

**Questions and Answers  
for  
RFA EPA-R3-CBP-20-01**

***Goal Implementation Team Project Support***

**10/24/19**

**Q:** Please confirm that EPA does not expect that both administration of the grant and development/administration of the subaward program would occur using < 10% of the available budget?

**A:** The personnel costs to implement the project would be separate from administrative costs to administer the grant.

**10/18/19**

**Q:** Please clarify what is meant by “an online system for the submission of project specifications by the CBP GITs”? on page 5 of the RFA? Does this refer to a system for applicants to submit proposals for subawards or a system that houses the products of the funded work, or something else?

**A:** The text refers to a system that is for on-line submission of the GIT project ideas and specifications prior to solicitation. There also needs to be an on-line system for responses to the subaward RFP by potential contractors and an on-line repository for the final products produced by the sub-awardees.

**Q:** How much of the annual \$700,000-\$900,000 funding is expected to go to the subawards vs administration of the GIT project subaward program?

**A:** Final budgets will be negotiated with the selected applicant. Please refer to section IV.D. on page 13 of the RFA for information about the administrative cost cap requirement.

**Q:** How much has been awarded on an annual basis through the GIT project subawards in the past?

**A:** Final budgets will be negotiated with the selected applicant.

**Q:** Are the example outputs and outcomes listed on page 7 of the RFA accurate? They seem to be referring to a different RFA. If so, can you provide more relevant examples outputs and outcomes?

**A:** Please visit <https://cbtrust.org/grants/git/git-projects/> to see examples of project outputs.