

Systematic Optimization of High Activity Neutralization Materials for Bulk Chemical Agent Detoxification



PRESENTED BY

Patrick D. Burton¹; Mark K. Kinnan¹; Calen J. Leverant¹ David J. McGarvey²; William R. Creasy³

1 Sandia National Laboratories

2 CCDC CBC

3 Leidos, supporting CCDC CBC

SAND2019-13538 C



Sandia National Laboratories is a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology & Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA0003525.

Detoxification is a fundamentally different process from decontamination



2



Several methods and chemistries have been developed to remediate chemical warfare agents or toxic industrial chemicals on a variety of surfaces.

All of them share a common set of assumptions and practices:

- 1. The agent is a minority contaminant in a comparatively much larger system.
 - Eliminate toxicity posed by the agent while leaving the system (relatively) intact.
- 2. The precise quantity and location of agent is unknown.
 - Excess decontamination reagent is needed to ensure effective decontamination.
- 3. Many CWAs are poorly soluble in aqueous solution
 - Surfactants or substantial volumetric excess increase contact of the decontaminant with hydrophobic agents.





These practices are not practical for a bulk detoxification scenario

Detoxification of bulk chemicals requires specific considerations

Chemical agent comprises the majority or entirety of the system

3



A smaller volume of more highly reactive reagents is needed for practical detoxification.

Since great excesses are not used, physical mixing and solubility of the reagent becomes a more significant issue. Treatment with a substantial excess of mild reagents is not feasible.

4 Under bulk conditions, the agent itself is the reaction solvent



As the reaction progresses, products accumulate and change the distribution of agent and reactants.





Products may passivate the reactant, limiting overall reaction

ħ

Achieving effective detoxification requires a highly efficient approach

5

The 12 Principles of Green Chemistry are guidelines to achieve the greatest product output while minimizing detrimental environmental impact.



*This effort is to *decompose* existing materials into a less toxic form. The concepts are still valid, but are approached differently. ⁶ Synergistic benefits of Li₃N compared to LiOH and NH₃



7 Live Agent Tests in Small Scale

Agent	RXN Vol (mL)	Additive Quantity (% vol)	Agent Remaining with Time
GB	10	13	9% (1 day)
GD	10	13	<0.4% (5 days)
VX	10	13	14% (13 days)
VX	100	18	17% (5 days)
QL	10	18	ND (7 day)
DF	10	19	16% (7 days)







9 Challenges specific to HD



HD hydrolyzes easily under optimal conditions, but is very insoluble in aqueous solution.



Tailoring the solvent used to initiate Li_3N reaction provides control over the reactants produced.

Larger aliphatic alcohols reduce the reaction rate.

10 Cooperative effect of high pH and ammonia production



11 Summary

- Detoxification of bulk scale CWAs (Volume_{CWA} > Volume_{neutralization reagent}) is challenging.
- The agent, neutralization reagent, and breakdown products must be collectively optimized.
- Applying green chemistry practices provides the needed efficiency perspective.
- Li₃N, a pyrophoric material, provides multifunctional detoxification efficacy.

Questions?

This research was funded and supported by the Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA)/Joint Science and Technology Office (JSTO) under project CB10412. We thank Dr. Glenn Lawson of DTRA/HSTO for his support of this project and Dr. Kevin Morrissey of the Decontamination Sciences Branch for contract support. The views expressed in this presentation are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of the Department of Defense or the U.S. Government.