

TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-16-0429

Number: P-16-0429

TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination: The chemical substance is not likely to present an unreasonable risk (5(a)(3)(C))

Chemical Name:

Generic: Endcapped polysiloxane

Conditions of Use (intended, known, or reasonably foreseen)¹:

Intended conditions of use (generic): Manufacture for use as a universal tint paste resin having high solids, consistent with the manufacturing, processing, use, distribution, and disposal information described in the PMN.

Known conditions of use: Applying such factors as described in footnote 1, EPA evaluated whether there are known conditions of use and found none.

Reasonably foreseen conditions of use: Applying such factors as described in footnote 1, EPA evaluated whether there are reasonably foreseen conditions of use and identified manufacture of an increased production volume or processing at a higher percent in formulation as reasonably foreseen based on information in the original PMN.

Summary: The chemical substance is not likely to present an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment, without consideration of costs or other nonrisk factors, including an unreasonable risk to a potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation identified as relevant by the Administrator under the conditions of use, based on the risk assessment presented below. Although the EPA estimated that the hydrolysis products of the new chemical substance may be very persistent, they have low potential for bioaccumulation, such that repeated exposures are not expected to cause food chain effects via accumulation in exposed organisms. Based on

¹ Under TSCA § 3(4), the term “conditions of use” means “the circumstances, as determined by the Administrator, under which a chemical substance is intended, known, or reasonably foreseen to be manufactured, processed, distributed in commerce, used, or disposed of.” In general, EPA considers the intended conditions of use of a new chemical substance to be those identified in the section 5(a) notification. Known conditions of use include activities within the United States that result from manufacture that is exempt from PMN submission requirements. Reasonably foreseen conditions of use are future circumstances, distinct from known or intended conditions of use, under which the Administrator expects the chemical substance to be manufactured, processed, distributed, used, or disposed of. The identification of “reasonably foreseen” conditions of use will necessarily be a case-by-case determination and will be highly fact-specific. Reasonably foreseen conditions of use will not be based on hypotheticals or conjecture. EPA’s identification of conditions of use includes the expectation of compliance with federal and state laws, such as worker protection standards or disposal restrictions, unless case-specific facts indicate otherwise. Accordingly, EPA will apply its professional judgment, experience, and discretion when considering such factors as evidence of current use of the new chemical substance outside the United States, evidence that the PMN substance is sufficiently likely to be used for the same purposes as existing chemical substances that are structurally analogous to the new chemical substance, and conditions of use identified in an initial PMN submission that the submitter omits in a revised PMN. The sources EPA uses to identify reasonably foreseen conditions of use include searches of internal confidential EPA PMN databases (containing use information on analogue chemicals), other U.S. government public sources, the National Library of Medicine’s Hazardous Substances Data Bank (HSDB), the Chemical Abstract Service STN Platform, REACH Dossiers, technical encyclopedias (e.g., Kirk-Othmer and Ullmann), and Internet searches.

TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-16-0429

EPA's TSCA New Chemicals Program Chemical Category for Alkoxysilanes² and test data on analogous chemical substances, EPA estimates that the chemical substance has low environmental hazard and potential for the following human health hazards: irritation to the eye, skin, lung, and mucous membranes and adverse effects to the lung. EPA concludes that the new chemical substance is not likely to present an unreasonable risk to human health or the environment under the conditions of use.

Fate: Environmental fate is the determination of which environmental compartment(s) a chemical moves to, the expected residence time in the environmental compartment(s) and removal and degradation processes. Environmental fate is an important factor in determining exposure and thus in determining whether a chemical may present an unreasonable risk. EPA estimated physical/chemical and fate properties of this new chemical substance using data for analogous substances. The chemical substance is estimated to be removed during wastewater treatment with an efficiency of 90-99% via hydrolysis and sorption. The hydrolysis products are also estimated to be removed during wastewater treatment with an efficiency of 90% based on sorption. For the hydrolysis products, removal by biodegradation is estimated to be negligible. Sorption to sludge is estimated to be strong, and sorption to soil and sediment is estimated to be very strong, resulting in negligible migration to groundwater for both the new chemical substance and its hydrolysis products. Volatilization to air is estimated to be negligible based on low estimated vapor pressure and Henry's Law constant. Overall, these estimates are indicative of low potential for this chemical substance and its hydrolysis products to volatilize into the air and a low potential for this chemical substance and its hydrolysis products to migrate into groundwater.

Persistence³: Persistence is relevant to whether a new chemical substance is likely to present an unreasonable risk because chemicals that are not degraded in the environment at rates that prevent substantial buildup in the environment, and thus increase potential for exposure, may present a risk if the substance presents a hazard to human health or the environment. Based on data for analogous substances, EPA estimated the hydrolysis half-life of the new chemical substance to be days. The hydrolysis products' anaerobic and aerobic biodegradation half-lives are estimated to be greater than six months. The estimate for hydrolysis indicates that the new chemical substance will not be persistent in aerobic environments (e.g., surface water) or anaerobic environments (e.g., sediment). The estimates for biodegradation indicate that the hydrolysis products may be very persistent in aerobic and anaerobic environments.

² TSCA New Chemicals Program (NCP) Chemical Categories. <https://www.epa.gov/reviewing-new-chemicals-under-toxic-substances-control-act-tsca/chemical-categories-used-review-new>.

³ Persistence: A chemical substance is considered to have limited persistence if it has a half-life in water, soil or sediment of less than 2 months or there are equivalent or analogous data. A chemical substance is considered to be persistent if it has a half-life in water, soil or sediments of greater than 2 months but less than or equal to 6 months or if there are equivalent or analogous data. A chemical substance is considered to be very persistent if it has a half-life in water, soil or sediments of greater than 6 months or there are equivalent or analogous data. (64 FR 60194; November 4, 1999)

TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-16-0429

Bioaccumulation⁴: Bioaccumulation is relevant to whether a new chemical substance is likely to present an unreasonable risk because substances that bioaccumulate in aquatic and/or terrestrial species pose the potential for elevated exposures to humans and other organisms via food chains. The new chemical substance and its hydrolysis products have a low bioaccumulation potential based on the rapid hydrolysis half-life of the new chemical substance and the large predicted molecular volume of the both the new chemical substance and its hydrolysis products, which limit bioavailability and bioaccumulation. Although EPA estimated that the hydrolysis products could be very persistent, the hydrolysis products have low potential for bioaccumulation, such that repeated exposures are not expected to cause food chain effects via accumulation in exposed organisms.

Human Health Hazard⁵: Human health hazard is relevant to whether a new chemical substance is likely to present an unreasonable risk because the significance of the risk is dependent upon both the hazard (or toxicity) of the chemical substance and the extent of exposure to the substance. EPA estimated the human health hazard of this chemical substance based on its estimated physical/chemical properties, structural information and information in the TSCA New Chemicals Program Chemical Category for Alkoxysilanes. Absorption of the new chemical substance is expected to be poor via all routes, based on physical/chemical properties. EPA identified lung effects and irritation to the eye, skin, lung, and mucous membranes as hazards based on the alkoxysilane moieties. EPA identified a NOAEL of 75 mg/kg-day for lung toxicity in a 90-day inhalation toxicity study with tri(isopropenoxy)silane, which is the benchmark NOAEL in the Alkoxysilanes Category for assessing exposures to vapors or aerosols of alkoxysilanes other than methoxysilanes. The identified NOAEL was used to derive exposure route- and population-specific points of departure for quantitative risk assessment, described

⁴ Bioaccumulation: A chemical substance is considered to have a low potential for bioaccumulation if there are bioconcentration factors (BCF) or bioaccumulation factors (BAF) of less than 1,000 or there are equivalent or analogous data. A chemical substance is considered to be bioaccumulative if there are BCFs or BAFs of 1,000 or greater and less than or equal to 5,000 or there are equivalent or analogous data. A chemical substance is considered to be very bioaccumulative if there are BCFs or BAFs of 5,000 or greater or there are equivalent or analogous data. (64 FR 60194; November 4 1999)

⁵ A chemical substance is considered to have low human health hazard if effects are observed in animal studies with a No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) equal to or greater than 1,000 mg/kg/day or if there are equivalent data on analogous chemical substances; a chemical substance is considered to have moderate human health hazard if effects are observed in animal studies with a NOAEL less than 1,000 mg/kg/day or if there are equivalent data on analogous chemical substances; a chemical substance is considered to have high human health hazard if there is evidence of adverse effects in humans or conclusive evidence of severe effects in animal studies with a NOAEL of less than or equal to 10 mg/kg/day or if there are equivalent data on analogous chemical substances. EPA may also use Benchmark Dose Levels (BMDL) derived from benchmark dose (BMD) modeling as points of departure for toxic effects. See <https://www.epa.gov/bmds/what-benchmark-dose-software-bmds>. Using this approach, a BMDL is associated with a benchmark response, for example a 5 or 10 % incidence of effect. The aforementioned characterizations of hazard (low, medium, high) would also apply to BMDLs. In the absence of animal data on a chemical or analogous chemical substance, EPA may use other data or information such as from in vitro assays, chemical categories (e.g., Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2014 Guidance on Grouping of Chemicals, Second Edition. ENV/JM/MONO(2014)4. Series on Testing & Assessment No. 194. Environment Directorate, Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris, France. ([http://www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/?cote=env/jm/mono\(2014\)4&doclanguage=en](http://www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/?cote=env/jm/mono(2014)4&doclanguage=en))), structure-activity relationships, and/or structural alerts to support characterizing human health hazards.

TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-16-0429

below.

Environmental Hazard⁶: Environmental hazard is relevant to whether a new chemical substance is likely to present unreasonable risk because the significance of the risk is dependent upon both the hazard (or toxicity) of the chemical substance and the extent of exposure to the substance. EPA estimated environmental hazard of this new chemical substance using the Ecological Structure Activity Relationships (ECOSAR) Predictive Model (<https://www.epa.gov/tsca-screening-tools/ecological-structure-activity-releationships-ecosar-predictive-model>); specifically the QSAR for alkoxy silanes and silicones. This substance falls within the TSCA New Chemicals Category for Alkoxy silanes. Acute toxicity values estimated for fish, aquatic invertebrates, and algae are > 100 mg/L. Chronic toxicity values estimated for fish, aquatic invertebrates, and algae are > 10 mg/L. These toxicity values indicate that the new chemical substance is expected to have low environmental hazard. Application of assessment factors of 5 and 10 to acute and chronic toxicity values, respectively, results in acute and chronic concentrations of concern of 20 mg/L (20,000 ppb) and 1 mg/L (1,000 ppb), respectively.

Exposure: The exposure to a new chemical substance is potentially relevant to whether a new chemical substance is likely to present unreasonable risks because the significance of the risk is dependent upon both the hazard (or toxicity) of the chemical substance and the extent of exposure to the substance.

EPA estimates occupational exposure and environmental release of the new chemical substance under the intended conditions of use described in the PMN using ChemSTEER (Chemical Screening Tool for Exposures and Environmental Releases <https://www.epa.gov/tsca-screening-tools/chemsteer-chemical-screening-tool-exposures-and-environmental-releases>). EPA uses EFAST (the Exposure and Fate Assessment Screening Tool; <https://www.epa.gov/tsca-screening-tools/e-fast-exposure-and-fate-assessment-screening-tool-version-2014>) to estimate general population, consumer, and environmental exposures.

EPA considers workers to be a potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation (PESS) on the basis of greater exposure potential compared to the general population. EPA also considers PESS in conducting general population drinking water exposures by evaluating risks associated with water intake rates for multiple age groups, ranging from infants to adults. EPA considers consumers of specific products to be a potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation on the

⁶ A chemical substance is considered to have low ecotoxicity hazard if the Fish, Daphnid and Algae LC50 values are greater than 100 mg/L, or if the Fish and Daphnid chronic values (ChVs) are greater than 10.0 mg/L, or there are not effects at saturation (occurs when water solubility of a chemical substance is lower than an effect concentration), or the log Kow value exceeds QSAR cut-offs. A chemical substance is considered to have moderate ecotoxicity hazard if the lowest of the Fish, Daphnid or Algae LC50s is greater than 1 mg/L and less than 100 mg/L, or where the Fish or Daphnid ChVs are greater than 0.1 mg/L and less than 10.0 mg/L. A chemical substance is considered to have high ecotoxicity hazard, or if either the Fish, Daphnid or Algae LC50s are less than 1 mg/L, or any Fish or Daphnid ChVs is less than 0.1 mg/L (Sustainable Futures <https://www.epa.gov/sustainable-futures/sustainable-futures-p2-framework-manual>).

TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-16-0429

basis of greater exposure potential compared to the general population who do not use specific products.

For this new chemical assessment, EPA assessed exposure to workers via the dermal and inhalation routes. Releases to water and air were estimated. Exposure to the general population was assessed via drinking water. Exposure to the general population via inhalation was not assessed because releases to air were expected to be negligible (below modeling thresholds). Although not reasonably foreseen, hypothetical consumer exposures were assessed via dermal and inhalation routes.

Risk Characterization: EPA applies a margin of exposure approach to calculate potential human health risks of new chemicals. A benchmark (acceptable) margin of exposure is derived by applying uncertainty factors for the following types of extrapolations: intra-species extrapolation ($UF_H = 10$ to account for variation in sensitivity among the human population), inter-species extrapolation ($UF_A = 10$ to account for extrapolating from experimental animals to humans) and LOAEL-to-NOAEL extrapolation ($UF_L = 10$ to account for using a LOAEL when a NOAEL is not available). Hence, in the New Chemicals Program, a benchmark MOE is typically 100 and 1000 when NOAELs and LOAELs, respectively, are used to identify hazard. When allometric scaling or pharmacokinetic modeling is used to derive an effect level, the UF_H may be reduced to 3, for a benchmark MOE of 30. The benchmark MOE is used to compare to the MOE calculated by comparing the toxicity NOAEL or LOAEL to the estimated exposure concentrations. When the calculated MOE is equal to or exceeds the benchmark MOE, the new chemical substance is not likely to present an unreasonable risk. EPA assesses risks to workers considering engineering controls described in the PMN but in the absence of personal protective equipment (PPE) such as gloves and respirators. If risks are preliminarily identified, EPA then considers whether the risks would be mitigated by the use of PPE (e.g., impervious gloves, respirator).

Risks to human health for the new chemical substance were evaluated using the route-specific effect levels (i.e., NOAEL) described above. Risks were identified for workers from inhalation exposure (MOE = 63, benchmark MOE = 100). Risks will be mitigated if exposures are controlled by the use of appropriate PPE, including a respirator with assigned protection factor (APF) of at least 10. Irritation hazards to workers via inhalation and dermal contact were identified based on alkoxysilane moieties. Risks for these endpoints were not quantified due to a lack of dose-response for these hazards. However, exposures can be controlled by the use of appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE), including impervious gloves, eye protection, and respiratory protection. EPA expects that employers will require and workers will use appropriate PPE (i.e., impervious gloves, eye protection, and a respirator with an APF of at least 10) consistent with the Safety Data Sheet prepared by the PMN submitter, in a manner adequate to protect them.

Risks were not expected for the general population for irritation via drinking water since these concerns are expected to be mitigated by dilution in the media. Risks for lung effects for the general population were not identified because inhalation exposures are expected to be negligible. Consumer use is not intended or reasonably foreseen, but exposures were estimated

TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-16-0429

using a hypothetical scenario. Risks were not identified for consumers for lung effects via inhalation exposure (MOE = 7,787; benchmark MOE = 100). Irritation hazards to consumers hypothetically exposed via the dermal and inhalation routes were identified. Risks for these endpoints were not quantified due to a lack of dose-response for these hazards.

Risks to the environmental were not identified based on low hazard.

Although EPA identified an increased production volume and increased concentration in formulation as reasonably foreseen conditions of use, these conditions were assessed and did not result in increased estimated exposures to workers or the general population, and therefore are not expected to present unreasonable risks.

Because worker exposures can be controlled by PPE, and no unreasonable risks to the general population, consumers, or the environment were identified, EPA has determined that the new chemical substance is not likely to present unreasonable risk to human health or the environment under the conditions of use.

5/17/2019
Date:

/s/
Tala R. Henry, Ph.D.
Deputy Director for Programs
Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics