) was recorded to be 65.2 mg/l on 9/14/2018 (Table 4). Hardness data is utilized to determine compliance with Vermont's aquatic biota-based metals criteria as specified in § 29A-303(7) and Appendix C of the Vermont Water Quality Standards. Vermont DEC data for target analyte metals (As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Pb and Mn) below the outfall (Table 4) collected during 2018 sampling were below detection limits except Manganese which measured 26.75 µg/L.

Table 4. White River Metals Water Chemistry below the Royalton WWTF outfall at RM 15.4 on 9/14/2018.

Parameter	RM 15.4
Hardness (mg/L)	65.2
Aluminum (ug/l)	< 20
Arsenic (ug/l)	< 1
Cadmium (ug/l)	< 1
Chromium (ug/l)	< 5
Copper (ug/l)	< 10
Iron (ug/l)	< 50
Lead (ug/l)	< 1
Manganese (ug/l)	26.75
Nickel (ug/l)	< 5
Selenium (ug/l)	< 5
Zinc (ug/l)	< 50

Recommended Biological and Water Quality Monitoring:

In light of the fact that biological monitoring results consistently indicate attainment of all thresholds, and the stream complies with VWQS for all identified response variables, and that the narrative standard presented in §29A-302(2)(A) of the VWQS is supported (Table 3), it is not recommended that biomonitoring be included in the permit.

Conclusion:

After review of all available information it has been determined that there is not a reasonable potential for the discharge to cause or contribute to a water quality violation, and as such, the development of WQBELs will not be necessary. Given the very high dilution available (IWC @7Q10 < 0.1%), this discharge will not cause, have a reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an instream excursion above the water quality criteria. It is suggested the facility monitor the effluent for Total Ammonia Nitrogen twice annually, in winter and summer, to provide data for the next reasonable potential determination.